



General Purposes Committee Electronic Meeting

Council Chambers, City Hall 6911 No. 3 Road Monday, September 18, 2023 4:00 p.m.

Pg. # ITEM

MINUTES

GP-3 *Motion to adopt the minutes of the meeting of the General Purposes Committee held on September 5, 2023.*

DELEGATION

1. Army, Navy, and Air Force Veterans in Canada, Unit 284 (Steveston) to speak on 2022 property taxes.

ENGINEERING AND PUBLIC WORKS DIVISION

2. 2023 ZERO CARBON STEP CODE AND BC ENERGY STEP CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW BUILDINGS (File Ref. No. 10-6125-07-02) (REDMS No. 7315264)

GP-7

See Page GP-7 for full report

Designated Speaker: Norm Connolly

Pg. # ITEM

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

- (1) That Building Regulation Bylaw 7230, Amendment Bylaw 10467, which amends Sections10.1.1 and 16.1 regarding updates to existing BC Energy Step Code and greenhouse gas intensity requirements for Part 9 residential buildings and Part 3 residential, hotel, commercial retail and office buildings, be introduced and given first reading;
- (2) That an owner would be permitted to submit a Building Permit application in compliance with prior requirements if:
 - (a) A Development Permit was issued by Council prior to adoption of Amendment Bylaw No. 10467; or,
 - (b) An in-stream Development Permit application in accordance with existing Zoning Bylaw provisions is issued by Council within one year of the adoption of Amendment Bylaw No. 10467, and an acceptable Building Permit application has also been submitted to the City within this timeframe.

Added	3.	BC UTILITIES COMMISSION - REGULATORY EFFICIENCY INITIATIVE INTERVENER REQUEST (File Ref. No. 10-6000-00) (REDMS No. 7374506)				
GP-20		See Page GP-20 for full report				
		Designated Speaker: Peter Russell				
		STAFF RECOMMENDATION				
		(1) That authorization and approval be given for the City of Richmond to seek Intervener status and exercise full participatory rights, including making submissions, filing evidence, asking questions, responding, etc., in the British Columbia Utilities Commission (BCUC) Regulatory Efficiency Initiative.				
		(2) That the City of Richmond, as the sole shareholder of Lulu Island Energy Company Ltd. (LIEC), endorse the decision of LIEC's Board of Directors to seek Intervener status and exercise full participatory rights, including making submissions, filing evidence, asking questions, responding, etc., in the BCUC Regulatory Efficiency Initiative.				
		(3) That a Letter be sent to the Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation and to local Members of the Legislative Assembly, expressing the City's concerns that:				

Pg. # ITEM

- (i) the BCUC's Regulatory Efficiency Initiative is inadequate in its scale and scope to address the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation's mandate to "Work with the BC Utilities Commission to identify an appropriate role for the Commission in supporting B.C.'s clean energy transition, in alignment with our province's climate goals to achieve net zero by 2050 and affordability objectives", as detailed in the report; and,
- (ii) the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation appoint an Independent Task Force to review and advise on how BCUC can deliver on the Minister's mandate.

ADJOURNMENT



Minutes

General Purposes Committee

- Date: Tuesday, September 5, 2023
- Place: Council Chambers Richmond City Hall
- Present: Mayor Malcolm D. Brodie, Chair Councillor Chak Au Councillor Carol Day Councillor Laura Gillanders Councillor Kash Heed Councillor Andy Hobbs Councillor Alexa Loo Councillor Bill McNulty Councillor Michael Wolfe
- Call to Order: The Chair called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

MINUTES

It was moved and seconded *That:*

the minutes of the meeting of the Special General Purposes Committee held on June 7, 2023; and

the minutes of the meeting of the General Purposes Committee held on July 17, 2023,

be adopted as circulated.

CARRIED

FINANCE AND CORPORATE SERVICES DIVISION

1. AWARD OF CONTRACT 8228 NOITC – ORACLE ENTERPRISE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT (EPM) SUBSCRIPTION CONTRACT

(File Ref. No. 04-1300-01) (REDMS No. 7315591)

It was moved and seconded

- That Contract 8228 NOITC Oracle Enterprise Performance Management (EPM) Subscription Contract be awarded to Oracle Canada Inc. for an aggregate contract value of \$993,031 excluding taxes, for a contract term of five years from August 31, 2023 to August 30, 2028 as described in the report titled "Award of Contract 8228 NOITC – Oracle Enterprise Performance Management (EPM) Subscription Contract", dated July 25, 2023 from the Director, Information Technology; and
- (2) That the Chief Administrative Officer and General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services be authorized to execute the contract with Oracle Canada Inc.

CARRIED

COMMUNITY SAFETY DIVISION

2. UBCM COMMUNITY RESILIENCY INVESTMENT - GRANT APPLICATION

(File Ref. No.) (REDMS No. 7323590)

It was moved and seconded

- (1) That staff be authorized to submit an application to the Union of British Columbia Municipalities Community Resiliency Investment (CRI) Fund for up to \$100,000 in grant funding as described in the report titled "UBCM Community Resiliency Investment –Grant Application" dated August 9. 2023 from the Fire Chief;
- (2) That should the funding application be successful, the Chief Administrative Officer and the General Manager, Community Safety be authorized to execute the agreements on behalf of the City of Richmond with the UBCM; and
- (3) That Should the funding application be successful, that the Consolidated Five Year Financial Plan (2023-2027) be amended accordingly.

Before the question was called a brief discussion ensued with a respect to grant values. The question on the motion was then called and it was **CARRIED**.

3. APPLICATION TO AMEND FOOD PRIMARY LIQUOR LICENCE # 311069 AND ADD PATRON PARTICIPATION ENTERTAINMENT ENDORSEMENT- MARINA ONE SEAFOOD RESTAURANT LTD., DBA: MARINA ONE SEAFOOD RESTAURANT AT 170 – 3631 NO. 3 ROAD

(File Ref. No. 12-8275-30-001-Vol 01) (REDMS No. 7263719)

It was moved and seconded

- (1) That the application from Marina One Seafood Restaurant Ltd., doing business as Marina One Seafood Restaurant, for an amendment to Food Primary Licence #311069, requesting:
 - (a) An increase to hours of liquor service currently set at Sunday to Saturday, 9:00 AM to Midnight, be supported for a change to Sunday to Saturday, 9:00 AM to 2:00 AM: and
 - (b) Total person capacity currently set at 194 occupants will not change; and
 - (c) To add Patron Participation Entertainment Endorsement which will end at Midnight; and
- (2) That a letter be sent to the Liquor and Cannabis Regulation Branch, which includes the information attached as Appendix A (Attachment 1), advising that Council recommends the approval of the licence amendment for the reasons that these amendments have been determined, following public consultation, to be acceptable to the neighbouring community.

CARRIED

COMMUNITY SERVICES DIVISION

4. RICHMOND CULTURAL CENTRE ANNEX PUBLIC ART MURAL PROJECT PROPOSAL

(File Ref. No. 11-7000-09-20-346) (REDMS No. 7295050)

It was moved and seconded

That the artist project proposal for "Seasons of Colour" by Laura Kwok as detailed in the staff report titled, "Richmond Cultural Centre Annex Public Art Mural Project Proposal" dated August 2, 2023, from the Director, Arts, Culture and Heritage Services, be endorsed. Before the question was called a brief discussion ensued with a respect to the approval process for the finalized artwork.

In response to queries from the Committee, staff advised (i) Council is approving the artist as well as the design process, with the design to be informed through community engagement, as per the Terms of Reference, (ii) the budget for the artwork was considered through best practices, ensuring there is sufficient funding included with respect to the artist's requirement for WCB and general liability insurance coverage, and (iii) in the absence of a further report to Council, staff will provide a memo to Council of the final artwork selection following the consultation process.

The question on the motion was then called and it was **CARRIED**.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved and seconded *That the meeting adjourn (4:08 p.m.).*

CARRIED

Certified a true and correct copy of the Minutes of the meeting of the General Purposes Committee of the Council of the City of Richmond held on Tuesday, September 5, 2023.

Mayor Malcolm D. Brodie Chair Lorraine Anderson Legislative Services Associate



То:	General Purposes Committee	Date:	August 31, 2023
From:	Peter Russell Director, Sustainability and District Energy	File:	10-6125-07-02/2023- Vol 01
Re:	2023 Zero Carbon Step Code and BC Energy Ste New Buildings	p Code	Requirements for

Staff Recommendations

- 1. That Building Regulation Bylaw 7230, Amendment Bylaw 10467, which amends Sections 10.1.1 and 16.1 regarding updates to existing BC Energy Step Code and greenhouse gas intensity requirements for Part 9 residential buildings and Part 3 residential, hotel, commercial retail and office buildings, be introduced and given first reading;
- 2. That an owner would be permitted to submit a Building Permit application in compliance with prior requirements if:
 - (a) A Development Permit was issued by Council prior to adoption of Amendment Bylaw No. 10467; or,
 - (b) An in-stream Development Permit application in accordance with existing Zoning Bylaw provisions is issued by Council within one year of the adoption of Amendment Bylaw No. 10467, and an acceptable Building Permit application has also been submitted to the City within this timeframe.

Peter Russell Director, Sustainability and District Energy (604-276-4130)

Att. 3

REPORT CONCURRENCE				
ROUTED TO:	CONCURRENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER		
Law Building Approvals Development Applications Policy Planning	ম ম ম ম	- gh hing		
SENIOR STAFF REPORT REVIEW	INITIALS:	APPROVED BY CAO		

Staff Report

Origin

Richmond was the first local government to adopt the BC Energy Step Code in 2018, and pioneered the use of Step-level relaxations to encourage installation of, or connection to, low carbon building energy systems as a means of reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in new buildings. With adoption of the new Zero Carbon Step Code into the BC Building Code in May 2023, local authorities now have discretion to set the level of GHG emission performance that would apply to new buildings within their jurisdiction, and under what circumstances.

This report includes a proposed amendment to the City's Building Regulation Bylaw 7230 that would introduce new Zero Carbon Step Code (ZCSC) into local regulation, effective October 31, 2023. The amendment would integrate the ZCSC with current Bylaw requirements with respect to the BC Energy Step Code (Energy Step Code) for new Part 9 residential buildings such as single-detached, duplex and townhouse dwelling units, as well as Part 3 larger residential, hotel, commercial office and retail uses in Richmond.

With Provincial adoption of the ZCSC, staff proceeded to develop a proposed framework for integrating the ZCSC with current and proposed Energy Step Code requirements and engage Richmond's development community at a series of workshops and webinars in June / July 2023. With industry consultation completed, a proposed framework of ZCSC requirements for new buildings is now ready for Council consideration.

This report supports Council's Strategic Plan 2022-2026 Focus Area #5 A Leader in Environmental Sustainability:

Leadership in environmental sustainability through innovative, sustainable and proactive solutions that mitigate climate change and other environmental impacts.

5.1 Continue to demonstrate leadership in proactive climate action and environmental sustainability.

This report supports the implementation of Richmond's Community Energy and Emissions Plan 2050, and Official Community Plan emission reduction policies through:

Strategic Direction 3:	Carbon Neutral New Buildings
Action Categories:	Accelerate Transition to the Top Level of Building Performance
	☑ Support Continuous Improvement to the BC Energy Step Code

Advance Implementation of Low Carbon Energy Systems

Analysis

Zero Carbon Step Code - Provincial GHG Emission Reduction Framework for New Buildings

The Energy Step Code sets energy efficiency requirements in a stepped framework of increasingly more stringent performance targets for new buildings. While the Energy Step Code reduces the overall energy use intensity of a building, thereby incrementally reducing GHG emissions, it does not directly address greenhouse gas emissions by setting specific limits.

However, with adoption of the ZCSC into the BC Building Code, local governments can now voluntarily adopt greenhouse gas emission (GHG) reduction targets into local bylaw regulation by integrating ZCSC steps with Energy Step Code requirements for new buildings. With adoption of the ZCSC, the City can now set GHG emission limits for all Energy Step Code levels, without relying solely on Step-level relaxations to drive installation of low carbon mechanical systems.

