

Agenda

General Purposes Committee

Anderson Room, City Hall 6911 No. 3 Road

Monday, October 1, 2012 4:00 p.m.

Pg. # ITEM

MINUTES

GP-3 Motion to adopt the minutes of the meeting of the General Purposes Committee held on Tuesday, September 17, 2012.

COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT

1. **COUNCIL POLICY HOUSEKEEPING** (File Ref. No.) (REDMS No. 3602822)

GP-9

See Page **GP-9** for full report

Designated Speakers: Andrew Nazareth & Phyllis Carlyle

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Council Policies, as listed in Attachment 1 to the report titled "Council Policy Housekeeping" dated July 31, 2012 from the General Manager, Community Services, be rescinded. Pg. # ITEI

ADJOURNMENT



Minutes

General Purposes Committee

- Date: Monday, September 17, 2012
- Place: Anderson Room Richmond City Hall
- Present:Mayor Malcolm D. Brodie, Chair
Councillor Chak Au
Councillor Linda Barnes
Councillor Derek Dang
Councillor Evelina Halsey-Brandt
Councillor Bill McNulty
Councillor Linda McPhail
Councillor Harold StevesAbsent:Councillor Ken Johnston
- Call to Order: The Chair called the meeting to order at 4:02 p.m.

MINUTES

It was moved and seconded That the minutes of the meeting of the General Purposes Committee held on Tuesday, September 4, 2012, be adopted as circulated.

CARRIED

FINANCE AND CORPORATE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

1. LIQUOR LICENCE AMENDMENT APPLICATION 0755 RESTAURANT & LOUNGE INCORPORATED - UNIT 2188 - 3779 SEXSMITH ROAD

(File Ref. No. 12-8275-30-001/2012-Vol 01) (REDMS No. 3612005)

It was moved and seconded That a letter be sent to the Liquor Control and Licensing Branch advising that:

- (1) The application by 0755 Restaurant & Lounge Incorporated, to amend their hours of liquor service under Food Primary Liquor License #304745 from Monday through Sunday 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. to Monday through Sunday 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m., be supported.
- (2) Council comments on the prescribed considerations are:
 - (a) There is little potential for additional noise if the application is approved;
 - (b) The amendment would not pose a negative impact on the community based on the lack of comments received from the public; and
 - (c) The amendment to permit extended hours of liquor service under the Food Primary Liquor License should not change the establishment so that it is operated in a manner that is contrary to its primary purpose as there has been no history of noncompliance with this operation
- (3) Council comments on the views of residents were gathered as follows:
 - (a) Property owners and businesses within a 50 metre radius of the subject property were contacted by letter detailing the application and provided with instructions on how community concerns could be submitted; and
 - (b) Signage was posted at the subject property and three public notices were published in a local newspaper. The signage and notice provided information on the application and instructions on how community comments or concerns could be submitted.

Based on the lack of negative responses from residents and businesses in the nearby area and the lack of responses received from the community through notifications, Council considers that the application is acceptable to a majority of residents.

CARRIED

ENGINEERING AND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

2. GATEWAY THEATRE SEWER HEAT RECOVERY SYSTEM (File Ref. No. 10-6600-10-01) (REDMS No. 3537486 v.13)

In response to questions from members of the Committee, Cecilia Achiam, Interim Director, Sustainability and District Energy, joined by Alan Postolka, District Energy Manager, provided the following information:

• installation of the sewage wastewater heat recovery system at Gateway Theatre will reduce energy use to one-third of the current rate;

- Metro Vancouver is working with the City to further explore this technology;
- the Gateway Theatre installation will be the first in Canada that will use raw wastewater from the municipal sewer pump station; and
- sewage wastewater heat recovery technology has been developed and used in China for many years.

Lynn Mueller, President, International Wastewater Heat Exchange Systems Inc. advised that sewer heat recovery technology has been used at a development in North Vancouver for two years, and the building has realized a 75% energy savings. He further advised that he would be subsidizing the project in Richmond, which will take approximately 10 days to install, and result in a reduction of green house gas emissions equivalent to removing 75 vehicles from the streets.

Discussion also ensued about the feasibility of future expansion of the sewage wastewater heat recovery system at Gateway Theatre for cooling as well as heating.

It was moved and seconded

That the incorporation of the Sewage SHARC wastewater heat recovery system into the existing building heating system at Gateway Theatre (as described in the staff report titled Gateway Theatre Sewer Heat Recovery System dated August 15, 2012 from the Interim Director, Sustainability and District Energy), be endorsed.

CARRIED

3. PROVINCIAL CARBON TAX REVIEW – RECOMMENDED INPUT FROM THE CITY OF RICHMOND

(File Rcf. No. 01-0370-01) (REDMS No. 3636786 v.2)

A discussion took place among members of Committee and Margot Daykin, Manager, Sustainability about:

- the very limited information available on the economic impact of the BC Carbon Tax;
- the impact the BC Carbon Tax has on those in the farming and fishing industries;
- how studies show that carbon taxes are one of the most effective methods for reducing green house gas emissions; and
- the view that the current administration of the BC Carbon Tax is unfair.

As a result of the discussion, staff was directed to work with local groups including the Chamber of Commerce, Tourism Richmond, the Richmond Farmer's Institute, and other organizations to collect local information regarding the impact of the BC Carbon Tax.

It was moved and seconded

That as input to the Provincial carbon tax review, a letter be sent to the Minister of Finance, with copies to the Premier, Minister of Environment, UBCM and Metro Vancouver Board of Directors, conveying that:

- (1) The City of Richmond supports the continuation of the carbon tax as a means to reduce greenhouse gas emissions with the understanding that:
 - (a) the tax is applied in a manner that offsets disproportionate impacts to low-income or other vulnerable populations;
 - (b) the tax is applied in a manner that does not result in a loss in competitiveness for local businesses; and
 - (c) the Province continues the Climate Action Revenue Incentive Program (CARIP) for local governments;
- (2) The City of Richmond requests that the Province conduct detailed studies and incorporate further public consultation and engagement with local governments and other stakeholders, to evaluate appropriate tax rates, scope and structure; and
- (3) The City of Richmond requests that the Province direct a significant portion of the carbon tax revenue, and/or establish alternative funding sources, to support local government actions and other investments that will reduce community emissions, including public transportation and renewable energy projects.

CARRIED

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved and seconded *That the meeting adjourn (4:41p.m.)*.

CARRIED

Certified a true and correct copy of the Minutes of the meeting of the General Purposes Committee of the Council of the City of Richmond held on Monday, September 17, 2012.

Mayor Malcolm D. Brodie Chair Shanan Sarbjit Dhaliwal Executive Assistant City Clerk's Office



To:	General Purposes Committee	Date:	July 31, 2012
From:	Cathryn Volkering Carlile General Manager, Community Services	File;	99-Community Services/2012-Vol 01
Re:	Council Policy Housekeeping		

Staff Recommendation

That the Council Policies, as listed in Attachment 1 to the report titled "Council Policy Housekeeping" dated July 31, 2012 from the General Manager, Community Services, be rescinded.

