

Report to Committee

To:	Public Works and Transportation Committee	Date:	May 17, 2019
From:	Lloyd Bie, P.Eng. Director, Transportation	File:	10-6450-09-01/2019- Vol 01
Re:	Review of Collision Prone Intersections		

Staff Recommendation

- That the proposed short-term improvements, with respect to the top 20 high collision intersections in Richmond, be included in the 5 Year (2020-2024) Financial Plan, as outlined in the staff report titled "Review of Collision Prone Intersections" dated May 17, 2019 from the Director, Transportation; and,
- 2. That the City request the Minister of Public Safety and Solicitor General to provide automated speed enforcement technology at those intersections where the data indicates that speeding is a contributing factor to collisions.

Lloyd Bie, P.Eng. Director, Transportation (604-276-4131)

Att. 3

REPORT CONCURRENCE							
ROUTED TO:	Concur	RENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER				
Engineering RCMP		d D	de Erreg				
REVIEWED BY STAFF REPORT / AGENDA REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE			APPROVED BY SAO				

2

Staff Report

Origin

At the November 21, 2018 meeting of the Public Works and Transportation Committee, the following referral was carried:

That staff investigate:

- (1) potential options to improve the left turn lanes in the intersections of No. 5 Road and Cambie Road and Cambie Road and Jacombs Road including cycling lanes; and
- (2) other intersections with high incident rates;

and report back.

This report responds to Part (2) of the referral. Part (1) of the referral is addressed in a separate report.

Analysis

City-Wide Collision Data

Roadway collision data for Richmond and four other municipalities (Vancouver, Surrey, Delta, and Burnaby) was obtained from ICBC for the period from January 2013 to December 2017. Figure 1 illustrates the annual per capita collision rate for all collision types (fatality, injury and property damage only) for the five municipalities reviewed.



Figure 1: Annual Per Capita Collision Rate for Selected Municipalities

Notes:

(2)

(1) Data only includes crashes where sufficient location information is available to determine a latitude and longitude.

Crashes on boundaries appear for both cities. **PWT - 25** Richmond's annual per capita collision rate is on the low end for the municipalities reviewed. The highest crash locations in Richmond are at water crossings (i.e., bridges and the George Massey Tunnel) plus the on- and off-ramps for Highways 91 and 99, which are not within the City's jurisdiction. The network screening process described below focuses on City-controlled intersections with the long-term goal of decreasing the per capita collision rate for the city.

Network Screening Study

The City currently reviews the traffic safety performance of individual intersections as issues arise. A Network Screening Study is an opportunity for a holistic city-wide review of all intersections to identify those locations with the highest risk of collisions. The City partnered with ICBC on the Network Screening Study (the Study) to identify and prioritize high collision locations in order to determine where road safety improvement investments should be directed to achieve the greatest safety benefits.

The Study employs a systematic process based on the *Transportation Association of Canada Canadian Guide to In-service Road Safety Review*. Specifically, the Study uses insurance claims records and traffic volume data to assess the risk and potential to mitigate motorist, pedestrian and cyclist collisions. The output of the network screening process is a list of prioritized collision prone intersections and the identification of potential short-term and medium/long term improvements that will reduce crash rates. This information helps to determine where road safety resources can be most optimally allocated.

The Executive Summary of the Study is found in Attachment 1. The methodology and key outcomes are described briefly below.

Study Methodology

The Study was conducted in two phases; an initial screening and a secondary screening to ultimately identify a short list of the top 20 collision prone intersections.

Initial Screening

Table 1 provides a breakdown of the total number of intersections in Richmond. The initial screening began with the 818 intersections (50% of all intersections) for which ICBC collision data is available (total of 22,373 claims for the 2013-2017 period). As the five-year claims data indicated that 82% of the collisions (18,288) occurred at signalized intersections, subsequent analysis was focused on these 161 signalized intersections. Of the total number of collisions at these 161 signalized intersections, 0.08% were fatalities (14), 38% were injuries (6,946) and 62% were property damage only (11,328).

Intersection	# 0	City Intersections		# of City Intersections with ICBC Data			
Type ⁽¹⁾	Signalized	Non-signalized	Total	Signalized	Non-signalized	Total	
City-MoTI	6	2	8	6	2	8	
Major-Major	113	32	145	113	25	138	
Major-Minor	43	391	434	42	326	368	
Minor-Minor	0	1,030	1,030	0	304	304	
Total	162	1,455	1,617	161	657	818	

Table 1: Intersections in Richmond by Type

- 4 -

Notes:

(1) City-MoTI: Shared jurisdiction between City and Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MoTI).

(2) Major: roadway is classified as an arterial or collector road.

(3) Minor: roadway is a local street.

Figure 2 illustrates that the annual number of collisions at the 161 signalized intersections increased from 2013 (2,897 collisions) to 2017 (4,160 collisions), indicating an 8.7% annual growth rate that outpaces the population annual growth rate of 1.7%.

4,160 225,000 4500 4,071 3,808 220,000 219,273 4000 3,352 215,000 3500 Number of Collisions 2,897 210,000 208,229 3000 206,476 205,000 0 2500 200,000 teindod 2000 2408 1500 190,000 1000 185,000 500 180,000 175,000 Ω 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 Year Fatality Injury Property Damage Only Population

Figure 2: Annual Collisions at City Signalized Intersections and Population Trend

The Study then focuses on intersections with an annual collision frequency equal to or greater than 25 collisions in the five-year period. This step resulted in 47 high collision intersections. These 47 intersections represent 29% of the 161 signalized intersections but account for 65% of the collisions.

Secondary Screening

The preliminary list of 47 high collision intersections was further prioritized using:

- (1) Collision Severity Index: measures whether or not a location experiences more severe crashes (i.e., injury or fatality versus property damage only) than the City average for all intersections.
- (2) Observed Collision Rate > Critical Collision Rate: this measure accounts for collision pattern randomness to ensure that only statistically meaningful locations are selected.

(3) Pedestrian-Involved Collisions: the number of pedestrian-involved collisions greater than five for the 2013-2017 period,

The Secondary Screening resulted in 20 intersections (2.4% of all Richmond intersections with collision data), which account for 23% of all ICBC claims in Richmond over the five-year period.

Intersection Safety Review Reports

Field reviews of the selected 20 intersections as well as a detailed collision analysis for the top 20 intersections were conducted using three-year data (2015-2017) to establish the most up-todate collision patterns and identify the intersection improvements. The results of the collision data reviews and field reviews were compiled and summarized in a two-page Intersection Safety Review Report for each of the 20 intersections (Attachment 2) that includes:

- intersection layout and traffic volumes;
- collision pattern, including information of fatal collisions;
- field review observation and identified safety issues; and
- potential improvements (short-term and medium-/long-term).

Recommendations and Next Steps

Short-Term Improvements

The proposed short-term infrastructure improvements involve readily implementable measures such as improved traffic/parking signage, new or refreshed pavement markings, trimming of foliage to improve sightlines, and/or traffic signal modifications (e.g., added left-turn phase, larger lenses to improve visibility, change in signal phasing to assign priority to vulnerable road uses, etc). Additional proposed improvements include increased enforcement and education.

Attachment 3 summarizes the proposed improvements and estimated costs per intersection as well as the high-level estimate of safety benefits of the proposed improvements expressed as the percent of total collisions. The total estimated cost of the short-term improvements for all 20 intersections is approximately \$500,000. Staff will include these short-term improvements in the 5 Year (2020-2024) Financial Plan, which is subject to Council approval.

Enforcement of Speeding and Red Light Running

Based on the Study findings, increased enforcement is recommended for 13 of the 20 intersections to address speeding and/or red light running violations as shown in Table 2. Of these 13 intersections, four have a red light enforcement camera (Shell Road-Alderbridge Way, No. 5 Road-Westminster Hwy, No. 5 Road-Cambie Road, and Gilbert Road-Blundell Road) and one has a red light camera that will be upgraded to provide automated speed enforcement (Garden City Road-Cambie Road). These programs operate 24 hours per day, seven days per week.

The red light camera and automated speed enforcement programs are within provincial jurisdiction. Therefore, staff recommend that the City request the Minister of Public Safety and Solicitor General to upgrade the existing four red light cameras and add cameras at the remaining eight intersections in order to provide red light and automated speed enforcement at all 13 intersections where the crash history reveals that speeding is a chronic contributing factor to collisions.

Staff will also share the Intersection Safety Review Reports with Richmond RCMP to enhance the targeted deployment of road safety enforcement.

Medium- and Long-Term Improvements

The proposed medium- and long-term infrastructure

improvements involve substantial road geometry changes such as the road widening, addition or lengthening of left-turn lanes, redesign of existing channelized right-turn lanes, completion of pedestrian and cycling connections, and relocation of driveways. Given the scope of the proposed improvements, further analysis, design and consultation with affected property owners are required. In addition, some of the identified road improvements will require additional road right-of-way and can only proceed when the necessary additional right-of-way is available.

Staff recommend that a detailed intersection safety study and/or design be undertaken for each of the 20 intersections to confirm the exact scope of medium-/long-term improvements. Implementation of the final design will be included for Council consideration in future successive 5 Year Financial Plans, with the improvements starting with the higher ranked intersections. At that time, staff will seek potential cost-share funding from external agencies such as TransLink and ICBC.

Financial Impact

None.

Conclusion

The Network Screening Study is a comprehensive road safety analysis of City intersections that follows a standardized methodology using ICBC claims data and traffic volume data to identify high collision prone intersections. The result is a prioritized list of the top 20 high crash intersections and a customized list of short-term and medium-/long-term improvements for each intersection.

The phased implementation of the proposed improvements starting with the higher ranked intersections as part of future successive 5 Year Financial Plans are anticipated to significantly improve road safety for all users.

Table 2: Intersections Recommended for Increased Enforcement

Intersection	Red Light Camera?
Shell Rd-Alderbridge Way/Hwy 91	×
Garden City Rd-Sea Island Way	×
No. 2 Rd-Westminster Hwy	×
No. 4 Rd-Alderbridge Way	×
No. 5 Rd-Westminster Hwy	✓
No. 5 Rd-Cambie Rd	\checkmark
No. 4 Rd-Westminster Hwy	×
Garden City Rd-Cambie Rd	√*
No. 2 Rd-Blundell Rd	×
No. 4 Rd-Cambie Rd	×
Minoru Blvd-Granville Ave	×
Gilbert Rd-Blundell Rd	\checkmark
No. 5 Rd-Blundell Rd	×

* to be upgraded to automated speed enforcement

Judas

Fred Lin, P. Eng., PTOE Senior Transportation Engineer (604-247-4627)

FL:jc

mavan

Joan Caravan Transportation Planner (604-276-4035)

- Att. 1: Network Screening Study: Executive Summary
 - 2: Intersection Road Safety Reports for Top 20 Intersections
 - 3: Top 20 Intersections: Summary of Proposed Short-Term Improvements

Executive Summary

Background, Objective and Methodology

The **City of Richmond (the City)** approached the **Insurance Corporation of British Columbia (ICBC)** to undertake a joint exercise to identify high collision intersections around the City. Since 1990, ICBC has been working with the City, to invest in road safety improvements through its **Road Improvement Program (RIP)**. One of the major goals of the Program is to implement road safety improvements at collision-prone intersections in order to reduce the number of collisions and the associated claims costs to ICBC and impacts to the community as a whole.

The City and ICBC retained **ISL Engineering and Land Services (ISL)**, in association with **G. Ho Engineering Consultants (GHEC)** to undertake a **Network Screening Study** to identify collision-prone intersections within the City. The study involves a systematic process which uses insurance claims records, traffic volume data, and safety performance indicators to identify the high collision intersections. The output from the process is a list of collision-prone intersections within the City and identification of potential short-term and medium/long-term improvements.

The study methodology was comprised of three key phases: Project Initiation, Initial Screening (Selection of Candidate Intersections), and Secondary Screening (Analysis of Selected Intersections). The methodology flowchart could be found in *Figure ES.1*.

Initial Screening

Based on the standard practice for road safety review studies, five-year of ICBC claim data for the City-wide intersections, between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2017, was collected and reviewed. A total of **22,373** claims were identified at 818 City intersections, including 161 signalized intersections and 657 un-signalized intersections, in the five-year study period. It was found out that 18,288 collisions (82%) occurred at the signalized intersections, and high collision intersections are all controlled by traffic signals. Hence, the study focused on signalized intersections as the study intersections and their data set forms the basis of the analysis. The breakdown of the reported collisions at 161 study intersections was as follows and the collision severity summary for each intersection can be found in **Table ES.1**:

- 14 fatal collisions (0.08% of total collisions);
- 6,946 injury collisions (38% of total collisions), which include injured drivers, passengers, cyclists, and/or pedestrians; and,
- 11,328 property damage only (PDO) collisions (62% of total collisions).

Based on the *Transportation Association of Canada Canadian Guide to In-service Road Safety Review (TAC Road Safety Review Guide)* and previous similar network screening studies in the province, the following safety performance indicator was applied to identify the high collision intersections out of the 161 study intersections:

• Annual Collision Frequency being equal or over 25 collisions (i.e. equal or over 125 collisions in five years), which accounts for collision occurrence. After filtering the collision data by removing the claims at the parking lots and unknown locations, 47 intersections were identified as high collision intersections.

Secondary Screening

Intersections with planned modifications and recent improvements (completed after the year 2013) were taken into account in selecting the top 20 collision-prone intersections; there were 6 intersections out of the 47 high collision intersections identified in Initial Screening that were removed. Based on the *TAC Road Safety Review Guide*, the remaining 41 high collision intersections were further screened based on the following safety performance indicators and process to select the top 20 collision-prone intersections:

• Collision Severity Index being greater than the City's average of 4.50, which accounts for collision severity. This resulted in 25 intersections.

Attachment 1 Cont'd

The 25 intersections were shortlisted to 20 by applying the following criteria:

- Observed Collision Rate greater than the Critical Collision Rate, which accounts for collision pattern randomness. This resulted in 9 intersections.
- The number of 5-year pedestrian-involved collisions greater than 5, which accounts for the vulnerable user safety concerns. This resulted in 6 more intersections, bringing the total to 15.
- Highest Collision Severity Index. Out of the 47 intersections not yet shortlisted, the top 5 with the highest Collision Severity Index were selected to achieve the top 20 intersections.

Based on the selection criteria, the results could be found in *Table ES.2*. *Figure ES.2* included the locations of the selected 20 collision-prone intersections while *Table ES.3* shows the safety performance indicators for these locations.

Field Review

Field reviews of the selected 20 collision-prone intersections were conducted in April 2019 by three experienced Road Safety Reviewers. All 20 selected intersections were examined by drive-through/walk-through for all intersection approaches, providing safety reviewers with driver's/pedestrian's/cyclist's perspective of potential traffic safety issues. During the field reviews, potential safety issues were identified for all road modes (passenger cars, trucks, cycling, walking, and transit vehicles), using the *Site Visit Sample Observation Report* from the *TAC Road Safety Review Guide*.

