

Report to Committee

То:	Community Safety Committee	Date:	December 2, 2015
From:	Mark Corrado Senior Manager, Community Safety Policy and Programs	File:	09-5350-01/2014-Vol 01
Re:	Lower Mainland District Regional Police Service Report 2014/15	Integra	ted Team Annual

Staff Recommendation

That the staff report titled "Lower Mainland District Regional Police Service Integrated Team Annual Report 2014/2015" from the Senior Manager, Community Safety Policy and Programs, dated December 2, 2015, be received for information.

Mark Corrado Senior Manager, Community Safety Policy and Programs

(604-276-4273)

REPORT CONCURRENCE	
CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER	
REVIEWED BY STAFF REPORT / AGENDA REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE	INITIALS:
APPROVED BY CAO	

Staff Report

Origin

On November 26, 2015, the Lower Mainland District of the RCMP released the "Lower Mainland District Regional Police Service Integrated Team Annual Report 2014/2015" (the "Report"). An analysis of the RCMP Report has been prepared to examine whether the City is receiving a level of service commensurate with the payment for these services.

This report supports Council's 2014-2018 Term Goal #1 A Safe Community:

Maintain emphasis on community safety to ensure Richmond continues to be a safe community.

1.4. Effective interagency relationships and partnerships.

Background

The Integrated Teams consist of five specialized units: the Integrated Homicide Investigation Team (IHIT), Integrated Forensic Identification Services (IFIS), Integrated Collision Analysis and Reconstruction Service (ICARS), Integrated Police Dog Services (IPDS) and Emergency Response Team (ERT). These Integrated Teams provide specialized services for municipalities that contract with the RCMP, the Province and independent police departments. The Integrated Teams provide municipalities with the ability to deal with crimes that are highly complex and span multiple jurisdictions.

Another integrated service called the Real Time Intelligence Centre (RTIC) was established in May 2014 and provides real-time situational awareness and a proactive response to serious crimes that cross jurisdictional boundaries with a coordinated approach to information and intelligence sharing between agencies.

The costs of the Integrated Teams are shared by participating municipalities and the funding formula¹ has two criteria:

- 1) Criminal Code Offence 5 year total average criminal code offenses accounts for 75% of the cost sharing
- 2) Population Annual population accounts for 25% of the cost sharing

The federal and provincial governments provide contributions for the cost of the Integrated Teams while the administration of the Integrated Teams costs are charged back to municipalities at full costs. The contributions and charge backs are as follows:

a) The Emergency Response Team has a 50% municipal and 50% provincial and federal cost distribution.

¹ Population and criminal code offenses statistics are based on the report entitled "B.C. Policing Jurisdiction Crime Trends" from the BC Provincial Ministry of Justice, Police Services Division. Example of the generalized formula: *Richmond Overall Share* =

 $^{0.25 \}left(\frac{Population of Richmond}{Total Population of Participating Partners}\right) + 0.75 \left(\frac{Richmond 5 Year Total Average Criminal Code Offenses}{5 Year Total Average Criminal Code Offenses of Participating Partners}\right)$

- b) The Integrated Homicide Investigation Team has a 70/30 cost split, where municipalities are responsible for 70% of the costs (as of April 1, 2012). However, this distribution is currently being discussed between the Provincial and Federal governments and may revert to 90/10 in the future.
- c) All other Integrated Teams have a 90% municipal and 10% federal cost distribution.
- d) Accommodation and Public Service Employee costs are charged to the municipal sector at 100%.
- e) Independent police services that utilize the Integrated Teams contribute 100% of their costs.

Analysis

Historical Expenditures on Integrated Teams

The City of Richmond expenditure on the Integrated Teams for the completed fiscal year 2014/15 (April 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015) was \$3,423,691. Table 1 outlines the historical expenditures and the 5-year forecast of the cost of the Integrated Teams.

