## Report to Committee <br> Planning and Development Division

To: Planning Committee
From: Wayne Craig Director, Development

Date: April 5, 2017
File: RZ 16-726337

Application by Anthem Properties Group Ltd. for Rezoning at 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road from Single Detached (RS1/E) to Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)

## Staff Recommendation

1. That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9715, for the zoning text amendment to Section 3.4 [Use and Term Definitions], Section 5.4 [Secondary Suites], Section 8.6 [Low Density Townhouses (RTL1, RTL2, RTL3, RTL4)], Section 8.7 [Medium Density Townhouses (RTM1, RTM2, RTM3)], Section 8.8 [High Density Townhouses (RTH1, RTH2, RTH3, RTH4)] and Section 8.9 [Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP1, RTP2, RTP3, RTP4)], to allow secondary suites in townhouse developments, be introduced and given first reading.
2. That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687, for the rezoning of 10475 , 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" to "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)", be introduced and given first reading.
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| REPORT CONCURRENCE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Routed To: | Concurrence | Concurrence of General Manager |
| Affordable Housing |  |  |

## Staff Report

## Origin

Anthem Properties Group Ltd. has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to rezone 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road (Attachment A) from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)" zone in order to permit the development of 47 townhouse units.

A Report to Committee (Attachment B) was taken to Planning Committee on March 21, 2017 and then to Council on March 27, 2017. In response to the referral motion carried at the Council meeting, the applicant has revised the proposal to include two secondary suites in the proposed townhouse development. A revised preliminary site plan is contained in Attachment C and a preliminary floor plan of the secondary suites is contained in Attachment D.

## Background

The following referral motion was carried at the March 27, 2017 Council meeting:

> "That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687, for the rezoning of $10475,10491,10511,10531,10551,10571,10591$ and 10631 No. 5 Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)" zone, be referred to staff to investigate the possibility of including secondary suites."

This supplemental report is being brought forward now to provide a summary of revisions made to the development proposal and the amendments to the Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 required to facilitate the inclusion of secondary suites in townhouse developments.

## Findings of Fact

Please refer to the attached updated Development Application Data Sheet (Attachment E) for a comparison of the proposed development data with the relevant bylaw requirements. Please refer to the original Staff Report dated March 10, 2017 (Attachment B) for information pertaining to related City's policies and studies, pre-Planning Committee public input and responses, as well as staff comments on built form and architectural character, transportation and site access, tree retention and replacement, variance requested, amenity space, and site servicing and frontage improvements.

## Revised Development Proposal

In response to the referral motion carried at the March 27, 2017 Council meeting, the applicant has revised the development proposal to include two ground level secondary suites. These secondary units will be contained in two of the larger units (unit type B4) proposed on site, located near the main entry driveway (see Attachment C). The total floor area of each of these B4 units is approximately $159 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(1,711.5 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ and the size of each secondary suite is approximately $31 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(336.7 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$. Each secondary suite contains a living/dining area, a
kitchenette, a bedroom and a bathroom (see Attachment D). A surface parking stall will be assigned to each of the secondary units.

To ensure that the secondary suites are built, registration of a legal agreement on Title, stating that no final Building Permit inspection will be granted until the secondary suites are constructed to the satisfaction of the City in accordance with the BC Building Code and the City's Zoning Bylaw, is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

To ensure that the parking stalls assigned to the secondary suites are for the sole use of the each of the secondary suites, registration of a legal agreement on Title, or other measures, as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Zoning Text Amendment

In response to the referral motion carried at the March 27, 2017 Council meeting, text amendments to the Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 are required to permit secondary suites in townhouse developments. Staff propose to:

1. Update the definition of "secondary suites" to identify that a secondary suite can also be contained within a townhouse unit;
2. Update the Specific Use Regulations for Secondary Suites (Section 5.4) to accommodate secondary suites in townhouse developments; same as the secondary suites in singlefamily homes, a secondary suite in a townhouse development:

- Must be completely enclosed within a townhouse unit;
- Must not exceed $40 \%$ of the total floor area of the townhouse unit;
- Must have an additional parking stall (over and beyond the number of parking stalls required for the townhouse unit) for its exclusive use, if located on a lot fronting an arterial road; and
- Must be the only secondary suite contained within the same townhouse unit.

3. amend the standard townhouse zones, including the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL1, RTL2, RTL3, RTL4)", "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM1, RTM2, RTM3)", "High Density Townhouses (RTH1, RTH2, RTH3, RTH4)" and "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP1, RTP2, RTP3, RTP4)" zones, to add "secondary suite" as a secondary use in townhouse developments.

The proposed zoning text amendment would allow future townhouse development proposals in standard townhouse zones to include secondary suites in townhouse units. There is no limit on the number of secondary suites permitted on each development site and there is no minimum size requirement for the secondary suites. Staff will work with the developer to ensure the number and sizes of secondary suites proposed are appropriate.

## Financial Impact or Economic Impact

The rezoning application results in an insignificant Operational Budget Impact (OBI) for off-site City infrastructure (such as roadworks, waterworks, storm sewers, sanitary sewers, street lights, street trees and traffic signals).

## Conclusion

The proposed 47-unit townhouse development is consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) and the Arterial Road Policy in the OCP. The proposal has been revised in response to Council's request to include secondary suites in the townhouse development. Further review of the project design is required to ensure a high quality project and design consistency with the existing neighbourhood context, and this will be completed as part of the Development Permit application review process. The list of rezoning considerations is included as Attachment F, which has been agreed to by the applicants (signed concurrence on file). On this basis, staff recommend support of the application. It is recommended that Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687 be introduced and given first reading.

In response to the referral motion carried at the March 27, 2017 Council meeting, text amendments to the Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 are required to permit secondary suites in townhouse developments. It is recommended that Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9715 be introduced and given first reading.
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RZ 16-726337

To: Planning Committee
From: Wayne Craig Director, Development

Date: March 10, 2017
File: RZ 16-726337

Re: Application by Anthem Properties Group Ltd. for Rezoning at 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" Zone to "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)"

## Staff Recommendation

That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687, for the rezoning of 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)" zone, be introduced and given first reading.


|  | REPORT CONCURRENCE |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Routed To: | Concurrence | CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER |
| Affordable Housing. | $\square$ |  |

## Staff Report

## Origin

Anthem Properties Group Ltd. has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to rezone 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road (Attachment 1) from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)" zone in order to permit the development of 47 townhouse units.

## Project Description

The eight properties under this application have a total combined frontage of 174 m , and are proposed to be consolidated into one development parcel. The proposed density is 0.7 FAR. The site layout includes 19 two-storey units and 28 three-storey units in 15 townhouse clusters. Vehicle access is provided by a single driveway access to No. 5 Road and four separate pedestrian accesses will be provided. The required outdoor amenity area is situated at the southeast corner of the site.

A preliminary site plan, building elevations, and landscape plan are contained in Attachment 2.

## Findings of Fact

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is attached (Attachment 3).

## Surrounding Development

To the North: Single-family homes zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)", which are identified for townhouse development under the Arterial Road Land Use Policy.