The ZCSC defines specific GHG emissions limits, using a tiered approach similar to the Energy Step Code. However, unlike the Energy Step Code, where the number of performance level steps varies between building occupancies, the ZCSC has four performance levels of increasing stringency for all building types¹, as shown in Table 1.

Emission Reduction Outcome	 No GHG target [measure and report only] 	 Electric HVAC <u>or</u> Electric DHW 	 Electric HVAC and DHW Natural gas OK for ancillary use [e.g. gas range] 	 Electric HVAC and DHW <u>No</u> natural gas equipment [for Part 3 only]
Building Occupancies	EL-1	EL-2	EL-3	EL-4
Part 9 Residential*	n.a.	6 kg / m ² / yr	2.5 kg / m ² / yr	1.5 kg / m ² / yr
Part 3 Residential	n.a.	7 kg / m ² / yr	3 kg / m ² / yr	1.8 kg / m² / yr
Part 3 Office	n.a.	5 kg / m ² / yr	3 kg / m ² / yr	1.5 kg / m ² / yr
Part 3 Retail	n.a.	6 kg / m ² / yr	3 kg / m ² / yr	2 kg / m ² / yr
Part 3 Hotel	n.a.	9 kg/m²/yr	4 kg / m ² / yr	2 kg / m ² / yr

Table 1: Zero Carbon Step Code Performance Levels for New Buildings

* Note: Small Part 9 homes under 175 m² of conditioned floor area have a base GHG allowance, and larger homes over 400 m² of conditioned floor space have a maximum GHG cap. All other floor areas must use kg / m² / year limit.

kg Kilograms of CO₂ equivalent emissions HVAC (heating, ventilation & air conditioning) DHW (domestic hot water)

While GHG emission intensity limits may vary slightly between building occupancies, the overall mechanical system implications at each ZCSC level are the same:

- EL-1 only requires measurement and reporting of a building's modelled GHG emissions.
- EL-2 can be achieved through electrification of either the domestic hot water system or the space heating system within the building.
- EL-3 requires electrification of both space heating and domestic hot water systems.
- EL-4 is the most stringent level, reflecting very low GHG emission intensities that can be achieved in an all-electric building connected to BC's electrical grid.

¹ Specific sections of the BC Building Code define minimum standards and performance requirements for the following building occupancies: Part 9 residential buildings (i.e. single-family dwellings, duplexes and multiplexes, townhomes, and small-floorplate apartment buildings up to three stories tall), as well as larger Part 3 residential apartments, commercial office and retail buildings, as well as hotel/motels.

The *CleanBC Roadmap to 2030* commits the Province to implementing increasingly stringent GHG limits for all new buildings over time. As periodic updates to the BC Building Code are made in 2024 and in 2027, Provincial staff have indicated that EL-2 and then EL-3, respectively, will become minimum requirements for all new buildings in BC, with the most stringent EL-4 level of carbon performance required for all new building types by 2030. Current Council direction is for new buildings in Richmond to reach the top level of the Energy Step Code and near-zero operational emissions (EL-4) in 2027, three years ahead of the Provincial target.

Step Code Relaxations and Administrative Provisions

Richmond pioneered the use of Step-level relaxations to encourage installation of, or connection to, a low carbon building energy system (LCES) as a means of reducing operational emissions in new buildings. In practice, this approach provides applicants the option of meeting a required level of performance, or requesting a Step-level relaxation, as long as it can be shown that the new development will include, or be ready to connect to, a LCES, as defined in the City's Building Regulation Bylaw 7230. With the introduction of the ZCSC in the BC Building Code, a municipally-defined LCES requirement is no longer necessary, as the ZCSC serves that purpose.

The proposed Building Regulation Bylaw Amendment for October 31, 2023 continues to utilize Step-level relaxations to provide applicants with multiple options for Energy Step Code and ZCSC compliance. Further details on requirements and timing are provided in Tables 2 and 3. Consistent with previous updates to Bylaw requirements in July 2020 and July 2022, the expected timing of subsequent requirements in 2025 and 2027 are also included and noted as subject to future Council approval. Similarly, the proposed framework for implementing the ZCSC considers phased timing of these requirements, thereby allowing the development community sufficient time to cost-effectively transition to higher levels of performance by improving design and construction practices, and mitigating potential supply chain issues.

Tables 2 and 3 show that Step-level relaxations will be available for both the Energy Step Code and ZCSC in 2023 and in 2025. However, once proposed new Bylaw requirements come into effect in 2027, relaxations would no longer be available, as all new buildings would need to achieve the top performance level for both Energy Step Code and ZCSC at building permit, pending future Council endorsement.

Attachment 1 includes further information on how City of Richmond has utilized Step-level relaxations.

Proposed Building Regulation Bylaw Amendment for Part 9 Residential Buildings

The proposed Building Regulation Bylaw Amendment 10467 would introduce new ZCSC requirements into local regulation, with an in-force date of October 31, 2023. Table 2 shows current and proposed bylaw requirements for new Part 9 residential buildings in Richmond. With approval of the proposed amendment, ZCSC requirements would be integrated with current Energy Step Code requirements, replacing the City's previous LCES definition.

Table 2 also shows proposed future requirements and expected timing for 2025 and 2027. As noted, the City's practice of signaling expected timing of proposed bylaw changes is appreciated

by builders, as it is helpful for project planning and understanding building envelope and mechanical system performance measures that potentially may be needed on their next project.

Given that Energy Step Code requirements for new Part 9 residential buildings in Richmond were increased in 2022, staff are not proposing further changes to building energy requirements in 2023. However, new ZCSC requirements would apply, effective October 31, 2023. Proposed requirements with respect to ZCSC are consistent with what staff communicated in the May 9, 2022 Report to Committee as a future bylaw requirement for 2023.²

Building Types	Current Bylaw	Proposed Bylaw	Future Bylaw Amendments Subject to Council Approval	
	July 2022	October 2023	January 2025	January 2027
Single Family Dwellings,	ESC Step 5	ESC Step 5 and ZCSC EL-2	ESC Step 5 and ZCSC EL-3	ESC Step 5 and ZCSC EL-4
Duplexes and	-or-	-or-	-or-	
Multiplexes, including	ESC Step 4	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-3	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-4	
and Apartments	-or-	-or-		
·	ESC Step 3 and	ESC Step 3		
	LCES <2.5 kg/m ²	and ZCSC EL-4		

Table 2: Current	, Proposed and	ł Future	Performance	Requirements	for New	Part 9	Buildings
------------------	----------------	----------	-------------	--------------	---------	--------	-----------

ESC: BC Energy Step Code

ZCSC: BC Zero Carbon Step Code

LCES: City of Richmond Low Carbon Building Energy System requirement

Consultation

Over 70 homebuilders, designers and energy advisors attended a two-hour Builder Breakfast engagement workshop at the Library & Cultural Centre on June 27, 2023. Staff presented proposed 2023 bylaw requirements and engaged questions from participants. Attendees expressed support for the City's proposed bylaw requirements and relaxation options available, as well as timing of higher energy and carbon performance levels proposed for 2025 and 2027. Builders generally recognize that performance standards are increasing throughout Metro Vancouver and across BC due to the majority of local governments adopting the Energy Step Code, and setting GHG emission reduction targets and objectives in their climate action plans. Additionally, proposed ZCSC requirements for 2023 are identical to what staff had presented in spring 2022, resulting in no surprises.

² In that report, the ZCSC was in development and had yet to be given a name, and was referred to as 'future BC greenhouse gas intensity requirements' or 'BC GHGI', with GHGI performance levels set at 'mid-carbon', 'low-carbon' and 'zero carbon ready', which corresponds to ZCSC levels EL-2, EL-3 and EL-4 respectively.

Proposed Building Regulation Bylaw Amendment for Part 3 Buildings

The proposed Building Regulation Bylaw Amendment 10467 would introduce new ZCSC requirements into local regulation, with an in-force date of October 31, 2023. Table 3 shows proposed bylaw requirements for new Part 3 multi-unit residential apartment buildings, commercial retail and office buildings, and hotels/motels in Richmond. With approval of the proposed bylaw amendment, ZCSC requirements would be integrated with Energy Step Code requirements for these major occupancies, replacing the City's previous LCES requirements. Updated Step-level relaxations would also be available with adoption of the amendment. Table 3 also shows proposed future requirements and expected timing for 2025 and 2027.

Given that Energy Step Code requirements for new Part 3 buildings in Richmond were increased for most archetypes in 2022, staff are not recommending changes to building energy requirements in 2023. However, new ZCSC requirements would apply, effective October 31, 2023. Overall, these new requirements are consistent with what staff communicated in the May 9, 2022 Report to Committee regarding proposed 2023 requirements, aside from the following additions:

- Creating a second Energy Step Code relaxation option for Hotels/Motel occupancies in 2023, allowing new hotels to build to a less stringent Energy Step Code level 2 energy efficiency, while also achieving a more stringent ZCSC emissions performance level of EL-3. This is noted as 'Additional 2023 Option' in Table 3.
- Extending the availability of proposed Step-level relaxations for mid-rise residential woodframe, office and retail uses in 2025. This is noted in Table 3, as 'Additional 2025 Option'.³

Together, these additions to 2023 bylaw requirements provide new compliance options for these occupancies, as building design and construction practices transition to achieve the 2027 target of the top level of Step Code energy efficiency and the most stringent level of the ZCSC.

Consultation

Staff engaged the larger building development community (Urban Development Institute members) on two separate occasions regarding the proposed amendment that would integrate ZCSC requirements with the City's Energy Step Code framework. Staff presented proposed bylaw requirements at an in-person UDI-City of Richmond Liaison Committee meeting on June 28, with 12 members of the development community attending. A second one-hour engagement session (webinar) was held on July 12, with 16 participants.

UDI representatives thanked the City for advance notice on proposed ZCSC requirements, and the Step-level relaxations that have been integrated into the framework of current, proposed and future requirements. Questions posed to staff for further analysis included high natural gas uses (e.g., restaurants) in meeting the most stringent level of the ZCSC in 2027, and capacity of the BC electrical grid to meet province-wide demand for electricity. UDI also requested staff to monitor interaction of higher Energy Step Code requirements on overall building design over

³ For Part 3 buildings, proposed bylaw requirements for October 31, 2023 pair the least stringent ZCSC EL-1 level with the highest or second highest Step Code energy efficiency level, depending upon the building type. ZCSC EL-1 requires modelling and reporting the building's GHG emissions to the City as part of Building Permit submittals.

time, as follow up to the well-received analysis done by the City in 2022 on form and character guidelines for highly energy efficient new buildings. Introduction of the ZCSC is not expected to impact building form and character, as the ZCSC focuses on reducing the greenhouse gas emissions from the building's heating, cooling and domestic hot water systems.

Building Occupancies	ilding Current Proposed cupancies Bylaw Bylaw		Future Bylaw Amendments Subject to Council Approval		
	July 2022	October 2023	January 2025	January 2027	
Hotels and Motels	ESC Step 3 -or- ESC Step 2 and LCES	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-1 -or- ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-2 <u>-or-</u> ESC Step 2 and ZCSC EL-3	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-2 -or- ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-3 Additional 2023 option	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-4	
Residential: Concrete Frame	-or- ESC Step 2 and LCES	ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-1 -or- ESC Step 2 and ZCSC EL-2	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-2 -or- ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-3	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-4	
Residential: Wood Frame (mid-rise)	-or- ESC Step 3 and LCES	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-1 -or- ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-2	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-2 -or- ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-3	ESC Step 4 and ZCSC EL-4 Additional 2025 Option	
Office and Retail	-or- ESC Step 2 and LCES	ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-1 -or- ESC Step 2 and ZCSC EL-2	ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-2 -or- ESC Step 2 and ZCSC EL-3	ESC Step 3 and ZCSC EL-4 Additional 2025 Option	

Table 3: Current, Proposed and Futur	Performance Requirements	for New Part 3 Buildings
--------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

ESC: BC Energy Step Code

ZCSC: BC Zero Carbon Step Code

LCES: City of Richmond Low Carbon Building Energy System requirement

In-Stream Provisions for Development Permit Applications

When Richmond introduced Energy Step Code requirements in September 2018, and with subsequent increments in requirements in December 2020 and July 2022, in-stream provisions were available for buildings requiring a Development Permit. In-stream provisions would be applicable to buildings subject to the proposed bylaw amendment, such that an owner would be permitted to submit a Building Permit application in compliance with prior requirements if:

- a) A Development Permit was issued by Council prior to adoption of Amendment Bylaw No. 10467; or,
- b) An in-stream Development Permit application in accordance with existing Zoning Bylaw provisions is issued by Council within one year of the adoption of Amendment Bylaw No. 10467, and an acceptable Building Permit application has also been submitted to the City within this timeframe.