Celeache

Cathryn Volkering Carlile General Manager, Community Services (604-276-4068)

REPORT CONCURRENCE						
ROUTED TO:		CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER				
City Clerk						
Finance Division	র্ত্র					
Real Estate Services	Q					
Community Safety	1 I					
Arts, Culture & Heritage	অ					
Parks Services	<i>⊠</i> ∕					
Engineering	<u></u>					
Public Works						
Fire Rescue						
Law						
Development Applications		fileache				
Policy Planning	ার্ট প্র	Manyet				
Human Resources	প্র					
REVIEWED BY SMT	INITIALS:	REVIEWED BY CAO (DEPUTY) INITIALS:				
SUBCOMMITTEE	A	Æ				

Staff Report

Origin

In January 2012, the CAO established a Senior Management Policy and Procedure Sub Committee with a mandate to monitor and review City policies and procedures, to ensure policies are not impediments to providing high quality customer service, to ensure policies and procedures are current and relevant, and that policies and procedures are consistently applied throughout the organization.

This report deals with the rescinding of policies that are redundant and/or not relevant.

Analysis

The City of Richmond has 255 Council adopted policies covering various aspects of City business including:

- Administration
- Buildings Properties and Equipment
- Finance
- Heath and Social Services
- Land and Land Use Planning
- Personnel
- Public Works and Related Services
- Recreation and Cultural Services
- Regulatory and Protective Services
- Single Family Lot Size

Council Policies are different from Bylaws. Council has authority to regulate, prohibit or impose through establishing bylaws in business areas as outlined in the Community Charter. Council Policies express the philosophy of City Council and provide a framework for City staff to carry out administrative and operational matters. They distinguish between the policy-setting function of Council, and the policy implementation function of City staff (Administrative Procedures); ensure consistent operating practices on matters which occur on a regular basis and prevent inconsistent decision making on issues where fairness and equity are important considerations.

Staff has reviewed all 255 adopted City Council policies and has identified 41 policies that could be rescinded for a variety of reasons. The table attached outlines the policies and the rationale for recommending rescinding (Attachment 1). Each policy recommended to be rescinded is also attached (Attachment 2).

The next phase of the Policy Review process will be for staff to bring policy revisions and amendments to Council for consideration routinely until the remaining policies are updated and current.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact.

Conclusion

The City has 255 Council Policies, the Policy and Procedure Sub Committee has reviewed all polices and deemed that 41 policies should be rescinded as they are redundant, obsolete or out of date. These policies are recommended to be rescinded.

lileacher

Cathryn Volkering Carlile General Manager, Community Services (604-276-4068)

CVC:cvc

Policies Recommended for Rescinding

Attachment 1

Policy	Title	Adopted by Council or Amended Date	Explanation
1007	Servicing Agreements – Execution of Agreements	Nov 23, 2003	Now covered under the Subdivision & Development Bylaw 8751
1008	Victim Assistance Program – Execution of Agreement	May 14, 1990	Inconsistent with current approved Council Direction
1010	Chinese Delegations	May 13, 1994	Inconsistent with Sister City program, outdated
1303	Carnivals, Fairs Circuses – Approvals	Sept 26, 1983	Outdated, all requests for events are managed through Parks and Recreation
2000	Capital Building Projects – Pre Qualifications	April 23, 1990	Not required, addressed in Policy 3104
2005	Minor Land Acquisitions – Approval Procedure	Mar 25, 1991	Outdated, no longer valid
2007	Acquisition of Property by the City – Report Requirements	Dec 14, 1992	Administrative, not relevant
2015	City Owned Residential Property – Non Conforming Uses	Dec 14, 1992	Administrative, not relevant
2301	Sloughs – Acquisition of Title	Feb 21, 1966	Outdated, covered in Section 34 of the Charter.
2302	Land Sales and Leasing	April 14, 1977	Outdated, all authority to lease or dispose of City Land is Council's.
3004	Utility and Latecomer Charges – Advising Property Owners	Sept 24, 1990	Not required, administrative
3007	Richmond Community Business Partnership Program – Administration and Funding	April 13, 1992	Program no longer exists
3009	Sanitary Sewerage Areas – Provision of Service and Funding	Nov 23, 1987	Outdated, not relevant
3012	Approval of Council Expenses – Conventions, Sister City Visits, City Business	May 24, 1994	Approved in operating budgets, redundant
3400	Ground Lease Revenues – Brighouse Industrial Estates	June 23, 1980	Outdated, not relevant
3563	Development Agreements – Cash In Lieu of	Nov 27, 1978	Not relevant, covered in Development Applications
3567	Cheques Charged Back to the City	May 11/1987	Not relevant

Policy	Title	Adopted by Council or Amended Date	Explanation
3706	Casino Funding Guidelines	June 12, 2000	Not relevant, covered in Grant Policy 3712
3706.1	Casino Funding Procedures	June 11, 2000	Not relevant, covered in Grant Policy 3712
4400	Cooperative Housing	May 29, 1984	Outdated, not relevant
5014	Rezoning Applications – Neighbourhood Commercial Districts	Aug 25, 1985	Not relevant, addressed in zoning bylaw 8500
5018	Burkeville Residential Presence	Oct 14, 1975	Not relevant
5024	Marina Study 1975	April 12, 1976	Not relevant, summary of recommendations not a policy
5027	Fraser River Estuary Management	Nov 22, 1982	"A Living Working River, The Estuary Management Plan for the Fraser River, 2003 ", which Council endorsed on November 8th 2004.
5028	Area Planning Program- Planning Process	Jan 8, 1984	Outlines a process, each AP adopted by Council and City has well established planning processes.
5029	Official Community Plan – Planning Process	Jan 9, 1984	Outlines a process, OCP process adopted by Council and City has well established planning process
5030	Economic Development Strategy	May 26, 1986	Outdated, new council strategy in place.
6003	Superannuation option for Job Sharing	Jan 25, 1993	Replaced in Job Sharing Administrative Procedure
6005	Superannuation – Exempt Employee Probation Period Purchase	Feb 12, 1996	Not current, buy back period is 5 years, exempt staff no longer have probationary period.
6005.1	Superannuation – Exempt Employee Probation Period Purchase – Administrative Procedure	Aug 1996	Not current, exempt no longer have probationary period.
6500	Advertising Vacancies for Senior Positions	Nov 14, 1978	Not relevant
6706	Staff Complement Changes	April 14, 1998	Not current, CAO authority and Employment Review Sub Committee review
6707.01	Purchase of Flowers by the City	Not dated	Covered in Policy 6006
7010	Crosswalk Signs	Mar 11, 1974	Not relevant
7014	Developments – Access near Major Intersections	Feb 22, 1982	Bylaw repealed, policy not relevant
7702	Trees – Preservation and Removal	Sept 22, 1986	Replaced by Tree Bylaw 8057
8700	Richmond Arts Centre – Sales	June 1994	Community Arts Council no longer a partner, not relevant

9010	Building Permits – Buildings Constructed within Richmond by High School Students	June 20, 1970	Not relevant or required
9011	Secondary Dwelling Unit – Deferred Demolition	Dec 8, 1980	Redundant, in Building Regulation Bylaw 7230
9014	Public Service Requests – Fire Rescue	April 1995	Redundant, in Fire Establishment Bylaw 4987
9302	Neighbourhood Pubs – Hours of Operation	June 22, 1981	Not required, Provincial jurisdiction.