To the South: A 21-unit townhouse complex on a lot zoned "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)".

To the East: Across No. 5 Road, a City-owned property located in the Agriculture Land Reserve (ALR) and zoned "Assembly (ASY)" and "Agriculture and Botanical Show Garden (ZA3) - Fantasy Gardens" for future day care centre and park uses.

To the West: Across a lane, single-family homes on large lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)", fronting on to Seamount Road.

## Related Policies \& Studies

## Official Community Plan

The 2041 Official Community Plan (OCP) Land Use Map designation for the subject site is "Neighbourhood Residential". This redevelopment proposal is consistent with this designation.

## Arteriai Road Poilicy

The Arterial Road Land Use Policy in the City's 2041 OCP (Bylaw 9000), directs appropriate townhouse development onto certain arterial roads outside the City Centre. The subject site is identified for "Arterial Road Townhouse" on the Arterial Road Housing Development Map and the proposal is in compliance with the Townhouse Development Requirements under the Arterial Road Policy except for the minimum 50 m width for residual site requirement. The proposal will leave a residual site to the north with a frontage of approximately 26.8 m at 10451 and 10471 No. 5 Road.

The applicant has been advised of the Townhouse Development Requirements and has been requested to acquire the two adjacent properties to the north. The applicant advised staff in writing that they have made attempts to acquire adjacent properties, but cannot reach an agreement with the owners.

To verify the viable future redevelopment of the residual site to the north, the applicant has provided a development concept plan for the site (on file). Also, registration of a statutory right-of-way (SRW) over the internal driveway on the development site will be required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw in order to facilitate access to future development to the north:

## Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) Buffer Zone

A landscape buffer is required along the No. 5 Road frontage of this site. The buffer is intended to mitigate land use conflicts between the residential uses on the subject site and any agricultural land uses on the east side of No. 5 Road. The applicant is proposing a 4.0 m wide ALR buffer on site along the entire east property line. The proposal, including planting details, will be referred to the Agricultural Advisory Committee (AAC) for their review and comments. Staff will work with the applicant to amend the proposed planting plan based on AAC's comments, if any, through the Development Permit stage.

In addition to the landscaping requirements of the buffer, a restrictive covenant will be registered on Title, indicating that the landscaping within the ALR buffer cannot be removed or modified without the City's approval. The covenant would also identify that the landscape planting is intended to be a buffer to mitigate the impacts of noise, dust and odour generated from typical farm activities.

## Floodplain Management Implementation Strategy

The proposed redevelopment must meet the requirements of the Richmond Flood Plain Designation and Protection Bylaw 8204. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on Title is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Public Art

In response to the City's Public Art Program (Policy 8703), the applicant will provide a voluntary contribution at a rate of $\$ 0.81$ per buildable square foot ( 2016 rate) to the City's Public Art Reserve fund; for a total contribution in the amount of $\$ 59,369.35$.

## Public Consultation

A rezoning sign has been installed on the subject property. Staff have not received any written comments from the public about the rezoning application in response to the placement of the rezoning sign on the property.

The applicant conducted a public Open House for the rezoning application on June 22, 2016. The Open House was held at Daniel Woodward Elementary School, which is located within walking distance of the development site. An Open House flyer was delivered by the applicant to approximately 100 households (see Attachment 4 for the Notification Area). Staff attended the Open House to observe the meeting and answer policy or process-related questions. 23 people attended the event, and 10 of them were from six households located within the notification area. Comment sheets were provided to all the attendees and five completed comment sheets were received. (Attachment 5) at the end of the meeting. A copy of the Open House Summary prepared by the applicant is included in Attachment 6.

Major concerns from the neighbourhood on the proposed townhouse development are summarized below; with responses to each of the concerns identified in bold italics.

1. Fence height along the west property line should be raised to $1.5 \mathrm{~m}(5 \mathrm{ft}$.) to avoid trespassing.

A line of $1.2 \mathrm{~m}(4 \mathrm{ft}$.$) tall wood fence is proposed on top of a new retaining wall$ (ranging from 0.7 m to 0.9 m high) along the west property line. The overall height of this solid screen along the rear lane would be approximately 1.9 m ( 6.2 ft .) to 2.1 m (6.9 ft.).
2. Removal of $90 \%$ of the trees on site and removal of large trees on site should be avoided.

The applicant is proposing to retain seven of the nine bylaw-sized trees on site that are in good condition. This includes five Douglas Fir trees located at the southern edge of the site, which are in excellent condition. The retention of this grove of five Douglas Fir trees precludes any construction on the southeast portion of the site. The applicant has revised the site plan to locate the outdoor amenity area frow a more centralize location to the southeast conner of the site and reduced the number of units proposed.
The applicant is proposing to remove two bylaw-sized trees on site that are in good condition due to their conflicts with site grading. The applicant is proposing to remove another 49 bylaw-sized trees due to their poor condition. While $88 \%$ of the bylaw-sized on site are proposed to be removed, 78\%of healthy trees on site are being retained.
3. Rear Yard Setback from the City lane should be increased from the proposed 4.5 m to 6.0 m .

> The Arterial Road Guidelines for Townhouses in the OCP suggests that townhouse clusters be set back 6.0 m from the rear property line along the rear yard interface with single-family housing. There is a 6.0 m wide lane located between the adjacent singlefamily homes and the subject townhouse site; with the proposed 4.5 m rear yard setbeck, the proposed two-storey townhouse suits will be located at least 10.5 m from the rear property line of the adjacent single-family lots to the east. Staff feel that this distance would pose minimal impact to the neighbouring residents. The approximately 0.5 m road dedication required along No. 5 Road also limits the opportunity to provide a larger rear yard setback. Appropriate landscaping along the rear yards of the proposed development should address any further adjacency concerns. Staff will work with the developer to ensure natural screening will be included in the proposal at the Development Permit stage.

Should the Planning Committee endorse this application and Council grant first reading to the rezoning bylaw, the bylaw will be forwarded to a Public Hearing; where any area resident or interested party will have an opportunity to comment.

Public notification for the Public Hearing will be provided as per the Local Government Act.

## Analysis

## Built Form and Architectural Character

The applicant proposes to consolidate the eight properties into one development parcel with a total area of $9,727.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, and construct 47 townhouse units. The proposal consists of a mix of two-storey and three-storey townhouse units, all with side-by-side double car garages. The three-storey units have been arranged in clusters of four units, with the ends of the blocks facing No. 5 Road. The two-storey units are arranged as duplexes and triplexes along the western edge of the site to serve as a transition to the single-family neighbourhood across from the back lane. The outdoor amenity area will be situated at the southeast corner of the site, surrounding the five protected Douglas Fir trees on site.

