

Report to Committee

To:

Community Safety Committee

Date:

November 19, 2014

Anne Stevens

File:

09-5350-01/2014-Vol

From:

Senior Manager,

Community Safety Policy and Programs

Re:

Lower Mainland District Regional Police Service Integrated Team Annual

Report 2013/14

Staff Recommendation

That the report titled "Lower Mainland District Regional Police Service Integrated Team Annual Report 2013/2014" from the Senior Manager, Community Safety Policy and Programs, dated November 19, 2014, be received for information.

Anne Stevens Senior Manager,

Community Safety Policy and Programs

(604-276-4273)

REPORT CONCURRENCE	
CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER	
REVIEWED BY STAFF REPORT / AGENDA REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE	Initials:
APPROVED BY CAO	

Staff Report

Origin

In August 2014, the Lower Mainland District of the RCMP released the "Lower Mainland District Regional Police Service Integrated Team Annual Report 2013/2014" (the "Report"). An analysis of their Report has been prepared to examine whether the City is receiving a level of service commensurate with the payment made.

This report supports Council's Term Goal #1 Community Safety:

To ensure Richmond remains a safe and desirable community to live, work and play in, through the delivery of effective public safety services that are targeted to the City's specific needs and priorities.

Background

The Integrated Teams consist of five specialized units: the Integrated Homicide Investigation Team (IHIT), Integrated Forensic Identification Services (IFIS), Integrated Collision Analysis and Reconstruction Service (ICARS), Integrated Police Dog Services (IPDS) and Emergency Response Team (ERT). These Integrated Teams provide specialized services for municipalities that contract with the RCMP, the Province and independent police departments. The Integrated Teams provide municipalities with the ability to deal with crimes that are highly complex and span multiple jurisdictions.

A new service called the Real Time Intelligence Centre (RTIC) was established in May 2014 and provides real-time situational awareness and a proactive response to serious crimes that cross jurisdictional boundaries with a coordinated approach to information and intelligence sharing between agencies.

The costs of the Integrated Teams are shared by participating municipalities and the funding formula¹ has two criteria:

- 1) Criminal Code Offence 5 year total average criminal code offenses accounts for 75% of the cost sharing
- 2) Population Annual population accounts for 25% of the cost sharing

The federal and provincial governments provide contributions for the cost of the Integrated Teams while the administration of the Integrated Teams costs are charged back to municipalities at full costs. The contributions and charge backs are as follows:

- a) The Emergency Response Team has a 50% municipal and 50% provincial cost distribution.
- b) All other Integrated Teams have a 90% municipal and 10% federal cost distribution.

¹ Population and criminal code offenses statistics are based on the report entitled "B.C. Policing Jurisdiction Crime Trends" from the BC Provincial Ministry of Justice, Police Services Division. Example of the generalized formula:

*Richmond Overall Share =

 $^{0.25 \}left(\frac{\textit{Population of Richmond}}{\textit{Total Population of Participating Partners}}\right) + 0.75 \left(\frac{\textit{Richmond 5 Year Total Average Criminal Code Offenses}}{\textit{5 Year Total Average Criminal Code Offenses of Participating Partners}}\right)$

- c) The Integrated Homicide Investigation Team has a 70% municipal and 30% federal cost distribution (as of April 1, 2012). However, this distribution is currently being discussed between the Provincial and Federal governments and may revert to 90/10 in the future.
- d) Accommodation and Public Service Employee costs are charged to the municipal sector at 100%.
- e) Independent police services that utilize the Integrated Teams contribute 100% of their costs.
- f) The provincial contribution to the individual teams is noted in the Report under the section pertinent to the team.

Analysis

City of Richmond Expenditures on Integrated Teams

The City of Richmond expenditure on the Integrated Teams for the completed fiscal year 2013/14 (April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014) was \$3,301,957. Table 1 outlines the historical expenditures and the 5-year forecast of the cost of the Integrated Teams. The financial information contained in the table below is based on actual invoiced amounts and is slightly different than the financial information contained in the Report.

Table 1 – City of Richmond Expenditures on Integrated Teams

	Fiscal Year	RCMP Integrated Teams	Increase / (Decrease)	Percentage Change from Previous Year
	2008/09	\$2,690,816		
	2009/10	\$2,953,960	\$263,144	9.8%
nal	2010/11	\$2,991,355	\$37,395	1.3%
Actual	2011/12	\$3,363,128	\$371,773	12.4%
	2012/13	\$2,937,868	\$(425,260)	- 12.6%
	2013/14	\$3,301,957	\$364,089	12.4%
	2014/15	\$3,566,386	\$264,429	8.0%
	2015/16	\$4,399,004	\$832,618	23.3%
eted	2016/17	\$4,464,305	\$65,301	1.5%
Budgeted	2017/18	\$4,505,098	\$40,793	0.9%
	2018/19	\$4,581,286	\$76,188	1.7%
	2019/20	\$4,663,690	\$82,404	1.8%

Note: 2008/09 to 2013/14 is actual expenditures. 2014/15 is year-end forecast as of July 2014. 2015/16 to 2019/20 is 5 year projection provided by "E" Division RCMP with IHIT cost distribution at 90/10, as of May 2014.