Reason for proposed rescission: Now covered under the Subdivision & Development Bylaw 8751

City of Richmond

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Sept. 14/92	POLICY 1007
	Amended by Council: November 24 th , 2003	
File Ref: 4105-00	SERVICING AGREEMENTS – EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT	ſS

POLICY 1007:

It is Council policy that:

Standard Servicing Agreements which are authorized under the Local Government Act between private developers and the City as specified in Subdivision Bylaw No. 6530, may be executed by the Mayor and City Clerk without further reference to Council. These contracts pertain to the installation of any or all of the following services:

- (a) Highways, including lanes, walkways, emergency access, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, as required
- (b) Street lights

(c) Storm water collection systems

(d) Water distribution systems

(e) Sanitary sewage collection systems

(f) Electrical power, telephone, and gas distribution systems

This policy applies to the execution of standard Servicing Agreements which,

- are in substantial compliance with the form of Agreement shown as Schedule "E" of Subdivision Bylaw No. 6530; and
- 2. have been authorized for execution in writing by the Manager, Engineering Planning or designate, the Manager, Development Applications or designate and the City Solicitor or designate.

Any agreement not satisfying all of these criteria will be presented to Council for approval.

1087337

<u>GP - 15</u>

Attachment 2

Policy Manual

Reason for proposed rescission: Inconsistent with current approved Council Direction

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: May 14/90	POLICY 1008
File Ref: 0150-00	VICTIM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM - EXECUTION	OF AGREEMENT

POLICY 1008:

It is Council policy that:

The Mayor and City Clerk be authorized on behalf of Council to execute the agreement with the Ministry of Solicitor General to jointly fund a Victim/Witness Service with the RCMP in Richmond, where:

1. There is no change to the funding component for the Program from the Provincial Government, and

2. Funds for the Program have been provided within the annual City budget.


(Treasury Department)

Reason for proposed rescission: Inconsistent with Sister City program, outdated

			C	ity o	of Ri	chm	ond			Po	olicy	Ма	anu	lal
Page 1 of	f 1		Adopte	d by Co	uncil: J	June 13/	94				POLIC	Y 101	0	
ile Ref:	0135-0	0	CHINE	SE DEL	.EGATI	ONS								
F	POLICY	1010.										2		
	t is Cou	,		:		٠,	ж. Э							
	1. Т		ity will		nodate	official	delegati	ons fro	m China	a related	to tra	ade ai	nd	
2	2. T e	he Ci xchan	ty will ge prog	decline rams wł	any ir nich req	volveme uire mur	ent in g nicipal fur	overnme iding su	ent-to-go pport.	vernment	assist	ance	or	
		• •												
	<i>,</i>			× .								×.		
		*												
							,							
	, ,													
												÷		5
	×	1												2
	•			,				5						
												34. 4		
								¢						
	*				*									
								•						
		÷		5					:					
((City Ad	ministr	ator's C	Office)		¥.	3							
. 1	112960							×						
			-	·····		G	<u>P - 17</u>			*			18)	

Reason for proposed rescission:

Outdated, all requests for events are managed through Parks and Recreation



Reason for proposed rescission: Not required, addressed in Policy 3104



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: April 23/90	POLICY 2000
File Ref: 0970-00	CAPITAL BUILDING PROJECTS - PRE-QUALIFICATIONS	


POLICY 2000:

It is Council policy that:

All general contractors be pre-qualified for major capital building projects funded or administered by the City of Richmond through an administrative process in coordination with City staff, the City Law Department and consultants engaged to undertake City building projects.

(Public Works Division)

Reason for proposed rescission: Outdated, no longer valid



Reason for proposed rescission: Administrative, not relevant

U	City of Richmond	Policy Manual
Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Dec. 14/92	POLICY 2007
File Ref: 2275-00	ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY BY THE CITY - F	REPORT REQUIREMENTS
POLICY 200 It is Council All reports to	policy that: O Council on property acquisition must include compre	hensive details of:
•	proposed use of the building or land; required changes in zoning of land or building, and construction or renovation to accommodate the pro financial implications of any upgrade or renovation proposed use.	oposed use;
	ه د	

(Parks & Leisure Services)

Reason for proposed rescission: Administrative, not relevant

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Dec. 14/92	POLICY 2015
File Ref: 2045-00	CITY-OWNED RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY - NO	DN-CONFORMING USES

POLICY 2015:

It is Council policy that:

All future property acquisition reports shall include comprehensive details of:

- (a) proposed use of the building/land;
- (b) required changes in zoning and building construction to accommodate the proposed use;
- (c) financial implications of upgrade/renovation costs to accommodate the proposed use.

(Administration)

Reason for proposed rescission: Outdated, covered in Section 34 of the Community Charter



City of Richmond Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Feb. 21/66	POLICY 2301
File Ref: 2275-00	SLOUGHS - ACQUISITION OF TITLE	

POLICY 2301:

It is Council policy that:

The City of Richmond acquire title to sloughs which form an integral part of the City drainage system.

Section 589(2) of the Municipal Act gives Council the right to appropriate slough land without compensation.

(Planning Department)

Reason for proposed rescission:

Outdated, all authority to lease or dispose of City land is Council's



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Apr. 14/77	· · ·	POLICY 2302
File Ref: 2290-00	LAND SALES AND LEASING		

POLICY 2302:

It is Council policy that:

The following shall apply to all land sales and leases of property owned by the City:

1. Council will consider for sale or lease any property which is redundant, or was developed for the purpose of sale.

2. All offers for sale or lease of property shall be brought to an "In Camera" meeting of Council.

3. The City will not pay a real estate commission on any land sale or lease.

4. Anyone leasing or purchasing property in the City will be responsible for paying all connection fees to City services.

The Land Agent is authorized to sign rental agreements of minor properties on behalf of the City.

(Law Department)

113119

GP - 24

Reason for proposed rescission: Not required, administrative

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1 File Ref: 0930-0	Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Sept. 24/90	POLICY 3004
	File Ref: 0930-00	UTILITY LATECOMER CHARGES – ADVISING PROPERTY O	WNER

POLICY 3004:

It is Council policy that:

Affected property owners shall be notified of the date and location of the Public Works and Services Committee meeting at which the imposition of utility latecomer charges will be considered.

(Engineering Department)

Reason for proposed rescission: Program no longer exists

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 2	Adopted by Council: Apr. 13/92	POLICY 3007
File Ref: 4150-00	RICHMOND COMMUNITY BUSINESS PARTNERSHI ADMINISTRATION AND FUNDING	P PROGRAM –

POLICY 3007:

It is Council policy that:

The following shall apply to the administration and criteria for funding services/projects under the Richmond Community Business Partnership Program (RCBPP):

1. Eligibility of Projects and Services

Services and projects deemed eligible for funding should contribute to the City's economic and business development. Such activities may include: provision of information to businesses, and investors, promotion of Richmond and its businesses, business development programs and others.

2. Eligibility of Agencies

Eligible organizations must be non-profit societies as determined by the Society Act of B.C.

3. Accountability and Cost Effectiveness

A partnership implies that each party is accountable to the other. As the City will provide funding for specific projects/services, the agencies delivering them, must provide an accounting of how they expend the funding provided by the City. This accounting should prove that the funds are being expended in a cost-effective manner.