Intersection Safety Review Report

Collision analysis for the selected 20 collision-prone intersections was focused on the most recent available 3year period (2015-2017), in order to establish the most up-to-date collision patterns and identify the most relevant intersection improvements. The results of the collision data reviews (2015-2017) and field reviews were compiled and summarized in a two-page *Safety Review Report* for each of the 20 intersections, including:

- Intersection Layout and Traffic Volumes
- Collision Pattern, including information of fatal collisions
- Field Review Observation and Identified Safety Issues
- Potential Improvements (Short-term and Medium-/Long-term)

Fatal Collisions

Although the number of fatal collisions has already been included in calculating the collision severity index at each intersection, the occurrence of fatal collisions generates significant impacts to the community as a whole. It is noted that the selected 20 collision-prone intersections include 10 out of 14 fatal collisions, and the information of these fatal collisions were reviewed and discussed in the corresponding Intersection Safety Review Report. The locations and information of the remaining fatal collisions (four collisions) at City's intersections were as follow and it is suggested that an in-depth review of the contributing factors causing these fatal collisions needs to be conducted in the future studies:

- Knight Street and Westminster Highway: a rear-end collision occurred at the westbound approach in the afternoon of May 2013
- Garden City Road and Westminster Highway: an off-road collision occurred at the eastbound approach in the morning of July 2013
- Gilbert Road and River Road: an off-road collision occurred at the southbound approach in the morning of October 2014
- No. 3 Road and Westminster Highway: no details were available for a collision occurred in the afternoon of October 2016

Conclusion and Recommendations

From the Intersection safety review reports for the selected 20 collision-prone intersections, the site-specific short-term, medium-term, and long-term improvements were identified. In general, these proposed mitigation measures could be grouped into four categories (4E's): Engineering, Enforcement, Evaluation & Monitoring, and Education & Encouragement.

Engineering – improving/designing transportation systems/facilities/ infrastructures to anticipate human error so the consequence is not death or severe injury, for example:

- Construct new infrastructure, signals, street lighting, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, etc.
- Optimize and (re) prioritize existing transportation infrastructure and operations (e.g. traffic signals, roads, etc.) to enhance safety for all road users
- Upgrade signage and pavement markings to retain visibility and conspicuity

Enforcement – working with local law enforcement to enhance education, awareness, and enforcement in adjusting high-risk behaviours (speeding, disobeying, illegal movements, etc.) by:

- Increase enforcement and education on vehicle infractions
- Increase enforcement and education on cyclist infractions
- Increase enforcement and education on pedestrian infractions

Evaluation or **Monitoring** – monitoring if road safety strategies work through observing behaviour, surveying conflicts, monitoring programs/initiatives, as well as adjusting legislation (if needed), for example:

- Review the lane configuration at intersections based on traffic volumes/delays
- Review adequate pedestrian/bicycle connections to the nearest bus stops
- Review posted speed limits to confirm appropriateness and collect speed data

Education or **Encouragement** – teaching, encouraging, engaging all road users within the community, including drivers and vulnerable users (pedestrians/cyclists – i.e. students) to change behaviours through road safety, such as:

- Encourage the use of alternate mode and provide public information (Traffic Safety Awareness Week)
- Educate campaigns to school students (STARS Safer Traffic Around Richmond Schools)
- Encourage the importance of road safety for truck drivers

It is recommended that the City of Richmond implement the suggested short-term improvements. In addition to the suggested medium/long-term improvements, it is recommended that the City could consider the following:

- Undertake a detailed intersection safety study and/or design at each of the 20 intersections
- Conduct a corridor-wide improvement strategy that may provide a more comprehensive strategy to deal with the safety issues more effectively, compared to improvements at isolated intersections, such as Blundell Road and No. 4 Road. Corridor-wide strategies can often be expected to provide a "halo" effect (i.e. the implementation of the improvement could impact the extent of the corridor).
- Work with ICBC through its Road Improvement Program (RIP) to conduct a traffic operation and road safety review for the selected intersections or corridors.
- Continue to collaborate with partners (such as RCMP, School Board, and Province Government) on road safety programs/initiatives.

Attachment 1 Cont'd





	Collision Data	E.L.	ICBC CI	aim Data (2013-2017	1		Collision Data	10000	ICBC CI	aim Data (2	2013-2017)
No.		Friday	Inclusion	Property	Total	Annual		Internet for	Frederic	No. I accession	Property	Traded	Annual
NO.	mersecuon	ratan	injury	Only	Total	Frequency	NO.	Intersection	ratell	mjury	Only	rotal	Frequency
1	No. 5 Road & Staveston Highway		172	471	643	128.6	82	Francis Road & Gilbert Road		25	28	72	14.6
2	Shell Road & Alderbrides Way / Histoway 91	1	267	283	551	110.7	97	Pailuray Avenue & Steventon Lisburay		33	45	73	14.0
3	Garden City Road & Westminster Highway	1	178	334	513	102.6	24	Camble Boad & St Edwards Drive	(and a line of the second	33	28	71	147
4	No. 3 Boad & Westminster History	1	124	372	507	101.4	95	Francis Boad & Pailway Avenue		40	21	71	14.2
6	Gordon City Road & Alderbridge Way		101	207	AGR	99.6	95	Consulta Austra & No. 1 Bood		-10	31	71	14.2
6	No. 2 Boad & Alderbridge May		131	266	267	70 8	00	V. 2 Dead & Ord, Dead & Mallaneer	-	37	33	70	14.0
7	Carden City Band & San (along Way	1	151	244	207	79.4	8/	No. 3 Road & Park Road & Mail Access	-	29	41	10	14.0
-	Carden City Kozo & Sea Island Way	-	152	244	337	73.4	66	Capstan way & No. 3 Koad		23	45	05	13.6
0	No. 2 Road & Westminister Highway		104	224	300	77.6	89	No. 3 Road & Steveston Highway		28	40	68	13.6
9	No. 5 Road & Westminster Highway		169	219	388	11.6	90	Cambie Road & Sexsmith Road	THE R.	25	42	67	13.4
10	No. 4 Road & Alderbridge Way		158	224	382	76.4	91	Browngate Road & Hazelbridge Way		15	50	65	13.0
11	No. 5 Road & Camble Road	1	140	21/	358	/1.6	92	Alderbridge Way & Lansdowne Road		26	37	63	12.6
12	Great Canadian Way & Bridgeport Road		108	230	338	67.6	93	Alderbridge Way & Westminster Highway		27	36	63	12.6
13	No. 4 Road & Westminster Highway	2	133	164	299	59.8	94	Bridgeport Road & St EdwaRoads Drive		22	41	63	12.6
14	Garden City Road & Camble Road	1	105	167	273	54.6	95	Alderbridge Way & Elmbridge Way		15	47	62	12.4
15	No, 5 Road & Bridgeport Road		89	179	268	53.6	96	Hazelbridge Way & Leslie Road		12	50	62	12.4
16	No. 3 Road & Cambie Road		58	199	257	51.4	97	Kwantlen Street & Lansdowne Road		27	34	61	12.2
17	No. 2 Road & Blundell Road		107	146	253	50.6	98	Bridgeport Road & Simpson Road		29	29	58	11.6
18	Garden City Road & Granville Avenue		103	142	245	49.0	99	Buswell Street & Granville Avenue	1.1	17	41	58	11.6
19	No. 3 Road & Granville Avenue	1	91	143	235	47.0	100	Gilbert Road & Lansdowne Road	1000	22	36	58	11.6
20	Sweden Way & Bridgeport Road		82	152	234	46.8	101	Blundell Road & Minoru Bouelvard		16	41	57	11.4
21	Minoru Boulevard & Westminster Highway		77	152	229	45.8	102	Bridgeport Road & No. 6 Road		21	36	57	11.4
22	No. 3 Road & Blundell Road		76	152	228	45.6	103	No. 5 Road & Williams Road		26	31	57	11.4
23	Gilbert Road & Westminster Highway		74	152	226	45.2	104	No. 4 Road & Odlin Road		26	29	55	11.0
24	No. 4 Road & Blundell Road	MC	109	104	213	42.6	105	Gilbert Road & Steveston Highway		22	32	54	10.8
25	No. 4 Road & Cambie Road		86	111	197	39.4	106	Browngate Road & No. 3 Road	SHEEKS.	18	35	53	10.6
26	Hazeibridge Way / Mall Access & Alderbridge Way		63	122	185	37.0	107	Francis Road & GaRoaden City Road	-	24	27	51	10.2
27	Garden City Road & Blundell Road	2	85	95	182	36.4	108	GaRoaden City Road & Williams Road	and the same	24	26	50	10.0
28	No. 2 Road & Granville Avenue		67	108	175	35.0	109	Francis Road & No. 4 Road		17	37	49	9.8
29	Minoru Boulevard & Granville Avenue	· · · · ·	69	105	174	34.8	110	Cambie Road & Viking Way	and the second	20	78	4.8	9.0
30	Shell Road & Bridgeport Road	-	85	86	171	34.2	111	Lunac Lana & Wastminster Historia		10	20	40	9.0
31	No. 3 Road & Lansdowne Road		56	111	167	33.4	110	Conduce Band # Minister rightway	Distanting of	1.5	21	40	3.2
32	No. 3 Road & Leslie Road	4.0.2117	41	123	164	32.8	112	Graybar Road & Westminister Highway		14	31	45	9.0
33	No. 1 Road & Francis Road		65	95	160	32.0	113	Granville Avenue & Railway Avenue		19	25	44	8.8
34	Cooney Road & Westminster Highway	1	45	112	157	31.4	114	No, & Koad & Westminster Highway	-	21	23	44	8.8
35	Shell Road & Cambie Road		67	89	156	31.2	115	No. 2 Road & WoodwaRoads Road		25	18	43	8.6
36	Garden City Road & Lansdowne Road	-	62	92	154	30.8	116	Buswell Street & Cook Road		15	27	42	8.4
37	Knight Street & Westminster Highway	1	52	94	147	29.4	117	Lansdowne Road & Minoru Boulevard		13	28	41	8.2
38	Gilbert Road & Granville Avenue	-	53	88	141	28.2	118	Moncton Street & No. 1 Road		4	36	40	8.0
39	Jacombs Road / Sidaway Road & Westminster Hishway		60	81	141	28.7	119	Railway Avenue & Williams Road		15	25	40	8.0
40	Shell Road & Westminster Hishway	1000	56	80	136	27.2	120	Fraserwood Place & Westminster Highway		17	22	39	7.8
41	Cooper Road / St. Albans Road & Grapville Avenue		41	91	137	26.4	121	Hollybridge Way & River Road		16	22	38	7.6
47	No. 1 Boad & Steveston Histoway		56	76	132	25.4	122	Horseshoe Way & No. 5 Road & Riverside Way		6	32	38	7.6
43	No. 3 Road & Saha Road		38	93	131	26.2	123	Alberta Road & No. 4 Road		14	23	37	7.4
44	Hazelbridge Way & Camble Road		32	98	130	26.0	124	Garrison Road & No. 2 Road		15	20	35	7.0
45	Gilbert Road & Blundell Road		64	64	128	25.6	125	Ackroyd Road & Elmbridge Way & Minoru Bouelvard		15	18	33	6.6
46	Gilbert Road & River Road (River Parkway)	1	40	82	128	25.6	126	SeawaRoad Gate & Steveston Highway		13	19	32	6.4
40	No. 2 Pood & Williams Pood	1	5	75	127	25.0	127	Blundell Road & No. 8 Road		10	21	31	6.2
49	No. 6 Boad & Westminster History	-	55	71	125	25.4	128	Mortfield Gate & Steveston Highway	311110012	15	15	30	6.0
40	No. 5 Post & Rendal Post		61	63	175	75.0	129	Chatham Street & No. 1 Road		7	22	29	5.8
43	No. 3 Read & Cook Road		A1	84	125	25.0	130	Eknbridge Way & Westminster Highway		12	16	28	5.6
50	No. 3 Road & Cook Road	-	11	07	125	25.0	131	Capstan Way & Sexsmith Road		10	15	25	5.0
51	No. 2 Road & Francis Road		50	0/	125	25.0	132	Buswell Street & Saba Road		12	12	24	4.8
34	Winnigeport, hoad or vising way		PP	19	123	24.0	133	Cooney Road & Saba Road		9	15	24	4.8
53	No. 2 Read & Acknowl Read	-	33	30	123	24.0	134	Maple Road & No. 2 Road	-	3	21	24	4.8
104	No. 3 No.40 & Ackroya No.40		40	03	120	24.2	135	Mclean Avenue & Westminster Highway		12	12	24	4.8
55	No. 2 Noda & Steveston Highway	-	42	/8	120	24.0	136	Bridgeport Road & Mclennan Avenue		8	15	23	4,6
56	Alexandra Road & Dalla Bead		3/	80	11/	23.4	137	Great Canadian Way & Van Horne Way		8	13	21	4.2
1 5/	Nelson Dord B. Wartenister History	-	39	71	115	23.0	138	Mcmillan Way & Westminster Highway		7	13	20	4.0
58	Herson Koad & Westminster HighWay		43	/1	114	22.8	139	Minoru Boueward & Minoru Gate & Mall Access	-	7	11	18	3.6
59	No. 5 Road & Francis Koad		51	62	113	22.6	140	Garry Street & No. 1 Road		5	12	17	3.4
60	no. 4 kożą & wysłams Kożą		41	/1	112	22.4	141	Gilley Road & Westminster Highway		7	10	17	3.4
61	Coppersmith Place & Steveston Highway		37	/4	111	22.2	142	Mayfield Place & No. 6 80ad		9	8	17	3.4
62	Ackroya Koad & Cooney Koad		41	66	107	21.4	143	Cambie Road & Stolberg Street		9	7	16	3.2
63	NO. 1 KOAD & Blundell Road		38	68	106	21.2	144	Abierhridee Way & Cedarbridge Way		7		15	3.0
64	Brundell Road & St Albans Road		44	60	104	20,8	145	Great Canadian Way & Never Broad		2	•	12	24
65	Eimbridge Way & Gilbert Road		35	69	104	20.8	146	Embridge Way & Hollybridge Way	-		10	11	2.7
66	Blunder Koad & Katway Avenue		52	46	98	19.6	147	Gollner Avenue & Minoru Bouskiard		2	8	11	22
67	Cook Road & Garden City Road		34	63	97	19.4	140	Ounter Avenue & Minoru BoseWard			0 F	11	2.2
68	Granysle Avenue & No. 4 Road		50	47	97	19.4	140	Manual Pond R. Alderbridge Manual		2		11	4.4
69	No. 4 Road & Steveston Highway	-	33	64	97	19.4	149	Processing Road & Alberthinge Way		4	3		4.2
70	Cooney Road & Lansdowne Road / Mall Access		35	61	96	19.2	150	Commerce Parkway & No. 6 Koad		5	4	4	1.8
71	No. 1 Road & Westminster Highway		34	60	94	18.8	151	Greenland Drive & No. 5 Koad	-	3	5	8	1.6
72	No. 2 Road & Williams Road		50	44	94	18.8	152	Jacombs Road & Smallwood Place		3	5	6	1.6
73	Cambie Road & No. 6 Road		39	53	92	18.4	153	NO. 1 Koad & Osmond Avenue		4	4	8	1.6
74	Cambie Road & Jacombs Road		38	51	89	17.8	154	No. 2 Road & Wallace Road		3	5	8	1.6
75	Cook Road & Cooney Road	L	39	46	85	17.0	155	Alderbridge Way & May Drive		3	4	7	1.4
76	Sexsmith Road & Sea Island Way		35	50	85	17.0	156	Cedarbridge Way & Lansdowne Road		3	3	6	1.2
77	No. 1 Road & Williams Road		47	37	84	16.8	157	Hazelbridge Way & Sweet Avenue		3	3	6	1.2
78	Shell Road & Westminster Highway		33	49	82	16.4	158	Moncton Street & Railway Avenue		4	2	6	1.2
79	Capstan Way & Garden City Road		35	43	78	15.6	159	Minoru Boulevard & MuRoadoch Avenue & Mall Access		2	2	4	0.8
80	Alderbridge Way & Minoru Boulevard		22	53	75	15.0	160	Hollybridge Way & Lansdowne Road		2		2	0.4
81	Gilbert Road & Williams Road		35	40	75	15.0	161	Pearson Way & River Road			2	2	0.4