Next Steps

Staff will monitor compliance with new ZCSC requirements to understand the impact on permitting procedures and address any building performance, market or regulatory issues that may arise during the construction phase. Staff will also update the following bulletins to include the October 31, 2023 bylaw requirements: Building-37 Energy Step Code: Part 9 Buildings Overview; and Building-40 Energy Step Code: Part 3 Buildings.

Financial Impact

None.

Conclusion

Together with other leading municipalities, the City has advocated as far back as 2017 to the Province of BC to add GHG emission limits for new buildings to the BC Building Code, so that local governments could voluntarily adopt these requirements in local bylaw regulation, thereby supporting implementation of the BC Energy Step Code. With adoption of the new Zero Carbon Step Code into the BC Building Code in May 2023, local authorities can now set GHG emission performance requirements together with Energy Step Code requirements for new buildings.

This report includes a proposed amendment to the City's Building Regulation Bylaw 7230 that would formally introduce the Zero Carbon Step Code into local regulation, effective October 31, 2023. The amendment would integrate greenhouse gas intensity limits with current Bylaw requirements with respect to the BC Energy Step Code for new Part 9 residential buildings, as well as Part 3 multi-unit residential, hotel, commercial office and retail uses in Richmond.

Munda.

Norm Connolly Manager, Sustainability (604-247-4676)

Nicholas Heap Project Manager, Sustainability (604-276-4267)

Att. 1: Other Uses of Step Code Relaxations by the City of Richmond

ATTACHMENT 1

Other Use of Step Code Relaxations by the City of Richmond

The Province of BC Building Act states that local governments cannot set additional building requirements for new buildings where the Province already has a standard in place within the BC Building Code. However, it is possible for local governments to create additional compliance options that builders can choose to comply with, as long as the compliance option is not lower than minimum Provincial requirements.

Richmond pioneered the use of Step-level relaxations as a means of incenting low carbon energy systems (i.e., space heating and hot water) in new buildings regulated by the BC Energy Step Code (Energy Step Code). Applicants could qualify for a Step-level relaxation in the City's current bylaw requirement if their permit submittals and energy model showed planned installation of, or readiness to connect to, a low carbon energy system (LCES). This approach helped incentivize LCES when there was no mechanism within the BC Building Code to directly regulate GHG emissions from new buildings.

However, the City's ability to incent carbon reduction outcomes by providing options for applicants is not restricted to emission reductions. Since 2022, the City has leveraged the use of Step-level relaxations to discourage the use of the inferior 'Percent Better than Reference House' metric, which was added to the BC Building Code in December 2019 as an additional thermal envelope metric for the Part 9 residential Energy Step Code. Analysis by City staff showed that this new metric was inferior to existing thermal energy demand metrics already within the Energy Step Code, and would likely be utilized by applicants as an easier path to achieve compliance. This situation still exists, and the Province has not addressed this issue to date, despite advocacy by both City Council and staff regarding this matter.¹

The most recent amendment to the Building Regulation Bylaw in July 2022 included an administrative requirement limiting the use of the Percent Better than Reference House metric only to buildings reaching the highest Energy Step Code level. This approach is consistent with the City's ability to administratively set additional performance conditions as part of Energy Step Code regulation, as long as there is at least one option available with no such condition.

¹ See report titled: City of Richmond Concerns on Recent Changes to the BC Energy Step Code, from the Director, Building Approvals, and the Director, Sustainability and District Energy. Recommendations approved by Council on October 26, 2020. <u>https://citycouncil.richmond.ca/agendafiles/Open_Council_10-26-2020.pdf</u>



Building Regulation Bylaw No. 7230, Amendment Bylaw No. 10467 (2023 Step Code Requirements for New Buildings)

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. *Building Regulation Bylaw No. 7230*, as amended, is further amended by deleting Section 10.1.1 and replacing it with the following:
 - "10.1.1 Part 3 and Part 9 **buildings** and **structures** must be designed and **constructed** in compliance with the applicable step of the **energy step code** and the applicable GHG emission level of the **zero carbon step code** as set out in the schedule below:

Buildings subject to Part 9 of the Building Code					
Building Type	Building permit application filed on or after September 1, 2018	Building permit application filed on or after December 15, 2020	Building permit application filed on or after July 1, 2022	Building permit application filed on or after October 31, 2023	
Townhomes and apartments	Step 3	Step 3 OR Step 2	Step 5 OR Step 4 (using absolute	Step 5 and EL-2 OR	
Single family, duplex and other dwelling units	Step 1	and a low carbon building energy system	metrics for the building envelope performance requirement) OR Step 3 (using absolute metrics for the building envelope performance requirement) and EL-3	Step 4 (using absolute metrics for the building envelope performance requirement) and EL-3 OR Step 3 (using absolute metrics for the building envelope performance requirement) and EL-4	

Buildings subject to Part 3 of the Building Code				
Building Type	Building permit application filed on or after September 1, 2018	Building permit application filed on or after December 15, 2020	Building permit application filed on or after July 1, 2022	Building permit application filed on or after October 31, 2023
Hotels and Motels	n.a.	Step 3 OR Step 2 and a low carbon building energy system	Step 3 OR Step 2 and a low carbon building energy system	Step 4 and EL-1 OR Step 3 and EL-2 OR Step 2 and EL-3
Other Group CStep 3Residential occupancies greater than 6 stories or non-combustible constructionORStep 2 and a low carbon building energy system(not including hotel and motel occupancies)		ep 3 DR a low carbon nergy system	Step 3 OR Step 2 and a low carbon building energy system	Step 3 and EL-1 OR Step 2 and EL-2
Other Group C Residential occupancies 6 stories or less and combustible construction (not including hotel and motel occupancies)	Step 3		Step 4 OR Step 3 and a low carbon building energy system	Step 4 and EL-1 OR Step 3 and EL-2
Group D Business and personal services occupancies or Group E mercantile occupancies	Step 2		Step 3 OR Step 2 and a low carbon building energy system	Step 3 and EL-1 OR Step 2 and EL-2

2. *Building Regulation Bylaw No. 7230*, as amended, is further amended at Section 16.1 by adding the following definitions in alphabetical order:

"EL-1	means the requirements of GHG emission level EL-1, as set out in the Zero Carbon Step Code.
EL-2	means the requirements of GHG emission level EL-2, as set out in the Zero Carbon Step Code .
EL-3	means the requirements of GHG emission level EL-3, as set out in the Zero Carbon Step Code.
EL-4	means the requirements of GHG emission level EL-4, as set out in the Zero Carbon Step Code.
ZERO CARBON STEP CODE	means the requirements set out in Sections 9.37 and 10.3 of the building code and includes GHG emission level EL-1, EL-2, EL-3 and EL-4."

- 3. *Building Regulation Bylaw No. 7230*, as amended, is further amended at Section 16.1 by deleting b) of the definition of "Low Carbon Building Energy System" and replacing it with the following:
 - "b) for **buildings** subject to Part 9 of the **building code**, modelled annual GHG emissions from **building** energy use of:
 - i) no more than 1200 kg CO₂e per dwelling unit per year; or
 - ii) no more than 6 kg CO₂e per spare meter of conditioned floor space per year;"

4. This Bylaw may be cited as "Building Regulation Bylaw No. 7230, Amendment Bylaw No. 10467".



MAYOR

CORPORATE OFFICER



equest
/ol 01
1, 2023
1.

Staff Recommendations

- 1. That authorization and approval be given for the City of Richmond to seek Intervener status and exercise full participatory rights, including making submissions, filing evidence, asking questions, and responding in the British Columbia Utilities Commission (BCUC) Regulatory Efficiency Initiative.
- 2. That the City of Richmond, as the sole shareholder of Lulu Island Energy Company Ltd. (LIEC), endorse the decision of LIEC's Board of Directors to seek Intervener status and exercise full participatory rights, including making submissions, filing evidence, asking questions, and responding in the BCUC Regulatory Efficiency Initiative.
- 3. That a Letter be sent to the Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation and to local Members of the Legislative Assembly, expressing the City's concerns that:
 - i. the BCUC's Regulatory Efficiency Initiative is inadequate in its scale and scope to address the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation's mandate to "Work with the BC Utilities Commission to identify an appropriate role for the Commission in supporting B.C.'s clean energy transition, in alignment with our province's climate goals to achieve net zero by 2050 and affordability objectives", as detailed in the report; and,
 - ii. the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation appoint an Independent Task Force to review and advise on how BCUC can deliver on the Minister's mandate.

Peter Russell, MCIP RPP Director, Sustainability and District Energy (604-276-4130)

Att. 3

REPORT CONCURRENCE					
ROUTED TO:	CONCURRENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER			
Law		Jh hig			
SENIOR STAFF REPORT REVIEW	INITIALS: UT	APPROVED BY CAO			

GP – 20

Origin

On September 1, 2023, the BCUC invited submissions for Intervener status in their Regulatory Efficiency Initiative (Efficiency Initiative), due October 10, 2023 (Attachment 1).

This report supports Council's Strategic Plan 2022-2026 Focus Area #5 A Leader in Environmental Sustainability:

Leadership in environmental sustainability through innovative, sustainable and proactive solutions that mitigate climate change and other environmental impacts.

5.1 Continue to demonstrate leadership in proactive climate action and environmental sustainability.

Status summaries of ongoing BCUC initiatives the City and LIEC are participating in can be found below.

Analysis

The BCUC is an independent regulatory tribunal of the Government of British Columbia. The BCUC is primarily governed by the *Utilities Commission Act*. The BCUC's Efficiency Initiative aims 'to increase regulatory efficiency and improve participation in our processes' and includes 'updating, consolidating and/or developing new BCUC rules, policies and guidelines, and streamlining current regulatory processes'. An August 11, 2023 letter to stakeholders acknowledged the 'complexity and volume of matters adjudicated before the BCUC continues to increase, particularly in the areas of the clean energy transition and other policy objectives' (Attachment 2).

Intervener Registration

Council endorsed the May 8, 2023 report titled 'Changes in Provincial Legislation Needed to Address Gas Utilities in British Columbia', which involved sending letters to the Premier, several ministers and local MLAs (Attachment 3). The following was a key recommendation in the report and letters that is directly related to the scope of the Efficiency Initiative:

5) Reform the BCUC in the context of a changing climate to consider to:

- consider and minimize lock-in and stranded investment risks when evaluating capital plans, rate setting and extension policies for gas utilities including:
- ensuring extension policies of gas utilities take into account reduced consumption and stringent GHG limits for new construction;
- using different depreciation rates and allowable returns on equity for new investments commensurate with the uncertainty over useful life and stranding risk;
- ensuring non-pipe alternatives are adequately considered as alternatives to maintaining and/or upgrading gas infrastructure, including local decommissioning of gas infrastructure in favour of electrification or district energy; and
- considering provincial policy and credible independent studies into the future role of hydrogen when considering hydrogen or hydrogen-ready infrastructure

- 3 -

By registering as Interveners, the City and LIEC would preserve their right to submit information requests, argument or evidence to support their interests. If the recommendations in this report are endorsed by Council, both the City and LIEC in exercising their full participatory rights, including making submissions, filing evidence and asking and responding to questions, etc. will be guided by the above noted concerns. Staff are not able to confirm at the time of writing this this report if other cities are submitting Intervener requests. Staff will collaborate with other intervening local governments to coordinate submissions should the opportunity arise, subject to Council endorsement. As noted in the BCUC invitation, submissions are due October 16, 2023 with two workshops in November 2023 available to Interveners.