Since 2012/13, the City's expenditure on the Integrated Homicide Investigation Team (IHIT) decreased due to the change in the cost sharing ratio for IHIT from 90/10 to 70/30. However, this ratio is currently disputed by the federal government and could revert to 90/10 in the future. It has not been determined whether the City would be retroactively charged for the IHIT credit since April 1, 2012.

The City's expenditure on Integrated Teams increased from \$2,991,355 in 2010/11 to \$3,423,691 in 2014/15, which equates to a compounded average growth rate (CAGR) of 2.7% annually over a five-year period.

Table 1 – City of Richmond Historical Expenditures on Integrated Teams						
Fiscal Year	Actual Annual Cost of RCMP Integrated Teams	Change From Previous Year				
2009/10	\$2,953,960	9.8%				
2010/11	\$2,991,355	1.3%				
2011/12	\$3,363,128	12.4%				
2012/13	\$2,937,868	- 12.6%				
2013/14	\$3,301,957	12.4%				
2014/15	\$3,423,691	3.7%				

Source: City of Richmond. The financial information contained in Table 1 is based on actual invoiced amounts and is slightly different than the financial information provided in the Report.

Budgeted Expenditures on Integrated Teams

In 2015/16, an additional increase in police dog training for Integrated Police Dog Service has been approved at approximately \$1.2M. The costs are shared based on the Integrated Teams formula with Richmond's share being approximately \$100,000 or 8.3%.

The long-term projected cost of Integrated Teams for the City of Richmond in 2020/21 is \$4,312,007, which equates to a CAGR of 1.5% over five years (2016/17 to 2020/21).

Table 2 – Budgeted Expenditures on Integrated Teams						
Fiscal Year	Budgeted Cost of RCMP Integrated Teams	Change From Previous Year				
2015/16	\$4,025,146					
2016/17	\$4,008,017	-0.4%				
2017/18	\$4,031,538	0.6%				
2018/19	\$4,120,410	2.2%				
2019/20	\$4,215,074	2.3%				
2020/21	\$4,312,007	2.3%				

Source: RCMP 5 Year Plan with IHIT cost distribution at 70/30, as of May 2015

Analysis of Cost Share by Municipalities Compared to "Value of Services Received"

The current cost sharing formula for Integrated Teams is by population (25%) and criminal code offenses (75%) of participating municipalities². As such, the cost for Integrated Teams should increase or decrease based on the relative change in population and criminal code statistics of the participating municipalities. There is often no direct correlation between the cost sharing and the utilization of the Integrated Teams by municipalities.

Under the current cost sharing structure, the City pays a fixed fee for access to the services of the Integrated Teams, regardless of actual responded incidents. This section of the report attempts to ascertain the "value of service received" based on "calls for service" data presented in the Report. The underlying assumptions are:

- i. It is assumed that the cost allocation is based on the municipality where the crime is reported, detected or committed. However many cases investigated by the Integrated Teams are multi-jurisdictional in nature.
- ii. It is assumed that all cases cost the same. Likewise, it is recognized that some cases are far more complex and require more investigative resources than others.

Tables 3 through 8 provide a comparison of the City's cost share under the current funding formula and the value of service received based on the calls for service data³, with the exception of IHIT where the number of homicides were used.

Based on a three year average, the City had received 1,909 calls for service of Integrated Teams per year and the average annual cost of the Integrated Teams to the City was \$3,191,662 (cost per calls for service is \$1,672). The figures are provided in Table 3 below.

The City has consistently paid more than the value of service received. In 2014/15, the City paid \$373,211 more than the value of service received through calls for service.

² The cost share is calculated separately for each Integrated Teams due to the differences of participating municipalities.

³ The cost share amount, calls for service data and other relevant information used in this section of the analysis were obtained from the RCMP Integrated Teams Annual Report. As well, the 3 year average of the Value of Service Received is based on the total average cost and the total average occurrences for the 3 years. Therefore, the 3 Year Average Value of Services Received provided in the tables is not a straight average of the presented data.