Table ES.1 Summary of 5-year ICBC Unfiltered Collision Data for Study Intersections (161 Signalized Intersections)

łD	Intersection	Annual Collision Frequency (Equal or Over 25.0)	Collision Severity Index (Over 4.50)	Observed Collision Rate (Over Critical Collision Rate)	Total Pedestrian- involved Collisions (Over S)	"Selection Rationale"
1	No. 5 Road & Steveston Highway	1	×	MERICE	I BONCEAL	Not Selected - Recently Improved and Does not meet the Criteria
2	Shell Road & Alderbridge Way / Highway 91	1	1			Selected - Meets the Criteria
3	Garden City Road & Westminster Highway	1	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
4	Garden City Road & Alderbridge Way	1	×			Not Selected - Recently Improved
5	No. 3 Road & Westminster Highway	1	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
6	Garden City Road & Sea Island Way	1	1	And the second		Selected - Meets the Criteria
7	No. 3 Road & Alderbridge Way	1	x	England Strange		Not Selected - To Be Modified and Does not meet the Criteria
8	No. 2 Road & Westminster Highway	1	1	1		Selected - Meets the Criteria
9	No. 4 Road & Alderbridge Way	1	4	1		Selected - Meets the Criteria
10	No. 5 Road & Westminster Highway	1	-	1		Selected - Meets the Criteria
11	No. 5 Road & Cambie Road	1	1	1		Selected - Meets the Criteria
12	Great Canadian Way & Bridgeport Road	1	×	Concept 2 Concept		Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
13	No. 4 Road & Westminster Highway	1	1	x	×	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e. High CSI)
14	Garden City Road & Camble Road	1	1	×	1	Selected - Meets the Criteria
15	No. 5 Road & Bridgeport Road	1	×		W.C. The second	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
15	Garden City Road & Granville Avenue	1				Selected - Meets the Criteria
17	Sweden Way & Bridgeport Road	~	×		in the second	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
18	Minocu Boulevard & Westminster Highway		×	Line strates	CANCELCHI	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
10	Ma 2 Royd & Blundell Road	1	1		CONTRACTOR OF STREET, S	Calarted - Maste the Criteria
20	No. 2 Post & Grandila Avanua				1	Calasted - Maters die Criterie
21	No. 2 Post & Blundall Post		*	No. of August Au	And the second states	Not Selected Does not meet the Citeria
		-	~	A DECK OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF		Not selected - poes not meet the criteria
22	Sinder Road & Plundell Dead	1	-		UCHT 1. COM	Role and Manahan Grade
25						Selected - Meets the Uniteria
29			-			Not Selected - Does not meet the Unterla
0	No. 9 Koad & Cample Koad					Selected - Meets the Criteria
26	Hazelbhdge Way / Mall Access & Alderbridge Way		×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
2/	No. 2 Road & Granville Avenue		~			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
28	Shell Road & Bridgeport Road			*	*	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e. High CSI)
29	Minoru Boulevard & Granville Avenue		·	-		Selected - Meets the Criteria
30	No. 3 Road & Lansdowne Road		×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
\$1	Garden City Road & Blundeli Road			X		Selected - Meets the Criteria
32	No. 3 Road & Leslie Road	×	×	-		Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
33	Sheil Road & Cambie Road	*		×	*	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
34	Garden City Road & Lansdowne Road	~	~	×	×	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
35	Cooney Road & Westminster Highway	1	×	Designation and the		Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
36	No. 1 Road & Francis Road	1	1	×	×	Selected - Meets the Criteria (I.e. High CSI)
37	Knight Street & Westminster Highway	1	1	×	×	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
38	Jacombs Road / Sidaway Road & Westminster Highway	1	1	×	×	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
39	Gilbert Road & Granville Avenue	1	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
40	Shell Road & Westminster Highway	1	1	×	×	Not5elected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
41	Cooney Road / St. Albans Road & Granville Avenue	4	×	and the state		Not Selected - Recently Improved and Does not meet the Criteria
42	No. 1 Road & Staveston Highway	1	1	×	1	Selected - Meets the Criteria
43	Gilbert Road & Blundell Road	1	1	×	×	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e. High CSI)
44	Gilbert Road & River Road (River Parkway)	4	+		1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	Not Selected - To Be Modified
45	No. 5 Road & Blundelt Road	1	1	×	X T	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e. High CSI)
46	Hazelbridge Way & Cambie Road	1	×		1-1-1-2 M-3	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
47	No. 2 Road & Francis Road	1	√	84 1 3 110		Not Selected - To Be Modified

Table ES.2 Summary of Selection Criteria Assessment for 47 High Collision Intersections





Figure ES.2 Locations of the 20 Selected Collision-Prone Intersections

Site #	Intersection	Annual Collision Frequency	Collision Severity Index	Observed / Critical Collision Rate	Total 5-Year Number of Pedestrian involved Collisions	Total 5-Year Number of Fatal Collisions
1	Shell Road & Alderbridge Way / Highway 91	110.2	5.54	5.23 / 3.27	0	1
2	Garden City Road & Sea Island Way	79.2	4.70	3.51/3.26	2	1
3	No. 2 Road & Westminster Highway	76.6	4.85	3.63 / 3.27	3	0
4	No. 4 Road & Alderbridge Way	76.4	4.72	3.54 / 3.27	0	0
5	No. 5 Road & Westminster Highway	76.2	4.97	4.28 / 3.30	1	0
6	No. 5 Road & Cambie Road	66.2	4.97	4.91/3.35	4	1
7	No. 4 Road & Westminster Highway	59.8	5.67	2.57 / 3.26	0	2
8	Garden City Road & Cambie Road	52.4	4.95	3.08/3.31	7	1
9	Garden City Road & Granville Avenue	48.8	4.80	5.27 / 3.42	3	0
10	No. 2 Road & Blundell Road	44.4	5.14	3.64/3.36	5	0
11	No. 3 Road & Granville Avenue	44.2	4.95	2.44 / 3.30	14	1
12	No. 4 Road & Blundell Road	42.6	5.61	3.39 / 3.36	0	0
13	No. 4 Road & Cambie Road	39.0	4.97	3.08 / 3.36	5	0
14	Shell Road & Bridgeport Road	34.2	5.47	2.83 / 3.37	3	0
15	Minoru Boulevard & Granville Avenue	34.2	4.63	2.65 / 3.35	12	0
16	Garden City Road & Blundell Road	32.2	6.65	3.35 / 3.41	8	2
17	No. 1 Road & Francis Road	29.6	4.89	2.99 / 3.41	0	0
18	No. 1 Road & Steveston Highway	26.0	4.88	2.08/3.36	5	0
19	Gilbert Road & Blundell Road	25.6	5.50	2.14/3.37	3	0
20	No. 5 Road & Blundell Road	25.0	6.18	2.73 / 3.42	0	1

Table ES.3 Safety Performance Summary for the 20 Selected Collision-Prone Intersections

Attachment 2



Network Screening Study

SHELL ROAD & ALDERBRIDGE WAY / HIGHWAY 91						
INTERSECTION INFORMATION		COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)			
Site #: 1 Intersection Type: 4-Legg Traffic Control Type: Signalit N-S Street Classification: Arterial E-W Street Classification: Provinc Surrounding Land Use: Comment	ed zed - P/P LT for SB & E-W (Bike Route - MUP) ial - Arterial (MRN) ercial / Industrial	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	124.0 per year (Total = 372) 5.38 (Casualty = 46%) 5.23 / 3.27 [2013-2017] 0 1			
Daily Traffic Volume (2015): 57,800	Entering Vehicles	se 160 of 140 T 140 S 120 S 80 S 90 S 90	146 89 Property Damage Only Injury Fatal 2017			
ALDERBRIDGE WAY (E-W)	HIGHWAY 91 (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	December (10%) Friday (22%) 3 PM - 6 PM (30%) Rear End (70%) Left Tum (13%) Sideswipe (8%)			
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONAL AND	SAFETY ISSUES					
Geometric: Rural perception at wide ir Lane drop after intersectio Inadequate sight distance Presence of railway crossi	ntersection with channelize n – <i>south leg</i> due to nearby foliage – <i>so</i> ng – <i>east leg; two sets of</i> y	d right-turn islands – overall uthwest corner westbound signal heads with	one stop bar			
Signal:						
• Lack of left-turn phase – n	orthbound approach					
Vulnerable Road User: • Long pedestrian crossing of Old pedestrian pushbuttor	distance – north-south dire s – east side corners; alor	ections ng multi-use pathway				
Collision (Data Review):						
 High collision frequency (over 50.0), high collision severity index (over 5.00), and a collision-prone location (observed over critical collision rate) Annual number of collisions increased in 2017 High number of rear-end collisions reported on Highway 91 westbound – 103 out of total 254 collisions High number of right-turn rear-end collisions occurred at Highway 91 westbound designated/channelized right-turn – over 50% of total 30 collisions; unexpected yield control with high vehicle speed High proportion of left-turn opposing collisions occurred in the east-west directions – over 80% of total; 22 collisions involved westbound (horizontal curve on the eastbound approach) and 15 collisions involved eastbound High proportion of sideswipe collisions occurred with east-west movements – 16 out of total 29 collisions; changing lanes to avoid right-turn vehicles to merge Three collisions reported in the north-south directions due to U-turn movements One fatal collision reported involving a westbound left-turn opposing collision and hitting a third vehicle on Shell Road during Friday noon on August 2017 						



City of Richmond

SHELL ROAD & ALDERBRIDGE WAY / HIGHWAY 91

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods east-west approaches
- Significant left-/right-turn volumes/queues during peak periods southbound and east-west approaches; high number of turning-related conflicts were observed
- Significant lane changing/weaving activities east-west legs; to avoid merging vehicles from right-turns
- High vehicle speed east-west legs (free flow, especially to/from highway); presence of red-light camera for
 eastbound approach
- Unexpected yield control with designated right-turn lane east side corners; designated right-turn bay for westbound approach
- Broken motor vehicle parts were noticed at the southeast channelized island

Other:

- Missing/inconsistent pavement marking east leg; no elephant feet and green bike path marking on crosswalks connecting multi-use pathways, similar to the southeast corner
- Faded pavement marking southeast corner; dashed merge line
- Missing road sign all corners (no pedestrian crosswalk signage) and south leg (no merge sign)

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Upgrade pedestrian pushbuttons to the latest standard east side corners; to be consistent overall
- Provide pedestrian crosswalk signs all corners
- Provide merge sign south leg (southbound)
- Regularly repaint dashed merge line southeast comer
- Regularly trim foliage to provide adequate sight distance southwest comer
- Paint elephant feet and green bike path pavement marking along crosswalk east leg; similar to the southeast corner
- Install enlarged Yield sign or two Yield signs westbound approach
- Consider the provision of protected-only left-turn phase westbound approach
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase northbound approach
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) northbound approach
- Provide clear gateway signage, such as "Freeway Ends" westbound approach
- Install speed radar board westbound direction
- Remove or modify angle of channelized right-turn in coordination with MoTI east side corners (to/from highway); traffic operation and geometric design to confirm
- Increase property setback with future redevelopment southwest corner
- Review the need of installing advance warning flasher in coordination with MoTI westbound approach
- Work with MoTI to lower speed zones before the intersection westbound approach
- Explore the feasibility to increase left-turn storage in coordination with MoTI eastbound and westbound approaches
- Consider a feasibility study to provide the grade separation in coordination with MoTI and CP Railway *east-west* movements; connecting Alderbridge Way and Highway 91
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP all approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes



INTERSECTIO	N INFORMATION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Typ Traffic Control 1 N-S Street Class E-W Street Class	2 e: 4-Legged 'ype: Signalized - P/P LT for EB iffication: Arterial (Bike Route & MUP) sification: Provincial (Bike Route - WL)	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	81.3 per year 4.80 3.51 / 3.26 2 1	(Total = 244) (Casualty = 38%) [2013-2017]
Surrounding La Daily Traffic Vol Santary Lit Station Upgrade - 2014 Sidewalk Provision (Connection) - 2013	nd Use: Retail / Residential ume (2015): 61,800 Entering Vehicles	set 100 88 75 80 60 62 46 40 20 26 29 0 2015 2016 Year	81 43 37 1 2017	Total ■ Property Damage Only ■ injury ■ Fatal
SEA ISLAND WAY (E-W) Multi-use Pathway Provision - 2017	Cardinal and the second s	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	December (12 Thursday (189 3 PM - 6 PM (3 Rear End (529 Sideswipe (27 Left Turn (129	%) 6) 30%) %) %)
IDENTIFIED O	PERATIONAL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
 First s Horizo Dual r and ea Comm Inadeo and no 	ignalized intersection from Oak Street Bridge ntal curve immediately before/after intersection ight-turn lanes with signal operation – northbo astbound vehicles inercial driveways close to intersection – northe quate sight distance due to nearby foliage – so orthbound pedestrians/bicycles	(southbound) on – south leg und approach; limited sight di east quadrant (gas station) outhwest corner; conflict betw	istance to cros een eastbound	sing pedestrians I right-turn vehicle
Signal: Protect dual la Long g	ted-permissive left-turn phase for eastbound s ines gap for pedestrian crossing green time after re	single lane and protected-only	/ left-turn phas	e for westbound
Vulnerable Ro	ad User:			
 Inadeo northe Northi Long p 	uate bicycle facility – west leg (no elephant fe ast corner (narrow shoulder on the west side bound bike lane is disappeared along the char bedestrian crossing distance – north-south dir	eet on crosswalks connecting of the island, not consistent w nnelized right-turn island ections	multi-use path ith southeast is	ways) and sland)
Collision (Data	Review):	OLD STREET, STREET, C	ing a secolar d	eanaing thin s
 High c 	ollision frequency (over 50.0), and a collision- proportion of rear-end collisions reported on Se	prone location (observed ove ea Island Way approaches – i ed at westbound channelized	r critical collisio 70% of total 12 right-turn – ove	on rate) 1 collisions ar 50% of total 30
 High p High r collision 	ons			



Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD / GREAT CANADIAN WAY & SEA ISLAND WAY

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods all directions
- · Significant left/right-turn volumes/queues during peak periods all approaches
- Significant lane changing/weaving activities northbound and east-west directions; especially to/from highway
- Vehicle queue spillback from downstream north (signalized intersection) and east (interchange on-ramp) legs

Other:

- Missing pavement marking north side corners; dashed merge line (similar to southwest corner)
- Missing road sign north side and southwest comers; no pedestrian/bicycle crosswalk signage as well as object marker signage
- Inappropriate road sign north side and southwest corners; yield sign far from actual merge point and before pedestrian crosswalk

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

- Regularly trim foliage to provide adequate sight distance southwest comer
- Paint elephant feet along crosswalk west leg
- Paint dashed merge line north side corners; similar to southwest corner
- Provide pedestrian/bicycle crosswalk signage north side and southwest corners
- Provide object marker signage north side and southwest corners
- Consider the provision of protected-only left-turn phase eastbound approach

- Review and update the Garden City Road signal coordination with the signal at Bridgeport Road in coordination with MoTI *overall*
- Remove or modify angle of channelized right-turn in coordination with MoTI east-west approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm
- Realign northbound dual right-turn lane in coordination with MoTI to improve sight line and eliminate the lane drop by developing the right-turn lanes as auxiliary lanes with future redevelopment – south leg
- Provide westbound right-turn lane with future redevelopment southwest quadrants
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment northeast quadrant
- Design for adequate sight distance with future redevelopment southwest comer
- Enhance police enforcement for speeding and red-light running violation in coordination with RCMP and ICBC all approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes



City of Richmond

NO. 2 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	3 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial (MRN) (Bike Route - NL) Arterial (MRN - EL) Retail / Office / Residential 57,800 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	71.7 per year (Total = 215) 4.47 (Casualty = 39%) 3.63 / 3.27 [2013-2017] 2 2
(E-W) WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY		60 - 43 - 41 20 - 34 - 24 0 2015 2016 Year	48 Property Damage Only 48 Injury 25 Fatal
		Highest % Month:	February (11%)
	ATT HEL	Highest % Day of Week:	Friday (19%)
a diale in the second	9	Highest % Time Period:	3 PM - 6 PM (20%)
A start and a start of		Top 3 Collision Types:	Rear End (58%)
	0.2		Left Tum (16%)
			Sideswipe (12%)

Geometric:

• Misalignment of left-turn lanes – north-south approaches

IDENTIFIED OPERATIONAL AND SAFETY ISSUES

- Commercial driveways close to intersection southwest quadrant (gas station)
- Inadequate sight distance due to nearby foliage and insufficient property setback northwest (channelized rightturn) and south side corners
- Wide left-turn crossing distance southbound approach; especially for heavy vehicles
- Long designated channelized right-turn with auxiliary lane southbound approach (wide turning radius); high vehicle speed conflicts between crossing pedestrians and weaving vehicles to designated right-turn lane to Lynas Lane

Signal:

 Dual left-turn lanes with protected/permitted phase – eastbound approach (right-turn-on-red is prohibited for westbound approach); conflict with east-west crossing pedestrians

Vulnerable Road User:

- Limited visibility to crosswalk for right-turn drivers southbound approach
- Narrow sidewalk with the presence of utility poles south side
- Long pedestrian crossing distance north-south directions
- On-street bike lane ended at channelized right-turn lane southbound approach
- On-street near-side bus stop westbound approach

Collision (Data Review):

- High collision frequency (over 50.0), and a collision-prone location (observed over critical collision rate)
- High proportion of rear-end collisions reported in southbound direction (35%), followed by westbound (24%)
- High proportion of left-turn opposing collisions reported in the east-west directions 70% of total; eastbound with 13 collisions and westbound with 8 collisions
- High proportion of sideswipe collisions occurred with southbound movements 12 collisions (48% of total)
- Two pedestrian-involved collisions reported between eastbound left-turn vehicles and pedestrians crossing No. 2 Road on north leg





City of Richmond

NO. 2 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

Collision (Data Review) - CONTINUED:

 One cyclist-involved collision reported between northbound left-turn vehicle and a bicycle crossing Westminster Highway on west leg, the other collision occurred between a bicycle on No. 2 Road and vehicle exiting a parking lot turning right

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods all directions
- Significant left/right-turn volumes/gueues during peak periods all approaches
- High vehicle speed during non-congested periods north leg; to/from No. 2 Road Bridge
- Unexpected auxiliary lane with designated right-turn lane southbound approach; right-turn vehicles stopped to yield westbound through traffic
- Unexpected vehicle slow down to enter commercial driveway southbound direction; to gas station
- Broken vehicle parts were found at the southbound right-turn channelized island

Other:

Missing road sign – northwest corner; no Added Lane Sign for eastbound drivers and no object marker sign for westbound drivers

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Consider conducting a detailed traffic operations and safety review study, including the functional design of the recommended geometric layout *overall*
- Regularly trim foliage northwest and south side corners
- Provide additional signage and pavement markings for designated right-turn only lane further upstream southbound approach
- Provide Added Lane Sign southbound approach
- Paint guiding line southbound approach
- Check intergreen time to verify the possible contributing cause for high number of left-turn opposing collisions –
 overall
- Change left-turn signal phasing from protected/permission to protected-only eastbound and westbound approach
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Install advance warning flashers (if warranted) southbound approach
- Provide adequate sight distance with future redevelopment south side corners
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP all approaches, particularly southbound
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes

Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

INTERSECTION INFORM	IATION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015): Residential Termhouses Development with Stewaik Provision (Connection) - 2015	4 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for E-W Arterial Arterial (MRN) Residential / Recreational / Civic 59,200 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	85.7 per year 4.85 3.54 / 3.27 0 0 88 47 41 2017	(Total = 257) (Casualty = 43%) [2013-2017] Total = Property Damage Only = Injury = Fatal
PedestrianBicycle Trail Provision (Connection) - 2017	ALDERBRIDGE WAY (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	October (12%) Wednesday (2 3 PM - 6 PM (2 Rear End (79%) Left Tum (11%) Sideswipe (5%)) 23%) %) 6) 6)
DENTIFIED OPERATION	IAL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
Geometric: • Rural perception	at wide intersection with channelize	ed right-turn islands – <i>overall</i>		
Geometric: Rural perception Misalignment of I crossing the pain Lane drop from th Residential driver Designated right- Signal:	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median nrough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southb turn lane with yield control to throug	ed right-turn islands – overall east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor	ound vehicles w rthbound appro	vere spotted paches
Geometric: Rural perception Misalignment of I crossing the pain Lane drop from th Residential driver Designated right- Signal: Lack of left-turn p	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median hrough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southb turn lane with yield control to throug bhase with left-turn bay provided – r	ed right-turn islands – overall east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor north-south approaches	ound vehicles w rthbound appro	vere spotted baches
Geometric: Rural perception Misalignment of I crossing the pain Lane drop from th Residential driver Designated right- Signal: Lack of left-turn p Vulnerable Road User:	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median nrough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southbu- turn lane with yield control to throug phase with left-turn bay provided – r	ed right-turn islands – overall east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor north-south approaches	ound vehicles w	vere spotted baches
Geometric: Rural perception Misalignment of I crossing the pain Lane drop from th Residential driver Designated right- Signal: Lack of left-turn p Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian Old pedestrian point	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median hrough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southb turn lane with yield control to throug phase with left-turn bay provided – r crossing distance – north-south dire strian connection – north-west come ushbuttons – southwest comer	ed right-turn islands – overall east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor north-south approaches ections	ound vehicles w	vere spotted baches
Geometric: Rural perception Misalignment of I crossing the pain Lane drop from th Residential driver Designated right- Signal: Lack of left-turn p Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian p Old pedestrian pu Collision (Data Review):	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median hrough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southbu- turn lane with yield control to throug ohase with left-turn bay provided – r crossing distance – north-south dire strian connection – north-west come ushbuttons – southwest comer	ed right-turn islands – overall east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor north-south approaches ections er	ound vehicles w	vere spotted
Geometric: Rural perception Misalignment of I crossing the pain Lane drop from th Residential driver Designated right- Signal: Lack of left-turn p Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian put Vulnerable Road User: Coll pedestrian put Collision (Data Review): High collision free High number of m majority were right High proportion of High proportion	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median hrough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southbu- turn lane with yield control to throug ohase with left-turn bay provided – r crossing distance – north-south dire strian connection – northwest come ushbuttons – southwest comer quency (over 50.0), and a collision- ear-end collisions reported on north ht-turn rear-end collisions – 76 collis of left-turn rear-end collisions report of sideswipe collisions occurred on e of left-turn opposing collisions report and eastbound with 10 collisions collisions reported – 3 collisions occurs	ed right-turn islands – overall east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor north-south approaches ections er prone location (observed over bound direction – 88 collision sions ed on westbound – 10 out of t east-west approaches – 10 ou ted in the east-west directions curred due to red-light running	nund vehicles w rthbound appro rcritical collisions (49% of total otal 17 collision it of total 13 co s – over 80% of g in the north-se	vere spotted baches on rate) I 180 collisions), ns billisions f total; westbound outh directions
Geometric: Rural perception Misalignment of I crossing the pain Lane drop from tl Residential driver Designated right- Signal: Lack of left-turn p Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian p Old pedestrian p Collision (Data Review): High collision free High number of n majority were rigl High proportion c High proport	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median hrough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southb turn lane with yield control to throug ohase with left-turn bay provided – r crossing distance – north-south dire strian connection – north-south dire strian connection – northwest corner ushbuttons – southwest corner quency (over 50.0), and a collision- ear-end collisions reported on north th-turn rear-end collisions – 76 collis of left-turn opposing collisions report and eastbound with 10 collisions collisions reported – 3 collisions cod w):	ed right-turn islands – overall east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor north-south approaches ections er prone location (observed over bound direction – 88 collision sions ed on westbound – 10 out of th east-west approaches – 10 ou ted in the east-west directions curred due to red-light running	ound vehicles w rthbound appro r critical collisio of (49% of total otal 17 collision otal 17 collision otal 13 co s – over 80% o g in the north-se	vere spotted baches on rate) I 180 collisions), ns ollisions f total; westbound outh directions





City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & ALDERBRIDGE WAY

Operational (Field Review) – CONTINUED:

- Unexpected yield control with designated right-turn lane and high vehicle speed northbound and eastbound approach
- Faded pavement marking southeast corner; dashed merge lines
- Poor pavement condition overall intersection

Other:

- Missing road sign northbound and eastbound approaches (no pedestrian crosswalk signs at channelized islands)
- Broken vehicle parts were found at the eastbound channelized island
- Insufficient street lighting south side corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Provide pedestrian crosswalk signs south side corners; at channelized islands
- Upgrade pedestrian pushbuttons to the latest standard southwest corner
- Regularly repaint dashed merge line southeast corner
- Review signal progression east-west approaches
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase north-south approaches
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches
- Install enlarged Yield Sign or two Yield signs at channelized right-turn lane eastbound and northbound approaches

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) north-south approaches
- Remove or reconstruct right-turn channelized island south side comers
- Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) east-west approaches
- Complete pedestrian connection with future redevelopment northwest comer
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) south side corners
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding and red-light running violations in coordination with RCMP and ICBC east-west approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes



City of Richmond

NO. 5 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)				
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification:	5 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial Arterial (MRN) (Bike Route)	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	85.3 per year 4.90 4.28 / 3.30 0 1	(Total = 256) (Casualty = 34%) [2013-2017]			
Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015): Green Bike Path Marking Provision - 2015 (E-W) WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY	Retail / Residential 48,800 Entering Vehicles	store 100 83 85 80 56 48 90 56 48 20 21 37 0 2015 2016 Year	88 41 47 2017	Totał ■ Property Damage Oniy ■ Injury ■ Fatal			
(BA) Accessible Bus Bag Provision - 2017	Gie	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	December (11) Wednesday/Th 3 PM - 6 PM (3 Rear End (65% Sideswipe (15) Left Turn (8%)	%) hursday (18%) 64%) %)			
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONA	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES	THURSDA'N' SALLAR		Mine and inter			
Geometric: • Rural perception a • Undivided roadway • Short merging dist • Right-turn lane imr Signal:	t wide intersection with channelize y – south leg; conflicts with traffic to ance after intersection – south leg nediately after intersection – west	d right-turn islands – overall urning to/from commercial dr leg; to Nature Park	iveways were o	observed			
None							
Vulnerable Road User: Inadequate/incons Long pedestrian cr 	istent bicycle facility – east-west da rossing distance – north-south dire	irections (no pavement marki ctions	ings east leg)				
Collision (Data Review):				The second			
 Collision (Data Review): High collision frequency (over 50.0), and a collision-prone location (observed over critical collision rate) Annual number of collisions slightly increased from 2015 to 2017 High number of rear-end collisions reported on southbound (37%), followed by westbound (27%) High number of right-turn rear-end collisions on southbound – 41 collisions (26% of total collisions) High number of sideswipe collisions occurred on Westminster Highway approaches – 20 out of 37 total collisions High proportion of left-turn opposing collisions reported in the east-west direction – 62% of total; eastbound with 6 collisions Four collisions occurred by U-turn movements – 2 on westbound and 2 on northbound One cyclist-involved collision reported as a bicycle hit by eastbound vehicle turning right onto gas station 							
Operational (Field Review):		S. HARRIS				
 Congestion / long of Significant lane ch right turn vehicles High vehicle speed camera for northbol 	 Operational (Field Review): Congestion / long queues during peak periods – east-west directions; to/from highways Significant lane changing/weaving activities – all directions; conflicts between southbound left-turn and northbound right turn vehicles High vehicle speed – all directions; especially southbound and westbound from highways; presence of red-light camera for northbound approach. 						