4. Continuity with Other Services Provided by Richmond

It would be in the best interests of the City to enter into partnerships where it is demonstrated that the partnership will improve the level of continuity and coordination in delivering products and services to the public.

5. Richmond Basis

The delivery of projects/services must be related directly to the City.

6. Process for Negotiating the RCBP Program

- a) The RCBPP budget is established in the provisional budget.
- b) The Manager of Economic Development negotiates with eligible agencies.

113392

Reason for proposed rescission: Program no longer exists

	City of Richmond	Policy Manual
age 2 of 2	Adopted by Council: Apr. 13/92	POLICY 3007
ile Ref: 4150-00	RICHMOND COMMUNITY BUSINESS PARTNERS ADMINISTRATION AND FUNDING	HIP PROGRAM –
c)	A staff report recommends each partnership agreer "In Camera" meeting of the Finance and Administrati	
d)	The agencies are advised of the Finance and recommendation.	Administration Committee
e)	If the agencies agree with the recommendation of the Committee, it will be forwarded to a regular meeting If the agencies do not agree with the recommer Administration Committee, they will be given an position to an "In Camera" meeting of the F Committee, and the subsequent Committee recomm meeting of Council for final consideration.	of Council for consideration. Indation of the Finance and opportunity to present their Finance and Administration
f)	Council adopts a resolution setting the final terms of are dispersed accordingly.	of the partnership, and funds
	у «	
	•	
а 2		
а		

(Finance Division)

. 113392

GP - 27

Reason for proposed rescission: Outdated, not relevant

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Nov. 23/87	POLICY 3009
File Ref: 0930-00	SANITARY SEWERAGE AREAS - PROVISION	OF SERVICE AND FUNDING

POLICY 3009:

It is Council policy that:

The capital debt cost of providing sewer facilities be applied as follows:

- 1. All debt servicing costs shall be recovered by the application of a common sewer levy rate to all sewerage areas in Richmond;
- The Hamilton sanitary sewerage area shall be assessed a surcharge of 5% per year for 20 years;
- 3. Properties joining the remaining City sewerage areas shall be assessed a 5% surcharge on the sewer levy for a period of 20 years.

(Engineering Department)

Reason for proposed rescission: Approved in operating budgets, redundant

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: May 24/94	POLICY 3012
File Ref: 0105-00	APPROVAL OF COUNCIL EXPENSES – CONV CITY BUSINESS	ENTIONS, SISTER CITY VISITS,

POLICY 3012:

It is Council policy that:

In January of each year, separate amounts shall be included in the provisional operating budget of the City, to cover expenses:

- 1. for the members of Council:
 - (a) At the Federation of Canadian Municipalities annual convention;
 - (b) At the Union of B.C. Municipalities annual convention;
 - (c) On visits to either of Richmond's sister cities;
- 2. for *the Mayor*, as the Chief Executive Officer of the City, to undertake City business anywhere within the Province of British Columbia;
- 3. for *Councillors*, to undertake City business anywhere in the Greater Vancouver Regional District.

Unless the amounts in the final operating budget for the above items are reduced to cause an insufficiency of funds after expenses have been incurred or event attendance arrangements have been made, no further formal approval from Council shall be required.

The reimbursement of expenses to, or costs paid on behalf of, a member of Council engaged in City business as specified in this policy, shall be in accordance with the "Council Indemnity and Expense Bylaw". Any expenses incurred by a member of Council, other than as permitted in this policy, and for which reimbursement or prepayment is sought, shall be submitted to Council for approval (through the Finance and Administration Committee).

(City Clerk's Office)

Reason for proposed rescission: Outdated, not relevant



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: June 23/80	POLICY 3400
File Ref: 1075-00	GROUND LEASE REVENUES - BRIGHOUSE INDU	JSTRIAL ESTATES

POLICY 3400:


It is Council policy that:

Revenues and expenditures relating to ground leases in the Brighouse Industrial Estate are to be included as current revenues and expenditures, and appropriate steps taken to transfer the net revenues from these ground leases to the Capital Reserve Fund at the end of each financial year.

(Treasury Department)

Reason for proposed rescission:

Not relevant, covered in Development Applications



A developer who is required to enter into a development agreement, relative to a subdivision, rezoning or issuance of a building permit, may, with the approval of the City Engineer, have the work done by City forces if he deposits with the City funds sufficient to cover the cost of the works required.

In such case it will not be necessary to enter into a development agreement.

(Engineering Department)

113546

GP - 31

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: May 11/87	POLICY 3567
File Ref: 0960-00	CHEQUES CHARGED BACK TO THE CITY	

POLICY 3567:

It is Council policy that:

A fee shall be collected on all items charged back to the City through the banking system and the City Treasurer be instructed to set and periodically review the amount of that fee.

(Treasury Department)

City of Richmond Policy Manual Page 1 of 1 Adopted by Council: June 12, 2000 POLICY 3706 File Ref: 1085-00 **CASINO FUNDING GUIDELINES POLICY 3706:** It is Council policy that: Applications for casino funds can be made by Council or as a result of applications from the community. All community applications must be for new community programs, projects or events. Casino funding may be designated for expenditure in or add to a statutory reserve fund. Funds need not be fully allocated in a period received. Casino Funding Focus Areas: Casino funds should be targeted to the following focus areas: Youth Seniors Policing in the Community Childcare Reserve Fund Preventative, alternative and/or educational programs relating to addictive behaviour which could include:. Drug abuse 1. Alcoholism 11. Gambling 111. IV. Smoking Not all organizations meeting the City of Richmond's Casino Funding Program guidelines will automatically receive funding. 160969

GP - 33

Ĩ.	City of Richmond	Policy Manual
Page 1 of 7	Adopted by Council: September 11, 2000	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 3706.01
File Ref: 1085-00	CASINO FUNDING PROCEDURES	
ADMINISTRA	TIVE PROCEDURES 3706.01:	
	CA	City of Richmond SINO FUNDING APPLICATION
INSTRUCTION	NS:	
	nese instructions before completing the application for	orm
	o Funding Application forms are available at the Information	
2. Complete t Hall by the	the form and send the <i>original plus three copies</i> to the stated deadline.	Information Counter at City
3. All Docume	ents mentioned in the application must be submitted inclu	ding:
	the organization's Board of Directors, Officers and ke ive Director.	ey employees such as the
• Budget for statem	or the program etc for which the funding is requested ent.	and last audited financial
will not be	ns, which do not contain the above information, will be accepted. Submissions should be on letter-size pap ific questions regarding your application, please contact A	er and single-sided. If you
5. Return con	npleted Casino Funding Application Form and enclosure	s by to:
Info (Cit	hmond City Hall ormation Counter ty Casino Funding Applications) I1 No. 3 Road, Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1	
6. Upon rece review its c	pipt of your application, a member of the Review Com details.	mittee may contact you to
	regarding funding allocations within the City Casino I City Council.	Funding Program rest with
8. Following (their applic	Council decision, applicants will receive notification of Concation.	uncil's decision pertaining to
		*
196289	GP - 34	. *

GP - 34



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 2 of 7	Adopted by Council: September 11, 2000	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 3706.01
-		

File Ref: 1085-00

CASINO FUNDING PROCEDURES

RICHMOND CASINO FUNDING PROCEDURES

A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Gambling is a source of individual and social problems. Managing the negative impacts of gambling is crucial to ensure a positive and healthy community.