.4331511 v6 CS - 81

The City's expenditure on Integrated Teams increased from \$2,953,960 in 2009/10 to \$3,301,957 in 2013/14, which equates to a compounded average growth rate (CAGR) of 2.3% annually over a 5 year period. The long-term projected cost of Integrated Teams for the City of Richmond in 2019/20 is at \$4,663,690, which equates to a CAGR of 4.6% over 6 years (2014/15 to 2019/20).

Since the RCMP's Multi-year Plan was released earlier this year, there has been one increase in contract costs that was not included in the original budget estimate. An additional increase in personnel for Integrated Forensic Identification has been approved and are shared based on the Integrated Teams formula with Richmond's share being approximately 9.6%.

The RTIC commenced operations in May 2014 and is currently providing services to municipalities in the Metro Vancouver region only. Staffing and resource increases will be conducted in stages and the targeted date for full operational capacity is January 2015. The full cost of RTIC to the City of Richmond is budgeted at \$151,000 in 2015/16.

The forecasted 2014/15 year-end cost² of the Integrated Teams for the City is \$3,566,386 which is \$264,429, or 8%, higher than last year. Salary, pension (employer's portion), and the newly established Real Time Intelligence Centre (RTIC) are the main drivers for the cost increase.

In 2012/13, the City's expenditure on Integrated Teams decreased by \$425,260, a change of -12.6%, due to the change in the cost sharing for IHIT from 90/10 to 70/30 cost distribution.

Lower Mainland Integrated Teams 2014/15 Budget

In 2013/14, the budget for all Integrated Teams was \$58.3 million and in 2014/15 the budget is \$56.1 million, a decrease of \$2.2 million or 3.8%³. Table 2 below outlines the key areas of the projected cost increases and decreases for the 2014/15 budget (amount represents all Integrated Teams, except for RTIC). In summary from 2013/14 to 2014/15, the direct cost⁴ of all of the Integrated Teams decreased \$1,471,051 or 3.5%, while the indirect cost⁵ decreased \$735,979 or 4.4% compared to the previous year.

4331511 v6 CS - 82

² Year-end forecast as of July 2014 and is subject to change.

³ Table 2 is compared based on budgeted figures supplied by the RCMP and does not include RTIC. For 2013/14, the Integrated Teams ran a budget surplus of \$6.54M (approximately 11% variance). This impacts the 2014/15 percentage increase represented in Table 1 because the amount is compared based on actual cost - not budgeted. 2014/15 cost is based on latest year-end forecast (in July 2014) including the cost of RTIC and reduction based on IHIT 70/30 split, while the 2013/14 is the actual cost to the City for Integrated Teams.

⁴ Direct Cost is defined as: Salary costs, allowances, and operations and maintenance.

Indirect Cost is defined as: Pension, employer contributions (EI, CPP, etc.), National Programs, administration support, accommodation and training.

Table 2 - 2014/15 Budgeted Increases by Category

Category	Increase / (Decrease)	Percentage Change from Previous Year
Salary	\$(1,277,405)	- 4.4%
Divisional Administration	\$(1,342,900)	- 19.3%
Building and Accommodation	\$(120,000)	- 3.3%
Pension	\$677,998	16.7%
Professional Services	\$670,617	13.8%
Other	\$(815,340)	- 8.3%
Total Cost	\$(2,207,030)	- 3.8%

Analysis of Cost Share by Municipalities Compared to "Value of Services Received"

The current cost sharing formula for Integrated Teams is by population (25%) and criminal code offenses (75%) of participating municipalities⁶. As such, the cost for Integrated Teams should increase or decrease based on the relative change in population and criminal code statistics of the participating municipalities. There is often no direct correlation between the cost sharing and the utilization of the Integrated Teams by municipalities.

Under the current cost sharing structure, the City pays a fixed fee for access to the services of the Integrated Teams, regardless of actual responded incidents. This section of the report attempts to ascertain the "value of service received" based on "calls for service" data presented in the Report 2012/2013. The underlying assumptions are:

- i. It is assumed that the cost allocation is based on the municipality where the crime is reported, detected or committed. With this in mind, it is recognized that crimes investigated by Integrated Teams are multi-jurisdictional in nature.
- ii. It is assumed that all occurrences cost the same. Likewise, it is recognized that some occurrences are far more complex and require more investigative resources than others.

Tables 3 through 8 provide a comparison of the City's cost share under the current funding formula and the value of service received based on the calls for service data⁷, with the exception of IHIT where the number of homicides were used.