City of Richmond

NO. 5 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Commercial driveways close to intersection southeast quadrant (gas station)
- · Heavy vehicle was observed to roll over to the southwest corner curb

Other:

- Faded pavement marking east leg (lane merge arrows)
- Missing road sign north side corners; pedestrian crosswalk signs at channelized islands
- Inadequate/inconsistent road sign all approaches (designated right-turn lane signs)
- Insufficient street lighting southeast corners

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Provide pedestrian crosswalk signs north side corners
- Regularly repaint lane merge arrow pavement markings east leg
- Paint green bike path markings northeast corner; similar to the northwest corner
- Provide additional designated right-turn signs southbound and east-west approaches
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches
- Install enlarged Yield Sign or two Yield signs at channelized right-turn lane southbound and westbound approaches

- Remove or reconstruct right-turn channelized island north side corners
- Review and redesign designated and channelized right-turn westbound approach (to northbound); adding auxiliary lane instead of yield control
- Review the posted speed limit of Westminster Highway reduce from 60 to 50 kilometres per hour (if warranted)
- Improve bike connection east-west direction; provision of off-road multi-use pathway with green paint and elephant's feet crossing instead of single file operation
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) southeast corner
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding, red-light running, and U-turn violations in coordination with RCMP and ICBC all approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes



NO. 5 ROAD & CAMBIE ROAD			a se se de la companya de
INTERSECTION INFORMATION	COLLISIO	N STATISTICS (2015-2017)	
Site #: 6 Intersection Type: 4-Legged Traffic Control Type: Signalized - P/P N-S Street Classification: Arterial E-W Street Classification: Arterial (MRN) Surrounding Land Use: Retail / School / Daily Traffic Volume (2015): 37,000 Entering	LT in all directions LT in all directions Residential Vehicles	equency: 76.0 per ye vverity Index: 4.87 ite OBS. / CRT.: 4.91 / 3.35 th Pedestrian: 5 th Cyclist: 1 72 ⁸¹ 75	ar (Total = 228) (Casualty = 43%) [2013-2017]
A DE REAL	Silio 60 40 20 0	46 26 2015 2016 2017 Year	Total ■Property Damage Only ■Injury ■Fatal
CAMBLE ROAD (E-W)	Highest % M Highest % T Highest % T Top 3 Collis	Nonth: September Day of Week: Friday (21% "ime Period: 3 PM - 6 Pf sion Types: Rear End (Left Turn (2 Sideswipe	/December (12%) %) M (36%) 44%) 26%) (22%)
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONAL AND SAFET	YISSUES		
Geometric:			
 Lack of left-turn bay – all approach Commercial and residential drivew Missing/broken flexile delineator – 	es; limited visibility of through a ays close to intersection – nort west leg; at the commercial dri	raffic for left-turn drivers hwest, southeast, and south iveway location (most likely a	nwest quadrants accessing/egressing)
Signal:			fan wordt an dêr
 Provision of left-turn phase without No countdown for pedestrian signal 	t left-turn bay – all approaches al phases – all directions		
Vulnerable Road User:			
 Inadequate pedestrian facility – ov Substantial pedestrian crossing ac etc.) 	erall (narrow letdowns) and not tivities – all legs (to/from schoo	rtheast corner (small waiting vl, shopping centre, and nea	ı area) rby southeast park,
Collision (Data Review):			
 High collision frequency (over 50.0 High number of rear-end collisions High number of left-turn opposing of High number of sideswipe collision One fatal collision reported includir available) around 3 AM on Septem 	 and a collision-prone location reported on Cambie Road app collisions reported for westbound s occurred on all approaches and a driver who had fallen aslee ober 2013 	n (observed over critical coll proaches – over 60% of total nd (18) and eastbound (15) ep and hit a cyclist (exact loc	ision rate) <i>I 90 collisions</i> cation is not
Operational (Field Review):	and share the reaction		n i centra segure d
 Congestion / long queues during p Significant lane changing/weaving Left-turn vehicles from commercial Future development in close vicinit generate more traffic in the near fu Drivers did not identify when left-tu vehicles turning from commercial of High vehicle speed – east-west dir 	eak periods – eastbound and w activities – all approaches; due d driveway created conflicts with ty – northwest and southeast q uture) urn phase will be provided, gen driveways rections; presence of red-light of	vestbound directions > to lack of left-turn bays 1 Cambie Road traffic – easi uadrants (townhouses and of erating weaving activities, pa camera for eastbound appro-	t-west direction commercial building; articularly with ach





City of Richmond

NO. 5 ROAD & CAMBIE ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

• Jaywalkers were observed crossing No. 5 Road between commercial stores

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Replace and install flexible delineators to restrict left-turn movements west leg
- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase during high pedestrian crossing activities after school and weekends
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads east-west approaches

- Add left-turn bay with future redevelopment all approaches, particularly east-west directions
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment northwest, southeast, ad southwest quadrants
- Conduct detailed in-service operation and safety study, including collisions at shopping centre driveways overall
- Review and widen letdown and increase waiting area (if required) overall



Network Screening Study

City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY	
INTERSECTION INFORMATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)
Site #: 7 Intersection Type: 4-Legged Traffic Control Type: Signalized - P/P LT in all directions N-S Street Classification: Arterial E-W Street Classification: Arterial (MRN) (Bike Route) Surrounding L and Use: Posi / Pac, /Inst	Collision Frequency:63.7 per year(Total = 191)Collision Severity Index:5.10(Casualty = 40%)Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.:2.57 / 3.26[2013-2017]Collision with Pedestrian:0Collision with Cyclist:1
Daily Traffic Volume (2015): 63.800 Entering Vehicles	§ 80 70 72
Protestrian(Bicycle Trail Provision (Connection) - 2017 Prasted Speed Lines (60 Is 30 Inthis) Update - 2013 Accessible Bus Stop Provision - 2017	60 49 43 43 Total Property Damage Only 1 20 21 26 29 29 1 29 - Fatal 2015 2016 2017 Year
Pishare Development: • Residential Townhouses (E-W)	Highest % Month:November (15%)Highest % Day of Week:Thursday (18%)Highest % Time Period:3 PM - 6 PM (35%)Top 3 Collision Types:Rear End (63%)Sideswipe (15%) Left Turn (15%)
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONAL AND SAFETY ISSUES	
 Geometric: Misalignment of left-turn bays with wide medians – e Lane drop after intersection due to on-street parking Institutional driveways close to intersection – souther 	ast-west approaches during off-peak periods – south leg ast quadrant (vet hospital)
Signal: Old pedestrian pushbuttons – southwest corner	
Vulnerable Road User:	
 Narrow sidewalk with the presence of utility poles – n Inadequate pedestrian facility/connection – east-west Inadequate bicycle facility on bike route – east-west Long pedestrian crossing distance – north-south diret On-street near-side bus stop – eastbound approach 	northeast, southeast, and southwest quadrants at legs; no raised sidewalk and road curb approach; signed and pavement markings actions
Collision (Data Review):	
 High collision frequency (over 50.0), and high collision Annual number of collisions increased from 2015 to 2 High number of rear-end collisions reported on West High number of left-turn opposing collisions reported High number of sideswipe collisions reported on eas 3 collisions occurred between northbound vehicles a No. 4 Road One cyclist-involved collision occurred between sout Highway on west leg One fatal collision reported due to a eastbound left-turn No. 4 Road southbound during Sunday noon in Octo One fatal collision reported with no clear descriptions 	on severity index (over 5.00) 2017 minister Highway approaches – 65% of total 114 collisions for eastbound (13) and northbound (5) tbound and southbound directions – 8 collisions each nd vehicles exiting the vet hospital parking lot turning left onto hbound right-turn vehicle and bicycle crossing Westminster urn opposing collision and hitting a third vehicle stopped on uber 2016 s during Saturday PM peak period on November 2013





City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods east-west directions
- High vehicle speed east-west directions and northbound

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Future development nearby and in close vicinity southwest (residential) and southeast quadrants; generate more traffic in the near future
- Insufficient road sign east-west legs; bike signage and pavement markings, especially to alert right-turn vehicles
- Damaged signal pole with heavy tire marks and broken vehicle parts were noticed at the northwest corner; suspect
 westbound off-road collision to the right side

Other:

Insufficient street lighting – northwest and southeast corners

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Upgrade pedestrian pushbuttons to the latest standard southwest corners
- Provide bike route related signage and pavement markings before/after intersection east-west legs
- Improve east-west crossings for cyclists elephant's feet and green paint
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Extend left-turn bay with future redevelopment east-west approaches
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment northeast, southeast, and southwest quadrants
- Improve pedestrian facility/connection with future redevelopment northeast, southeast, and southwest quadrants
- Review and widen letdown and increase waiting area (if required) overall
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) northwest and southeast corners
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP east-west approaches
- · Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding
- Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) westbound approach



City of Richmond

INTERSECTION INFORMATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)
Site #: 8 ntersection Type: 4-Legged Traffic Control Type: Signalized - P/P LT for NB & E-W N-S Street Classification: Arterial (Bike Route) E-W Street Classification: Arterial (MRN) Surrounding Land Use: Residential / Commercial	Collision Frequency:54.7 per year(Total = 164)Collision Severity Index:5.01(Casualty = 38%)Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.:3.08 / 3.31[2013-2017]Collision with Pedestrian:5Collision with Cyclist:0
Dally Traffic Volume (2015): 46,600 Entering Vehicles	60 49 54 61 Total 60 49 54 61 Total 60 40 32 34 35 60 40 32 34 35 60 40 32 34 35 60 16 1 20 7 16 1 20 2015 2016 2017 Year
Future Development: - Commercial Building	Highest % Month:November (14%)Highest % Day of Week:Saturday (21%)Highest % Time Period:3 PM - 6 PM (32%)Top 3 Collision Types:Rear End (57%)Sideswipe (16%)Left Turn (11%)
DENTIFIED OPERATION AND SAFETY ISSUES	
 Designated right-turn bay adjacent to commercial dr activities Commercial driveways close to intersection – southe Inadequate sight distance due to nearby foliage – no 	iveways — northbound approach; increase lane weaving east quadrants (gas station) orthwest corner
 Lack of left-turn phase with left-turn bay provided – s 	southbound approach
/ulnerable Road User:	
 Narrow sidewalk with the presence of utility poles – No raised sidewalk – south leg (east side) Bike lane transition from designated to single file wit Long pedestrian crossing distance – east-west direct On-street near-side bus stop – westbound approach 	west side h vehicles – northbound approach tions
Collision (Data Review):	
High collision frequency (over 50.0), and high collision	on severity index (over 5.00) 2017 Jan City approaches – over 60% of total 91 collisions
 Annual number of collisions increased from 2015 to High number of rear-end collisions reported on Gard High number of sideswipe collisions occurred on Ca One fatal collision reported of a vehicle turning left fr available) and hitting a pedestrian crossing Garden (mbie Road approaches – <i>17 collisions (74% of total)</i> om Cambie Road onto Garden City Road (direction is not City Road during weekday PM peak period on January 2015
 Annual number of collisions increased from 2015 to High number of rear-end collisions reported on Gard High number of sideswipe collisions occurred on Ca One fatal collision reported of a vehicle turning left fr available) and hitting a pedestrian crossing Garden (mbie Road approaches – 17 <i>collisions (74% of total)</i> om Cambie Road onto Garden City Road (direction is not City Road during weekday PM peak period on January 2015



City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD & CAMBIE ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Vehicle queue spillback from downstream east leg; unexpected vehicle slow down to enter gas station
- Long left-turn queue block through traffic lane northbound
- Future development nearby northwest (mixed-use) and southwest (commercial) quadrants; generate more traffic in the near future

• Notices to look for collision incident witnesses on June 2017 were found on utility poles at the intersection

Other:

• Insufficient street lighting - northeast and southwest corners

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase southbound approach
- Consider the provision of protected-only left-turn phase north-south directions
- Regularly trim foliage northwest corner
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) southbound approach
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment northwest and south side quadrants
- Review and widen sidewalk with future redevelopment (if required) west side and south leg (east side)
- Provide designated bike lane with future redevelopment northbound approach
- Provide designated right-turn bay with future redevelopment southbound approach
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) northeast and southwest corners







City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD & GRANVILLE AVENUE

Collision (Data Review) - CONTINUED:

• Five cyclist-involved collision occurred – three collisions between eastbound right-turn vehicles and eastbound through bicycles, one collision between westbound right-turn vehicle and northbound bicycle, and one collision between northbound right-turn vehicle and southbound left-turn bicycle

Operational (Field Review):

Congestion / long queues during peak periods – southbound and eastbound approaches
 Vehicle queue spillback from downstream – northbound and eastbound approaches

Other:

- Inadequate pavement marking -- southeast (no dashed merge line) and southwest corner (no green bike lane marking)
- Inappropriate pavement marking east leg; marked and signed crosswalk end at residential driveway
- Missing road sign east-west approaches (no designated right-turn only signs) and southwest corner (no
 pedestrian crosswalk signs)
- Inappropriate road sign eastbound approach (yield sign instead of Added Lane Sign); some right-turn drivers were confuse to stop or not

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- · Consider conducting a feasibility study for intersection configuration options
- Replace Yield sign with Added Lane sign eastbound approach
- Paint guiding line southbound approach; specifically for bicycles
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

Medium/Long-Term:

• Remove or modify angle of channelized right-turn – eastbound and westbound approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm

. .