Scale and Scope of the Efficiency Initiative

The Honourable Josie Osborne received her mandate letter from the Premier on December 7, 2022. As Minister of Energy, Mines, and Low Carbon Innovation, the letter noted "Work with the BC Utilities Commission to identify an appropriate role for the Commission in supporting B.C.'s clean energy transition, in alignment with our province's climate goals to achieve net zero by 2050 and affordability objectives".

The Minister's mandate is not reflected at all within the scope of questions posed by the BCUC, with the exception of an open-ended question, #7, as shown in Attachment 1. Staff's observation is that BCUC purports to aim to be impartial and technology agnostic, with a sharp focus on costs born by ratepayers, but shows great deference to major gas and electricity utilities while undertaking this work.

The May 8, 2023 Council report went as far to note that the BCUC is a captured regulator. Regulatory capture occurs when a regulatory agency that is created to act in the public interest, instead advances the commercial or political concerns of special interest groups that dominate an industry or sector the agency is charged with regulating.

Advocacy on these matters appears to be making a difference. The following shifts have been noted:

- Council endorsement of the May 8, 2023 open report has led to other cities being aware of the issues related to the energy transition. To date, the City of Victoria, District of Saanich and Metro Vancouver have brought forward reports and/or sent letters to the Province. Finally, per Council direction, staff have initiated dialogue with provincial staff regarding the City's concerns;
- The City's application for disqualification of the Chair of the Inquiry, Commissioner T. Loski, on the grounds of a reasonable apprehension of bias during the Inquiry into the Regulation of Municipal Energy Utilities, and the application that Anna Fung, Deputy Chair, also be disqualified from any involvement in the Inquiry, both former Fortis senior executives, appears to be having a positive impact in that new Commissioners from a broad base of industry sectors were announced July 14, 2023; and,

• On September 14, 2023, the Province announced the appointment of a new Chair and Chief Executive Officer of the BCUC. Mark Jaccard is currently a professor at the School of Resource and Environmental Management at Simon Fraser University and an internationally recognized energy economist. Mr. Jaccard takes over from David Morton, who served as Chair of the BCUC since his appointment in 2015.

- 4 -

Staff do have concerns with the scale and scope of the Efficiency Initiative. While the Minister's mandate might be addressed through a variety of other means, as it relates directly to the Initiative, main concerns include:

- The scope of the Initiative should be asking more targeted questions regarding the energy transition, as listed in the previous sub-section of this report. While there is always room for efficiency reviews, the urgency and need for the BCUC to review and adjust its procedures to be aligned with the Province's climate objectives should be front and centre in the review;
- The scope of the Initiative does not appear to be supported by BCUC's own research of best practises from other state-level energy regulators. The May 8, 2023 Council report included summaries of leading governmental initiatives related to this topic. Regulators need to address how utilities acquire and distribute energy through the development and implementation of comprehensive new policies and procedures; and,
- The announcement of the Efficiency Initiative noted that in 2014, BCUC processes were subject to an effectiveness and efficiency review by Provincial Government, which included the appointment of an Independent Task Force. The time now is not for an Efficiency Initiative, but rather a hard focus on the energy transition, led by a newly formed independent task force. Given the issues noted above regarding regulatory capture, staff do not believe that the BCUC can be expected to define its own review yet alone decide on the changes it will implement that result from the Initiative.

Ongoing BCUC Proceedings and Court of Appeal Proceedings

Otherwise, ongoing BCUC proceedings the City and LIEC are participating in are as follows:

- FortisBC Revised Renewable Gas Program: Staff are currently preparing final arguments working with other Intervener local governments, as describe in the May 8, 2023 open report to Council;
- FortisBC Long-Term Gas Resource Plan: Staff are currently preparing final arguments working with other Intervener local governments, as describe in the May 8, 2023 open report to Council;

The City has also been granted leave by the Court of Appeal in matters pertaining to the City's jurisdiction over district energy and the BCUC's attempt to limit the City's common law right to sue FortisBC. The Court of Appeal matters are anticipated to be heard in the next 6 to 8 months.

Financial Impact

None.

Conclusion

Staff recommend that Council endorse the City and LIEC's participation in the BCUC-initiated Regulatory Efficiency Initiative. Noting staff's concerns regarding BCUC oversight of itself in the proceeding, staff recommend that letters also be sent to the Honourable Josie Osborne, Minister of Energy, Mines, and Low Carbon Innovation, to revise the scope of the BCUC Regulatory Efficiency Initiative and establish an independent task force to oversee the review. Staff will provide updates from time to time via memorandum or reports, as needed.

Peter Russell, MCIP RPP Director, Sustainability and District Energy (604-276-4130)

PR:pr

- Att. 1: September 1, 2023, Invitation for Submissions and Regulatory Timetable from the BCUC 2: August 11, 2023 Letter to Stakeholders from the BCUC
 - 3: May 8, 2023, 'Changes in Provincial Legislation Needed to Address Gas Utilities in British Columbia' Report to Council



Patrick Wruck Commission Secretary

Commission.Secretary@bcuc.com bcuc.com Suite 410, 900 Howe Street Vancouver, BC Canada V6Z 2N3 P: 604.660.4700 TF: 1.800.663.1385 F: 604.660.1102

September 1, 2023

Sent via email/eFile

BCUC REGULATORY EFFICIENCY INITIATIVE Exhibit A-2

To: Regulated entities, recent interveners, gas marketers, common carriers, MRS registrants, First Nations organizations and the BC government

Re: BCUC – Regulatory Efficiency Initiative – Project No. 1599581 – Invitation for Submissions and Regulatory Timetable

The British Columbia Utilities Commission (BCUC) has launched an initiative to increase regulatory efficiency and improve participation in our processes.¹ The BCUC has accordingly prepared a List of Topics for Stakeholder Comment, attached as Attachment A to this letter, and now seeks comments from stakeholders.

In 2014, the BCUC's processes were subject to a thorough review when the Provincial Government appointed an Independent Task Force to review the BCUC with the goal of increasing the BCUC's effectiveness and efficiency. That Task Force consulted with various stakeholders and issued a final report which contained various findings and recommendations regarding the BCUC (2014 Final Report).²

Among other things, the 2014 Final Report recommended that the BCUC should periodically review existing guidelines and policies to ensure they remain useful, effective and up to date.³ The BCUC considers that the time is right for a comprehensive review, as well as a consideration of more general issues regarding the BCUC's role and practices. Our goal of improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the BCUC's processes is in alignment with the priority set out in the Premier's mandate letter to the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation to "identify an appropriate role for the Commission in supporting B.C.'s clean energy transition, in alignment with our province's climate goals to achieve net zero by 2050 and affordability objectives."⁴

As set out in the regulatory timetable of Order G-233-23 (attached as Attachment B to this letter), stakeholders are invited to request intervener status by Tuesday, October 10, 2023. Interveners are then invited to provide submissions regarding the topics listed in Attachment A to this letter by Monday, October 16, 2023.

The BCUC will hold workshops on Monday, November 20 and Thursday, November 30, 2023, following the receipt of intervener submissions, to provide a further opportunity for intervener feedback and discussion. Participants are invited to attend either one of the two workshops.

Regulatory Efficiency Initiative – Invitation for Submissions and Regulatory Timetable

¹ As set out in our August 11, 2023 letter to stakeholders.

² Independent Review of the British Columbia Utilities Commission, Final Report, dated November 14, 2014, available online <u>here</u>.
³ 2014 Final Report, p. 21.

⁴ Letter from Premier David Eby, KC, to the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation, dated December 7, 2022, p. 5, available online <u>here</u>.

Participants Cost Award funding will be available for this process.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Patrick Wruck Commission Secretary

DMB/jp Enclosure

Regulatory Efficiency Initiative - Invitation for Submissions and Regulatory Timetable

ATTACHMENT A

List of Topics for Stakeholder Comment

The BCUC seeks stakeholder feedback on the following topics:

1. <u>Are there opportunities to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of BCUC processes by updating,</u> <u>consolidating, and/or developing new BCUC rules, policies and guidelines?</u>

A list of the BCUC's current rules, policies and guidelines is available online <u>here</u>. The BCUC is particularly interested in comments regarding:

- Potential improvements to the organization of BCUC rules, policies and guidelines to make it easier to locate relevant information; and
- b. Possible substantive changes to BCUC rules, policies and guidelines, including, e.g. whether the BCUC should introduce more prescriptive rules or guidelines regarding the content that is necessary to include in applications.

2. Should changes be made to the BCUC's processes regarding information requests (IRs)?

The BCUC is particularly interested in comments regarding:

- Any circumstances under which the BCUC should require a workshop and/or other form of dialogue between applicants and BCUC Staff, prior to the filing of an application, to improve the information contained in applications and lessen the need for Staff IRs;
- b. Any circumstances under which the BCUC should conduct a post application workshop;
- c. Whether the BCUC should identify and publish a list of issues early in proceedings, with the intent to limit the scope of IRs;
- d. Whether it is appropriate to institute additional rules, polices and/or practices regarding intervener IRs, such as imposing a limit on the number of IRs or the number of pages of IRs, or having BCUC Staff review intervener IRs; and
- e. Any other potential changes to the BCUC's rules, policies and guidelines respecting IRs.
- 3. Is the implementation of fixed timelines appropriate for certain regulatory processes?

The BCUC is particularly interested in comments regarding:

- Whether any fixed timelines should be introduced through changes to the BCUC's Rules of Practice and Procedure,⁵ or another rule or guideline;
- b. If fixed timelines are introduced through changes to BCUC rules and/or guidelines, the appropriate considerations for setting and enforcing those timelines;
- c. Whether legislative changes would be required to implement fixed timelines; and
- d. Whether the BCUC should adopt new metrics and/or public reporting standards related to the efficiency of its processes (e.g., metrics related to the time the BCUC takes to issue a final order or other determination, following certain milestones).

⁵ See BCUC Order G-72-23.

ATTACHMENT A

4. Should the BCUC be more assertive in managing its processes and proceedings?

The BCUC is particularly interested in comments regarding:

- a. Whether the BCUC should adopt a different approach to matters such as late filings or requests for extensions in proceedings, in order to adhere to a regulatory timetable once it has been established;⁶ and
- b. Whether the BCUC should adopt a more stringent approach to parties that are non-compliant with the BCUC's rules, filing deadlines, and other requirements.
- Should the BCUC adopt different standards regarding the requirements for intervener status before the BCUC, and/or change the application of its current standards?

For instance, the Ontario Energy Board requires frequent interveners to file certain information on an annual basis,⁷ including details regarding the mandate and objectives of the intervener, as well as the intervener's membership and the constituency they represent. The BCUC is particularly interested in comments regarding whether a similar reporting requirement should be implemented.

6. <u>Should the BCUC make changes to its Streamlined Review Process (SRP) and/or Negotiated</u> <u>Settlement Process (NSP) so they can add more value to proceeding participants, and to increase the</u> frequency of their use?

The BCUC has published guidance documents with respect to the use of SRPs (here) and NSPs (here). In the 2014 Final Report, utility stakeholders indicated that SRP and NSP processes worked well, while some intervener groups expressed concern that expedited processes favour utilities and that it can be problematic when there is minimal opportunity for interveners to consult with experts.⁸

When used appropriately, SRP and NSP processes have the potential to increase regulatory efficiency. The BCUC is therefore interested in whether changes to these processes are warranted to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of its proceedings.

 Are there any other matters the BCUC should consider in order to increase regulatory efficiency and improve participation in its processes?*

*The BCUC does not intend to revisit the rules applicable to PCA at this time, since these rules were recently updated following a stakeholder comment process in 2022.9

⁶ For instance, in Alberta, the Report of the AUC Procedures and Processes Review Committee, dated August 14, 2020 (available online <u>here</u>) concluded at pp. 1-2 that the Alberta Utilities Commission (AUC) had tended to be "unduly receptive and responsive to the desires, expectations and schedules of parties to its proceedings", and that, accordingly, the AUC "can and should exercise its existing powers to improve its regulatory efficiency and expedition through assertive case management".

⁷ See the Ontario Energy Board's webpage regarding annual filings of frequent intervenors, available online here.

⁸ 2014 Final Report, p. 58.

⁹ BC Utilities Commission Proposed New Rules of Practice and Procedure for Participant Cost Awards - Engagement Process. Proceeding page available online <u>here</u>.