A Development Permit processed to a satisfactory level is a requirement of zoning approval. Through the Development Permit, the following issues are to be further examined:

- Compliance with Development Permit Guidelines for multiple-family projects in the 2041 Official Community Plan.
- Refinement of the proposed building form to achieve sufficient variety in design and setbacks to create a desirable and interesting streetscape along No. 5 Road and along the internal drive aisles; to reduce visual massing of the three-storey units along, and to address potential adjacency issues.
- Refinement of the proposed site grading to ensure survival of all proposed protected trees and appropriate transition between the proposed development to the public sidewalk on No. 5 Road, and to the adjacent existing developments.
- Review of size and species of replacement trees to ensure bylaw compliance and to achieve an acceptable mix of conifer and deciduous trees on site.
- Refinement of ALR buffer design in response to Agricultural Advisory Committee's comments.
- Refinement of landscape design, including screening of headlight glare onto No. 5 Road.
- Refinement of the outdoor amenity area design, including the choice of play equipment, to create a safe and vibrant environment for children's play and social interaction.
- Opportunities to maximize planting areas along internal drive aisles, to maximize permeable surface areas, and to better articulate hard surface treatments on site.
- Review of aging-in-place features in all units and the provision of convertible units.
- Review of a sustainability strategy for the development proposal, including measures to achieve an EnerGuide Rating System (ERS) score of 82.

Additional issues may be identified as part of the Development Permit application review process.

## Transportation and Site Access

One vehicular access from No. 5 Road is permitted on this proposed development; limited to a right-in/right-out traffic movement. No access via the back lane is proposed due to the potential intrusion of traffic into the existing single family neighbourhood. This vehicular access will be utilized by adjacent properties to the north if they apply to redevelop; and be utilized by the adjacent property to the south when required. A Public Right-of-Passage (PROP) Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) over the entire area of the proposed entry driveway from No. 5 Road and the internal north-south manoeuvring aisle will be secured as a condition of rezoning.

Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, the developer is also required to dedicate approximately 0.5 m across the entire No. 5 Road frontage for road, and to accommodate frontage improvements, including, but not limited to: a new 1.5 m wide treed/grassed boulevard and a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk. Exact width is to be confirmed with survey information to be submitted by the applicant before final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

In addition, the developer is required to revise the orientation of the existing crosswalk on the north leg of the intersection at No. 5 Road and the access road to the Gardens development so that the crosswalk would be perpendicular to the travelled portion of the roadway. This will include, but not be limited to, the relocation of the existing traffic signal equipment, relocation of existing traffic signal loops, and others as necessary.

## British Columbia Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) Referral

The subject site is located within 800 m of a controlled access highway (i.e., Highway 99), and the rezoning application was referred to the BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI). Preliminary approval of the subject rezoning was granted on August 30, 2016 for a period of one year pursuant to Section 52(3)(a) of the Transportation Act. Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, final approval from MOTI is required.

## Tree Retention and Replacement

The applicant has submitted a Certified Arborist's Report; which assesses the structure and condition of on-site tree species, and provides recommendations on tree retention and removal. There is no tree on the neighbouring properties within 2 m of the property line of the subject site and no street trees on City property in front of the site.

The Report assesses 58 bylaw-sized trees on the subject site. The City's Tree Preservation Coordinator has reviewed the Arborist's Report and accepted the proposed tree retention scheme (Attachment 7):

- Five Douglas Fir trees located (in a small grove) at the southern edge of the development site are all in excellent condition and will be retained and protected a minimum 5.0 m out from the bases of the trees.
- One 75 cm caliper Sitka Spruce tree is in good condition and will be retained and protected a minimum $4: 5 \mathrm{~m}$ out from the base of the tree.
- One 86 cm caliper Red Cedar tree located along the No. 5 Road street frontage is in very good condition and will be retained and protected a minimum 5.0 m out from the base of the tree.
- One 35 cm caliper Japanese Maple tree located along the No. 5 Road street frontage is in very good condition, but the retention of this Japanese Maple tree would further restrict the developable area of this site. Considering that the applicant has made efforts to retain a grove of five Douglas Fir trees at the southern edge of the site by removing three proposed units, staff agreed to the removal of this Japanese Maple tree.
- One 51 cm caliper Variegated Tulip tree is in excellent condition; however, it is located in the middle of the north-south drive aisle. Staff have agreed to the removal of this tree with the understanding that two new Variegated Tulip trees (at'a minimum size of 8 cm caliper) will be planted along the No. 5 Road street frontage to compensate for the loss of this tree.
- 49 trees on site will be removed due to poor structural condition; 98 replacements trees are required. According to the Preliminary Landscape Plan (Attachment 2), the developer is proposing to plant 111 new trees on site. The size and species of replacement trees will be reviewed in detail through the Development Permit and overall landscape design.
- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission to the City of a contract with a Certified Arborist for the supervision of all works conducted within or in close proximity to tree protection zones is required.
- Prior to Development Permit Issuance, submission to the City of a Tree Survival Security as part of the Landscape Letter of Credit. No Landscape Letter of Credit will be returned until the post-construction assessment report, prepared by the Arborist, confirming the protected trees survived the construction, is reviewed by staff.


## Variance Requested

The proposed development is generally in compliance with the "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)" zone; with one proposed variance to reduce the front yard setback from 6.0 m to 4.5 m for proposed buildings \# 9 to \#13, and from 6.0 m to 5.5 m for buildings \# 14 and 15 . Staff support the requested variance recognizing that an approximately 0.5 m wide road dedication is required along the entire No. 5 Road frontage, no unit could be built on the southeast portion of the site due to the retention of a grove of five large Douglas Fir trees, and a large outdoor amenity will be provided. This variance will be reviewed in the context of the overall detailed design of the project; including architectural form, site design and landscaping at the Development Permit stage.

## Affordable Housing Strategy

The applicant proposes to make a cash contribution to the affordable housing reserve fund in accordance to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy. As the proposal is for townhouses, the applicant will make a cash contribution of $\$ 4.00$ per buildable square foot as per the Strategy; for a contribution of $\$ 293,181.97$.

## Townhouse Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

The applicant has committed to achieving an EnerGuide Rating System (ERS) score of 82 and all units will be pre-ducted for solar hot water for the proposed development. A Restrictive Covenant to ensure that all units are built and maintained to this commitment is required prior to rezoning bylaw adoption. As part of the Development Permit Application review process, the developer will be required to retain a certified energy advisor (CEA) to complete an Evaluation Report to confirm details of construction requirements needed to achieve the rating.

## Amenity Space

The applicant is proposing a cash contribution in-lieu of providing the required indoor amenity space on site. Council's Policy 5041 (Cash in Lieu of Indoor Amenity Space) requires that a cash contribution of $\$ 1,000$ per unit up to 19 units, plus $\$ 2,000$ per unit over 19 units, plus $\$ 3,000$ per unit over 39 units be provided in lieu of indoor amenity space. The total cash contribution required for this 47 unit townhouse development is $\$ 83,000.00$.

Outdoor amenity space will be provided on site. Based on the preliminary design, the size of the proposed outdoor amenity space complies with the Official Community.Plan (OCP) requirements of $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit. Staff will work with the applicant at the Development Permit stage to ensure the configuration and design of the outdoor amenity space meets the Development Permit Guidelines in the OCP.