Network Screening Study

City of Richmond

NO. 2 ROAD & BLUN	DELL ROAD			
INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification:	10 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial (MRN)	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian:	35.0 per year 4.51 3.64 / 3.36 1	(Total = 105) (Casualty = 39%) [2013-2017]
E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	Artenal Commercial / Residential 33,400 Entering Vehicles	Collision with Cyclist:	31 17 14 2017	Total Froperty Damage Only Injury Fatal
BLUNDELL ROAD (E-W) Puties Development: - Residential Tornhouses	Commercial Building Redevelopment with Pedestrian Sidewalk (Plaza) Widening and Right-Turn Island Provision - 2014	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	May / Septeml Wednesday (2 9 AM - 12 PM Rear End (499 Sideswipe (26 Left Tum (7%)	ber (143%) 10%) (26%) 6) %)
IDENTIFIED OPERATION	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES			10 20 20 20 20
 Geometric: Lane drop after int Residential drivew driveways) legs 	ersection due to on-street parking ays close to intersection – <i>south (</i> i	during off-peak period – wes west side) and west (vehicles	t leg turning left to	exit from
Signal:				的问题的问题的问题
No countdown for	pedestrian signal phases – all dire	ections		
Vulnerable Road User: • Substantial pedest	trian crossing activities – all directi	ons; to/from retail stores and	nearby school	s s
Collision (Data Review): A collision-prone lo Annual number of High proportion of shopping activities High number of rea High proportion of High proportion of High proportion of collisions The pedestrian-invileg 24 extra collisions study intersection) 	ocation (observed over critical colli collisions dropped from 2015 total number of collisions occurred ar-end collisions reported on No. 2 sideswipe collisions occurred at th left-turn opposing collisions occurred volved collision reported between a reported at the signalized intersec	d during late morning peak pe Road approaches – 33 out of ne north-south legs – 15 out of red with northbound left-turn r a southbound left-turn vehicle stion of No. 2 Road and Blund	riod (9 AM to f of total 48 collis f total 25 collis movements – 4 and a pedestr ell Centre drive	I2 PM) due to high sions ions 4 out of total 7 ian crossing east eway (south of the
Operational (Field Review):	George Charles	999 A 44	address down
 Heavy traffic volun Significant lane ch driveways 	nes – all directions; peak (commut anging/weaving activities – south a	ing trips) and off-peak (shopp and east legs; crossing two/th	ning trips) perio aree lanes to/fr	ods om commercial





City of Richmond

NO. 2 ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- High vehicle speed southbound and eastbound approaches; long distance of nearby traffic controls for through
 movements
- Future development nearby northeast (commercial) and southwest (residential) quadrants; generate more traffic in the near future

Other:

Broken flexible delineators – south leg; which were installed on the centreline to restrict left-turn movements from commercial and residential driveways

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking close to the intersection west leg
- Replace broken flexible delineators south leg
- Provide signal progression for traffic signals at Blundell Road and Blundell Centre driveway north-south approaches

- Increase left-turn bay storage length with future development -- northbound approach
- Enhance police enforcements on vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP southbound direction
- Consider left-turn movement restriction at driveways for future development east leg
- Consider adding left-turn bay to commercial development with future redevelopment southbound
- Review on-site vehicle circulation and access with strip mall owner to reduce left-in and left-out movements into and out of the mall, especially the access on the south leg overall
- Conduct detailed in-service operation and safety study, including collisions at shopping centre driveways overall
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding



NO. 3 ROAD & GRANVILLE AVENUE			
INTERSECTION INFORMATIC	ON	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)
Site #: 11 Intersection Type: 4-I Traffic Control Type: Sig N-S Street Classification: Arr E-W Street Classification: Arr Surrounding Land Use: Re Daily Traffic Volume (2015): 49	Legged gnalized - P/P LT in all directions terial terial (Bike Route) etail / Park / Civic / Residential 600 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	47.3 per year (Total = 142) 5.88 (Casualty = 47%) 2.44 / 3.30 [2013-2017] 12 (1 Fatal) 5 49
	Mixed-use (residential and commercial) Building Bidewalk Widening - 2017	40 23 20 24 20 24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	27 Total ■ Property Damage Only Injury ■ Injury Fatal 2017 2017
GRANVILLE AVENUE (E-W)	Commercial Building Redevelopment with Sidewalk Widening - 2014	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	November (13%) Wednesday (16%) 3 PM - 6 PM (30%) Rear End (59%) Sideswipe (15%) Pedestrian Involved (9%)
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONAL A	AND SAFETY ISSUES		IN STREET, COLORIDA STREET, COLOR
Designated right-turn l Sharp right-turn corne Long left-turn distance southbound left-turn v Inadequate sight dista Special crosswalks im	bays at a busy intersection – ea r – northeast corner e – north-south approaches; da ehicles) nce due to insufficient property mediately before/after intersect	ast-west approaches maged central island on the e setback – northeast corner tion – north lea	east leg (most likely chipped by
Signal:	and the second second		
No countdown for ped	estrian signal phases – all dire	ctions	
Vulnerable Road User:	建物的复数形式	Statistics and statistics	以地力地发展了研究的工作
 Substantial pedestrian shopping centre, etc.) Long pedestrian cross Bike lane share with ri On-street near-side but 	/bicycle crossing activities – ali ing distance – north-south dire ight-turn lane – east-west appro us stop – southbound approach	l legs (to/from City Hall, park, ctions paches	retail stores, bus stops,
Collision (Data Review):		Star Service Control	
 High collision severity Annual number of colli High number of left-tur collisions All right-turn rear-end High proportion of side 7 out of 12 total pedes crossing north leg (3) a One fatal collision reportion of side 	index (over 5.00); high pedestr isions were similar in three yea rn rear-end collisions occurred collisions occurred on No. 3 Ro eswipe collisions occurred with trian-involved collisions occurre and between northbound left-tu orted of a westbound vehicle tu ossing Granville Avenue during	rian-related incidents rs on Granville Avenue approad pad approaches – 6 collisions northbound movement – 479 ed between eastbound left-tu irn vehicles and pedestrians irning left from No. 3 Road no noon time on November 201	ches – 12 out of total 15 % of total (9 collisions) m vehicles and pedestrians crossing west leg (4) orthbound onto Granville Avenue





City of Richmond

NO. 3 ROAD & GRANVILLE AVENUE

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods north-south directions
- Significant left-/right-turn volumes/queues during peak periods all approaches; right-turn vehicles merge in advance along on-street bike lane to avoid any queue
- Lots of pedestrian crossing activities during the red pedestrian signal phase all approaches

Other:

• Insufficient street lighting - northeast corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all directions
- Delay turning traffic for pedestrian/bicycle crossing overall
- Paint guiding line north-south approaches
- Paint coloured pavement marking for crosswalk to alert drivers for substantial pedestrian/bicycle crossing activities (i.e. the City typically uses Redwood, Pantone #18-1443) *all legs*
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Review and widen corner and provide adequate sight distance with future redevelopment (if required) northeast corner
- Provide designated bike lane by separating with right-turn lane with future redevelopments *east-west* approaches
- Enhance police enforcements for pedestrian crossing violations in coordination with RCMP all approaches



NO. 4 NOAD & BLON	DELL ROAD			
INTERSECTION INFORM	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	12 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for N-S & EB Arterial Arterial Residential / Institutional	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	45.7 per year 5.73 3.39 / 3.36 1 1	(Total = 137) (Casualty = 53%) [2013-2017]
Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	34,500 Entering Vehicles	single 60 48 39 20 15 20 24 24 0 2015 2016 Year Highest % Month: Year	50 26 24 2017 January (15%) Friday (20%)	Total = Property Damage Only = Infury = Fatal
(E-W)	Transit and Pedestrian Facility Improvement [Future Plan]	Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	3 PM - 6 PM (3 Rear End (429 Left Turn (28% Sideswipe (13	36%) %) %)
IDENTIFIED OPERATION	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
 Lack of left-turn ba Wide receiving lan Lane drop with shi Residential and in Inadequate sight corners 	ay – all approaches; limited visibilit e – east leg; conflicts between noi ort merge lane after intersection – stitutional driveways close to inters listance due to nearby foliage and	y of through traffic for left-turn thbound right-turn and southl east leg section – north, east, and wes insufficient property setback	n drivers bound left-turn t legs – northwest an	vehicles nd south side
Signal:				· (1997)
 Provision of left-tu Lack of left-turn pt 	rn phase without left-turn bay – <i>no</i> nase – we <i>stbound approach</i>	rth-south and eastbound app	roaches	
Vulnerable Road User:			A BUTT	AND DUCK
 No raised sidewall Narrow sidewalk - Small pedestrian v Narrow letdown - 	k and road curb – east leg - east side waiting area – all corners north side corners			
Collision (Data Review):		ATTAC SPECIAL COLUMN		
 Annual number of High collision seve High number of re High proportions of involved northbout High proportions of 11 right-angle collisintersection was of due to running the A pedestrian-invol Road (north/south 	collisions increased from 2015 to 2 erity index (over 5.00), and a collisi ar-end collisions reported on the w of left-turn opposing collisions occur <i>nd left-turns and 11 collisions invol</i> of sideswipe collisions occurred in t isions occurred in total – 3 collision perating as four-way stop-controller red light ved collision reported between a s leg)	2017 on-prone location (observed of restbound approach (33%), for rred in north-south directions lived southbound left-turns the southbound direction (35% as were reported when there of ed; north-south directions had outhbound through vehicle ar	over critical co ollowed by nort – over 60% of %), followed by was a power of the highest nu nd a pedestriar	llision rate) hbound (29%) total; <i>12 collisions</i> e eastbound (29%) utage and umber of collisions n crossing No. 4



City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Operational (Field Review):

- Heavy traffic volume east-west directions
- Significant lane changing/weaving activities all directions; due to lack of left-turn bays and existence of lane drop
- On-street parking close to intersection during off-peak periods west leg
- Future development in close vicinity northeast and northwest quadrants (residential); generate more traffic in the near future

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 10 to 20% of Total Collisions):

- Re-paint approach lanes as left-turn only lane and shared through-right lane eastbound and westbound approach; reduce receiving lane as one lane with pavement marking
- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking west leg

- Add left-turn bay with future development north-south approaches then east-west approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm
- Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) southbound approach
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment overall
- Design for adequate sight distance with future redevelopment overall
- Review and widen pedestrian sidewalks, waiting areas, and letdowns (if required) overall



INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	the second
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification:	13 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for N-S & WB Arterial	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian:	39.3 per year 4.97 3.08 / 3.36 4	(Total = 118) (Casualty = 44%) [2013-2017]
E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	Arterial (MRN) Residential / Retail 34,700 Entering Vehicles	Collision with Cyclist:	49	
Residential Townhouses Development with Sidewalk Widening - 2014	Northbound Left-turn Signal Head (Phase) Provision - 2018	38 38 30 40 31 38 20 18 20 13 15 0 2015 2016 Year	25 24 2017	Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
INO.4 ROAD	CAMBIE ROAD (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	November (19 Friday (22%) 3 PM - 6 PM (Rear End (42% Left Tum (29% Sideswipe (18	%) 22%) %) 6) %)
DENTIFIED OPERATIONA	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
Lack of left-turn ba Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Commercial drivew Inadequate sight d	y – all approaches; limited visibilit ersection – north leg vays close to intersection – south istance due to nearby tree trunk –	y of through traffic for left-turr leg northeast corner	n drivers	
Signal:				Straight dates
 Limited signal head northeast corner Provision of left-tur Lack of left-turn ph Delay pedestrian content 	d visibility – northern approach; du n phase without left-turn bay – no lase – eastbound approach crossing timing – east leg; for south	ie to nearby foliage and signa rth-south and westbound app hbound left-turn movement	al pole setback proaches	and foliage at the
Vulnerable Road User:		NERSON ASSESSMENT	No. Contraction	ALCONT OF
 Narrow sidewalk w Narrow letdown – a 	vith the presence of utility poles – a all corners	east leg (south side)		
Collision (Data Review):	aters in some same	A DESCRIPTION OF THE	N. A. TORA	ALC: NO. OF THE REAL OF
 Annual number of High number of rea High number of lef High number of sic All pedestrian-invo Cambie Road while 	collisions increased from 2015 to : ar-end collisions reported on east t-turn opposing collisions reported leswipe collisions occurred with so lved collisions (4 collisions) occurr e pedestrians crossing Cambie Ro	2017 bound approach – 37% of tot for northbound (16 collisions buthbound movement – 21 cc red between vehicles turning bad	al 47 collisions and for west lilisions (39% c left/right from I	oound (7 collisions of <i>total)</i> No. 4 Road onto
Operational (Field Review):		1111111	Cherta d'Alla
 Congestion / long (Significant lane chi High vehicle speed 	queues during peak periods – <i>eas</i> anging/weaving activities – all app 1 – east-west legs; to/from overpa	t-west approaches proaches; due to lack of left-tu ss	ırn bays	



City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & CAMBIE ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- "SPEED KILLS" sign was noted in the eastbound approach indicating high vehicle speed identified
- · Notices looking for witnesses on a vehicle collisions dated September 2018 were found on utility poles

Other:

Insufficient street lighting – northeast corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Regularly trim foliage northeast corner
- Add a near-side tertiary traffic signal head northbound approach
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads east-west approaches
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase eastbound approach
- Add left-turn bay east-west approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm (feasibility/design)
- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all approaches
- Advance merge sign before the intersection OR provide two exit lane and merge further north northbound
 approach

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) eastbound approach
- Add left-turn bay east-west approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm (construction)
- Review and widen sidewalk and letdowns (if required) overall
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP east-west approaches
- · Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding
- Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) westbound approach