Suite 410, 900 Howe Street Vancouver, BC Canada V6Z 2N3 bcuc.com ATTACHMENT B

P: 604.660.4700 TF: 1.800.663.1385 F: 604.660.1102

ORDER NUMBER G-233-23

IN THE MATTER OF the Utilities Commission Act, RSBC 1996, Chapter 473

and

British Columbia Utilities Commission Regulatory Efficiency Initiative

> BEFORE: D. M. Morton, Commissioner

> > on September 1, 2023

ORDER

WHEREAS:

- A. On August 11, 2023, the British Columbia Utilities Commission (BCUC) sent a letter to regulated entities, recent interveners, gas marketers, common carriers, MRS registrants, First Nations organizations, and the BC government to inform these stakeholders that the BCUC has launched an initiative to increase regulatory efficiency and improve participation in BCUC processes (Regulatory Efficiency Initiative); and
- B. The BCUC now considers that establishing a proceeding to explore ways to enhance the BCUC's regulatory processes is warranted and invites stakeholders to provide submissions in line with the scoping letter to which this order is attached.

NOW THEREFORE the BCUC establishes a proceeding to commence the Regulatory Efficiency Initiative in accordance with the regulatory timetable attached as Appendix A to this order.

DATED at the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, this 1st day of September 2023.

BY ORDER

Original signed by:

D. M. Morton Commissioner

Attachment

APPENDIX A to Order G-233-23

British Columbia Utilities Commission Regulatory Efficiency Initiative

REGULATORY TIMETABLE

Action	Date
Intervener registration deadline	Tuesday, October 10
Intervener submissions regarding regulatory efficiency	Monday, October 16
Workshop 1	Monday, November 20
Workshop 2	Thursday, November 30
Further process	To be determined

Regulatory Efficiency Initiative - Invitation for Submissions and Regulatory Timetable



Patrick Wruck Commission Secretary

Commission.Secretary@bcuc.com bcuc.com Suite 410, 900 Howe Street Vancouver, BC Canada V62 2N3 P: 604.660.4700 TF: 1.800.663.1385 F: 604.660.1102

September 1, 2023

Sent via email / efile

BCUC REGULATORY EFFICIENCY INITIATIVE EXHIBIT A2-1

Re: BCUC – Regulatory Efficiency Initiative – Project No. 1599581 – Improving Regulatory Efficiency in BCUC Process, Rules, and Guidelines

BCUC staff submit the following for the record in this proceeding:

BCUC Improving Regulatory Efficiency in BCUC Process, Rules, and Guidelines August 11, 2023

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Patrick Wruck Commission Secretary

/jm Enclosure

BCUC Staff Submission Improving Regulatory Efficiency in BCUC Process, Rules, and Guidelines

1 of 1



Patrick Wruck Commission Secretary

- 13 -

Commission.Secretary@bcuc.com bcuc.com Suite 410, 900 Howe Street Vancouver, BC Canada V6Z 2N3 P: 604.660.4700 TF: 1.800.663.1385 F: 604.660.1102

August 11, 2023

Sent via email

To: Regulated entities, recent interveners, gas marketers, common carriers, MRS registrants, First Nations organizations, and the BC government

Re: Improving Regulatory Efficiency in BCUC Process, Rules, and Guidelines

This letter is to inform stakeholders that the BCUC has launched an initiative with the intent to increase regulatory efficiency and improve participation in our processes. This includes updating, consolidating and/or developing new BCUC rules, policies and guidelines, and streamlining current regulatory processes. The BCUC's current rules, policies and guidelines can be found <u>here</u>. The BCUC will engage with stakeholders in September, allowing for a period of comment on proposed changes identified by the BCUC.

The BCUC strives to make objective, evidence-based decisions through fair, transparent, and inclusive processes, while also conducting efficient review processes to minimize regulatory burden. As the complexity and volume of matters adjudicated before the BCUC continues to increase, particularly in the areas of the clean energy transition and other policy objectives, the BCUC seeks to continue to enhance our mandate as it relates to the public interest of British Columbians.

As mentioned, this initiative will include a collaborative process with stakeholders to explore ways to enhance our regulatory processes, including potential changes to the BCUC's current Rules of Practice and Procedure and updates to the BCUC's review processes (including the Negotiated Settlement Process and Streamlined Review Process), and may result in changes to and/or the development of new applicant filing guidelines. The BCUC also intends to evaluate potential changes to procedural steps within regulatory proceedings to increase efficiency (e.g., how written interrogatories are conducted), and whether the implementation of fixed timelines for certain regulatory proceedings may be appropriate.

The BCUC remains committed to procedural fairness, public participation in its processes and transparency in its decision making. We also recognize important regulatory principles previously established, including: 'where regulation is required use the least amount of regulation needed to protect the ratepayer' and 'the benefits of regulation should outweigh the costs.'¹ This initiative will be conducted in the spirit of these values. Therefore, the BCUC intends to ensure that any advancements in efficiency do not erode public confidence in the BCUC's fair process.

We look forward to engaging with stakeholders in September.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Patrick Wruck Commission Secretary

DB/jp

¹ BCUC Decision, Inquiry into the Offering of Products and Services in Alternative Energy Solutions and Other New Initiatives.

BCUC Regulatory Efficiency Initiative

1 of 1

Attachment 3



Report to Committee

То:	General Purposes Committee	Date:	March 17, 2023
From:	Peter Russell, MCIP, RPP Director, Sustainability and District Energy	File:	10-6000-00/Vol 01
Re:	Changes in Provincial Legislation Needed to Address Gas Utilities in British Columbia		

Staff Recommendation

- 1. That, as described in the report titled 'Changes in Provincial Legislation Needed to Address Gas Utilities in British Columbia' from the Director, Sustainability & District Energy, dated March 17, 2023:
 - a) Letters be sent to the Premier, the Minister of Municipal Affairs, the Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation and to local Members of the Legislative Assembly, asking the Government of British Columbia to
 - i. reform the British Columbia Utilities Commission in the context of a changing climate as noted in the report;
 - ii. urgently enact legislation that regulates greenhouse gas emissions from gas utilities; and
 - b) Letters be sent to Metro Vancouver, Metro Vancouver member local governments, the City of Victoria and the District of Saanich requesting their support by sending letters to the Office of the Premier, the Minister of Municipal Affairs, the Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy and the Minister of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation accordingly.

Peter Russell Director, Sustainability and District Energy (604-276-4130)

Att. 3

REPORT CONCURRENCE					
ROUTED TO:	CONCURRENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER			
Law	1 2 1	- All hing			
SENIOR STAFF REPORT REVIEW	INITIALS:	APPROVED BY CAO			
	Ĵŀ	- que.			

Staff Report

Origin

Council adopted the Community Energy & Emission Plan 2050 (CEEP) in February 2022, which emphasized that advocacy, alongside regulation, education, partnerships and the provision of infrastructure and incentives, is an essential tool for achieving the City's greenhouse gas (GHG) 2030 and 2050 emission reduction targets. In this report, it is recommended that the City ask the Government of British Columbia (Province) to take swift action to regulate gas utilities, as committed in the Province's CleanBC plan. This report also recommends that the Province take action to reform the British Columbia Utilities Commission (BCUC) to restore public confidence and to revise its mandate in the context of the Province's GHG reduction targets.

Related to the above, Council endorsed the call for a Global Fossil Fuel Non-Proliferation Treaty in May 2022, and endorsed a Union of British Columbian Municipalities (UBCM) resolution asking the Province to do the same. The resolution additionally asked the Province to implement a GHG reduction cap on gas utilities. The resolution was not endorsed by the UBCM membership but staff observed that there was a vigorous debate on the matter at the 2022 annual convention.

Finally, on March 14, 2023, the Province announced the launch of a new energy action framework in the context of approval requirements for LNG export facilities. The announcement noted that the Province will '*put in place a regulatory emissions cap for the oil and gas industry to ensure B.C. meets its 2030 emissions-reduction target for the sector*' and '*create a BC Hydro task force to accelerate the electrification of B.C.*'s economy by powering more homes, businesses and industries with renewable electricity'. The recommendations in this report are consistent with these directions but also further expands on how the BCUC can be reformed to support the clean energy transition.

This report supports Council's Strategic Plan 2022-2026 Focus Area #1 Proactive in Stakeholder and Civic Engagement:

Proactive stakeholder and civic engagement to foster understanding and involvement and advance Richmond's interests.

1.1 Continue fostering effective and strategic relationships with other levels of government and Indigenous communities.

This report supports Council's Strategic Plan 2022-2026 Focus Area #5 A Leader in Environmental Sustainability:

Leadership in environmental sustainability through innovative, sustainable and proactive solutions that mitigate climate change and other environmental impacts.

5.1 Continue to demonstrate leadership in proactive climate action and environmental sustainability.

Findings of Fact

Methane is a greenhouse gas with a global warming potential 28 times that of carbon dioxide, when impacts are compared over a 100-year period.¹ Conventional natural gas is 95% methane, sourced from plant material that was buried over the past 540 million years and chemically transformed into this fossil fuel through heat, pressure and time. Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) is also methane but it is generated through the anaerobic digestion of organic wastes, such as sewage sludge, food waste, and yard waste, that would have otherwise released methane and carbon dioxide to the atmosphere through decomposition within a conventional landfill. RNG can displace fossil methane without further increases in atmospheric concentrations of methane or carbon dioxide. Renewable Gas (RG) includes RNG as well as other potentially low-carbon gases such as hydrogen, which may be derived from fossil fuels with carbon capture, biomass, or green electricity. This report highlights concerns related natural gas, RNG and hydrogen.

Analysis

This report brings together a number of policy and regulatory concerns to light and makes connections as the report progresses. To support readability, the content is organized under the follow section headings:

- Ongoing BCUC and Court of Appeal Proceedings
- The Case for Expedited Regulation of Gas Utilities in BC
- Best Regulatory Practices and Utility Responses
- Urgent Need for Provincial Policy and Review of BCUC's Related Mandate

Ongoing BCUC and Court of Appeal Proceedings

The BCUC is an independent regulatory tribunal of the Government of British Columbia. The BCUC is primarily governed by the Utilities Commission Act. The City is participating or monitoring the following BC Utilities Commission proceedings, based on the rationale below:

• FortisBC Revised Renewable Gas Program: FortisBC recognizes that RNG is not a cost-competitive low-carbon solution, so they are proposing to provide new construction with 100% RNG, with additional costs paid for by existing ratepayers who would receive a lower percentage of RNG in their own natural gas supply. The subsidy aggregates to over \$750 million over an eight year period from 2024 through 2032, expressed in real dollar terms in 2022 dollars.² The use of RNG can be positive and supports circular economy outcomes; RNG is currently being harvested at the Lulu Island Waste Water Treatment plant in which the City purchases RNG credits in order to offset natural gas use at select City facilities for a portion of their annual energy consumption. As active Interveners in this proceeding, staff intend to argue against FortisBC's proposed allocation of 100% RNG in new residential construction on the grounds that existing ratepayers should not be subsidizing new ratepayers at such high levels. Staff will further argue that the highest and best use of this scarce resource is in existing buildings where full electrification is not economically feasible. Ultimately, RNG should be used to reduce natural gas use for existing ratepayers and not for the expansion of gas infrastructure. The

¹ Methane has a much higher GWP of 84-87 when measured over a 20-year period, but breaks down relatively quickly in the atmosphere, resulting in the lower 100-year value.

² https://docs.bcuc.com/Documents/Proceedings/2022/DOC_69044_C7-5-CoV-Intervener-Evidence.pdf, page 26.

City is working with other local government Interveners in this proceeding including Metro Vancouver, the cities of Vancouver, Surrey and Victoria and the districts of North Vancouver and Saanich.