All Integrated Teams – Richmond						
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share – Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service	
2010/11	2,356	2,987,087	1,933,686	1,053,401	1,268	
2011/12	2,270	3,348,869	3,152,891	195,978	1,475	
2012/13	2,169	2,926,774	2,896,848	29,926	1,349	
2013/14	1,812	3,290,570	2,918,115	372,455	1,816	
2014/15	1,745	3,357,641	2,984,430	373,211	1,924	
3 Year Average	1,909	3,191,662	2,986,063	205,599	1,672	

Table 3 – City of Richmond Integrated Team Cost Share Compared to Value of Services Received

For a cost share comparison to the "Value of Services Received" of Integrated Teams for all participating municipalities (excludes Provincial figures), please refer to Attachment 1. For Richmond's cost share to the "Value of Service Received" for individual teams, please refer to Attachment 2.

A review of the cost sharing compared to the calls-for-service showed that most municipalities pay more than their usage in terms of calls-for-service. Table 4 is a comparison of other larger participating municipalities cost share compared to the value of service received.

		2014/15		2013/14			
City	Cost Share	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Cost Share	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	
Burnaby	4,379,129	4,476,100	(96,971)	4,391,771	3,465,605	926,166	
City of North Vancouver	1,059,810	681,037	378,773	1,051,233	671,566	379,667	
Richmond	3,357,641	2,984,430	373,211	3,290,570	2,918,115	372,455	
Surrey	11,014,955	13,483,705	(2,468,750)	10,691,696	13,834,701	(3,143,005)	

Table 4 - Comparison of Major Cities Over Two Years

The current funding formula for the Integrated Teams is based on 25% population and 75% of all criminal case offences. As highlighted in Attachment 1, 16 out of the 24 (67%) contracting partners of Integrated Teams paid more than the value of service received in 2014/15. The Integrated Teams ensure a regional cost sharing model for potentially costly and unforeseen investigations.

Financial Impact

None

Conclusion

Staff will continue to examine and monitor, based on historical usage, the annual costs and benefits to the City of Richmond of the RCMP Integrated Teams.

Cep.

Mark Corrado Senior Manager, Community Safety Policy & Programs (604-276-4273)

ATTACHMENT - 1

Cost Share Compared to Value of Services Received by Integrated Teams for All Participating Municipalities (excludes Provincial figures)

Municipality	Number of Calls For Service 2014/15	Cost Share Amount 2014/15		Value of Service Received 2014/15		Paid More/ (Paid Less)	Cost per Call 2014/15
Abbotsford	1,091	1,691,189	4.4%	1,193,432	3.1%	497,757	1,550
Burnaby	2,375	4,379,129	11.5%	4,476,100	11.8%	(96,971)	1,844
Chilliwack	1,395	2,099,579	5.5%	2,886,829	7.6%	(787,250)	1,505
Coquitlam	1,309	2,243,471	5.9%	1,669,728	4.4%	573,743	1,714
Delta	208	535,273	1.4%	256,584	0.7%	278,689	2,573
Норе	94	191,561	0.5%	126,678	0.3%	64,883	2,038
Kent	48	94,976	0.2%	587,121	1.5%	(492,145)	1,979
Langley City	564	852,428	2.2%	657,858	1.7%	194,570	1,511
Langley Township	1,292	2,135,985	5.6%	2,432,023	6.4%	(296,038)	1,653
Maple Ridge	1,054	1,704,626	4.5%	1,954,819	5.1%	(250,193)	1,617
Mission	437	956,904	2.5%	904,902	2.4%	52,002	2,190
New Westminster	323	1,217,821	3.2%	1,059,825	2.8%	157,996	3,770
North Vancouver City	435	1,059,810	2.8%	681,037	1.8%	378,773	2,436
North Vancouver District	334	1,205,842	3.2%	345,700	0.9%	860,142	3,610
Pitt Meadows	208	347,687	0.9%	210,712	0.6%	136,975	1,672
Port Coquitlam	334	1,082,778	2.8%	634,348	1.7%	448,430	3,242
Port Moody	79	336,160	0.9%	132,172	0.3%	203,988	4,255
Richmond	1,745	3,357,641	8.8%	2,984,430	7.8%	373,211	1,924
Sechelt	51	170,050	0.4%	339,311	0.9%	(169,261)	3,334
Squamish	174	474,111	1.2%	214,579	0.6%	259,532	2,725
Surrey	7,136	11,014,955	28.9%	13,483,705	35.4%	(2,468,750)	1,544
West Vancouver	86	228,630	0.6%	119,494	0.3%	109,136	2,658
Whistler	69	340,020	0.9%	330,020	0.9%	10,000	4,928
White Rock	97	343,482	0.9%	382,698	1.0%	(39,216)	3,541
n de la companya de la companya de la companya. Na segunda de la companya de la comp	20,938	38,064,108	100%	38,064,108	100%		1,818