INTERSECTION INFORM	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)		
Site #: ntersection Type: Fraffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification:	14 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for WB Arterial	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian:	37.7 per year 6.18 2.83 / 3.37 5	(Total = 113) (Casualty = 58%) [2013-2017]
E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	Arterial (MRN) Residential / Industrial	Collision with Cyclist:	0	
Daily Traffic Volume (2015): Puture Development: - Residential Townhouses	33,200 Entering Vehicles	60 13 140 13 15 16 19 18 0 2015 2018 Year	47 19 28 2017	Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
Future Development: - Residential Townhouses	BRIDGEPORT ROAD (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	July (12%) Monday (18% 9 AM - 12 PM Rear End (499 Left Tum (23% Sideswipe (11) / 3 PM - 6 PM (23% %) %)
				/
DENTIFIED OPERATION Geometric:	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
DENTIFIED OPERATION Geometric: Lack of left-turn b Wide receiving lat northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivey Inadequate sight Presence of railwa	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES ay – all approaches; limited visib ne – north leg; conflicts between gh vehicles after intersection – north leg vays close to intersection – north distance due to nearby foliage ar ay crossing – east leg; two sets c	ility of through traffic for left-turn westbound right-turn and eastb and west legs Id insufficient property setback f westbound signal heads with	n drivers bound left-turn – west side co one stop bar	vehicles and two mers
DENTIFIED OPERATION Seometric: Lack of left-turn b Wide receiving lau northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivev Inadequate sight Presence of railwa Signal:	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES ay – all approaches; limited visib ne – north leg; conflicts between gh vehicles after intersection – north leg vays close to intersection – north distance due to nearby foliage ar ay crossing – east leg; two sets c	lity of through traffic for left-turn westbound right-turn and east and west legs Id insufficient property setback f westbound signal heads with	n drivers bound left-turn – west side co one stop bar	vehicles and two mers
DENTIFIED OPERATION Seometric: Lack of left-turn b Wide receiving lan northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivev Inadequate sight Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-turn p	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES ay – all approaches; limited visib ne – north leg; conflicts between gh vehicles after intersection – north leg vays close to intersection – north distance due to nearby foliage ar ay crossing – east leg; two sets con hase – north-south and eastbour urn phase without left-turn bay – to	lity of through traffic for left-turn westbound right-turn and eastb and west legs Id insufficient property setback of westbound signal heads with and approaches westbound approach	n drivers bound left-turn – west side co one stop bar	vehicles and two mers
DENTIFIED OPERATION Geometric: Lack of left-turn b Wide receiving lat northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivev Inadequate sight Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-tu	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES ay – all approaches; limited visib ne – north leg; conflicts between gh vehicles after intersection – north leg vays close to intersection – north distance due to nearby foliage ar ay crossing – east leg; two sets con hase – north-south and eastbour urn phase without left-turn bay – to	lity of through traffic for left-turn westbound right-turn and east and west legs Id insufficient property setback f westbound signal heads with Id approaches westbound approach	n drivers bound left-turn – west side co one stop bar	vehicles and two mers
DENTIFIED OPERATION Seometric: Lack of left-turn b Wide receiving lau northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivew Inadequate sight Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-turn p Inadequate pedes crossing pedestria	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES ay – all approaches; limited visible ne – north leg; conflicts between gh vehicles after intersection – north leg vays close to intersection – north distance due to nearby foliage ar ay crossing – east leg; two sets of hase – north-south and eastbour urn phase without left-turn bay – u strian/bicycle facility/connection – ans/bicycles	lity of through traffic for left-turn westbound right-turn and east and west legs id insufficient property setback if westbound signal heads with ad approaches vestbound approach	n drivers bound left-turn – west side co one stop bar	vehicles and two mers urn vehicles and
DENTIFIED OPERATION Geometric: Lack of left-turn b Wide receiving lan northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivev Inadequate sight Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-turn p Inadequate pedes crossing pedestria Collision (Data Review):	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES ay – all approaches; limited visib ne – north leg; conflicts between gh vehicles after intersection – north leg vays close to intersection – north distance due to nearby foliage ar ay crossing – east leg; two sets of hase – north-south and eastbour urn phase without left-turn bay – to strian/bicycle facility/connection – ans/bicycles	lity of through traffic for left-turn westbound right-turn and east and west legs id insufficient property setback if westbound signal heads with id approaches vestbound approach	n drivers bound left-turn – west side co one stop bar between right-tu	vehicles and two mers um vehicles and
DENTIFIED OPERATION Geometric: Lack of left-turn b Wide receiving lat northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivev Inadequate sight Presence of railw Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-tu /ulnerable Road User: Inadequate pedes crossing pedestria Collision (Data Review): Annual number of High collision sev High number of si Two pedestrian-ir Bridgeport Road a	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES ay – all approaches; limited visib ne – north leg; conflicts between gh vehicles after intersection – north leg vays close to intersection – north distance due to nearby foliage ar ay crossing – east leg; two sets of hase – north-south and eastbour urn phase without left-turn bay – n strian/bicycle facility/connection – ans/bicycles f collisions increased from 2015 t erity index (over 5.00) ear-end collisions reported on Bri- ing collisions occurred in the east ideswipe collisions (out of five) rep and pedestrians crossing Bridgep	liity of through traffic for left-turn westbound right-turn and east and west legs id insufficient property setback <i>f westbound signal heads with</i> id approaches westbound approach overall intersection; conflicts b o 2017 dgeport Road approaches – 85 t-west directions – 14 for west restbound (6 collisions), followe orted between vehicles turning nort Road	n drivers bound left-turn – west side co one stop bar eetween right-tu wetween right-tu wetween and 11 f bound and 11 f ed by eastboun right from She	vehicles and two mers um vehicles and d on westbound for eastbound d (3 collisions) Il Road onto
DENTIFIED OPERATION Geometric: Lack of left-turn b Wide receiving lan northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivew Inadequate sight Presence of railw Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-tur Vulnerable Road User: Inadequate pedes crossing pedestria Collision (Data Review): Annual number of High collision sev High number of si Two pedestrian-ir Bridgeport Road a	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES ay – all approaches; limited visible ne – north leg; conflicts between gh vehicles after intersection – north leg vays close to intersection – north distance due to nearby foliage ar ay crossing – east leg; two sets of hase – north-south and eastbour urn phase without left-turn bay – north strian/bicycle facility/connection – ans/bicycles f collisions increased from 2015 the erity index (over 5.00) ear-end collisions reported on Bri- ing collisions occurred in the east ideswipe collisions (out of five) rep and pedestrians crossing Bridgep w):	lity of through traffic for left-turn westbound right-turn and east and west legs id insufficient property setback f westbound signal heads with ad approaches vestbound approach overall intersection; conflicts b o 2017 dgeport Road approaches – 85 t-west directions – 14 for west trestbound (6 collisions), followe orted between vehicles turning nort Road	n drivers bound left-turn – west side co one stop bar netween right-tu wetween right-tu bound and 11 f ed by eastboun right from She	vehicles and two mers um vehicles and d on westbound or eastbound d (3 collisions) Il Road onto



City of Richmond

SHELL ROAD & BRIDGEPORT ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Future development nearby west side (residential); generate more traffic in the near future
- · Notices to look for collision incident witnesses on February 2019 were found on utility poles at the intersection

Other:

Insufficient street lighting – southwest corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

- Review and relocate/remove right-angle parking spaces close to the intersection north leg
- Regularly trim foliage to provide adequate sight distance southwest corner

- Repaint pavement marking to realign/convert approaches to one left-turn (align with opposite left-turn) with one shared through-right lane – north-south approaches
- Add left-turn bays with future redevelopments east-west approaches
- Rearrange or relocate driveway locations away from the intersection with future redevelopment west side
- Improve pedestrian/bicycle facility/connection overall
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) southwest corner

Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

MINORU BOULEVAR	D & GRANVILLE AVENUE			
INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS ((2015-2017)	THE REAL PROPERTY
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	15 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for SB & E-W Arterial (Bike Route - NL) Arterial (Bike Route) Residential / Office / Civic / Park 35,400 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	34.0 per year 5.24 2.65 / 3.35 12 0 37 19 18 2017	(Total = 102) (Casualty = 47%) [2013-2017] Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
GRANVILLE AVENUE (E-W)	Bus Shelter Provision - 2275	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	September (22 Wednesday (2 9 AM - 12 AM Rear End (55 Sideswipe (16 Pedestrian Inv	2%) (22%) (25%) %) %) volved (12%)
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONA	L AND SAFETY ISSUES		As See 8	
 Horizontal curve as between the library Designated right-tu Lane drop from thr Wide receiving land On-street parking of 	s well as institutional driveway bef r loading area and intersection tur, urn bays at a busy intersection – e ough to designated right-turn lane e – south leg; conflict between ea- close to intersection – south leg	ore intersection – southbound ning bays ast-west approaches – southbound approach stbound right-turn and westbo	d approach; ve bund left-turn v	hicles weaving rehicles
Signal:				
 Lack of left-turn ph No countdown for ph 	ase with left-turn bay provided – r bedestrian signal phases – all dire	orthbound approach octions		
Vulnerable Road User:		的感觉。自然的感情的意思		
 Substantial pedest centre, park, etc.) Special crosswalks 	rian/bicycle crossing activities – a s near intersection – <i>north leg</i>	ll legs (to/from community cer	ntres, school, (City Hall, shopping
Collision (Data Review):		HERE THE REAL PROPERTY OF		
 Annual number of High collision seve High number of rea High proportion of High proportion of 7 right-angle collisi 6 out of 12 total pe phase) and pedest 	collisions increased in 2017 rity index (over 5.00) ar-end collisions reported on south left-turn rear-end collisions occurr sideswipe collisions occurred on 0 ons occurred – 4 collisions report destrian-involved collisions (50%) rians crossing west leg	bound direction (39%), follow ed on eastbound – <i>11 out of t</i> Granville Avenue approaches ed due to southbound vehicle occurred between northboun	ved by eastbou total 13 collision – 11 collisions s running the i id left-turn veh	und (35%) ons s (69% of total) red light icles (no left-turn
Operational (Field Review):	SE SHOWARD	Artiste MA	Sales we
 Congestion / long of Significant left/right and crossing peder 	queues during peak periods – <i>eas</i> t-turn volumes/queues during pea <i>strians/bicycles</i>	t-west approaches k periods – all approaches; co	onflict betweer	n right-turn vehicles





City of Richmond

MINORU BOULEVARD & GRANVILLE AVENUE

Operational (Field Review) – CONTINUED:

- Significant lane changing/weaving activities southbound approach (marked on-street bicycle lane crossing designated right-turn lane) and east-west directions (conflicts between right-turn vehicles and through bicycles/buses)
- Existing bike facility is confusing to drivers/cyclists and too much information to process southbound; just before the taper, road user sees "Bike Lane Ends", overhead lane designation signs, green paint, bike symbol, Yield to Bike Cycle sign, and lane drop.

Other:

• Insufficient street lighting - northwest and south side corners

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all directions
- Review and increase pedestrian crossing timing (if warranted) north-south directions
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase northbound approach
- Review and extend signal timings eastbound approach (specifically left-turn)
- Paint green to crosswalk to alert drivers for high crossing activities all approaches
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) northbound approach
- Consider conducting redesign of southbound approach to improve the crossing facilities
- Provide off-street multi-use pathway south leg (west side)
- · Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) westbound approach
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) northwest and south side corners
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle red-light running violations in coordination with RCMP and ICBC all approaches
- Enhance police enforcements for pedestrian crossing violations in coordination with RCMP all approaches



City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD	& BLUNDELL ROAD			
INTERSECTION INFORMA	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	16 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial Arterial Commercial (Recidential	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	22.0 per year 4.68 3.35 / 3.41 1 0	(Total = 66) (Casualty = 41%) [2013-2017]
Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	26,400 Entering Vehicles	40 20 20 20 20 10 15 8 2015 2016 2016 2016	23 14 9 2017	Total # Property Damage Only # Injury # Fabai
(IN-S) CITY ROAD	BLUNDELL ROAD (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	April / Novemb Thursday (249 3 PM - 6 PM (Rear End (449 Sideswipe (34 Left Tum (159	per (14%) %) 30%) %) %)
IDENTIFIED OPERATION	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
Geometric: Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after int Commercial drivew	ay – all approaches; limited visibilit; ersection due to on-street parking ways close to intersection – northe	y of through traffic for left-turr during off-peak periods – noi ast and southwest quadrants	n drivers rth, south, and	west legs
Signal: Provision of left-tu No countdown for	rn phase without left-turn bay – <i>all</i> pedestrian signal phases – <i>all dire</i>	approaches octions		
Vulnerable Road User: Narrow letdown – Substantial pedest between left/right- 	northeast corner trian/bicycle crossing activities – al turn vehicles and crossing pedestri	ll legs (to/from retail stores ar ians	nd nearby scho	ools); conflict
Collision (Data Review): Annual number of High number of re High number of lef The pedestrian crossin 26 extra collisions southeast corner of A fatal collision oc Road and an east! Another fatal collis Blundell Road dur 	collisions were similar in three yea ar-end collisions reported on west deswipe collisions occurred on west ft-turn opposing collisions reported volved collision occurred between a g Blundell Road reported at the driveways (south a of study intersection curred between a vehicle exiting th bound vehicle during weekday AM sion reported including an eastbour ing weekday AM peak period on O n:	ars bound (54%), followed by nor stbound (40%), followed by se for E-W direction (over 65% a right-turning vehicle from G and east legs) of Garden City he shopping centre driveway peak period on February 20° nd vehicle hitting a pedestriar ictober 2014	thbound (25% outhbound (25 of total) arden City Roa Shopping Cer to go westbour 16 n who was jayw) %) ad (NB/SB) and a htre, located on the nd on Blundell valking across
Congestion / long afternoon	queues during peak periods – all a	approaches; especially shopp	ing trips during	g weekend



City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Significant lane changing/weaving activities all directions; due to lack of left-turn bays and allowance of on-street parking
- On-street parking close to intersection northbound approaches; blocking through traffic from using curb lane and then change lane to avoid left-turn vehicles
- Unfamiliar drivers may be confuse when the left-turn phase is on in each approach
- Jaywalkers crossing Garden City Road and Blundell Road were observed

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

Review and relocate/remove on-street parking next to shopping centre and close to intersection – northbound approach

- Provide left-turn bays with future redevelopments in the future overall
- Conduct detailed in-service operation and safety study, including collisions at shopping centre driveways overall
- Review and widen letdown (if required) northeast corner

Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

INTERSECTION INFORM	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)		
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	17 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for N-S & WB Arterial Arterial Comm. / Rec. / Inst. / Resi. 27,200 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	27.3 per year 4.73 2.99 / 3.41 0 2	(Total = 82) (Casualty = 41%) [2013-2017] Total
INCLUSION OF RECEIPTION	FRANCIS ROAD	5 20 16 17 0 2015 2016 Year Highest % Month:	18 7 2017 May / June (13	 injury Fatal 3%)
	(E-W)	Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	A PM - 6 PM (2 Rear End (319 Left Turn (30% Sideswipe (26	esday (20%) 29%) 6) 6) %)
IDENTIFIED OPERATION	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
Geometric:				
 Lack of left-turn base Lane drop after int Commercial and response 	ay – all approaches; limited visibilit tersection due to on-street parking ecreational driveways close to inte	y of through traffic for left-turn during off-peak periods – so rsection – north, east, and we	n drivers uth, east, and v est legs	vest legs
Signal:			November 1	attract to a lo
 Lack of left-turn pl Provision of left-tu No countdown for 	nase – <i>eastbound approach</i> rn phase without left-turn bay – <i>no</i> pedestrian signal phases – <i>all dire</i>	rth-south and westbound app actions	proaches	
Vulnerable Road User:	and a state of the state of the state			STREET, ST.
 Substantial pedes schools); conflict k No bicycle facilitie 	trian crossing activities – all legs (t between left/right-turn vehicles and s provided – overall intersection	o/from retail stores and near l crossing pedestrians	by community o	centres and
Collision (Data Review):			16.256.555	Department and a
 High number of re out of total 24 coll. High number of le High proportion of total 20 collisions Two cyclist-involve bicycle crossing e 20 extra collisions the intersection – 	ar-end collisions occurred on No. <i>isions</i> ft-turn opposing collisions occurred sideswipe collisions reported for n ed collisions occurred between veh ast/west leg of the study intersection reported at the driveways of Seafa 14 collisions at the driveway along	1 Road approaches – 10 for r d on N-S direction – 85%; 22 northbound (6), followed by ea nicles turning left/right from N on air Centre (shopping plaza) lo <i>No. 1 Road and 6 collisions</i>	northbound and out of total 23 d astbound/south o. 1 Road onto pcated on the n at the driveway	d 7 for southbound collisions bound (4); out of Francis Road and orthwest corner of v to Francis Road
Operational (Field Review	/):	PERIOD CROCKING		STATEMET PLAN
 Significant left-turn aggressive turning Significant lane ch Road work and land 	n volumes/queues during commute g manoeuvers nanging/weaving activities – all dire ne closure on the northwest corner	er and school peak periods – actions; due to lack of left-turr during the field review in ear	north-south ap n bays Iy April	proaches;