- FortisBC Long-Term Gas Resource Plan: FortisBC is seeking approval for its vision of continued system growth with an increased overall use of gaseous fuels including natural gas, augmented by RNG, hydrogen and other fuels. Much of the fuel used would be conventional natural gas to which the "attributes" of low-carbon fuel are transferred. Most of the actual RNG and other low-carbon fuels would be generated in other provinces or the United States, and most of this supply would not be physically transferred to BC for use. Rather, offsets, similar to carbon credits, are transferred from out-of-province and international RNG suppliers. As active Interveners, staff are currently requesting more information from FortisBC regarding current and anticipated RNG agreements and the viability of using of other gases, such as hydrogen in their distribution network. Staff are also concerned that FortisBC's long term gas demand projections do not take into consideration the impact of energy efficiency and carbon reduction standards for cities participating in the BC Energy Step Code and those anticipating to adopt the newly released Zero Carbon Step Code;
- BCUC Inquiry into Regulation of Municipal Energy Utilities: The BCUC continues to inquire into issues related to ownership structures and operational arrangements of utilities affiliated with municipalities and regional districts in order to determine whether the BCUC has a mandate to regulate these entities. The City is seeking leave from the Court of Appeal to appeal and quash the BCUC's Stage 1 Inquiry report which concluded that wholly-owned municipal corporations fall under BCUC regulation;
- **BCUC Inquiry into Hydrogen Energy Services:** BCUC is inquiring into the appropriate regulation of hydrogen in different sectors. Staff note that the applicability of hydrogen is not defined in provincial policy and the findings in this inquiry could be used as *defacto* policy in the absence of policy direction from the Province. This inquiry is a good example of the BCUC effectively setting policy within a policy vacuum created by provincial government inaction; and,
- City of Richmond v. the BCUC and FortisBC Energy Inc. (Court of Appeal): The City has been granted leave to appeal the decision of the BCUC in relation to FortisBC natural gas pipeline relocations in City highways in Burkeville that were necessary to accommodate City infrastructure projects. The BCUC imposed a term which the City maintains it had no jurisdiction to impose that limits the City's ability to sue and recover damages from Fortis. Recognizing the importance of this issue, the Court of Appeal granted the City leave. The decision of the Court of Appeal is attached as Attachment 1 to this report.

The Case for Expedited Regulation of Gas Utilities in BC

The Province's CleanBC Roadmap to 2030 highlights that 'local governments play a vital role in meeting provincial climate targets. Along with directly controlling emissions from their own facilities, operations and vehicle fleets, municipalities and regional districts have the capacity to influence about 50% of our GHG emissions through decisions on land use, transportation and infrastructure that affect where people live and work, how they get around, and how their communities grow and change with time. This puts local governments on the front lines of climate action, where all these policies converge.'³

In support of the above, the Province has provided local governments with a number of important tools for achieving GHG emission reductions at the local level, including: the opt-in Energy Step Code for energy efficiency in 2017; increased funding through the Local Government Climate Action Plan in 2022; and most recently, the opt-in Zero Carbon Step Code, adopted into the BC Building Code in February 2023.⁴

Despite these advances, the Province has yet to implement key measures that will determine whether or not Richmond and the Province can fully achieve their respective 2030 and 2050 GHG emission reduction targets. Of particular concern is Province's delayed and piecemeal implementation of specific climate action measures related to the gas sector. The result is a policy vacuum that has enabled provincial agencies and industry to initiate projects that threaten, impede or prevent effective climate action by local governments.

The BCUC has become an agency of particular concern in this context. Staff have the following concerns regarding the wide scope and potential for *de facto* policy-making within current BCUC proceedings, specifically because of their potential to restrict the ability of provincial and local governments to achieve GHG reductions:

- Feasible North American RNG Supplies: Because of the finite sources of RNG, research indicates that feasible North American RNG supplies are limited to 5- 20% of existing North American natural gas consumption. The allocation of highly-subsidized 100% RNG in new residential construction as proposed by FortisBC, where electrification is most cost-effective, is not the highest and best use of this scarce resource. While FortisBC has been quick to recognize the value of RNG, and has secured significant supplies from around North America, it is anticipated that once these initial supply contracts expire, the amount of affordable RNG available to FortisBC will decline dramatically as other jurisdictions compete for this limited resource, ultimately leaving ratepayers at risk;
- **Cost Competitiveness of RNG**: At present, using unsubsidized RNG in boilers in new construction is not cost-competitive with electric heat pumps and/or with district energy services. Further, heat pumps and Richmond's district energy utilities also provide cooling services, providing resilience for new buildings in the face of climate change. FortisBC's proposed Revised Renewable Gas Program relies on existing natural gas ratepayers to subsidize RNG consumption in new construction to the tune of \$750M from 2024 through 2032, enabling the utility to keep increasing overall demand for the fuels it

³ https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/climate-change/action/cleanbc/cleanbc_roadmap_2030.pdf p.44

⁴ Richmond Council and staff were vocal advocates for all of these advancements.

provides. Under the new Zero Carbon Step Code, new construction is already required to reduce GHG emissions so this subsidy has the potential to divert new buildings away from heat pumps, leading to an inefficient use of scarce RNG resources;

- Overreliance on Hydrogen Gases to Reduce GHGs: Low-carbon hydrogen is currently not a viable or cost-effective approach for heating buildings. This is validated in over three dozen independent international studies of hydrogen for heating. Producing zero-carbon hydrogen from green electricity for heating could require up to six times as much electricity as using that same electricity directly in a heat pump. In addition, research indicates that existing natural gas infrastructure cannot safely convey a gaseous fuel blend containing more than a 20% hydrogen. At a 20% hydrogen mix, GHG emissions reductions would be less than 7% relative to natural gas. At higher concentrations of hydrogen, major upgrades would be required both to the existing gas distribution network and to end-use devices, including household equipment, to convey the fuel.
- **Health Considerations**: Leakage of methane and hydrogen from gas grids, and end use devices within homes and building is also a growing health and environmental concern, whether these are produced from green energy sources or not.

Best Regulatory Practices and Utility Responses

Research indicates that other jurisdictions have recognized that building heating must largely transition away from gas. There is an emerging consensus that while gas utilities will not disappear, these networks will likely be trimmed and reshaped over time to provide heat and process energy to those existing users that are the most challenging to electrify due to cost and/or location considerations. Given the above concerns, policymakers in the US and in Europe are taking steps to manage this transition to avoid further stranded investments and reduce the impacts on consumers, with policies such as (see Attachment 2 for examples):

- a) Prioritizing "non-pipe alternatives" over sustaining, upgrading or expanding gas grids. This approach seeks to implement deep retrofit and fuel-switching programs within defined areas so as to enable the decommissioning of less cost-effective portions of the gas grid, reducing overall systems operations costs.
- b) Limiting or banning gas connections for new construction, as has already been done in a number of US cities and parts of Europe;
- c) Requiring accelerated depreciation rates for new methane-based fuel infrastructure, reflecting the risk that these assets will need to be retired early and signaling clearly to gas utilities that they will bear risk for their investments, as is already being done in the UK and Australia;
- d) Establishing local "heat planning" processes to coordinate and manage the optimal transition away from gas and towards alternative heating solutions including electrification and low carbon district energy. This could also include consideration of strategic investments to upgrade portions of the gas grid to hydrogen (i.e. to individual users or to supply peaking energy intense users). Staff completed such heat mapping to as part of the City Centre District Energy Utility due diligence work.

Policymakers are aware of the potential for RNG and hydrogen and have determined that these low-carbon gases can play a crucial, but necessarily limited role in decarbonizing BC's economy. When supply limitations, higher costs inherent with RNG and hydrogen fuels are considered, together with the risks of reverting to the use of natural gas in the wake of supply shortfalls, makes it imperative that demand for building heating be transitioned from methanebased fuels to near-zero GHG electricity wherever it is practical to do so. The City is a leader in this regard: building electrification policies in the BC Energy Step Code, district energy services and forthcoming building retrofit initiatives together will support a gas grid transition that will minimize costs and stranded investments compared to an uncoordinated and ad hoc approach.

Urgent Need for Provincial Policy and Review of BCUC's Related Mandate

BC is lagging in addressing the above noted issues. An ongoing policy vacuum at the provincial government level is resulting in continued demand for gas and expansion of gas grids, without any clear and cost-effective pathway to decarbonize existing demand and infrastructure. Natural gas utilities in BC continue to operate within BCUC's utility regulation regime that guarantees profits as a function of investments in infrastructure expansion. Natural gas utilities in BC have continued with a business-as-usual approach without any credible path to full decarbonization that is cost-competitive with significant electrification. For context, FortisBC will invest \$666 million in new expansion infrastructure into service in 2023, equivalent to 9% of their total existing infrastructure.

Regarding the utility regulator, the BCUC allows gas utilities to subsidize service extensions, and approves infrastructure expansion plans on past rates of demand growth rather than the projected reductions in energy demand produced by high-performance buildings now being built to BC Energy Step Code requirements. Continuing expansion of gas infrastructure heightens the risk of stranded assets and imposes greater costs and risks for ratepayers, particularly low-income households with fewer options to avoid these costs in future.

As noted above, the long-term potential supply of RNG and alternative gases available for BC residents is limited to a fraction of current demand for natural gas. FortisBC has secured a number supply contracts before many other utilities had entered the market. These contracts are, however, limited in volume and will expire before 2050, placing homeowners and businesses at risk. Further, many of the supply contracts that FortisBC has secured were from sources outside of BC. Most of these fuels will not actually be consumed within BC, foregoing provincial economic and employment opportunities. Instead, FortisBC will transfer the "RNG" attributes from the producer, similar to carbon credits. By contrast, current provincial energy policy stipulates that all additional electricity supply, virtually all of which is to come from low-carbon technologies, will have to be generated within BC. According to BC Hydro's 2021 assessment of new generation resources, most of this new supply will come from wind farms, solar arrays, small hydro facilities, and biomass plants, at locations throughout the province, powering local jobs and economic activity.

There are also risks for the Province. BC does not have a viable pathway to decarbonize existing demand using natural gas, let alone any increased demand resulting from new development, as would occur if the BCUC approves FortisBC's current application to provide new customers with RNG.

The BCUC is not well-suited to lead the transition of the heating sector, let alone reconfigure energy regulation in the context of the climate crisis. The BCUC was not designed to do so but in the context of a provincial policy vacuum in the regulation of GHGs from gas utilities, this is what is occurring. Regulatory commissions, such as the BCUC, are meant to take a passive approach by assessing proposals by utilities within a relatively narrow set of issues. The scale, complexity and rapidity of the energy transition requires proactive provincial regulation to address emerging issues and cultivate new solutions rather than manage incremental changes. The ongoing provincial policy vacuum on these matters has left the BCUC as the *defacto* lead entity, establishing the Province's energy policies despite its lack of a elected mandate to make these strategic policy determinations.

The BCUC as a *defacto* lead entity is even more concerning given that the BCUC is a captured regulator whose primary objective is advancing the commercial interests of FortisBC. Both the Deputy Chair of the BCUC and a sitting Commissioner are former senior executives of FortisBC. The legitimacy of the BCUC as a regulator depends upon its independence and a clear separation of the BCUC from those it regulates. Public confidence, therefore, demands that the appointments to the BCUC do not include former FortisBC executives. Filling the ranks of the BCUC at its highest levels with former long serving executives and senior employees of FortisBC, who are then tasked to regulate and investigate FortisBC's past and present activities that have resulted from the implementation of corporate policies and procedures which they played a role in establishing, is the opposite of regulatory independence and separation. Moreover, these corporate policies and procedures, combined with BCUC advancing the commercial interests of FortisBC under the guise of ratepayer protection, not only frustrate the GHG reduction goals, but have also resulted in a history of the BCUC saddling municipalities with onerous terms including bearing 100% of the costs of natural gas infrastructure relocations that are necessary to accommodate municipal infrastructure within municipal highways that FortisBC occupies without paying any compensation to municipalities. In effect, the BCUC has forced municipalities to subsidize the shareholders of FortisBC at the expense of the public purse and to the detriment of GHG reduction goals of municipalities and the Province.

A final point and concern is the consideration of local governments in BCUC proceedings. The City should be concerned when an agency of the province, as is the case for the BCUC, independently acts to limit the Provincially-granted jurisdiction of local governments as was the case for BCUC's Inquiry into Regulation of Municipal Energy Utilities. The BCUC does not have a mandate to establish policy and its regulatory mandate is limited to certain considerations. Ultimately, many aspects of the energy transition will be carried out by local governments and the BCUC does not have the purview of a provincial regulator.