Richmond City Council has received funding from gambling revenues and wishes to devote those funds in part to new community-based programs, projects, and events. Applications within the designated focus areas will be considered.

Use of casino funds can be determined by Council or as a result of applications from the Richmond Community. Not all organizations meeting the guidelines will automatically receive funding.

Applications from Richmond based non-profit and/or registered charitable groups are eligible for Casino Funding on the basis that they fill a void within the community and

 Offer a new project, program or event which is directed to a preventative, alternative and/or educational; program relating to addictive behaviour which could include:

- drug abuse
- alcoholism
- gambling
- smoking

Offer a new program or event which involves Youth or Seniors;

Offer a new program or event relating to policing in the community.

All Community applications must be for **NEW** Community programs, projects or events. Expenditures for casino funds can be made by Council or as a result of applications from the Community. Approval of funding by the City for any particular program or project does not ensure that future funding requests will be approved.

B. CASINO FUNDING GUIDELINES

Expenditures for casino funds can be made by Council or as a result of applications from the community. All community applications must be for *new* community programs, projects or events.

Casino funding may be designated for expenditure in or added to a statutory reserve fund. Funds need not be fully allocated in a period received.

Casino Funding Focus Areas:

Casino funds should be targeted to the following focus areas:

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 3 of 7	Adopted by Council: September 11, 2000	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 3706.01
File Ref: 1085-00	CASINO FUNDING PROCEDURES	

File Ref: 1085-00 CASINO FUNDING PROCEDURE

- Youth
- Seniors
- Policing in the Community
- Childcare Reserve Fund
- Preventative, alternative and/or educational programs relating to addictive behaviour which could include:
 - I. Drug abuse
 - II. Alcoholism
 - III. Gambling
 - IV. Smoking

Not all organizations meeting the City of Richmond's Casino Funding Program guidelines will automatically receive funding.

C. EXCLUSIONS FROM ELIGIBILITY FOR CASINO FUNDING:

- Applications from individuals;
- Activities which are restricted to participation solely by people of specific religious or ethnic groups;
- Annual fund-raising campaigns, form letter requests or telephone campaigns;
- Debt retirement;
- Expenses related to attendance at seminars, workshops, symposiums, or conferences;
- Agencies which primarily fund other organizations;
- Salaries for personnel or equipment not dedicated solely to programs projects or event being funded

D. REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS

196289

Not all organizations meeting the criteria will automatically receive funding. Allocations are dependent on the funding available.

- In reviewing applications and preparing recommendations for allocations, Council may give primary consideration to the following factors:
- Basic eligibility and demonstrated organizational efficiency, effectiveness and stability;

GP - 36

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant, covered in Grant Policy 3712



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 4 of 7	Adopted by Council: September 11, 2000	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 3706.01
File Ref: 1085-00	CASINO FUNDING PROCEDURES	的市场中央地区的特别的

- How well the organization fits within the general principles and focus areas for casino funding;
- Numbers of Richmond residents served;
- Quality of service;

- Financial need of the organization;
- Community interaction;
- Role and number of volunteers;
- Use of existing community services and facilities;
- Local input into governance;
- Addressing a unique need in the community.

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant, covered in Grant Policy 3712

Ì	City of Richmo	nd P	Policy Manual
Page 5 of 7	Adopted by Council: September	11, 2000	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 3706.01
File Ref: 1085-00	CASINO FUNDING PROCEDUR	ES .	
Complete and return th	be original plus three copies by	A	City of Richmond CASINO FUNDING PPLICATION FORM
	he original plus three copies by to COUNTER ATION OF APPLICANT Name:		
Mailing Addres	ss: (Street, City, Postal Code)	Phone No.	
-	·	Fax. No.	
Contact Perso	n:	Contact's Phone N	0
2. ORGANIZA A. Board of D members a	TION Directors etc Attach a sheet listing and officers and key employees such	names, positions and a h as the executive dire	addresses of all the board ctor.
B. Describe b financial no	priefly the history of the organization, eed.	its effectiveness of op	eration, quality of service and
5 ×		*	*, *
C. Describe t community	he number and role of volunteers for /.	the organization as w	ell as its interaction with the
196289	GP	- 38	· · ·

Not relevant, covered in Gra				
Ĩ.	City of Rich	nmond	Policy	Manual
Page 6 of 7	Adopted by Council: Sept	ember 11, 2000	ж	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 3706.01
File Ref: 1085-00	CASINO FUNDING PROC	CEDURES		and the second
3. FUNDING IN	FORMATION			,
A. Amount of fund				
		· ·		
B. Describe in det	ail how the funding would be	e used based on the sta	ted guidelines.	
		×.		4
	8			
, .				
	*		•	•
C. Describe how t	the funding to the organization	on benefits Richmond re	esidents.	
,				
* X (· ·
5				
D. How many Rid	chmond residents will be se	rved by this program:	•	
				•
E. Describe the u	nique nature of your reques ow will you use existing com	st in comparison to othe	r projects/program	ms/events in the
community. H	ow will you use existing con	infunity services and lac	sinues ?	
			с	
F. Describe in de programs, etc	etail the criteria the Organiza	ation will use to evaluate	the success of t	his project,
	×			
196289	с. ж	GP - 39		
		UI - UU	•	*

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant, covered in Grant Policy 3712



Policy Manual City of Richmond

Page 7 of 7 Adopted by Council: September 11, 2000 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 3706.01 File Ref: 1085-00 **CASINO FUNDING PROCEDURES**

4. FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

Provide a detailed budget for this program, project or event as well as your last audited financial statement.

CHECKLIST REMINDER

Please ensure your application includes the following: X List of Board of Directors, officers and key employees such as executive director X Project Budget X Audited Financial Statement

PLEASE DO NOT ATTACH BULKY PACKAGES

Reason for proposed rescission: Outdated, not relevant

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: May 29/84	POLICY 4400
File Ref: 4057-00	COOPERATIVE HOUSING	

POLICY 4400:

It is Council policy that:

Council is in favour of the Cooperative Housing Program, and it is the aim of Council to:

Encourage the Federal Government and Opposition Parties to renew, improve and expand the Cooperative Housing Program, and to oppose program cutbacks.

(Planning Department)

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant, addressed in Zoning Bylaw 8500

City of Richmond Policy Manual Page 1 of 1 Adopted by Council: Aug. 25/85 POLICY 5014 **REZONING APPLICATIONS – NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS** File Ref: 4105-00 POLICY 5014: It is Council policy that: Rezoning applications for Neighbourhood Commercial Districts must be supported with adequate trade area studies to help Council assess the potential impacts arising from a rezoning. Trade area studies must include: 1. A map showing the expected trade area for anticipated commercial facilities, in particular convenience retail outlets, together with the location of all other commercial development within one-half mile of the proposed commercial development; and 2. The population of households within the trade area/s shown in the map; and 3. The number of households within the trade area/s shown in the map; and The vacancy rate of commercial floorspace within one-half mile of the proposed 4. commercial development; and 5. The distance of the proposed commercial development from the following intersections: a) Westminster Highway and No. 3 Road b) Moncton Street and No. 1 Road.