City of Richmond

NO. 1 ROAD & FRANCIS ROAD

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 10 to 20% of Total Collisions):

- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all approaches
- · Paint green pavement marking for crosswalk to alert drivers for substantial pedestrian crossing activities all legs
- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking close to intersection south, east, and west legs
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase eastbound approach
- Educate community centre children and school students regarding safe pedestrian crossing overall

- Consolidate commercial driveways with future redevelopment north leg
- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) eastbound approach
- Add left-turn bays with future redevelopments in the future all approaches, particular north-south directions
- Conduct a detailed in-service operation and safety study to include the safety review of nearby commercial driveways overall



INTERSECTION INFORM			
INTERSECTION INFORMATION		COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	18 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for SB & WB Arterial Arterial Commercial / Residential	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	24.0 per year (Total = 72) 4.75 (Casualty = 42%) 2.08 / 3.36 [2013-2017] 3 0
Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	34,300 Entering Vehicles	8 23 20 5 20 15 13 7 2015 2016 Year	29 Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal 2017
Commercial Building Redevelopment With Sidewalk Widening - 2017	STEVESTON Highway (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	December (14%) Saturday (19%) 3 PM - 6 PM (19%) Rear End (30%) Left Tum (30%) Sideswipe (19%)
IDENTIFIED OPERATION	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES		
Lack of left-turn b Misalignment of le Wide receiving la Commercial drive Inadequate sight	ay – north-south and eastbound ap aft-turn lanes – east-west approach ne – west leg; conflicts between so ways and laneway close to intersed distance due to nearby foliage and	pproaches; limited visibility of thes uthbound right-turn and north ction – north and west legs insufficient property setback -	through traffic for left-turn drivers bound left-turn vehicles – east side corners
Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-tu No countdown for	hase – <i>northbound and eastbound</i> urn phase without left-turn bay – <i>so</i>	approaches uthbound approach actions	2000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00
	podobaliali bigliai pliabob di alla		
Vulnerable Road User:		2月1日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日	12 CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT
 Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pedes schools); conflict Narrow letdown – Small pedestrian 	strian crossing activities – all legs (t between left/right-turn vehicles and southeast corner waiting area – northwest comer	o/from retail stores and nearb lorssing pedestrians	by community centres and
Vulnerable Road User: • Substantial pedes schools); conflict • Narrow letdown – • Small pedestrian Collision (Data Review):	strian crossing activities – all legs (l between left/right-turn vehicles and southeast corner waiting area – northwest corner	o/from retail stores and nearb l crossing pedestrians	by community centres and





City of Richmond

NO. 1 ROAD & STEVESTON HIGHWAY

Operational (Field Review):

- Significant lane changing/weaving activities all approaches; due to lack of left-turn bays and existence of lane drop
- On-street parking close to intersection west leg; no parking restriction with new development
- Future development nearby northwest quadrant (institutional); generate more traffic in the near future

Other:

- Missing pavement marking south leg (incomplete crosswalk)
- Insufficient street lighting northwest corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all approaches
- Repaint approach to one left-turn lane plus one shared through-right lane and align with opposite left-turn lane eastbound approach
- Add overhead lane designated sign westbound approach
- Add on-street parking restriction zone close to intersection west leg
- Add additional Designated Right-turn sign upstream westbound approach
- Regularly trim foliage northeast corner

- Add left-turn bays with future redevelopments in the future north-south approaches
- Close driveways near intersection with future redevelopment north and west legs



GILBERT ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD								
INTERSECTION INFORM	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	the House Have				
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	19 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for E-W Arterial Arterial Residential 32,700 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	25.7 per year 5.32 2.14 / 3.37 3 1 26 14	(Total = 77) (Casualty = 48%) [2013-2017] Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal				
IDENTIFIED OPERATION.	BLUNDELL ROAD (E-W) AL AND SAFETY ISSUES	2015 2016 Year Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	2017 May (14%) Tuesday (27% 3 PM - 6 PM (Rear End (399 Left Tum (23% Sideswipe (16) 29%) %) 6) %)				
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after in: Residential drivew Inadequate sight ocorners 	ay – east-west approaches; limited tersection due to on-street parking vays and laneway close to intersec distance due to nearby foliage and	visibility of through traffic for during off-peak periods – ea tion – north, south, and east insufficient property setback	left-turn driver st-west legs legs – north side ar	s nd southeast				
Signal:		E THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE R						
Lack of left-turn plProvision of left-tu	nase with left-turn bay provided – r Irn phase without left-turn bay – <i>ea</i>	north-south approaches st-west approaches						
Vuinerable Road User: Narrow letdown – Small waiting area No bicycle facilitie 	northeast corner a – northeast corner; pedestrians c. s provided – overall intersection	lose to tight right-turn vehicle	s					
Collision (Data Review):				A STATE OF BUILDAY				
 High collision seve High number of recollisions High number of lecollisions High number of si 9 right-angle collisions Two out of total the seven seve	erity index (over 5.00) ear-end collisions occurred on west ft-turn opposing collisions occurred deswipe collisions reported for eas sions occurred – <i>6 collisions reporte</i> ree pedestrian-involved collisions i	bound (11), followed by north for westbound (7), followed tbound (42%) – 5 out of total ed due to vehicles running the reported between left-turning	bound (6); out by southboun 12 collisions e red light on ii vehicles and p	of total 29 d (4) out of total 17 <i>n the east-west</i> pedestrians				
 crossing east leg a The cyclist-involve Gilbert Road in from 	and south leg ed collision reported between a veh ont of the vehicle (north or south lec	nicle turning right from Gilbert	Road and a b	icycle crossing				



City of Richmond

GILBERT ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Operational (Field Review):

- Significant lane changing/weaving activities east-west approaches (due to lack of left-turn bays); two-way leftturn lane is also available on the north leg
- High vehicle speed north-south legs; presence of red-light camera for westbound approach

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 10 to 20% of Total Collisions):

- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase north-south approaches
- · Check intergreen time to verify the possible contributing cause for high number of right-angle collisions overall
- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking close to intersection north, south, and east legs
- Regularly trim foliage north side and southeast corners

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) north-south approaches
- Add left-turn bays with future redevelopments in the future east-west approaches
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP north-south legs
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding



NO. 5 ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD								
INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS	2015-2017)	Standing Inc.				
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification:	20 4-Legged Signalized Arterial Arterial	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	23.7 per year 5.44 2.73 / 3.42 0 0	(Total = 71) (Casualty = 49%) [2013-2017]				
Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	Inst. / Comm. / Resi. 25,100 Entering Vehicles	40 22 20 20 20 10 12 2015 2016 Year	19 10 9 2017	Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal				
	ELUNDELL ROAD (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	November (14 Wednesday (2 3 PM - 6 PM (Rear End (599 Sideswipe (16 Left Turn (109	%) 24%) 30%) %) %)				
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONA	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES							
Geometric: • Horizontal and vertical curves before/after intersection – east leg • Merge lane after intersection – east-west legs • Lane drop after intersection due to on-street parking during off-peak periods – north-south legs • Commercial, institutional, and residential driveways close to intersection – south and west legs • Inadequate sight distance due to nearby foliage and insufficient property setback – northwest corner Signal: • Lack of left-turn phase with left-turn bay provided – all approaches								
Vuinerable Road User:		Los And Art						
 Narrow sidewalk with utility poles – northwest quadrant No bicycle facilities provided – overall intersection 								
 Collision (Data Review): High collision severity index (over 5.00) High number of rear-end collisions occurred on eastbound (14), followed by northbound (9); out of total 41 collisions High proportion of sideswipe collisions reported for eastbound – 40%; 4 out of total 11 collisions High proportion of left-turn opposing collisions occurred for westbound left-turn movement – 3 out of total 6 collisions 4 right-angle collisions occurred – 2 collisions occurred when there was a power outage and intersection was operating as four-way stop-controlled A fatal collision reported between an eastbound vehicle going through the intersection and a northbound vehicle running the red light around weekday noon time on September 2013 								
Operational (Field Review):								
 Significant left-turn volumes/queues during peak periods – northbound and east-west approaches Significant lane changing/weaving activities – east-west directions High vehicle speed – north-south directions 								





City of Richmond

NO. 5 ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase east-west approaches
- · Convert curb lane to right-turn only lane to avoid sideswipes east-west approaches
- Upgrade pedestrian pushbuttons to the latest standard all corners
- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking close to intersection east-west legs

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) east-west approaches
- Consider widening Blundell at intersections from two to four lanes overall
- Review and relocate/remove commercial driveways close to intersection with future redevelopment *southwest* quadrant
- Review and widen letdown (if required) northeast corner
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP north-south legs
- · Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding

Top 20 Intersection	ons: Summary	of Proposed	Short-Term	Improvements
				1

Inter	rsection	Pavement Markings & Barriers	Signage	Traffic Signals	Trim Foliage for Sightlines	Street Parking	Education / Study	Est. Total Cost	Est. Safety Benefit
1	Shell Rd- Alderbridge Way/Hwy 91	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings/ Repaint Merge Lines	Add Yield/ Merge/ Crosswalk Signs	Enlarge Lenses/ Upgrade Ped Buttons/ Warrant for LT Phase	SW Corner	-	-	\$41,600	20-30%
		\$13,700	\$3,400	\$23,000	\$1,500	-	-		
2	Garden City Rd-Sea Island Way	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings/ Add Merge Lines	Add Object Marker/ Crosswalk Signs	Warrant for LT Phase	SW Corner	-	-	\$6000	5-15%
		\$3,000	\$1,450	Staff Time	\$1,500	-	-		
3	No. 2 Rd- Westminster Hwy	Add Guide Lines/Add RT Markings	Add New Lane/RT Only Lane Signs	Enlarge Lenses/ Warrant for LT Phase	SW Corner/ South Side	-	Traffic Operations & Safety Review	\$54,600	20-30%
		\$1,300	\$800	\$23,000	\$4,500	-	\$25,000		
4	No. 4 Rd- Alderbridge Way	Repaint Merge Lines	Add Yield/ Crosswalk Signs	Enlarge Lenses/ Review Signal Progression/ Upgrade Ped Buttons/ Warrant for LT Phase	-	-	-	\$25,700	20-30%
		\$900	\$2,800	\$22,000	-	-	-		
5	No. 5 Rd- Westminster Hwy	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings/ Add Merge Lines	Add Yield/ RT Lane/ Crosswalk Signs	Enlarge Lenses	-	-	-	\$29,200	15-25%
		\$4,600	\$3,600	\$21,000	-	-	-		
6	No. 5 Rd- Cambie Rd	Replace Barriers	-	Enlarge Lenses/Review Dedicated Ped Phase	-	-	-	\$22,500	20-30%
		\$1,500	-	\$21,000	-	-	-		
7	No. 4 Rd- Westminster Hwy	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings	Add Bike Route Signs	Lenses/ Upgrade Ped Buttons	-	-	-	\$51,000	15-25%
L		\$26,600	\$1,400	\$23,000	-	-	-		
8	Garden City Rd-Cambie Rd	Add Guide Line	Add New Lane Sign	Enlarge Lenses/ Warrant for LT Phase	NW Corner	-	-	\$23,500	15-25%
		\$500	\$250	\$21,000	\$1,500			ļ <u></u>	
9	Garden City Rd-Granville Ave	Add Guide Line	Add New Lane Sign	Enlarge Lenses	-	-	Feasibilility Study Traffic Control Changes	\$66,800	15-25%
L		\$500	\$250	\$16,000		- <u>-</u>	\$50,000		
10	No. 2 Rd- Blundell Rd	Replace Barriers	-	Review Signal Progression	-	Review Location on W Leg	-	\$5,000	5-15%
		\$5,000	-	Staff Time	-	Staff Time	-		

Attachment 3 Cont'd

Inter	rsection	Pavement Markings & Barriers	Signage	Traffic Signals	Trim Foliage for Sightlines	Street Parking	Education / Study	Est. Total Cost	Est. Safety Benefit
11	No. 3 Rd- Granville Ave	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings/ Add Guide Line	-	Enlarge Lenses/Review Dedicated Ped Phase	-	-	-	\$67,000	20-30%
		\$46,000	-	\$21,000	-		-		
12	No. 4 Rd- Blundell Rd	Repaint Lane Lines	-	-	-	Review Location on W Leg	-	\$1,200	10-20%
		\$1,200	-	-	-	Staff Time	-		
13	No. 4 Rd- Cambie Rd	-	Add Merge Sign	Add Tertiary Signal/ Enlarge Lenses/ Review Dedicated Ped Phase/ Warrant for LT Phase	NE Corner	-	Design to Add LT Bays	19000	15-25%
		-	\$400	\$17,000	\$1,500	-	Staff Time		
14	Shell Rd- Bridgeport	-	-	-	SW Corner	Review Location on N Leg	-	\$1,500	5-15%
	- Nu	-	-	-	\$1,500	Staff Time	-		
15	Minoru Blvd- Granville Ave	Add Bike Lane Lines	-	Lenses/ Review Dedicated Ped Phase/ Review Signal Timing/ Warrant for LT Phase	-	-	-	\$31,000	15-25%
		\$10,000	-	\$21,000	-	-	-		
16	Garden City Rd-Blundell Rd	-	-	-	-	Review Location NB Approach	-	\$0	5-15%
		-	-	-	-	Staff Time	-		
17	No. 1 Rd- Francis Rd	Add Bike Lane Lines	-	Review Dedicated Ped Phase/ Warrant for LT Phase	-	Review Location on S, E, W Legs	Pedestrian Education Campaign	\$45,000	10-20%
	[\$45,000	-	- Deview	-	Staff Time	Statt Time		
18	No. 1 Rd- Steveston Hwy	Repaint Lane Lines	Add R17 Overhead Lane Signs	Review Dedicated Ped Phase	NE Corner	Parking on W Leg	-	\$3500	15-25%
		\$600	\$950	-	\$1,500	Statt Lime			
19	Gilbert Rd- Blundell Rd	-	-	Warrant for LT Phase	North Side/ SE Corner	Location on N, S, E Legs	-	\$4,500	10-20%
L		-	-	-	\$4,500	Staff Time			
20	No. 5 Rd- Blundell Rd	Convert Curb Lane to RT Lane	-	Upgrade Ped Buttons/ Warrant for LT Phase	-	Review Location on E, W Legs	-	\$1,400	5-15%
	Total	\$1,400	¢45.000	-	¢40.000	Staff Lime	¢75.000	¢400 400	

Top 20 Intersections by Location

Notes: RT = Right-Turn / LT = Left-Turn / N = North / S = South / W = West / E = East Estimated Safety Benefit = % of collisions that improvement would address based on collision history