For the above reasons, Attachment 3 includes a set of requests to be sent to the Premier's Office and other Ministers, asking that the Province take urgent action consistent with the Province's commitment to achieve deep GHG emission reductions. More specifically, these requests call upon the Province to:

- 1) Bring forward legislation implementing the 2030 GHG cap on the gas sector without further delay as committed to in the Province's CleanBC plan and recently reaffirmed by the Premier on March 14, 2023 with the launch of a new energy action framework;
- 2) Launch an independent gas utility planning exercise that plots a course for addressing an expected decline in throughput of gas grids and the transition of gas grids towards

transporting RNG and hydrogen to sectors and/or locations that are hard to decarbonize, leading to the increased role of electrification in building heating and transport;

- 3) **Reject the use of RNG and hydrogen in new construction** to meet GHG limits in the Step Code, so that the limited and costly supply of these alternative fuels can be put to highest and best uses;
- 4) **Develop policies to assess, certify and track the GHG intensity** of RNG, hydrogen and other alternative gases;
- 5) **Reform the BCUC in the context of a changing climate to** consider, quantify and minimize the potential costs of lock-in and stranded investments when evaluating capital plans, rate setting and extension policies for gas utilities. This direction should also include greater consideration of non-pipe alternatives to marginal investments in gas grids as well as consideration of strategic opportunities to prune gas grids in conjunction with targeted electrification strategies. Finally, proceedings should be guided by a framework or set of guidelines that do not impact or limit the jurisdiction and authority of local governments without provincial direction;
- 6) Bring forward legislation and other regulatory changes specific to the heat transition that, among other issues, establishes a distinct BCUC regulatory framework for public district energy systems more aligned with their small scale and localized nature; and,
- 7) Require that a minimum percentage of low-carbon methane-based fuels (i.e. up to 100%) be produced within BC.

Attachment 3 includes further information related to the above concerns based on information and recommendation in the Climate Solutions Council's (CSC) 2022 Report. The CSC is an advisory group with a legislated mandate under the Climate Change Accountability Act to advise the Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy regarding plans and actions to achieve climate targets and reduce emissions and related matters.

Financial Impact

None.

Conclusion

The report highlights and makes a case for the urgent need to implement Provincial legislation that regulates GHG emissions from gas utilities, as committed in the Province's CleanBC Plan and recently reaffirmed by the Premier on March 14, 2023. The report also details ways in which the BCUC can be reformed to better consider GHG reductions from gas utilities. In support of the recommendations, the report highlights a number of international best practices for how gas utilities are being regulated in the context of climate change. Given the importance of the issues highlighted in the report, a recommendation is also included asking Metro Vancouver, other Metro Vancouver local governments, the District of Saanich and the City of Victoria to support the recommendations in the report and send their own support to the Premier, Ministers and their local MLAs.

Peter Russell, MCIP, RPP Director, Sustainability and District Energy (604-276-4130)

- Att. 1: Decision of the Court of Appeal Richmond (City) v. British Columbia (Utilities Commission)
 - 2: Best Utility Regulatory Practices
 - 3: City of Richmond Requests for the Government of British Columbia

Attachment 1

COURT OF APPEAL FOR BRITISH COLUMBIA

Citation: Richmond (City) v. British Columbia (Utilities Commission), 2022 BCCA 348

Date: 20221013 Docket: CA48336

Between:

City of Richmond

And

Appellant (Applicant)

British Columbia Utilities Commission

Respondent (Administrative Tribunal)

And

FortisBC Energy Inc.

Respondent (Respondent)

Before: The Honourable Madam Justice Saunders (In Chambers)

On appeal from: A decision of the British Columbia Utilities Commission, dated May 9, 2022 (Order Number G-123-22).

Oral Reasons for Judgment

Counsel for the Appellant: T. Kruger J.M. Coady, K.C. Counsel for the Respondent, British Columbia Utilities Commission: T. Shoranick D.G. Cowper, K.C. Counsel for the Respondent, FortisBC M.T. Ghikas Energy Inc.: T. Ahmed Place and Date of Hearing: Vancouver, British Columbia October 6, 2022 Place and Date of Judgment: Vancouver, British Columbia October 13, 2022

Summary:

. . .

The application is for leave to appeal a decision of the British Columbia Utilities Commission taking jurisdiction to limit liability as between the parties. Held: The jurisdictional issue is sufficiently arguable as to meet the criteria of Queens Plate Dev. Ltd. v. Vancouver Assessor, Area 09 (1987), 16 B.C.L.R. (2d) 104. Leave to appeal is granted.

[1] **SAUNDERS J.A.**: The City of Richmond seeks leave to appeal a decision of the British Columbia Utilities Commission acting under the *Utilities Commission Act*, R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 473, on issues between the City and FortisBC Energy Inc.

[2] The issues of appeals to this court are governed by s. 101(1)(b) of the *Act*, which requires leave to appeal:

101 (1) An appeal lies from

(b) any other decision or order of the commission to the Court of Appeal, with leave of a justice of that court.

[3] The application for leave to appeal, in turn, is guided by the factors listed in *Queens Plate Dev. Ltd. v. Vancouver Assessor, Area 09* (1987), 16 B.C.L.R.
(2d) 104. For purposes of this application, the key factors are Mr. Justice Taggart's points: (a), (b)(i), and (d):

(a) whether the proposed appeal raises a question of general importance as to the extent of jurisdiction of the tribunal appealed from (*Chevron Can. Ltd. v. Vancouver Assessor, Area 09*, [1986] B.C.W.L.D. 2210, No. CA005532, 17th April 1986 (not yet reported));

(b) whether the appeal is limited to questions of law involving:

(i) the application of statutory provisions (*Allard Contr. Ltd. v. Coquitlam Assessor, Area 12,* [1986] B.C.W.L.D. 2601, No. CA003122, 29th March 1985 (not yet reported));

(d) whether there is some prospect of the appeal succeeding on its merits (*Clarke v. Supt. of Brokers* (1985), 67 B.C.L.R. 294, 23 D.L.R. (4th) 315 (C.A.), and *Re Wasmuth* (1984), 58 B.C.L.R. 17 (C.A.)); although there is no need for a justice before whom leave is argued to be convinced of the merits of the appeal, as long as there are substantial questions to be argued;

[4] In the impugned decision, the Commission declined to reconsider its earlier affirmation of jurisdiction under s. 32 of the *Act* to impose an order limiting the

liability of Fortis to the City, in tort, for loss resulting from Fortis' work directed by the Commission to be performed.

[5] The work concerned offsetting gas mains to enable completion by the City of drainage, sewer, water main and sanitary sewer upgrades in the Burkeville area. The question sought to be raised on appeal is whether ss. 32 and 36 of the *Act* give the jurisdiction propounded by the Commission. Relevant is also s. 92.

[6] Fortis resists the application. The question of liability, it says, is intimately tied to establishment of rates and the recent decision of this court in *Coquitlam (City) v. British Columbia (Utilities Commission)*, 2021 BCCA 336, applies, with the result that the Commission has jurisdiction to make the impugned order.

[7] While the proposed appeal raises a question of jurisdiction, and is limited to a question of law involving the application of the *Act*, Fortis says it cannot meet the merits threshold as the City cannot succeed on the authority of *Coquitlam*. In the vernacular, Fortis says the appeal is a dead duck. In support of that submission, Fortis also refers to *ATCO Gas & Pipelines Ltd. v. Alberta (Energy & Utilities Board)*, 2006 SCC 4.

[8] The City contests Fortis' view of *Coquitlam*. It says *Coquitlam* addressed the jurisdiction of the Commission to order decommissioning and abandonment of a line. That circumstance, says the City, is materially different from orders shielding Fortis from liability.

[9] It seems to me that the application of *Coquitlam* to the circumstances here is sufficiently questionable that the City should have the opportunity to advance its position on that question fully before a division of this court.

[10] Going further into matters that may engage this court, should a division conclude that *Coquitlam* does not answer the jurisdictional question, the questions of statutory interpretation will follow. Those questions, absent *Coquitlam*, have substance, are important, and have the degree of merit required for the granting of leave to appeal.

[11] The application of the City is allowed.

"The Honourable Madam Justice Saunders"

Best Utility Regulatory Practices

a) Prioritizing "non-pipe alternatives" over sustaining, upgrading or expanding gas grids. This approach seeks to implement deep retrofit and fuel-switching programs within defined areas so as to enable the decommissioning of less cost-effective portions of the gas grid, reducing overall systems operations costs.

California: On December 1, 2022, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) adopted a new framework to comprehensively review utility natural gas infrastructure investments in order to help the state transition away from natural gas-fueled technologies and avoid stranded assets in the gas system.⁵ Key elements of the decision:

- Utilities must seek CPUC approval of natural gas infrastructure projects of \$75 million or more or those with significant air quality impacts.
- Utility applications must demonstrate the need for the project and provide information on projected financial impacts on customers and a summary of engagement with local communities likely to be impacted. Applications would also trigger a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review by the CPUC.
- Emergency projects, routine repair and maintenance projects, and projects expected to be in service by January 1, 2024 are exempt from the new review process.
- To advance transparency in long-term gas system planning, the decision directs utilities to file annual reports detailing planned long-term infrastructure projects exceeding \$50 million over the next 10 years. The reports must include a detailed description of the project, projected capital expenditures, cost drivers, and environmental implications.
- For projects planned to start within five years, utilities must provide information on non-pipeline alternatives, projected operational costs, and reliability benefits from the project.

This new framework is modeled on the CPUC's existing framework for review of significant electric infrastructure projects. Previously, all natural gas infrastructure projects were considered in utility General Rate Cases, where individual natural gas projects can get buried in the extensive applications without meaningful environmental or strategic reviews. The framework focusses on avoiding potentially stranded large incremental investments in gas grid infrastructure. It is not yet clear if this framework will be sufficient on its own to minimize stranded investments as there are also questions about the obligation to serve and minimizing safety issues during any transition.

Separately, the state is also beginning to confront the concept of tactical decommissioning of portions of the state's gas infrastructure, as a means of reducing the cost of operating and maintaining the gas grid and managing the transition. This has not yet been tested at scale. Instead, the state is undertaking pilot projects to fill knowledge gaps. In 2021 the CEC awarded two EPIC grants for consortia to conduct pilot projects of strategic pathways and analytics for tactical decommissioning of portions of the natural gas infrastructure within the service areas of Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E).

⁵ The proposal voted on is available

at <u>docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M499/K396/499396103.PDF</u>. Documents related to the proceeding are available at <u>apps.cpuc.ca.gov/p/R2001007</u>

These pilots are still in progress. The team for the pilot project in PG&E's service area includes East Bay Community Energy (EBCE), Energy and Environmental Economics (E3), and Gridworks. PG&E is assisting the team with technical insights into their gas and electric systems.⁶ Elements of the pilot include:

- Develop a replicable framework to identify electrification opportunities that support the objective of gas system cost savings through tactical decommissioning.
- Engage local communities to share their perspectives and priorities related to building electrification and gas decommissioning in order to produce a community needs assessment.
- Identify up to three candidate pilot sites, including at least one within a disadvantaged community. Produce deployment plans for the recommended pilots, including a proposal for community stakeholder engagement.
- Conduct targeted education and outreach to stakeholders and policymakers within and beyond California to motivate action, including lessons learned at key milestones and final work products.

Northeastern US : National Grid, a natural gas distributor operating in New York, Massachusetts and Rhode Island, actively seeks non-pipeline alternatives (NPA) which would allow it to avoid or defer upgrades to the natural gas system. It has already completed several NPA projects and is seeking several new opportunities based on system needs⁷. Other gas utilities in New York state, including Con Edison and NYSEG, have established similar programs to defer major investments⁸. These initiatives seem to be largely driven by the companies themselves rather than by regulation. In general, the northeast US has an older natural gas network than B.C. with more need for major upgrades and replacements.

a. Limiting or banning new gas connections, as has already been done in a number of US cities and parts of Europe;

California: The updated state building code requires, as a baseline, the use of electric heat pumps for either space heating or DHW. Builders can forego installing a heat pump but face greater energy efficiency requirements as a result. This is expected to result in most homes constructed from 2023 onwards to have no gas grid connection⁹. Separately, several California communities have enacted bans on new gas grid connections for new construction within their boundaries.