Based on a three year average, the City had received 2,084 calls for service of Integrated Teams per year and the average annual cost of the Integrated Teams to the City was \$3,188,738 (cost per calls for service is \$1,530). The figures are provided in Table 3 below.

⁶ The cost share is calculated separately for each Integrated Teams due to the differences of participating municipalities.

⁷ The cost share amount, calls for service data and other relevant information used in this section of the analysis were obtained from the RCMP Integrated Teams Annual Report. As well, the 3 year average of the Value of Service Received is based on the total average cost and the total average occurrences for the 3 years. Therefore, the 3 Year Average Value of Services Received provided in the tables is not a straight average of the presented data.

The City has consistently paid more than the value of service received. In 2013/14, the City paid \$372,455 more than the value of service received through calls for service – this is two times (2.04 multiple) the amount compared to the 3 year average of \$182,355.

Table 3 – City of Richmond Integrated Team Cost Share Compared to Value of Services Received

		All Integrated Te	ams – Richmond		
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share – Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service
2010/11	2,356	2,987,087	1,933,686	1,053,401	1,268
2011/12	2,270	3,348,869	3,152,891	195,978	1,475
2012/13	2,169	2,926,774	2,896,848	29,926	1,349
2013/14	1,812	3,290,570	2,918,115	372,455	1,816
3 Year Average	2,084	3,188,738	3,006,383	182,355	1,530

Table 4 - Emergency Response Team Value of Service Received

	En	nergency Respons	se Team – Richmo	nd	
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share – Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service
2010/11	73	420,695	234,277	186,418	5,763
2011/12	114	467,302	210,755	256,547	4,099
2012/13	122	441,654	319,063	122,591	3,620
2013/14	50	487,186	194,742	292,444	9,744
3 Year Average	95	465,381	246,536	218,845	4,882

Table 5 - Integrated Collision Analysis and Reconstruction Service Value of Service Received

In	itegrated Collis	ion Analysis and F	Reconstruction Se	rvice – Richmond	
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share - Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service
2010/11	7	195,773	76,023	119,750	27,968
2011/12	19	208,378	224,608	(16,230)	10,967
2012/13	13	196,262	160,035	36,227	15,097
2013/14	17	201,774	229,132	(27,358)	11,869
3 Year Average	16	202,138	204,006	(1,868)	12,376

Note: For 2013/14, the ICARS CFS on Provincial Highways were counted as Provincial Jurisdictions.

Table 6 - Integrated Forensic Identification Services Value of Service Received

	Integrate	d Forensic Identif	cation Services –	Richmond	
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share - Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service
2010/11	847	675,535	700,892	(25,357)	798
2011/12	954	779,269	914,136	(134,867)	817
2012/13	994	766,673	812,913	(46,240)	771
2013/14	808	866,008	964,712	(98,704)	1,072
3 Year Average	919	803,983	894,447	(90,463)	875

Table 7 - Integrated Homicide Investigation Team Value of Service Received

	Integrate	d Homicide Inves	tigation Team – I	Richmond	
Year	Number of Homicide	Cost Share - Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service
2010/11	0	1,205,389	0	1,205,389	n/a
2011/12	2	1,326,837	919,687	407,150	663,419
2012/13	3	949,151	964,029	(14,878)	316,384
2013/14	2	1,112,800	876,221	236,579	556,400
3 Year Average	2	1,129,596	942,215	187,381	484,113

Note: Number of homicides was used to tabulate value of service received, instead of calls for service.

Table 8 - Integrated Police Dog Service Value of Service Received

	Int	egrated Police Do	g Service – Richm	iond	
Year	Calls For Service	Cost Share - Richmond	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Annual Cost per Calls For Service
2010/11	1,429	489,695	922,493	(432,798)	343
2011/12	1,181	567,083	883,705	(316,622)	480
2012/13	1,037	573,034	640,808	(67,774)	553
2013/14	935	622,802	653,308	(30,506)	666
3 Year Average	1,051	587,640	719,179	(131,539)	559

A review of the cost sharing compared to the calls for service showed that few municipalities receive a one to one ratio of expenditure to the value of services received. Table 9 is a comparison of other larger participating municipalities cost share in 2013/14 and 2012/13 compared to the value of service received.

Table 9 – Comparison of Major Cities Over Two Years

		2013/14			2012/13	
City	Cost Share	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)	Cost Share	Value of Service Received	Difference: Paid More / (Paid Less)
Burnaby	4,391,771	3,465,605	926,166	4,061,874	3,748,292	313,582
City of North Vancouver	1,051,233	671,566	379,667	935,260	605,999	329,261
Richmond	3,290,570	2,918,115	372,455	2,926,774	2,896,848	29,926
Surrey	10,691,696	13,834,701	(3,143,005)	9,325,498	12,027,459	(2,701,961)

The current funding formula for the Integrated Teams is based on 25% population and 75% of all criminal case offences. The drawback of this formula is there is no apparent correlation between population and general criminal codes to the specialized files investigated by IHIT, ERT, IFIS, etc. As well, the current funding formula is not flexible to reflect changes to service demands to distribute costs equally amongst contracting partners. Certain municipalities are consistently paying more or paying less based on the services received through calls for service. As highlighted in Attachment 1, 14 out of the 23 (60%) contracting partners of Integrated Teams paid more on a 3 year average cost per call than the total average (\$1,756).