(Urban Development Division)

113688

GP - 42

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant



4

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Oct. 14/75	POLICY 5018
File Ref: 4045-00	BURKEVILLE – RESIDENTIAL PRESENCE	

POLICY 5018:

It is Council policy that:

Council supports a continued residential presence on Sea Island.

- 1. Homes in Burkeville are sound and fill a gap in the housing market.
- 2. Consideration be given to upgrade City services.
- 3. No further land in Burkeville be sold to the Ministry of Transport.
- 4. Multiple family housing should not be encouraged in Burkeville.

(Urban Development Division)

Not relevant, summary of recommendations not a policy

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 3	Adopted by Council: Apr. 12/76	POLICY 5024	
File Ref: 4060-00	MARINA STUDY - 1975	和目的分析这个	

POLICY 5024:

113709

The Richmond Marina Study 1975 is adopted as Council policy.

Following is a summary of recommendations:

1. Locational Criteria for Marinas

The Middle Arm of the Fraser, upstream of the Dinsmore Bridge, should be considered the prime marina area in Richmond for immediate development.

2. Regulations Governing Marina Design

Two "marina zones" should be created. In addition, the regulations should include the following:

- a) Control of the type of structures permitted on floats, (to exclude commercial uses except for supplies and to exclude industrial uses, and to include only uses which are clearly accessory to the marina. Fuel should be sold only in a manner approved by the Fire Marshal.)
- b) Landscaping shall be required for the land area of all marinas.
- c) Parking shall be on the basis of one space for every two moorage spaces where the marina is adjacent to an industrial zone.

Parking may be located off-site within 500 ft. of the marina but only where the parking lot is owned by the marina owner, or an association of marina owners. Up to one-half of the above parking requirements may be shared by written agreement with adjacent owners of industrial or commercial properties, as long as their parking requirements can also be met.

d) A public walkway of at least 9 ft. width shall be provided on top of the dyke along the waterfront depending on site conditions and depending on the location of the dyke. This may also be increased to 12 ft. and double as access for City service vehicles.

A public walkway shall be provided along the frontage of the Marina; such walkway may be increased to 12 ft. and also serve as a service road for City vehicles.

e) Floating boat shelters shall be regulated so as to be constructed in groups of between three and five, of uniform height and width per group, adequately spaced, not more than 18 ft. high by 48 ft. long, to NBC standards and occupying not more than 10% of the water lot Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant, summary of recommendations not a policy

N	City of Richmond	Policy Manual
Page 2 of 3	Adopted by Council: Apr. 12/76	POLICY 5024
File Ref: 4060-00	MARINA STUDY - 1975	
f)	Storage of boats in the parking area may be perm March 31st of the following year.	itted between October 1st and
. g)	Setbacks shall be 20 ft. front yard and at least 10 t	ft. on one side yard.
	Note: these regulations would apply only to ne would remain non-conforming uses in the zones they cease operation or are brought into conformity	where they are situated until
3. Floati	ng Homes - Houseboats and Float Houses	
a)	Summary of Proposed Recommendations Live-Aboards	s and Requirements for
	 i) Live-aboards should be encouraged on community", comprehensively planned. 	ly as part of a "live-aboard
	ii) Live-aboard communities should locate following criteria:	in Richmond subject to the
• •	 within I/2 - I mile of a local shopping within I/2 mile of a park and element accessible to public transit; adjacent to compatible on-shore lan where possible, located and de 	tary school; d uses, preferably residential;
. ,	 on-shore residential development; not within areas severely impacted various Airport Planning Committee 	by aircraft noise as defined in reports;
* * *	 the location should allow for ade parking. 	equate on-shore facilities and
	iii) Live-aboard communities should follow the	design guidelines below:
	 aesthetic coordination of live-aboard proper planning of pedestrian route proper landscaping for the upland; provision for adequate automobile provision for garbage disposal and 	s and support facilities; parking;
	 provision for indoor and outdoor soc iv) Live-aboard communities should be service 	3
	iv) Live-aboard communities should be service	
*		

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant, summary of recommendations not a policy

	City of Richmond	Policy Manual
Page 3 of 3	Adopted by Council: Apr. 12/76	POLICY 5024
File Ref: 4060-00	MARINA STUDY - 1975	
	 Live-aboard units should meet the requirement Code as determined by the Chief Building Section 12(5) of the Building Bylaw will be r seaworthy structures outside the dykes, subject 	g Inspector. A revision to equired to permit approved,
	All boat shelters are to be to National Building	Code standards.
*	vi) Live-aboard communities should meet the zone and conform to any other requireme Health Officer.	
b)	Taxation of Floating Homes	
	Float homes contribute their fair share of taxes becau based on the value of structures attached to the mari	
c)	Specific Locations for Live-Aboards	
	 The Middle Arm of the Fraser River is NOT s houseboats for two reasons: (a) the airport r area, and (b) the adjacent area is an industr important to residential neighbourhoods. 	noise impact is severe in this
	As a general policy, areas adjacent to residential areas along the river will be plann to integrate future "live-aboard communities".	ed, wherever possible, so as
	iii) The Annacis Channel area is considered s planned floating communities.	uitable for comprehensively
	* . · · · · ·	
		• • •
,		
(Urban Develo	pment Division)	

"A Living Working River, The Estuary Management Plan for the Fraser River, 2003," which Council endorsed on November 8, 2004



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Nov. 22/82	POLICY 5027
File Ref: 6125-00	FRASER RIVER ESTUARY MANGEMENT	

POLICY 5027:

It is Council policy that:

Council:

- 1. Endorses and supports the vision, policies and issues expressed in the report entitled "A Proposed Management Program for the Fraser River Estuary Study, Phase II."
- 2. Amends the Linked Management System (in the Phase II report) to include City representation on the Policy Committee.
- 3. Supports and authorizes staff participation in the activity programs.
- 4. Allows Urban Development staff to take a predominant role in formulating area plans as participants in key and lead agency groups.

113724

GP-47

Outlines a process, each Area Plan adopted by Council and City has well established planning processes

Ì		City	of Richm	ond		Policy Manual
Page 1 of 2		Adopted by Co	ouncil: Jan. 9/84			POLICY 5028
File Ref: 4045	-00	AREA PLANN	IING PROGRAM	I – PLANNII	IG PROCESS	
POLIC	Y 5028:	я.,				
It is Co	ouncil pol	licy that:	e e			
objecti	ves and	policies on an	"area-specific"	basis and to	provide a frame	nity planning goals, work for planning at ts of the community.
It is the	e aim of (Council that the	e Area Planning	Program me	ets the following o	objectives:
· 1.	area-sp					ty Plan Goals on an opment program for
2.		k with area citiz rea Planning P		ng local nee	ds and goals, as	well as participation
3.		To work with other Corporate departments and government agencies in the preparation , of area plans.				
4.		To assist all government agencies in the City in developing and implementing their own activities which relate to the Area Planning Process.				plementing their own
5.					ong-range appro specific to the loc	ach among affected al area.
6.	for publ					understand the basis icipation in the Area
7.		e as a general Community Pla		work for the	area to be compa	atible with the overall
8.		gnize the socia l areas.	al and economic	effects of ph	nysical planning p	olicies and decisions
			ea Planning Pro the Area Plannin		he aim of Cound	il that the following
1.	Staff St	Staff Steering and Coordinating Committee				
2.		anning Citizen Area Plan	's Advisory Com	mittee, if par	t of the approved	Terms of Reference
·	,	•				· · ·
113725	¢		* *			