## Site Servicing and Frontage Improvements

Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, the client is required to enter into the City's standard Servicing Agreement to design and construct frontage beautification and re-orientation of the crosswalk at the intersection of No. 5 Road and the access road to the Gardens development, as well as to install of a new fire hydrant on the west side of No. 5 Road and upgrade the storm sewer. All works are at the client's sole cost (i.e., no credits apply). The developer is also required to pay DCC's (City \& GVS \& DD), School Site Acquisition Charge and Address Assignment Fee. A list of rezoning considerations is included as Attachment 8, which has been agreed to by the applicants (signed concurrence on file).

## Financial Impact or Economic Impact

The rezoning application results in an insignificant Operational Budget Impact (OBI) for off-site City infrastructure (such as roadworks, waterworks, storm sewers, sanitary sewers, street lights, street trees and traffic signals).

## Conclusion

The proposed 47-unit townhouse development is consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) and the Arterial Road Policy in the OCP. Further review of the project design is required to ensure a high quality project and design consistency with the existing neighbourhood context, and this will be completed as part of the Development Permit application review process. The list of rezoning considerations is included as Attachment 8, which has been agreed to by the applicants (signed concurrence on file). On this basis, staff recommend support of the application.

It is recommended that Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687 be introduced and given first reading.
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## City of Richmond

Address: 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road
Applicant: Anthem Properties Group Ltd.
Planning Area(s): Shellmont

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Owner: | Pnthem 5 Road Developments Ltd. |
| Site Size $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ : | $9,814.51 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | No Change |
| Land Uses: | Single-Family Residential | $9,727.36 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (after road dedication) |
| OCP Designation: | Low-Density Residential | Multiple-Family Residential |
| Area Plan Designation: | N/A | No Change |
| 702 Policy Designation: | Single-Family Lot Size Policy 5434 | No Change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Low Density Townhouses (RTM3 $)$ |
| Number of Units: | 8 | 47 |
| Other Designations: | N/A | No Change |


| On-Euture <br> Subolivided Lots | Bylaw Requicmen | -aroposed | Vernames |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 0.70 | 0.70 Max. | none permitted |
| Lot Coverage - Building: | Max. 40\% | 40\% Max. | none |
| Lot Coverage - Non-porous Surfaces: | Max. 65\% | 65\% Max. | none |
| Lot Coverage - Landscaping: | Min. 25\% | 25\% Min. | none |
| Setback - Front Yard (m): | Min. 6.0 m | 4.5 m to Bldgs \#9-13 5.5 m to Bldgs \#14-15 | variance required |
| Setback - North Side Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m Min. | none |
| Setback - South Side Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m Min. | none |
| Setback - Rear Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 4.5 m | none |
| Height (m): | Max. 12.0 m (3 storeys) | - $12: 0 \mathrm{~m}$ (3 storeys) Max. along No. 5 Road <br> - 7.5.m (2 storeys) Max. along west property line | none |
| Lot Width: | Min. 50.0 m | 174.32 m | none |


| On Future Subdivided Lots | Bylaw Requirement | .. ' Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Depth: | Min. 30.0 m | 56.34 m | none |
| Site Area: | Min. $1,800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 9,727.36 m ${ }^{2}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Regular (R) / Visitor (V): | $2(\mathrm{R})$ and $0.2(\mathrm{~V})$ per unit | $\begin{gathered} 2(\mathrm{R}) \text { and } 0.21(\mathrm{~V}) \text { per } \\ \text { unit } \end{gathered}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Total: | $94(\mathrm{R})$ and $10(\mathrm{~V})$ | $94(\mathrm{R})$ and $10(\mathrm{~V})$ | none |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Max. $50 \%$ of proposed residential spaces in enclosed garages $(94 \times$ Max. $50 \%=47$ ) | 0 | none |
| Small Car Parking Spaces | Max. $50 \%$ when 31 or more spaces are provided on site ( $104 \times$ Max. $50 \%=52$ ) | 47 | none |
| Handicap Parking Spaces: | Min. $2 \%$ when 11 or more spaces are required ( $104 \times 2 \%=3$ spaces) | 3 spaces Min. | none |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - Class 1/Class 2: | 1.25 (Class 1) and 0.2 (Class 2) per unit | 1.4 (Class 1 ) and 0.21 <br> (Class 2) per unit | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Total: | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \text { (Class 1) and } 10 \text { (Class } \\ & \text { 2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \text { (Class 1) and } \\ & 10 \text { (Class 2) } \end{aligned}$ | none |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $70 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{m}^{\prime}}$ or Cash-in-lieu | Cash-in-lieu | none. |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Min. } 6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 47 \text { units } \\ =282 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | 495.7 m² | none |

Other: Tree replacement compensation required for removal of bylaw-sized trees.

## City of <br> Richmond



10475-10631 No. 5 Road - Proposed Townhome Development Development Information Session
Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.
DATE: KYM 22 TIME: $10^{3} 37$

## Name: Davd + Elisobeth langer

The Developer or City may contact me regarding these com


1. Do you support the proposed project? $\quad$ ypS
2. What do you like most about the proposed
project?
project?
3. Please provide any additional comments.
Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.

> CONTACTS:
Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee
10475-10631 No. 5 Road - Proposed Townhome Development
Development Information Session
Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be dentified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.
DATE: $\quad$ TIME:
Name: HISA MARSHALL
Address: 107 LO SEAMCOUNT \& TS
Preferred Method of Contact: $\square$ Telephone:

1. Do you support the proposed project?
2. What do you like most about the proposed project?
3. Do you have any concerns about the proposed project?
Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.
CONTACTS:
Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee
10475-10631 No. 5 Road - Proposed Townhome Development Development Information Session
Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.

CONTACTS:

E-Mail: nkasidoulis@AnthemProperties.com E-Mail: elee@Richmond.ca Telephone: 604 -689-3040 Telephone:604 276-4121 Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee
10475-10631 No. 5 Road - Proposed Townhome Development
Development Information Session
Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.

| DATE: TIME: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name: Peler Kattiz |  |
| Address: 10780 Seamo | $t$ nd. |
| The Developer or City may contact me regarding these comments: $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |  |
| Preferred Method of Contact: $\triangle$ Telephone: $604.880-3834$ E-Mail: |  |
| 1. Do you support the proposed project? | yes |
| 2. What do you like most about the proposed project? |  |
| 3. Do you have any concerns about the proposed project? | (1) féne aling /aneavay shou dd be Siratherthen 3 feet |
| 4. What would you suggest to improve or enhance the proposed project? | (2) Set-buck from lanewty shousd be 201 irstend of 16 |
| 5. Please provide any additional comments. |  |

[^0]10475-10631 No. 5 Road - Proposed Townhome Development Development Information Session
Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.

## DATE: TIME:

Name:

## Name: $\alpha$ Ynae Cornwall Address:

The Develop
Preferred Method of Contact: $\square$ Telephone:

1. Do you support the proposed project?
2. What do you like most about the proposed
project? project?
project?
3. Do you have any concerns about the proposed
project?

Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.
CONTACTS:
Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee

# 10475-10631 No. 5 Road, Richmond, B.C. - Anṭhem 5 Road Developments LP <br> Developer Information Session Report - Wednesday, June $22^{\text {nd }} 2016$ 

As a local real estate development company with projects located across the Lower Mainland, Anthem Properties acknowledges and values the importance of engaging with the communities they develop within. Anthem makes it a top priority to connect with all potential stakeholders for every proposed project and diligently follows an extensive community outreach process from the point of submitting a development permit application, all the way through to the completion of a project. The company is committed to being consistent, accessible and receptive to all neighbours and project stakeholders.

Despite not being required to host a Developer Information Session for the public by the City of Richmond, Anthem opted to do so in order to give community stakeholders an opportunity to review and provide feedback on their initial plans for their No. 5 Road property. After identifying. key stakeholder parties and potential sensitivities within the community, Anthem scheduled a Developer Information Session for the project on Wednesday, June $22^{\text {nd }} 2016$, between the hours of $5: 30 \mathrm{pm}-8: 00 \mathrm{pm}$. The event details were confirmed in advance with City of Richmond Planner, Edwin Lee. After being unable to book a meeting venue in the nearby Richmond Christian School campuses and church on No. 5 Road, Anthem decided to host the event in the gymnasium of Daniel Woodward Elementary School (owned by the Richmond School District). This venue was selected on the basis that it was located within walking distance from the development site, was handicap accessible and would be familiar to invested neighbours.

Anthem diligently followed the criteria provided by the City of Richmond for their Developer Information Session. This criteria included sending out approximately 100 notices to all residences located within the required area a minimum of 10 days prior to the meeting, in addition to sending email notifications to strata property managers for nearby all multi-family developments and running two consecutive ads in the Richmond News on Wednesday, June $1.5^{\text {th }}$ and Friday, June $17^{\text {th }} 2016$.

On June $22^{\text {nd }} 2016$, clear signs for the event were posted on the venue doors, tables were set-up for attendees to use for registrations and to fill-out comment sheets, catering was provided and presentation boards were displayed providing extensive information on the proposed project

Suite 300 Bentall 5
550 Burrard Street
Vancouver BC
Canada V6C 2B5
t 6046893040
f 6046895642
www, anthemproperties.com
which included, but was not limited to: landscape details, context images and building elevations, conceptual images (hand-sketched renders), a traffic impact analysis, background on Anthem Properties as the developer, etc. Attendees included a number of Anthem staff members, the project's architect and landscape architect and City of Richmond Planner, Edwin Lee.

Over the course of the meeting, 22 individuals formally signed-in at the registration table with approximately 30 people in attendance in total: Anthem collected 5 comment sheets from attendees who were willing to provide their initial feedback on the proposed development, with 1 sheet being taken away by an attendee for submission after the fact. The main questions that were asked were centered on: the height of the fence or wall and the set-back between the East laneway and the project (based on concerns relating to parking/traffic and privacy for the neighbouring homeowners) and tree retention. Overall, Anthem received support for the proposed development with compliments being paid to the design, which many attendees noted as fitting nicely with the neighbourhood; the decision to have the site access be off of No. 5 Road and the efforts being put into retaining existing trees on the site.

Anthem looks forward to moving ahead with the proposed development and will continue all efforts to maintain positive relationships with all project stakeholders.

Sincerely,

## Nick Kasidoulis

Development Manager, Anthem Properties
Email: nkasidoulis@anthemproperties.com
Direct: (604) 638-4401
cc:

## Steve Forrest

Vice President of Development, Anthem Properties
Email: sforrest@anthemproperties.com
Direct: (604) 488-3632


Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd．
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Ev } \\ & \text { E. } \end{aligned}$ | 圜镸 |  | \％ | m | ¢ | \％ | m | N | 雰出 | $\stackrel{\text { m }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | \＆ | 㐌へ |
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Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd．
\＃105，8277－129 Street，Surrey，BC，V3W 0A6
Phone 778－593－0300 Fax 778－593－0302
Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd． Vegetation Consultants

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Date: March 2, } 2017 \\
\text { Address: 10475-10631 No. } 5 \text { Road, Richmond, BC }
\end{gathered}
$$
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| 靣喜 | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{7}} \mathfrak{N}$ | 产 ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ | 훌 극긍 | 気込 | 完的稜 | 8 | \％ | $\overrightarrow{7}$ | 8 | ̇ | ษ | 绍 | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{ }$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { ® }}$ |  |  | 蜜 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ | － | ロ | 8 | ® | 号 | 登 | \％ | 芴 | $\stackrel{\text { 上 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | \％ | 命 | 品 | \％ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { en }}$ |

[^1]Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd．
Date：March 2， 2017
Address：10475－10631 No． 5 Road，Richmond，BC

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亳 } \\ & \text { 专 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{N}} \end{aligned}$ | E E | $\begin{gathered} \text { EN } \\ \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{M}}}{\underset{\sim}{E}}$ | $\underset{N}{\text { E }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 틍 } \\ & \text { N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { En } \\ \text { Nin } \\ \hline \text { n } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{W}{E} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | N | $\xrightarrow{\text { E }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 포윹 | 式 | $\infty$ | ¢ |  |  | 흘 | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}}{ } \underset{\sim}{N}$ | 굴 | ஸৃ் | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | N | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ |
| $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{rr}  & \frac{5}{2} \\ \vdots & 5 \\ 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 \\ 5 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 芴\＃ | Oio | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{-1}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\mathbb{\infty}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\star}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\wedge}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\infty}$ |


| Date：March 2， 2017Address：10475－10631 No． 5 Road，Richmond，BC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree | Type | DBH （cm） | Approximate Dripline |
| 324 | Portugal laurel （Prunus laurocerasus） | $\begin{gathered} \sim 25 / \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | 4．55m |
| 325 | Portugal laurel （Prunus laurocerasus） | $\begin{array}{r} \sim 12 / \\ 15 / 20 \end{array}$ | 1．83m |
| 326 | Portugal laurel （Prunus laurocerasus） | $\begin{gathered} \sim 25 / \\ 15 / 15 \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 m |
| 327 | Portugal laurel （Prunus laurocerasus） | $\begin{gathered} \sim 5-15 \\ \times 8 \end{gathered}$ | 3．02m |
| 328 | Portugal laurel （Prunus laurocerasus） | $\begin{gathered} \sim 20 / 2 \\ 0 / 20 \end{gathered}$ | 4.05 m |
| 329 | Tulip Tree （Litiodendron $s p$. | 51 | 6.5 m |
| 862 | Western Redcedar （Thuja plicata） | $\begin{gathered} \sim 6-12 \\ \times 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\sim 4 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 863 | Sitka．spruce （Picea sitchensis） | 75 | 6．77m |
| 864 | Douglas－fir （Pseudotsuga menziesi） | $\sim 72$ | 5．83m |
| 865 | Douglas－fir （Pseudótsuga menziesii） | 56 | 4.52 m |
| 866 | Douglas－fir （Pseudotsuga menziesil） | 52 | 5．80m |
| 867 | Douglas－fir （Pseudotsuga menzlesi） | 52 | 4．42m |
| 868 | Douglas－fir （Pseudotsuga menziesii） | 66 | 5.54 m |

