

# **Report to Committee**

Re:	Corporate Service Level Review Update Q2-2015	5	
From:	Cecilia Achiam Director, Administration and Compliance	File:	
То:	Finance Committee	Date:	October 9, 2015

#### Staff Recommendation

That the report titled "Corporate Service Level Review Update Q2- 2015" from the Director of Administration and Compliance dated October 9, 2015 be received for information.

Cecilia Achiam Director, Administration and Compliance (604-276-4122)

REPORT CONCURRENCE			
CONCURRENCE OF FINANCE COST CONTROL & EFFICIENCY SUBCOMMITTEE			
Au			
REVIEWED BY STAFF REPORT / AGENDA REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE			
APPROVED BY CAO			

### **Staff Report**

#### Origin

At the Finance Committee meeting on July 6, 2015, staff were directed to provide a service level review update in October to coincide with the budget review process. The current service level review cycle commenced in 2012. This report is the first regular update of the Corporate Operational Service Level Review Program. The report provides information on the reviews completed and the status of tasks assigned to the Department/Work Unit following their respective reviews.

#### Background

This report includes information on the following:

- an overview of the difference between the on-going operational review that the City's Administration utilize as a continuous improvement tool and the more conventional (one-time) service level review approach commonly used by organizations to achieve specific cost reductions or adjustment to established levels of service;
- an updated discussion on the broader local government context, Richmond's response to evolving needs (Attachment 1), and
- details of the Corporate Operational Service Level Review Program, including Results and Update (schedule and processes).

Future updates to City Council will only contain new information on reviews conducted and respective results.

1. On-going Operational Review – Management Tool for the Administration:

Operational service reviews have been integrated into the City Administration's management practice for over 15 years to achieve continuous improvement in the City's operations. The CAO institutionalized this practice as an administrative tool to address operational needs by informing the Administration if there are areas that have additional resource/ temporary capacity. If additional capacity is identified, this can be temporarily redeployed to assist in the delivery of time sensitive initiatives that are beyond the normal scope of work. This temporary reallocation of resource avoids added cost of procuring additional resources (E.g. consultancy/auxiliary staff) to address issues that require intensive short-term attention. An example may be to address a time sensitive/complex Council referral or a specific special initiative. This practice ensures that special assignments are completed on time without taking away resources from the day to day operations.

Customarily, the CAO reviews the City's priorities and reorganizes the City's functions and personnel to address emerging needs. As the public debate on "value for tax dollars" intensifies and the Province increases its focus on municipal performance with the introduction of the Municipal Auditor General function, the CAO, in 2012, reallocated resources and formed the Administration and Compliance Department to put focus on corporate compliance and performance. The corporate compliance and performance function was envisioned to "place significant focus on measuring work unit performance against productivity targets, and assist internal departments to analyze and identify opportunities to develop and implement business process improvement strategies and to adopt innovative practices".

ł

October 9, 2015

The Administration and Compliance Department manages a variety of other corporate services, in addition to the corporate compliance and performance functions. They include: Business Licences, Customer Services, Economic Development, Risk Management, Corporate Business Advisory and Community Sponsorship. Corporate Compliance, Performance, Risk Management and Business Advisory (internal audits) provide additional resources to address corporate-wide issues that emerge from service level reviews performed.

The CAO further established a Corporate Operational Service Level Review Team (SLRT) and appointed six staff members (including three staff members from Administration and Compliance) to the Team. The SLRT is not a full-time resource. The SLRT, which is led and supported by the Administration and Compliance Department, conducts in depth reviews of individual departments, sections, and work units in addition to their regular work assignments.

The mandate given the SLRT by the CAO does not focus on whether or not the program or service is a core/traditional/discretionary function. Staff do not determine what services should be delivered. City Council is the only entity that can determine the levels of services and which traditional and discretionary services are to be provided to the community. The on-going operational review that the CAO currently uses for continuous improvement purposes is intended to generate cost savings, as opposed to cost reductions.

The effectiveness of this approach is borne out by the fact that the administration rarely requests additional resources of retains consultants to support extraordinary assignments, referrals or increased workloads. The Administration and Compliance Team as well as the former Olympic Business Office are examples of this process.