NOTES

(1) Abbotsford: IHIT and PDS only

(2) Delta: ERT and PDS only. Joined ERT and PDS less than 3 years

(3) New Westminster: ERT, IHIT and PDS only. Joined ERT and PDS less than 3 years

(4) Port Moody: ERT, IHIT and PDS only. Joined ERT, IHIT and PDS less than 3 years

(5) West Vancouver: ICARS and IFIS only. Joined ICARS and IFIS less than 3 years

ATTACHMENT – 2

Emergency Response Team – Richmond						
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share – Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service	
2010/11	73	420,695	234,277	186,418	5,763	
2011/12	114	467,302	210,755	256,547	4,099	
2012/13	122	441,654	319,063	122,591	3,620	
2013/14	50	487,186	194,742	292,444	9,744	
2014/15	47	513,702	258,378	255,324	10,930	
3 Year Average	73	480,847	277,802	203,045	6,587	

Integrated Collision Analysis and Reconstruction Service – Richmond						
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share - Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service	
2010/11	7	195,773	76,023	119,750	27,968	
2011/12	19	208,378	224,608	(16,230)	10,967	
2012/13	13	196,262	160,035	36,227	15,097	
2013/14	17	201,774	229,132	(27,358)	11,869	
2014/15	21	204,817	245,517	(40,700)	9,753	
3 Year Average	17	200,951	212,707	(11,756)	11,821	

	Integrated Forensic Identification Services – Richmond						
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share - Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service		
2010/11	847	675,535	700,892	(25,357)	798		
2011/12	954	779,269	914,136	(134,867)	817		
2012/13	994	766,673	812,913	(46,240)	771		
2013/14	808	866,008	964,712	(98,704)	1,072		
2014/15	787	907,594	900,474	7,120	1,153		
3 Year Average	863	846,758	899,232	(52,473)	981		

Integrated Homicide Investigation Team – Richmond						
Year	Number of Homicide	Cost Share - Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service	
2010/11	0	1,205,389	0	1,205,389	n/a	
2011/12	2	1,326,837	919,687	407,150	663,419	
2012/13	3	949,151	964,029	(14,878)	316,384	
2013/14	2	1,112,800	876,221	236,579	556,400	
2014/15	4	1,127,113	1,026,789	100,324	281,778	
3 Year Average	3	1,063,021	968,868	94,153	354,340	

3 Year Average31,063,021968,86894,153354,340Note: Number of homicides was used to tabulate value of service received, instead of calls for service.

Integrated Police Dog Service – Richmond						
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share - Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service	
2010/11	1,429	489,695	922,493	(432,798)	343	
2011/12	1,181	567,083	883,705	(316,622)	480	
2012/13	1,037	573,034	640,808	(67,774)	553	
2013/14	935	622,802	653,308	(30,506)	666	
2014/15	886	604,415	553,272	51,143	682	
3 Year Average	953	600,084	627,454	(27,370)	630	