## Prior to final adoption of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Consolidation of all the lots into one development parcel (which will require the demolition of all existing dwellings).
2. Approximately 0.5 m wide road dedication along the entire No. 5 Road frontage to accommodate a new 1.5 m wide treed/grassed boulevard and a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk; exact width is to be confirmed with survey information to be submitted by the applicant.
3. Registration of a legal agreement on Title to identify the Agriculture Land Reserve (ALR) buffer area ( 4.0 m wide, measured from the new property line along No. 5 Road), to ensure that landscaping planted within this buffer is maintained and will not be abandoned or removed, and to indicate that the subject property is located adjacent to active agricultural operations and subject to impacts of noise, dust and odour:
4. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on Title.
5. Registration of a cross-access easement, statutory right-of-way (SRW), and/or other legal agreements or measures; as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, over the full width and extent of the entry driveway from No. 5 Road and the main north-south internal drive aisle on site in favour of the existing and future residential development to the south, as well as the future residential developments to the north. Language should be included in the SRW document that the City will not be responsible for maintenance or liability within the SRW and that utility SR'W under the drive aisle is not required.
6. Registration of a legal agreement on Title; identifying that the proposed development must be designed and constructed to meet or exceed EnerGuide 82 criteria for energy efficiency and that all dwellings are pre-ducted for solar hot water heating.
7. Provincial Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure Approval.
8. Submission of a Contract entered into between the applicant and a Certified Arborist for supervision of any on-site works conducted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained on adjacent properties. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken, including: the proposed number of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction assessment report to the City for review.
9. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 0.81$ per buildable square foot (e.g. $\$ 59,369.35$ ) to the City's Public Art fund.
10. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 4.00$ per buildable square foot (e.g. $\$ 293,181.97$ ) to the City's affordable housing fund.
11. Contribution of $\$ 83,000.00$ in-lieu of on-site indoor amenity space.
12. Enter into a Servicing Agreement* for the design and construction of engineering infrastructure improvements. Works include, but may not be limited to:

## Water Works

a. Using the OCP Model, there is $646.0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water available at a 20 psi residual at the No. 5 Road frontage. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of $220 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$.
b. The Developer is required to:

- Submit Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) or International Organization for Standardization (ISO) fire flow calculations to confirm the development has adequate fire flow for on site fire protection. Calculations must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer and be based on Building Permit Stage Building designs.
- Install a new fire hydrant on the west side of No. 5 Road; to service the proposed development. Coordination with the City's Fire Department to confirm the location of the proposed hydrant is required.
c. At the Developer's cost, the City will:
- Cut and cap at main; the eight existing water service connections.
- Install a new water service connection off of the 300 mm PVC watermain along No. 5 Road.


## Storm Sewer Works

a. The Developer is required to:

- Upgrade approximately 180 m of the existing 600 mm storm sewer on the west side of No. 5 Road to 900 mm ; complete with tie-in to existing manhole STMH114064 by southeast corner of Lot 10631, and two new manholes by the northeast corner of Lot 10475. The new drainage alignment should be moved into the road's travel lane; out of the sidewalk.
- Cut, cap and remove/fill per MMCD the existing 600 mm storm sewer along the entire frontage of the development site.
- Install a new storm service connection; complete with inspection chamber at the southeast corner of the development site and tie-in to the proposed 900 mm storm sewer.
b. At the Developer's cost, the City is to cut, cap, and remove the existing storm service connections and inspection chambers along the frontage of the development site.


## Sanitary Sewer Works

a. The Developer is required to:

- Install a new sanitary service connection to the existing manhole SMH999 at the southwest corner of Lot 10591. The manhole will serve as the inspection chamber for the development.
- Remove all existing sanitary service connections and inspections chambers.


## Frontage Improvements

a. The Developer is required to:

- Construct a new 1.5 m wide treed/grassed boulevard and a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk along the entire. No. 5 Road frontage; behind the existing curb and gutter.
- Revise the orientation of the existing crosswalk on the north leg of the No. 5 Road/access road intersection so that the crosswalk would be perpendicular to the travelled portion of the roadway. This will include, but not limited to, the relocation of the existing traffic signal equipment, relocation of existing traffic signal loops, and others as necessary.
- Coordinate with BC Hydro, Telus and other private communication service providers:
i. To underground Hydro service lines.
ii. When relocating/modifying any of the existing power poles and/or guy wires within the property frontages.
iii. To determine if above ground structures are required and coordinate their locations on-site (e.g. Vista, PMT, LPT, Shaw cabinets, Telus Kiosks, etc.).
$\qquad$


## General Items

a. The Developer is required to:

- Enter into, if required, additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, dewatering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Provide, within the first Servicing Agreement submission, a geotechnical assessment of preload and soil preparation impacts on the existing utilities surrounding the development site and provide mitigation recommendations.

13. The submission and processing of a Development Permit* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.

## Prior to a Development Permit ${ }^{*}$ being forwarded to the Development Permit Pamel for consideration, the developer is required to:

1. Complete a proposed townhouse energy efficiency report and recommendations prepared by a Certified Energy Advisor which demonstrates how the proposed construction will meet or exceed the required townhouse energy efficiency standards (EnerGuide 82 or better), in compliance with the City's Official Community Plan.

## Prior to a Development Permit* issuance, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Submission of a Landscaping Security based on $100 \%$ of the cost estimate provided by the landscape architect.
2. Submission of a Tree Survival Security to the City as part of the Landscape Letter of Credit to ensure that all trees identified for retention will be protected. No Landscape Letter of Credit will be returned until the post-construction assessment report, confirming the protected trees survived the construction, prepared by the Arborist, is reviewed by staff.

Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all hedges to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
Should the applicant wish to begin site preparation work after third reading of the rezoning bylaw, but prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw and issuance of the Development Permit, the applicant will be required to obtain a Tree Permit and submit landscaping security (i.e. $\$ 59,000$ in total) to ensure the replacement planting will be provided.
2. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of . Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
3. Incorporation of energy efficiency, CPTED, sustainability, and accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
4. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges associated with eligible latecomer works.
5. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.
$\qquad$

## Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.

The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.

- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contain prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.


