Schedule 1 to the minutes of the Development Permit Panel Meeting held on Wednesday, March 15th, 2006

04-267205

received 3/3/66

Cook Road

Richmond B.C.

13th March 2006

City of Richmond 6911 No. 3 Road Richmond B.C. V6Y 2C1

ATTENTION: Mr. David Weber, Director, City Clerks Office

Re: Development Property Location 6468 Cooney Road Richmond

- 1. The construction of 20 townhouse units replacing three single gamily house would be too cluttered and crowded both for homes for families and other traffi
- 2. We do not agree to allow a relaxation of By-law #5300 to reduce the rear yard set Back from 6.55m to 5.4m By-laws are put in place to allow conformity in development and construction for the good of the entire community.

6.55 m -5,40 M 3.773 FT.

There is already too little yard area or space between buildings and developments. This particular location borders in the back side of our townhouse. Our location was developed according to By-laws in place and it would not be right to expect our agreement to relax By-laws for a new development pushing buildings ever closer to neighbouring property.

Any advantage gained by relax of variance would only be to the benefit of the developer who would be long gone after construction completion and leaving residents and neighbours living on top of each other with no space for outside living or enjoyment.

No we do not think that the request of any variance should be granted. The developers and their architects were aware of the limitations and By-laws when they purchased the property for the development.

The answer is NO THANK YOU.

Yours truly,

Hong Man Kam (Owner of Unit No. 3)

Chushouandor ("" " 05)

Ronald Marelino Marel Marks (Owner of unit 04)

Felimon Tee (unit #1)

Com The King (Unit #2)

EDWIN IN (UNIT #6) OWNER

To Development Permit Panel
Date: March 15, 2006
Item # 2
Re: 6468 Cooney Rd 10

ロー8461 Cook Road Richmond B.C. V6Y/V6

		INT
V	ĎW GJ ΚΥ	3
	G	
	KY	
	DAW	
	DB	
	WB	
·		

04-267205

City of Richmond 6911 No. 3 Road Richmond B.C. V6Y 2C1

ATTENTION: Mr. David Weber, Director, City Clerks Office

Re: Development Property Location 6468 Cooney Road Richmond

- 1. The construction of 20 townhouse units replacing three single gamily house would be too cluttered and crowded both for homes for families and other traffic.
- 2. We do not agree to allow a relaxation of By-law #5300 to reduce the rear yard set Back from 6.55m to 5.4m By-laws are put in place to allow conformity in development and construction for the good of the entire community.

There is already too little yard area or space between buildings and developments. This particular location borders in the back side of our townhouse. Our location was developed according to By-laws in place and it would not be right to expect our agreement to relax By-laws for a new development pushing buildings ever closer to neighbouring property.

Any advantage gained by relax of variance would only be to the benefit of the developer who would be long gone after construction completion and leaving residents and neighbours living on top of each other with no space for outside living or enjoyment.

No we do not think that the request of any variance should be granted. The developers and their architects were aware of the limitations and By-laws when they purchased the property for the development.

The answer is NO THANK YOU.

 $\times \times I_{\Lambda}$

Yours truly,

G.S. Campbell