Recently, the Ontario Provincial Police (OPP) had revised their billing model to include the calls for service component to reflect a "fair and transparent distribution of policing costs". The OPP new billing model will be comprised of 60 percent base policing cost and 40 percent calls for service. The new cost structure "eliminates the large differences in the amounts municipalities were charged and provides municipalities with better data so they can understand the types of calls for police service in their community..." A similar approach could be examined for the funding of the Integrated Teams to correlate costs to demand for service.

In summary, the cost sharing formula aims for equitable distribution of costs. Over the past three years, the City has paid a total of \$598,359 more for the Integrated Teams than the value of the services received and thus, future annual monitoring is required.

Financial Impact

None

Conclusion

Staff will continue to examine and monitor, based on historical usage, the annual costs and benefits to the City of Richmond of the RCMP Integrated Teams.

Anne Stevens

Senior Manager, Community Safety Policy & Programs

(604-276-4273)

⁸ Ontario Provincial Police Billing Model News Release: http://www.opp.ca/ecms/files/281607112.pdf

⁹ Ontario Provincial Police Billing Model News Release: http://www.opp.ca/ecms/files/281607112.pdf

Cost Share Compared to Value of Services Received by Integrated Teams for All Participating Municipalities (excludes Provincial figures)

	Cost Share Amount		Value of Service Received	eceived	Cost per Call	3-Year	-	3-Year		3-Year
Municipality	2013/14		2013/14	<u> </u>	2013/14	Total Cost		Total CFS	.S	Avg Cost Per Call
Abbotsford	1,797,560	4.9%	1,541,407	4.2%	1,884	5,175,937	4.9%	2,628	4.3%	1,970
Burnaby	4,391,771	11.9%	3,465,605	9.4%	1,930	13,226,299	12.4%	7,373	12.2%	1,794
Chilliwack	2,119,481	5.7%	2,078,276	2.6%	1,539	6,298,548	2.9%	4,427	7.3%	1,423
Coquitlam	2,229,918	80.9	2,844,977	7.7%	1,693	6,614,587	6.2%	4,260	7.0%	1,553
Delta	275,291	0.7%	128,530	0.3%	8,342	275,291	0.3%	33	0.1%	8,342
Норе	202,652	0.5%	115,372	0.3%	1,894	570,718	0.5%	373	0.6%	1,530
Kent	777,66	0.3%	75,601	0.2%	1,559	283,748	0.3%	229	0.4%	1,239
Langley City	801,607	2.2%	953,311	2.6%	1,306	2,330,076	2.2%	1,806	3.0%	1,290
_angley Township	2,107,592	5.7%	1,540,806	4.2%	1,738	6,113,431	5.7%	3,475	5.7%	1,759
Maple Ridge	1,764,206	4.8%	1,985,974	5.4%	1,742	5,226,510	4.9%	3,145	5.2%	1,662
Mission	959,757	2.6%	471,084	1.3%	2,651	2,870,830	2.7%	1,364	2.3%	2,105
New Westminster	988,373	2.7%	1,649,287	4.5%	11,105	2,341,458	2.2%	91	0.2%	25,730
On North Vancouver City	1,051,233	2.8%	671,566	1.8%	2,022	3,062,853	2.9%	1,895	3.1%	1,616
North Vancouver District	1,201,522	3.3%	847,744	2.3%	2,981	3,550,316	3.3%	1,410	2.3%	2,518
Pitt Meadows	365,223	1.0%	168,028	0.5%	1,932	1,077,632	1.0%	263	0.9%	1,914
Port Coquitlam	1,071,488	2.9%	785,660	2.1%	3,070	3,259,544	3.1%	1,046	1.7%	3,116
Port Moody	224,329	0.6%	27,264	0.1%	32,047	224,329	0.2%	7	0.0%	32,047
Richmond	3,290,570	8.9%	2,918,115	7.9%	1,816	9,566,213	80.6	6,251	10.3%	∰
Sechelt	169,750	0.5%	116,479	0.3%	2,496	476,566	0.4%	153	0.3%	3,115
Squamish	476,108	1.3%	571,521	1.5%	3,353	1,448,862	1.4%	454	0.7%	3,191
Surrey	10,691,696	28.9%	13,834,701	37.4%	1,820	30,458,248	28.6%	19,160	31.6%	1,590
Whistler	344,570	0.9%	58,640	0.5%	95//9	985,244	%6.0	203	0.3%	4,853
White Rock	341,748	0.9%	116,272	0.3%	4,681	993,025	0.9%	267	0.4%	3,719
								7		