Washington State: Updates to the state's building code mean that new multi-family residential and commercial construction will be required to have all-electric heating and DHW systems as of 2023¹⁰. Previously, individual municipalities in Washington had enacted similar policies.

⁶ https://gridworks.org/2022/06/tactical-gas-decommissioning-project-overview/

⁷ https://www.nationalgridus.com/Business-Partners/Non-Pipeline-Alternatives/Third-Party-Opportunities

⁸ https://info.aee.net/hubfs/Sarah%20S%20uploads/NPAs.pdf

⁹ https://www.nrdc.org/media/2021/210811-0

¹⁰ https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/environment/wa-building-council-votes-to-require-heat-pumps-innew-homes-and-apartments/

Quebec: As of 2023, oil-fired furnaces cannot be replaced with new fossil fuel-based heating systems in Quebec. This is expected to help shift existing oil-heated buildings to electrification¹¹.

b. Requiring accelerated depreciation rates for new methane-based fuel infrastructure, reflecting the risk that these assets will need to be retired early and signaling clearly to gas utilities that they will bear risk for their investments, for example in the UK and Australia;

California: As of early 2023, Pacific Gas & Electric has a rate application before the California Public Utilities Commission which includes accelerated depreciation for its gas distribution grid, driven in part by the possibility of the grid being rendered obsolete by California's Net Zero by 2045 commitment. The CPUC has yet to rule on this request¹².

UK: In 2011 the UK national regulator, Ofgem, established a new performance-based model to regulate network costs for gas and electricity, referred to as the RIIO model or Revenues = Incentives + Innovation + Output. One of the inputs to the model is an asset life and depreciation profile for gas and electricity utilities (both transmission and distribution segments). At the time, Ofgem established an asset life of 45 years for gas distribution but also uses a front-end loaded depreciation profile for these assets which is different from gas transmission and also electricity. This allocates a larger share of depreciation charges to the initial period of depreciation. The effect of this decision is that ~75% of new gas distribution assets are recovered in the first 22 years of use. For comparison, under straightline depreciation rates of 50 - 60 years typically seen for B.C., only 35 - 45% of the asset is recovered by Year 22. The increased depreciation means current ratepayers pay more of these assets affecting economic comparisons with alternatives and there is less chance of stranded assets being borne by a smaller and captive group of customers in future.

Australia: In 2021, the Australian Energy Regulator (AER) issued a decision allowing a gas distribution utility to include accelerated depreciation for rate setting purposes so as to reduce bill impacts on future customers due to future declines in gas demand¹³. Other Australian gas utilities have since proposed similar rate treatment.

d. Establishing local "heat planning" processes to coordinate and manage the optimal transition away from gas and towards alternative heating solutions including electrification and low carbon district energy. This could also include consideration of strategic investments to upgrade portions of the gas grid to hydrogen (i.e. to individual users or to supply peaking energy intense users). Staff completed such heat mapping to as part of the City Centre District Energy Utility due diligence work.

Denmark: Denmark pioneered the concept of top-down policies coupled with bottom-up power, which is often credited with the extensive and sustained growth of district energy in the country and rapid transition to renewables in heating. The 1979 *Danish Heat Supply Act* provided the

¹¹ https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/montreal/quebec-bans-oil-heating-1.6252420

¹² "Opening Brief on Depreciation of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39M)", CPUC Proceeding A2106021.

¹³ "Final Decision – Evoenergy Access Arrangement 2021 to 2026, Overview April 2021". Australian Energy Regulator, pp. 37-39. https://www.aer.gov.au/system/files/AER%20-%20Final%20decision%20-

^{%20}Evoenergy%20access%20arrangement%202021-26%20-%20Overview%20-%20April%202021.pdf

legal framework for municipal heat plans and planning. Under the framework, municipalities are responsible for approving district energy projects, subject to national standards for feasibility which includes requirement for lifecycle costing, evaluation of both financial and non-financial considerations, common evaluation methodologies, and standardization of some common assumptions.

Others: While frameworks and requirements for local heat planning have existed for many years in Denmark, it is now showing up in other jurisdictions. Three examples where heating and cooling plans have recently become mandatory include: the State of Baden Württemberg in Germany (under its revised 2021 Heating Climate Protection Act); The Netherlands (under the 2019 Dutch National Climate Agreement); and Scotland (under the 2021 Heat Network Act and 2022 Local Heat and Energy Efficiency Strategies (LHEES) statutory order.¹⁴ Some of these mandates allow municipalities to implement mandatory connection in district energy priority zones (for certain types of buildings and with conditions).

The European Commission has proposed updating its Energy Efficiency Directive to require Member States to make heating and cooling plans mandatory for municipalities above a threshold of 50,000 inhabitants. Building on the direction from the European Commission and also the experience of several states which already have mandatory heat planning (e.g. Baden Württemberg, above, and also Schleswig-Holstein), the federal government of Germany is planning to introduce a national mandate for municipal heat plans in cities over 10,000 to 20,000 inhabitants (thresholds will be determined by states). The obligation would be implemented by states (which regulate cities), but it would come with federal law to permit cities to request the necessary data from energy suppliers and others in preparing heat plans. These heat plans are to include an inventory analysis, an analysis of potential, target scenarios and an action strategy. It is expected heat plans will include, among other things, the creation of heat registers (including waste heat sources), the monitoring of heat network expansion, the decarbonization of existing heat networks, the securing of areas for energy generation and storage, and concepts refurbishing of public buildings.¹⁵

The UK (which is no longer subject to EU requirements after Brexit) has recently introduced national requirements for municipal heat zoning as part of its recent Energy Security Bill (see Appendix B). A pilot program for to test a heat zoning methodology is under way. A consultation is planned for later this year on the detail of regulations for heat network zoning. In early 2022, the UK government set up A Heat Network Zoning Pilot Program (HNZPP) to test a methodology for heat network zoning in ~28 English cities and towns of varying sizes. The results of the pilot program are expected in early 2023.¹⁶

¹⁴ https://energy-cities.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Factsheet-1-Final-1.pdf

¹⁵ https://www.bayern-innovativ.de/en/page/draft-law-on-municipal-heat-planning-by-the-end-of-the-year

¹⁶ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/heat-networks-zoning-

pilot#:~:text=The%20zoning%20pilot%20aims%20to,mandating%20powers%20and%20market%20support

City of Richmond Requests of the Government of British Columbia

Summary of issues to be included in the letters to Government of BC elected officials, as listed in the report:

- 1) Bring forward legislation implementing the 2030 GHG cap on the gas sector without further delay as committed to in the Province's CleanBC plan and recently reaffirmed by the Premier on March 14, 2023 with the launch of a new energy action framework;
- 2) Launch an independent gas utility planning exercise that plots a course for addressing an expected decline in throughput of gas grids and the transition of gas grids towards transporting RNG and hydrogen to sectors and/or locations that are hard to decarbonize, consistent with the Province's 2030, 2040 and 2050 GHG emission reduction targets, all leading to the increased role of electrification in building heating and transport.
- 3) Reject the use of RNG and hydrogen in new construction to meet GHG limits in the Step Code, so that the limited and costly supply of these alternative fuels can be put to highest and best uses.
- 4) Develop policies to assess, certify and track the GHG intensity of RNG, hydrogen and other alternative gases.
- 5) **Reform the BCUC in the context of a changing climate to consider,** quantify and minimize the potential costs of lock-in and stranded investments when evaluating capital plans, rate setting and extension policies for gas utilities. This direction should also include greater consideration of non-pipe alternatives to marginal investments in gas grids as well as consideration of strategic opportunities to prune gas grids in conjunction with targeted electrification strategies. Finally, proceedings should be guided by a framework or set of guidelines that do not impact or limit the jurisdiction and authority of local governments without provincial direction;
- 6) Bring forward legislation and other regulatory changes specific to the heat transition that, among other issues, establishes a distinct BCUC regulatory framework for public district energy systems more aligned with their small scale and localized nature;
- 7) Require that a minimum percentage of low-carbon methane-based fuels (i.e. up to 100%) be produced within BC.

More information to be included as an attachment in the letters:

- 1) Bring forward legislation implementing the 2030 GHG cap on the gas sector without further delay as committed to in the Province's CleanBC plan and recently reaffirmed by the Premier on March 14, 2023 with the launch of a new energy action framework;
- 2) Launch an independent gas utility planning exercise that plots a course for addressing an expected decline in throughput of gas grids and the transition of gas grids towards transporting RNG and hydrogen to sectors and/or locations that are hard to

decarbonize, consistent with the Province's 2030, 2040 and 2050 GHG emission reduction targets, all leading to the increased role of electrification in building heating and transport.

- 3) Reject the use of RNG and hydrogen in new construction to meet GHG limits in the Step Code, so that the limited and costly supply of these alternative fuels can be put to highest and best uses. RNG volumes are very limited and RNG may be the only option for decarbonizing heavy industry and some portions of the transportation sector. There are affordable low-carbon alternatives for heating new buildings. Heating new buildings is not the highest and best use of limited RNG resources. In addition, prioritizing electric heat pumps, including district energy heat pump applications, over generating hydrogen gas from electricity is a more efficient use of BC's electricity resources. The Climate Solutions Council identifies these issues as *Opportunity #7: Electrifying our Economy and Communities* in their 2022 Annual Report.
- 4) **Develop policies to assess, certify and track the GHG intensity of RNG, hydrogen and other alternative gases** B.C. needs a robust and credible system for assessing the GHG intensity of renewable gases and ensuring these fuels do not contribute further to GHG emissions. Key issues include avoiding double-counting GHG credits and minimizing fugitive methane emissions.

5) Reform the BCUC in the context of a changing climate to consider to:

- consider and minimize lock-in and stranded investment risks when evaluating capital plans, rate setting and extension policies for gas utilities including:
- ensuring extension policies of gas utilities take into account reduced consumption and stringent GHG limits for new construction;
- using different depreciation rates and allowable returns on equity for new investments commensurate with the uncertainty over useful life and stranding risk;
- ensuring non-pipe alternatives are adequately considered as alternatives to maintaining and/or upgrading gas infrastructure, including local decommissioning of gas infrastructure in favour of electrification or district energy; and
- considering provincial policy and credible independent studies into the future role of hydrogen when considering hydrogen or hydrogen-ready infrastructure
- proceedings should be guided by a framework or set of guidelines that do not impact or limit the jurisdiction and authority of local governments without provincial direction.

The Climate Solutions Council identifies these issues as *Opportunity* #7: *Electrifying our Economy and Communities* in their 2022 Annual Report, asking the Province to identify an appropriate role for the BCUC in supporting BC's clean energy transition.

- 6) Bring forward legislation and other regulatory changes specific to the heat transition similar to recent initiatives implemented or proposed in the UK, Netherlands, Germany, France and New York State, among others, which would among other things:
 - recognize the unique role for district energy systems in the energy transition;

- establish a distinct BCUC regulatory framework for public district energy systems that is more aligned with their small scale and localized nature;
- provide incentives and resources to support the development of local heat plans to coordinate and optimize incremental investments in gas, electric and district energy infrastructure, as well as spatially targeted retrofit and fuel switching programs and incentives.
- provide incentives and fairer tax treatment for low-carbon district energy systems, including addressing the unequal burden from property taxes and PST on these systems
- 7) Require that a minimum percentage of low-carbon methane-based fuels (i.e. up to 100%) be produced within BC. Currently there is no requirement that low-carbon gases be produced and procured within B.C. and as a result, FortisBC has sought out low-cost supply in other provinces and in the US. This may help reduce renewable gas prices but it also limits the ability of B.C. workers to benefit from investments in new low-carbon gas production. Procuring out-of-Province gases is a risk because since they are limited resources and it is anticipated that net-zero state- or federal-level commitments in other jurisdictions are likely to affect long-term supply and prices for consumers in B.C. Mandating that a minimum share of gas utilities' low-carbon gases be produced within B.C. would also drive employment opportunities in B.C. and manage the impacts of the energy transition on B.C.'s workforce. The Climate Solutions Council identifies these issues as *Opportunity #8: Minimizing Reliance on Offsets* in their 2022 Annual Report.