GP - 48

Outlines a process, each Area Plan adopted by Council and City has well established planning processes



City of Richmond

Page 2 of 2 Adopted by Council: Jan. 9/84 POLICY 5028 File Ref: 4045-00 AREA PLANNING PROGRAM – PLANNING PROCESS

Policy Manual

3. Advisory Planning Commission

4. Planning and Development Services Committee of Council

(Planning Department)

Reason for proposed rescission: Outlines a process, OCP process adopted by Council and City has well established planning processes

Ì.		City of Richmond	Policy Manual		
Page 1 of 1		Adopted by Council: Jan. 9/84	POLICY 5029		
File Ref: 4045	-00	OFFICIAL COMMUNITY PLAN – PLANNING P	PROCESS		
POLIC	CY 5029				
It is C	ouncil po	olicy that:			
Cound	cil places	a high priority on implementing and reviewing th	e Official Community Plan.		
		f Council that the Official Community Plan will p the following planning objectives:	provide the overall framework for		
1.	objectiv	vise Council on the means of implementing co ves and policies on a priority basis that will r pment program for managed growth.			
2.		k with citizens in developing community needs a Community Planning Process.	nd goals, as well as participating		
3.		se with other Corporate departments in prepar Community Plan.	ing, initiating and reviewing the		
4.		To cooperate with and coordinate other government agencies in the City in developing and implementing their own activities which relate to the public planning process.			
5.		ablish a policy basis for a coordinated, long-r es for the provision of facilities and services need			
6.	for pu	ke planning information available to assist citizer blic and private planning decisions and enco ng process.			
7.		ve as a general planning framework to be augme c needs of the City.	ented when necessary with more		
8.	To red decisio	cognize the social and economic effects of ons.	physical planning policies and		
involv	e the fo	sure staff and community involvement and partic llowing committees in the planning process for nunity Plan:			
1.	Staff S	teering and Coordinating Policy Committee			
2.	Adviso	Advisory Planning Commission			
3.	Planni	ng and Development Services Committee of Cour	ncil		
(Plan	ning Dep	partment)			
113728		GP - 50	· ·		

Reason for proposed rescission: Outdated, new Council strategy in place

Ĩ.	City of Richmond	Policy Manual			
Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: May 26/86	POLICY 5030			
File Ref: 4150-00					
Council Pol	30: opts the Economic Development Strategy (The icy statement and commits it to implementation. In iate Corporate policies and programs reflect the a	so doing, Council will ensure that			
the strategy					
	bjectives of Richmond's Economic Development Str	alegy are to.			
1. Maii	ntain Richmond's economic diversity by:				
a)	encouraging development in those sectors with	growth prospects;			
b)	bolstering those sectors which are stable or face	e some risk of decline.			
	mpt to maintain Richmond's shares of regional l dential growth by:	to maintain Richmond's shares of regional light industrial, retail/service and ial growth by:			
a)	ensuring that there are adequate supplies of la development;	and for a wide range of types of			
b)	ensuring adequate accessibility and services, w City.	ithin the financial capability of the			
	imize the efficiency and reasonableness of the land redure.	a use and development regulatory			
	ablish an effective Economic Development organizer rmation services and encouraging/ aiding prospective				
5. Enh	ance Richmond's profile and image as a place to de	evelop, to visit and to live.			
*					
e i					
(m	Development)				

Reason for proposed rescission: Replaced in Job Sharing Administrative Procedure

	City of Richmond	Policy Manual
Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Jan. 25/93	POLICY 6003
File Ref: 1450-00	SUPERANNUATION OPTION FOR JOB SHARIN	IG
POLICY 600		, , , ,
the opportun	ees who are non-contributors to the Municipal Super ity to join the plan if they occupy a Council-appro er a job-sharing arrangement, on the understanding t	ved, regular full-time position
1.	the eligibility period will be based on calendar mont	ihs; and
2.	the pension plan contributions will be pro-rated, b worked.	pased on the number of hours
	2. • *	
	× .	•• .

(Personnel Department)

113778

GP - 52

Not current, buy back period is 5 years; exempt staff no longer have probationary period

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by I/C Council: Feb. 12/96	POLICY 6005
File Ref: 1450-00	SUPERANNUATION - EXEMPT EMPLOYEE PROBATIONA	RY PERIOD PURCHASE

POLICY 6005:

It is Council policy that:

The City will contribute up to 50% of the Superannuation Plan probationary period pension buyback for all exempt employees, provided they have completed 10 years' service with the City by the date of the employee request, until such policy is no longer required due to the elimination of the probationary period.

(Deputy City Administrator)

Reason for proposed rescission: Not current, exempt no longer have probationary period

40608

City of Richmond Policy Manual Page 1 of 1 Aug/96 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 6005.01 File Ref: 1450-00 SUPERANNUATION - EXEMPT EMPLOYEE PROBATIONARY PERIOD PURCHASE **ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE 6005.01:** The following conditions shall apply, and process be followed, in the implementation of the purchase by an exempt City employee, of their portion of their Superannuation Fund probationary period of service. An application to purchase a Superannuation Fund probationary period of service, 1. whether served with the City of Richmond or another qualified employer as defined in the Superannuation Plan, shall be made in writing to the Finance & Corporate Services Administrator by the employee in question, either: while the employee is currently employed in, and has been permanently (a) assigned to, an exempt position; OR (b) within sixty (60) days of any of the following: (i) · retirement; (ii) termination of employment; (iii) voluntary cessation of employment; (iv) being assigned to a position within a bargaining unit; or being placed on long term disability. (v) from a permanent exempt position, provided the employee has completed 10 years of service with the City (including the Public Library Board and the Gateway Theatre Board). 2. For the purposes of clause 1, such period of employment: need not have been continuous; (a)may include a part year(s) of service on a pro-rated basis; and (b)may include permanent part-time service on a pro-rated basis, (c)provided the total person years of service meets the necessary requirement. (Human Resources)

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adapted by Council: Nov 14/78	POLICY 6500
Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Nov. 14/78	FOLICI 0300
File Ref: 1810-00	ADVERTISING VACANCIES FOR SENIOR POSITIONS	

POLICY 6500:

It is Council policy that:

The filling of vacancies for the positions of **City Administrator**, **Department Head and Deputy Department Head** (or positions of comparable level), be processed as follows:

1. Positions must be considered as open competition.

2. Positions must be concurrently posted internally and advertised externally.

(Personnel Department)

Reason for proposed rescission: Not current, CAO authority and Employment Review SubCommittee review