NOTES

(1) Abbotsford: IHIT and PDS only

(2) Delta: ERT only. Joined ERT less than 3 years(3) New Westminster: ERT and IHIT only. Joined ERT less than 3 years(4) Port Moody: ERT and IHIT only. Joined ERT and IHIT less than 3 years

The cost per call statistics may be distorted due to the low number of occurrences, variability of the location and the cost of IHIT. As such, the

8,342 (2) 2,897 1,159 918 1,003 938 1,138 1,074 1,238 2,012 9,948 686 1,905 1,030 2,969 Avg Cost Per Call 758 834 1,362 1,044 1,627 2,062 1,085 2,402 3-Year 12.2% 5.7% 5.2% 2.5% 1.7% 10.3% 0.4% 0.6% 0.4% 3.1% 2.3% 0.7% 31.6% 0.3% 7.3% 7.0% 0.1% 3.0% 0.1% 0.9% 0.0% 0.3% 100.0% **Total CFS** 3-Year 2,622 372 3,140 19,114 60,512 7,363 4,426 4,255 229 1,805 3,471 1,360 1,894 1,408 562 1,045 6,244 453 33 86 153 203 267 6.0% 5.1% 2.8% 3.5% 1.1%1.4% 30.0% 13.0% 6.2% 6.5% 0.5% 0.3% 2.3% 0.4% 3.0% 3.2% 9.4% 0.4% 0.9% 1.0% 0.4% 0.1% 100.0% **Total Cost** 3-Year 65,645,815 8,533,185 1,587,062 4,064,219 349,029 3,948,915 3,373,251 695,593 2,102,020 6,177,425 19,682,424 602,638 4,269,105 1,504,939 1,852,527 249,111 1,977,660 2,291,234 69,635 291,469 934,177 641,409 275,291 173,497 summary table below is for All Integrated Team with the **exclusion** of IHIT 2013/14 1,279 1,150 1,155 1,755 1,208 Cost per Call 1,019 1,123 8,342 1,155 2,897 1,338 1,978 1,279 2,038 9,948 1,203 2,235 1,239 864 1,523 3,098 951 All Integrated Teams 2013/14 - Comparison of Cost Share to Value of Services Received 8.9% 6.5% 0.5% 0.3% 4.1% 6.6% 4.7% 2.0% 2.9% 1.8% 0.7% 1.5% 8.7% 0.5% 0.6% 32.9% 0.5% 100% 0.5% 1.4% 0.1% Value of Service Received 2013/14 347,550 58,640 471,084 168,028 671,566 409,634 116,479 133,411 7,701,156 2,589,384 2,078,276 1,530,646 115,372 953,311 1,540,806 1,109,753 27,264 2,041,895 116,272 23,384,800 128,530 75,601 334,956 665,187 5.0% 30.3% 100% 12.4% 6.0% 6.3% 0.5% 0.3% 2.3% 6.0% 2.7% 3.0% 3.4% 1.0% 3.0% 9.3% 0.4% 1.3% 1.2% 1.1% 0.3% 1.0% **Cost Share Amount** 2013/14 795,204 241,710 635,174 210,219 123,636 530,506 1,394,836 1,167,570 709,129 2,177,770 315,092 7,075,878 2,906,545 60,875 695,720 103,566 226,175 23,384,800 542,668 1,402,687 1,475,803 249,111 69,635 275,291 North Vancouver District (***IHIT EXCLUDED***) North Vancouver City Langley Township New Westminster Port Coquitlam Pitt Meadows Municipality Maple Ridge Langley City White Rock Port Moody Abbotsford Richmond Coquitlam Chilliwack Squamish Burnaby **S**Mission Whistler Sechelt Surrey Delta Hope Kent