## Signed

## Date

## ATTACHMENT C

## (

## 





PLN - 112

## City of Richmond

## RZ 16-726337

Attachment E
Address: 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road
Applicant: Anthem Properties Group Ltd.
Planning Area(s): Shellmont

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Owner: | Anthem 5 Road Developments Ltd. | No Change |
| Site Size $\left(\mathbf{m}^{2}\right.$ ): | $9,814.51 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $9,727.36 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (after road dedication) |
| Land Uses: | Single-Family Residential | Multiple-Family Residential |
| OCP Designation: | Low-Density Residential | No Change |
| Area Plan Designation: | N/A | No Change |
| 702 Policy Designation: | Single-Family Lot Size Policy 5434 | No Change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Low Density Townhouses (RTM3) |
| Number of Units: | 8 | 47 townhouse units +2 secondary suites |
| Other Designations: | N/A | No Change |


| On Future Subdivided Lots | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 0.70 | 0.70 Max. | none permitted |
| Lot Coverage - Building: | Max. 40\% | 40\% Max. | none |
| Lot Coverage - Non-porous Surfaces: | Max. 65\% | 65\% Max. | none |
| Lot Coverage - Landscaping: | Min. 25\% | 25\% Min. | none |
| Setback - Front Yard (m): | Min. 6.0 m | 4.5 m to Bldgs \#9-13 <br> 5.5 m to Bldgs \#14-15 | variance required |
| Setback - North Side Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m Min . | none |
| Setback - South Side Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m Min . | none |
| Setback - Rear Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 4.5 m | none |
| Height (m): | Max. 12.0 m (3 storeys) | - 12.0 m (3 storeys) Max. along No. 5 Road <br> - 7.5 m (2 storeys) Max. along west property line | none |
| Lot Width: | Min. 50.0 m | 174.32 m | none |


| On Future Subdivided Lots | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Depth: | Min. 30.0 m | 56.34 m | none |
| Site Area: | Min. $1,800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 9,727.36 m ${ }^{2}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Regular (R) / Visitor (V): | $2(\mathrm{R})$ and $0.2(\mathrm{~V})$ per unit plus (1) $R$ per secondary suite on lot fronting an arterial road | $2(\mathrm{R})$ and $0.21(\mathrm{~V})$ per unit plus (1) R per secondary suite | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Total: | $96(\mathrm{R})$ and $10(\mathrm{~V})$ | $96(\mathrm{R})$ and $10(\mathrm{~V})$ | none |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Max. $50 \%$ of proposed residential spaces in enclosed garages $(96 \times$ Max. $50 \%=48)$ | 0 | none |
| Small Car Parking Spaces | Max. $50 \%$ when 31 or more spaces are provided on site ( $106 \times \operatorname{Max} .50 \%=53$ ) | 47 | none |
| Handicap Parking Spaces: | Min. $2 \%$ when 11 or more spaces are required ( $106 \times 2 \%=3$ spaces) | 3 spaces Min. | none |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - Class 1 / Class 2: | 1.25 (Class 1) and 0.2 (Class 2) per unit | 1.4 (Class 1) and 0.21 (Class 2) per unit | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Total: | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \text { (Class 1) and } 10 \text { (Class } \\ & \text { 2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \text { (Class 1) and } \\ & 10 \text { (Class 2) } \end{aligned}$ | none |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or Cash-in-lieu | Cash-in-lieu | none |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | $\begin{aligned} \text { Min. } & 6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 47 \text { units } \\ & =282 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | 488.9 m ${ }^{2}$ | none |

Other: Tree replacement compensation required for removal of bylaw-sized trees.

## No. 5 Road

## Prior to final adoption of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Consolidation of all the lots into one development parcel (which will require the demolition of all existing dwellings).
2. Approximately 0.5 m wide road dedication along the entire No. 5 Road frontage to accommodate a new 1.5 m wide treed/grassed boulevard and a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk; exact width is to be confirmed with survey information to be submitted by the applicant.
3. Registration of a legal agreement on Title to identify the Agriculture Land Reserve (ALR) buffer area ( 4.0 m wide, measured from the new property line along No. 5 Road), to ensure that landscaping planted within this buffer is maintained and will not be abandoned or removed, and to indicate that the subject property is located adjacent to active agricultural operations and subject to impacts of noise, dust and odour.
4. Registration of a legal agreement on Title to ensure that no final Building Permit inspection is granted until two secondary suites are constructed on site, to the satisfaction of the City in accordance with the BC Building Code and the City's Zoning Bylaw.
5. Registration of a legal agreements on Title or other measures, as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, to ensure a surface parking stall is assigned to each of the units with a secondary suite, and that the parking stall will be for the sole use of the secondary suite.
6. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on Title.
7. Registration of a cross-access easement, statutory right-of-way (SRW), and/or other legal agreements or measures; as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, over the full width and extent of the entry driveway from No. 5 Road and the main north-south internal drive aisle on site in favour of the existing and future residential development to the south, as well as the future residential developments to the north. Language should be included in the SRW document that the City will not be responsible for maintenance or liability within the SRW and that utility SRW under the drive aisle is not required.
8. Registration of a legal agreement on Title; identifying that the proposed development must be designed and constructed to meet or exceed EnerGuide 82 criteria for energy efficiency and that all dwellings are pre-ducted for solar hot water heating.
9. Provincial Ministry of Transportation \& Infrastructure Approval.
10. Submission of a Contract entered into between the applicant and a Certified Arborist for supervision of any on-site works conducted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained on adjacent properties. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken, including: the proposed number of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction assessment report to the City for review.
11. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 0.81$ per buildable square foot (e.g. $\$ 59,369.35$ ) to the City's Public Art fund.
12. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 4.00$ per buildable square foot (e.g. $\$ 293,181.97$ ) to the City's affordable housing fund.
13. Contribution of $\$ 83,000.00$ in-lieu of on-site indoor amenity space.
14. Enter into a Servicing Agreement* for the design and construction of engineering infrastructure improvements. Works include, but may not be limited to:

## Water Works

a. Using the OCP Model, there is $646.0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water available at a 20 psi residual at the No. 5 Road frontage. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of $220 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$.
b. The Developer is required to:

- Submit Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) or International Organization for Standardization (ISO) fire flow calculations to confirm the development has adequate fire flow for on site fire protection. Calculations must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer and be based on Building Permit Stage Building designs.
- Install a new fire hydrant on the west side of No. 5 Road; to service the proposed development. Coordination with the City's Fire Department to confirm the location of the proposed hydrant is required.
c. At the Developer's cost, the City will:
- Cut and cap at main; the eight existing water service connections.
- Install a new water service connection off of the 300 mm PVC watermain along No. 5 Road.


## Storm Sewer Works

a. The Developer is required to:

- Upgrade approximately 180 m of the existing 600 mm storm sewer on the west side of No. 5 Road to 900 mm ; complete with tie-in to existing manhole STMH1 14064 by southeast corner of Lot 10631, and two new manholes by the northeast corner of Lot 10475. The new drainage alignment should be moved into the road's travel lane; out of the sidewalk.
- Cut, cap and remove/fill per MMCD the existing 600 mm storm sewer along the entire frontage of the development site.
- Install a new storm service connection; complete with inspection chamber at the southeast corner of the development site and tie-in to the proposed 900 mm storm sewer.
b. At the Developer's cost, the City is to cut, cap, and remove the existing storm service connections and inspection chambers along the frontage of the development site.