	City	of Richmond	Policy	Manual
Page 1 of 1	Adopted by C	ouncil: April 14/98		POLICY 6706
File Ref: 1810-00	STAFF COM	PLEMENT CHANGES (POSITI	ON COMPLEMENT	CONTROL)
POLICY	6706:	- ·		
It is Cour	ncil Policy that:			
	ach Division Adminis	strator, with the concurrence of t where:	he City Administrate	or, be authorized
(8	i) the position is Complement C	an approved existing or reclas Control (maintained in Human Re	sified position in th sources Department	e City's Position t);
(b) adequate fund	ing is available within approved I	oudget levels;	
(c	of a needs to	dministrator has determined that est, designed to ensure that and efficiency program requireme	t conforms to curr	the requirements ent organization
2. S w	taff be authorized to hich have been dete	o eliminate vacant positions from	n the Position Com	plement Control
bi-r	ch Division Administr monthly updates on a ir respective division	rator be directed to provide the a all activity regarding the filling or s.	opropriate Committe elimination of vacan	e of Council with t positions within
			2	• •
		ж. — ж.		
			a 6	
, ,		· · · ·	¥	× .
•				* . •
				· ·
				* .
(City Adn	ninistrator)			* . * .
(City Adn	ninistrator)	· · ·	, , ,	*
(City Adn	ninistrator)		, , ,	* .
(City Adn	ninistrator)		•	
(City Adn	ninistrator)			

Reason for proposed rescission: Covered in Policy 6006



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1		ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE
File Ref: 0050-07 60	PURCHASE OF FLOWERS BY THE CITY	6107.01

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE:

Flowers will be sent by the City of Richmond only in the case of death of an employee, their spouse or their child.

LINE DEPARTMENT

Contacts the Administrative Assistant - Personnel at 276-4147 as soon as informed of the death. Provides details as to:

who died whether the family is in town and, if not, when they will return

PERSONNEL

Makes arrangements with the florist.

Notifies the Mayor's Office and the Councillor's Office of the death.

(Personnel Department)

May/14/99

Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant

B	City of Richmond	Policy Manual
Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Mar. 11/74	POLICY 7010
File Ref: 6450-00	CROSSWALKS - SIGNS	
POLICY 701 It is Council		т. ₁ . с
	sign all marked and posted crosswalks as Pedestr osswalk will be identified by advance warning signs.	

(Engineering Department)

113911 / 6450-00

.

Reason for proposed rescission: Bylaw repealed, policy not relevant


			City of Richmond	Policy Manual
Page 1	of 1		Adopted by Council: Feb. 22/82	POLICY 7014
File Ref	: 6350	-00	DEVELOPMENTS - ACCESS NEAR MAJOR INTE	RSECTIONS
	POLIC	Y 7014		
1			licy that:	· · · · · ·
•				
Ξ.		Intersed	tions for Richmond are identified in Bylaw 4704, "A By tions", and the development policy implications resu	
	1.	and lo	the frontage of a development is less than 70 m, al cal arterials within 70 m of the real or imaginary sto intersection" shall be "right turns only".	
	2.		es located at a major intersection of two roads of lar access should be on the lower-ranked road. The r :	
	,	a) b) c) d)	Provincial and Federal Highways Major Arterial Road Local Arterial Road Collector Street	
	3.		lidation of lands at major intersections should be end should be discouraged to lessen the number of access	
	4.		redevelopment occurs at a major intersection, the ate with the land owners to minimize the number o k.	
	6			
			*	
	and and a second se		2	
	(Engir	neering	Department)	

GP - 59

Reason for proposed rescission: Replaced by Tree Bylaw 8057

E.	City of Richmond	Policy Manual
Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: Sept. 22/86	POLICY 7702
ile Ref: 6550-00	TREES – PRESERVATION AND REMOVAL	
	1 · · · ·	
POLICY 7702	4	
It is Council p	olicy that:	х ,
	il encourages the preservation of trees on City ing rights-of-way and highways.	property wherever possible,
2. Tree r	emoval requests be decided individually, against the	following criteria:
a)	the tree is diseased;	
b)	the tree is damaging or in conflict with utilities;	·
c)	the tree is in conflict with necessary new construction	on works;
d)	the tree creates a hazardous or dangerous situation	n;
e)	the tree is damaging private property;	
f)	the tree has heritage, environmental, or other value	es supporting preservation
	rization for resolving tree removal requests be given	
	the City Engineer, for removal on road rights-of-way	
· · · ·	the Director of Parks & Leisure Services, for remov	
	the Director of Planning, for removal on Cit rights-of-way or parks.	y property other than road
	of work for tree removal is of a major proportion, the te Standing Committee for recommendation to Coun	
		ж
	* .	
,*		4
		· · ·
	•	· . · ·
(Engineering	Department)	
,		
113935 / 6550-00	· · ·	

Reason for proposed rescission: Community Arts Council no longer a partner, not relevant



Reason for proposed rescission: Not relevant or required

City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: June 22/70	POLICY 9010
File Ref: 8350-00	BUILDING PERMITS – BUILDINGS CONSTRUC SCHOOL STUDENTS	CTED WITHIN RICHMOND BY HIGH

POLICY 9010:

It is Council policy that:

1. All required permit fees shall apply when any building constructed by students as part of the Richmond School District Education Program is relocated in Richmond.

2. The building will require inspections by the Permits & Licences Department to ensure conformity with construction codes and City bylaws.

3. The inspection fee for moving the building will not be required when it has been constructed for use by the School Board or City; the fee will, however, be required if the building has been contracted for non-public use and will be relocated within Richmond.

(Permits & Licences Department)

114330/8350-00

Reason for proposed rescission: Redundant, in Building Regulation Bylaw 7230



City of Richmond

Page 1 of 1 Adopted by Council: Dec. 8/80 POLICY 9011 File Ref: 8350-00 SECONDARY DWELLING UNIT – DEFERRED DEMOLITION OR CONVERSION

Policy Manual

POLICY 9011:

It is Council policy that:

An owner wishing to live in an existing dwelling, while constructing another dwelling on the same lot, shall enter into a Restrictive Covenant Agreement with the City, undertaking to either:

1. Remove the existing dwelling upon completion of the new dwelling; or

2. Convert the existing dwelling to a non-residential use.

The Restrictive Covenant shall be registered against the property land title and discharged from the land title upon satisfactory completion of such demolition or conversion.

(Permits & Licences Department)

114333 / 8350-00

Reason for proposed rescission: Redundant, in Fire Establishment Bylaw 4987



City of Richmond

Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: April 24/95	POLICY 9014
File Ref: 5170-00	PUBLIC SERVICE REQUESTS: FIRE RESCUE	

POLICY 9014:

It is Council policy that:

The Richmond Fire-Rescue Department will respond to public service requests, provided that such requests meet any of the following criteria:

- Assistance where there is a potential threat to life and safety or damage to taxpayers' property;
- 2. Assistance where incidents require specialty equipment, personnel expertise, or services not provided by another City agency or the private sector;
- Non-emergency responses will only occur when apparatus and manpower are not required for a higher-priority emergency situation, and private sector assistance is not available.

(Special Services Division)

40938 / 0095-20-9014

Reason for proposed rescission: Not required, Provincial jurisdiction



City of Richmond Policy Manual

Page 1 of 1	Adopted by Council: June 22/81	POLICY 9302
File Ref: 8275-00	NEIGHBOURHOOD PUBS - HOURS OF OPERATI	ION

POLICY 9302:

It is Council policy that:

Pub closing hours in Richmond are midnight, with the exception of Friday and Saturday nights, when they may remain open one hour longer (i.e., 1 a.m. Saturday and 1 a.m. Sunday).

(Planning Department)

114344 / 8275-00