NOTES

(1) Abbotsford: PDS only

(2) Delta: ERT only. Joined ERT less than 3 years

(3) New Westminster: ERT only. Joined ERT less than 3 years

(4) Port Moody: ERT only. Joined ERT less than 3 years

			:						
Municipality	Cost Share Amount 2013/14		Value of Service Received 2013/14	d Cost per Call 2013/14	3-Year Total Cost		3-Year Total CFS	3-Year Avg Cost Per Call	ear : Per Call
							- 1		
Burnaby	650,403 11.	7% 447	447,906 8.0%	6 5,656	1,929,157	12.9%	434	7.5%	4,445
Chilliwack	314,038 5.	%9	817,916 14.7%	1,495	918,803	6.2%	779 1.	13.5%	1,179
Coquitlam	330,183 5.	9% 202	202,532 3.6%	9 6,350	965,019	6.5%	195	3.4%	4,949
Delta	275,291 4.	%6	128,530 2.3%	6 8,342	275,291	1.8%	33	0.6%	8,342
Норе	27,776 0.	2%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	78,568	0.5%	20	0.3%	3,928
Kent	13,665 0.	0.2% 11,	11,685 0.2%	4,555	39,060	0.3%	16	0.3%	2,441
Langley City	118,797 2.	1%	276,533 5.0%	6 1,673	340,125	2.3%	306	5.3%	1,112
Langley Township	312,145 5.	%9	510,224 9.2%	2,383	892,417	%0.9	459	7.9%	1,944
Maple Ridge	261,347 4.	%2	190,847 3.4%	5,334	762,531	5.1%	7.0	4.8%	2,733
Mission	142,203 2.	%9	136,319 2.4%	4,063	418,802	2.8%	726	4.5%	1,617
New Westminster	249,111 4.	4.5% 334	334,956 6.0%	2,897	249,111	1.7%	86	1.5%	2,897
North Vancouver City	155,703 2.8	8%	38,948 0.7%	45,570	446,987	3.0%	94	1.6%	4,755
North Vancouver District	177,805 3.	. 7%	70,107 1.3%	8/8/6	517,923	3.5%	83	1.4%	6,240
O Pitt Meadows	54,092 1.0	%0	31,159 0.6%	6,762	157,233	1.1%	52	0.9%	3,024
Port Coquitlam	158,674 2.	2.8% 101	101,266 1.8%	6,103	475,286	3.2%	95	1.6%	5,003
Port Moody	69,635 1.	1.2% 27,	27,264 0.5%	9,948	69,635	0.5%	7	0.1%	9,948
Richmond	487,186 8.	.7% 194,742	742 3.5%	9,744	1,396,142	9.3%	286	2.0%	4,882
Sechelt	23,247 0.	0.4% 62,	62,317 1.1%	1,453	962,596	0.4%	16	0.3%	4,100
Squamish	70,540 1.	1.3% 3,	3,895 0.1%	70,540	211,239	1.4%	26	0.5%	8,125
Surrey	1,583,840 28.	28.4% 1,955,208	208 35.1%	3,155	4,447,445	29.8%	2,214 3	38.3%	2,009
Whistler	47,227 0.	0.8%	3,895 0.1%	47,227	135,634	0.9%	15	0.3%	9,042
White Rock	50,604 0.	0.9%	27,264 0.5%	6 7,229	144,953	1.0%	22	0.4%	6,589
	5.573.517 10	100% 5 573 512	517 100%	3 895	14 936 957	100 0%	5 776 10	100 0%	7 586

NOTES: (1): Joined ERT less than 3 years

Integrated Collision Analysis and Reconstru	is and Reconstruction Ser	ction Service 2013/14 - Comparison of Cost Share to Value of Services Received	arison of	Cost Share to V	/alue of Services R	eceived		:	
Municipality	Cost Share Amount 2013/14	Value of Service Received 2013/14	sceived	Cost per Call 2013/14	3-Year Total Cost		3-Year Total CFS		3-Year Avg Cost Per Call
Burnaby	269,235 13.1%	175,219	8.5%	20,710	838,567	13.5%	56 1	11.2%	14,974
Chilliwack	129,880 6.3%	% 175,219	8.5%	9,991	399,169	6.4%	31	6.2%	12,876
Coquitlam	136,724 6.6%	% 121,305	2.9%	15,192	419,350	6.7%	26	5.2%	16,129
Норе	11,828 0.6%	- %	%0.0	No CFS	34,834	%9.0	5	1.0%	6,967
Kent	5,828 0.3%	- %	%0.0	No CFS	17,327	0.3%	8	1.6%	2,166
Langley City	49,113 2.4%	% 175,219	8.5%	3,778	147,668	2.4%	21	4.2%	7,032
Langley Township	129,198 6.3%	40,435	2.0%	43,066	387,540	6.2%	45	%0.6	8,612
Maple Ridge	108,127 5.2%	% 53,913	7.6%	27,032	331,280	5.3%	20	4.0%	16,564
Mission	58,814 2.9%	26,957	1.3%	29,407	181,976	2.9%	12	2.4%	15,165
North Vancouver City	64,438 3.1%	% 148,262	7.2%	5,858	194,124	3.1%	15	3.0%	12,942
North Vancouver District	73,706 3.6%	% 13,478	0.7%	73,706	225,100	3.6%	8	1.6%	28,138
Pitt Meadows	22,388 1.1%	. %	%0.0	No CFS	68,308	1.1%	3	%9.0	22,769
Port Coquitlam	65,690 3.2%	- %	%0.0	No CFS	206,653	3.3%	3	%9.0	68,884
Richmond day of a speed series	201,774 9.8%	229,132	11.1%	11,869	606,414	9.7%	49	9.8%	12,376
Sechelt	9,915 0.5%	- %	%0.0	No CFS	29,094	0.5%	4	0.8%	7,274
Squamish	29,177 1.4%	- %	%0.0	No CFS	91,829	1.5%	4	0.8%	22,957
Surrey	655,291 31.8%	876,094	42.5%	10,081	1,930,258	31.0%	178 3	35.7%	10,844
Whistler	20,112 1.0%	- %	%0.0	No CFS	60,157	1.0%	9	1.2%	10,026
White Rock	20,953 1.0%	26,957	1.3%	10,477	62,956	1.0%	5	1.0%	12,591
	2,062,191 100%	2,062,191	100%	13,478	6,232,604	100.0%	499 10	100.0%	12,490