## Sanitary Sewer Works

a. The Developer is required to:

- Install a new sanitary service connection to the existing manhole SMH999 at the southwest corner of Lot 10591. The manhole will serve as the inspection chamber for the development.
- Remove all existing sanitary service connections and inspections chambers.


## Frontage Improvements

a. The Developer is required to:

- Construct a new 1.5 m wide treed/grassed boulevard and a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk along the entire No. 5 Road frontage; behind the existing curb and gutter.
- Revise the orientation of the existing crosswalk on the north leg of the No. 5 Road/access road intersection so that the crosswalk would be perpendicular to the travelled portion of the roadway. This will include, but not limited to, the relocation of the existing traffic signal equipment, relocation of existing traffic signal loops, and others as necessary.
- Coordinate with BC Hydro, Telus and otherpivate 196 munication service providers:
i. To underground Hydro service lines.
ii. When relocating/modifying any of the existing power poles and/or guy wires within the property frontages.
iii. To determine if above ground structures are required and coordinate their locations on-site (e.g. Vista, PMT, LPT, Shaw cabinets, Telus Kiosks, etc.).


## General Items

a. The Developer is required to:

- Enter into, if required, additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, dewatering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Provide, within the first Servicing Agreement submission, a geotechnical assessment of preload and soil preparation impacts on the existing utilities surrounding the development site and provide mitigation recommendations.

15. The submission and processing of a Development Permit* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.

## Prior to a Development Permit ${ }^{*}$ being forwarded to the Development Permit Panel for consideration, the developer is required to:

1. Complete a proposed townhouse energy efficiency report and recommendations prepared by a Certified Energy Advisor which demonstrates how the proposed construction will meet or exceed the required townhouse energy efficiency standards (EnerGuide 82 or better), in compliance with the City's Official Community Plan.

## Prior to a Development Permit* issuance, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Submission of a Landscaping Security based on $100 \%$ of the cost estimate provided by the landscape architect.
2. Submission of a Tree Survival Security to the City as part of the Landscape Letter of Credit to ensure that all trees identified for retention will be protected. No Landscape Letter of Credit will be returned until the post-construction assessment report, confirming the protected trees survived the construction, prepared by the Arborist, is reviewed by staff.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all hedges to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
Should the applicant wish to begin site preparation work after third reading of the rezoning bylaw, but prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw and issuance of the Development Permit, the applicant will be required to obtain a Tree Permit and submit landscaping security (i.e. $\$ 59,000$ in total) to ensure the replacement planting will be provided.
2. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
3. Incorporation of energy efficiency, CPTED, sustainability, and accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
4. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges associated with eligible latecomer works.
5. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

## Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.

The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.

- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contain prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.


## Signed

# Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 Amendment Bylaw 9687 (RZ 16-726337) 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road 

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Zoning Map of the City of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 , is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it "MEDIUM DENSITY TOWNHOUSES (RTM3)".
P.I.D. 007-732-554

Lot 3 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 74727
and
P.I.D. 003-896-285

Lot 467 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 59290 and

> P.I.D. 003-930-220

Lot 468 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 59290 and
P.I.D. 003-558-975

Lot 431 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 48580 and
P.I.D. 003-506-738

Lot 430 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 48580 and
P.I.D. 004-216-661

Lot 320 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 38486 and
P.I.D. 008-509-948

Lot 321 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 38486 and
P.I.D. 009-816-186

Lot 6 Except: Part Subdivided by Plan 56313; Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 13375
2. This Bylaw may be cited as "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687".

| FIRST READING |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | APPROVED by |
| A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON | BK |
| SECOND READING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { APPROVED } \\ & \text { bv Director } \end{aligned}$ |
| THIRD READING | il |
| OTHER CONDITIONS SATISFIED |  |
| MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION AND |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE APPROVAL |  |
| ADOPTED |  |

## Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 Amendment Bylaw 9715

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, as amended, is further amended at Section 3.4 [Use and Term Definitions] by deleting the definition of "Secondary suite" in its entirety and substituting the following:
"Secondary suite means an accessory, self-contained dwelling within single detached housing or town housing, exclusively used for occupancy by one household."
2. Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, as amended, is further amended at Section 5.4 [Secondary Suites] by deleting Section 5.4.1 in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

## "5.4.1 The following regulations and prohibitions apply to every secondary suite

 permitted in a zone:a) the secondary suite must be completely enclosed within the same building as the principal dwelling unit in single detached housing or completely contained within the same townhouse unit or strata lot in town housing, and not in a detached accessory building;
b) no more than one secondary suite shall be permitted per principal dwelling unit in single detached housing or per townhouse unit or strata lot in town housing;
c) the secondary suite must be incidental and integrated with the principal dwelling unit so as not to externally appear as a separate unit;
d) a City water meter must be installed on the lot on which the secondary suite is located;
e) the secondary suite must have a minimum floor area of at least $33.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and must not exceed a total floor area of $90.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in single detached housing;
f) the secondary suite must not exceed $40 \%$ of the total floor area of the dwelling unit in which it is contained;
g) home business uses (i.e., licensed crafts and teaching; licensed residential registered office and licensed residential business office), but not child care programs, may be carried out within a secondary suite;
h) boarding and lodging and minor community care facilities are not permitted in a secondary suite;
i) a secondary suite is not permitted in conjunction with a bed and breakfast;
j) the building must be inspected by the City for compliance with the Building Code, this bylaw and other applicable enactments;
k) where a secondary suite is on a lot fronting an arterial road as shown in Diagram 1 below, one additional on-site parking space must be provided for the exclusive use of each secondary suite;

Diagram 1: Arterial Roads Where Additional On-Site Parking Space Required For Secondary Suites


1) where an additional on-site parking space for a secondary suite is required, the required on-site parking spaces for the principal dwelling unit in single detached housing may be provided in a tandem arrangement with one parking space located behind the other; and
m) internal access must be maintained between the secondary suite and the principal dwelling unit in single detached housing or between the secondary suite and the associated townhouse unit in town housing, except for a locked door."
3. Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, as amended, is further amended at Section 8.6 [Low Density Townhouses (RTL1, RTL2, RTL3, RTL4)] by amending Section 8.6.3, by adding "secondary suite" to the list of permitted secondary uses.
4. Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, as amended, is further amended at Section 8.7 [Medium Density Townhouses (RTM1, RTM2, RTM3)] by amending Section 8.7.3, by adding "secondary suite" to the list of permitted secondary uses.
5. Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, as amended, is further amended at Section 8.8 [High Density Townhouses (RTH1, RTH2, RTH3, RTH4)] by amending Section 8.8.3, by adding "secondary suite" to the list of permitted secondary uses.
6. Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, as amended, is further amended at Section 8.9 [Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP1, RTP2, RTP3, RTP4)] by amending Section 8.9.3, by adding "secondary suite" to the list of permitted secondary uses.
7. This Bylaw may be cited as "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9715".

FIRST READING
PUBLIC HEARING
SECOND READING
THIRD READING

CITY OF
RICHMOND
APPROVED APPROVe
by

ADOPTED


[^0]:    Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.

    Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee

[^1]:    