NOTES: (a): For 2013/14, the CFS on Provincial Highways were counted as Provincial Jurisdictions.

Integrated Forensic Identification Services 201	ation Services 2013/1	14 - Co	3/14 - Comparison of Cost Share to Value of Services Received	nare to	Value of Service	s Received		: 		
Municipality	Cost Share Amount 2013/14		Value of Service Received 2013/14	eived	Cost per Call 2013/14	3-Year Total Cost	<u>.</u>	3-Year Total CFS	v	3-Year Avg Cost Per Call
Burnaby	1,155,550	13.1%	1,127,089	12.7%	1,224	3,330,076	13.4%	3,291	12.9%	1,012
Chilliwack	557,443	6.3%	672,194	7.6%	066	1,585,938	6.4%	2,072	8.1%	765
Coquitlam	586,819	%9.9	798,753	9.0%	877	1,666,413	6.7%	2,349	9.2%	709
Норе	48,959	%9.0	97,904	1.1%	597	136,597	%9.0	277	1.1%	493
Kent	24,121	0.3%	51,340	%9.0	561	67,917	0.3%	158	0.6%	430
Langley City	210,792	2.4%	316,397	3.6%	795	587,348	2.4%	722	2.8%	814
Langley Township	554,515	6.3%	569,514	6.4%	1,163	1,541,657	6.5%	1,425	2.6%	1,082
Maple Ridge	464,079	5.2%	471,611	5.3%	1,175	1,316,472	5.3%	1,229	4.8%	1,071
Mission	252,427	2.9%	194,614	2.2%	1,549	722,856	2.9%	260	2.2%	1,291
North Vancouver City	276,567	3.1%	327,142	3.7%	1,009	772,008	3.1%	1,161	4.6%	999
North Vancouver District	316,346	3.6%	140,886	1.6%	2,681	894,629	3.6%	511	2.0%	1,751
Pitt Meadows	96,091	1.1%	25,073	0.3%	4,576	271,506	1.1%	81	0.3%	3,352
Port Coquitlam	281,940	3.2%	51,340	%9.0	6,557	820,241	3.3%	144	0.6%	5,696
Richmond	866,008	%8.6	964,712	10.9%	1,072	2,411,950	9.7%	2,756	10.8%	875
Sechelt	41,039	0.5%	42,982	0.5%	1,140	114,115	0.5%	79	0.3%	1,444
Squamish	125,226	1.4%	76,413	0.9%	1,957	364,447	1.5%	216	0.8%	1,687
Surrey	2,812,496	31.8%	2,826,081	32.0%	1,188	7,684,706	31.0%	8,134	32.0%	945
Whistler	83,244	0.9%	47,758	0.5%	2,081	235,830	1.0%	135	0.5%	1,747
White Rock	89,931	1.0%	41,788	0.5%	2,569	250,426	1.0%	146	0.6%	1,715
	8,843,593	100%	8,843,593	100%	1,194	24,775,132	100.0%	25,446	100.0%	974

	Cost Share Amount		Value of Service Received	ed Cost per Call	3-Year		3-Year	3-Year
Municipality	2013/14		2013/14	2013/14	Total Cost	<u>, </u>	Total CFS	Avg Cost Per Call
Abbotsford	1,254,892 9	9.5%	876,221 6.5%	627,446	3,588,875	8.8%	6 5.9%	598,146
Burnaby	1,485,226 10	10.9%	876,221 6.5%	742,613	4,693,114 11.5%	2%	10 9.9%	469,311
Chilliwack	716,794 5	5.3%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	2,234,329	5.5%	1 1.0%	2,234,329
Coquitlam	754,115 5	2.6%	1,314,331 9.7%	% 251,372	2,345,482 5.8	5.8%	5 5.0%	469,096
Норе	79,016 0	%9.0	%0:0 -	% No CFS	221,689	0.5%	1 1.0%	221,689
Kent	38,902 0	0.3%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	110,251	0.3%	- 0.0%	No CFS
Langley City	271,101	2.0%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	825,137	2.0%	1 1.0%	825,137
Langley Township	712,756 5	5.2%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	2,164,516	5.3%	4 4.0%	541,129
Maple Ridge	596,636 4	4.4%	876,221 6.5%	298,318	1,853,259	4.5%	5 5.0%	370,652
Mission	324,583	2.4%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	1,018,303	2.5%	4 4.0%	254,576
New Westminster	739,262 5	5.4%	1,314,331 9.7%	% 246,421	2,092,347 5.3	5.1%	5 5.0%	418,469
North Vancouver City	355,513 2	7.6%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	1,085,193 2.7	2.7%	1 1.0%	1,085,193
North Vancouver District	406,318 3	3.0%	438,110 3.2%	% 406,318	1,259,082	3.1%	2 2.0%	629,541
P itt Meadows	123,513 0	0.9%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	382,039 0.9	%6.0	1 1.0%	382,039
Port Coquitlam	362,359	2.7%	438,110 3.2%	% 362,359	1,157,524 2.8	2.8%	1 1.0%	1,157,524
Port Moody	154,694	1.1%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	154,694 0.4	0.4%	. 0.0%	No CFS
Richmond	1,112,800 8	8.2%	876,221 6.5%	% 556,400	3,388,788	8.3%	7 6.9%	484,113
Sechelt	66,184 0	0.5%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	185,097	0.5%	- 0.0%	No CFS
Squamish	161,016 1	1.2%	438,110 3.2%	161,016	514,685	1.3%	1 1.0%	514,685
Surrey	3,615,818 26	%9.97	6,133,545 45.2%	% 258,273	10,775,824 26.4%	1%	46 45.5%	234,257
Whistler	134,351	1.0%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	382,606	0.9%	- 0.0%	No CFS
White Rock	115,573 0	0.9%	- 0.0%	% No CFS	351,616	%6.0	- 0.0%	No CFS
	13,581,422	100%	13,581,422 100%	438,110	40,784,450 100.0%		101 100.0%	403,806

NOTES: (1): Joined IHIT less than 3 years

Integrated Police Dog Service 2013/14 - Com	ice 2013/14 - Comparison	parison of Cost Share to Value of Services Received	ervices Received			
Municipality	Cost Share Amount 2013/14	Value of Service Received 2013/14	Cost per Call 2013/14	3-Year Total Cost	3-Year Total CFS	3-Year Avg Cost Per Call
Abbotsford	542,668 7.9%	665,187 9.6%	570	1,587,062 8	8.1% 2,622 9	9.1% 605
Burnaby	831,357 12.0%	839,169 12.2%	692	2,435,385 12	12.4% 3,582 12	12.4% 680
Chilliwack	401,326 5.8%	412,947 6.0%	629	1,160,309 5	5.9% 1,544 5	5.4% 751
Coquitlam	422,077 6.1%	408,056 5.9%	, 723	1,218,323 6	6.2% 1,685 5	5.9% 723
Норе	35,073 0.5%	, 17,468 0.3%	1,403	080'66	0.5% 70 0	0.2% 1,415
Kent	17,261 0.2%	5 12,577 0.2%	656	49,193 0	0.2% 47 (0.2%
Langley City	151,804 2.2%	5 185,162 2.7%	573	429,798 2	2.2% 756 2	2.6% 569
Langley Township	398,978 5.8%	6 420,633 6.1%	699	1,127,301 5	5.7% 1,542 5	5.4% 731
Maple Ridge	334,017 4.8%	5 393,382 5.7%	593	962,968 4	4.9% 1,612 5	5.6%
Mission	181,730 2.6%	5 113,194 1.6%	1,122	528,893	2.7% 529 1	1.8%
North Vancouver City	199,012 2.9%	5 157,213 2.3%	884	564,541 2	2.9% 624 2	2.2% 905
North Vancouver District	227,347 3.3%	5 185,162 2.7%	828	653,582 3	3.3% 806 2	2.8% 811
Pitt Meadows	69,139 1.0%	, 111,796 1.6%	432	198,546 1.	1.0% 426 1	1.5% 466
Port Coquitlam	202,825 2.9%	5 194,944 2.8%	727	599,840 3	3.0% 803 2	2.8% 747
Richmond	622,802 9.0%	653,308 9.5%	999	1,762,919	8.9% 3,153 11	11.0%
Sechelt	29,365 0.4%	5 11,180 0.2%	1,835	82,664 0	0.4% 54 (0.2% 1,531
Squamish	90,149 1.3%	53,103 0.8%	1,186	266,662 1	1.4% 207 0	0.7% 1,288
Surrey	2,024,251 29.3%	5 2,043,772 29.6%	692	5,620,015 28	28.5% 8,588 29	29.8% 654
Whistler	59,636 0.9%	6,987 0.1%	5,964	171,017 0	0.9% 47 (0.2% 3,639
White Rock	64,687 0.9%	5 20,263 0.3%	2,231	183,074 0	0.9%	0.3% 1,948
1. 1.	6,905,504 100%	6,905,504 100%	669	19,701,122 100.0%	28,791	100.0% 684