The specific goals of the SLRT are to:

- Review operational activities for efficient, effective, and appropriate use of resources;
- Identify process improvement and resource reallocation that can increase efficient and effective service delivery; and
- Identify operational key performance indicators and link to corporate performance measurements to track progress into the future.

The emphasis of the current Corporate Operational Service Level Review program is on whether the specific services meet legislated/professional/industry standards; identifying cost savings (vs. cost reduction) opportunities; and on achieving service efficiencies.

A brief discussion is included below to distinguish between "cost savings" and "cost reduction" in the context of an operational or service level review. The City's practice is to seek "cost savings" as part of the corporate continuous improvement process and to defer to Council for decision on "cost reduction".

"Cost savings" means finding ways to reduce expenditures while still addressing the same range of objectives (goals, intended outcomes) and achieving the same level or quality of outcomes with respect to each objective. This approach is consistent with Council Policy 3016, titled "Budget and 5-Year Financial Plan Preparation". The Administration, through the mandate for the current Corporate Operational Service Level Review Program seeks to achieve continuous improvement, including cost savings, through process improvement and reallocation of resources.

1

October 9, 2015

"Cost reduction" typically means choosing not to address some objectives, goals or intended outcomes. That is, to cut back on the scope of services (drop a program, address fewer objectives/goals) or to decide to not shoot for higher outcomes on specific objectives. Decisions on cost reduction that would impact the type of services or the outcome of the services rest with Council.

Where applicable, the SLR verifies that the service levels of individual Departments/Work Units under review adhere to professional/industry standards which are either required by legislation or are at par with neighbouring Metro Vancouver municipalities because these standards have a direct impact on operational costs (Attachment 2). The SLRT is expected to formulate recommendations that are independent and unbiased, and will significantly contribute to more effective and efficient practices.

To ensure accountability, the Finance Cost Control and Efficiency Subcommittee (FCCE), composed of members of the Senior Management Team and other senior staff appointed by the CAO, reviews the work of the SLRT. The CAO reviews all of the SLRT's original recommendations vis-a-vis comments from the General Manager of the Department being reviewed and the comments/recommendations from the FCCE Sub-Committee to ensure accountability at all levels.

When a recommendation is endorsed by the FCCE Subcommittee and the CAO, the department in question is expected to allocate funds within its budget to hire the appropriate external resource if needed and report back on the results. This encourages the departments to be efficient and effective in outlining the scope and to be accountable for the deliverables of external work and subsequent implementation.

2. Conventional (One-time) Service Level Review – Definition and Purpose

There are generally three distinct types of conventional (one-time) service level reviews that a local government can conduct: Core Service Review, Service Delivery (Operational) Review, or Organizational (Structure) Review. A fourth type of review – a hybrid – would combine elements of more than one of the three key types of reviews. These reviews are generally conducted as a one-time effort to achieve specific targets to achieve cost reduction or to review the organizational structure. To achieve a sustained cost reduction, Council must choose to either reduce the service level of programs or eliminate discretionary services.

An extensive report detailing the types of service level reviews to distinguish the conventional (onetime) application from the operational reviews (on-going) conducted by the City has also been provided to Council in the past and will not be repeated in this report.

The SLRT produced a list of the 83 work units/department/sections services the City provides and categorizes whether the services are core, traditional or discretionary based on legislation from the federal or provincial governments to provide a frame of reference for cost reduction decisions (Attachment 3). This information was provided to Council in the past and most recently in the staff report to Finance Committee on July 6, 2015 titled "2016-2020 Budget Process". Only Council has the mandate to decide the appropriate levels of service (beyond legislated standards) and the traditional/discretional services to provide. This information was provided to Council to assist in the service/cost reduction should such a need arise.

# Analysis

# A. Corporate Operational Service Level Review Program Results Update

As of the writing of this report, service level reviews have been completed on 30% (25 of 83) of city departments/sections/work units representing approximately \$40M in the Operating Budget (2015). Based on the current schedule (Attachment 4), 78 of the 83 work units/department/sections will be reviewed by mid-2017. The Richmond Public Library and Gateway Theatre, which report to independent Council-appointed boards, and the RCMP (a contracted service) are excluded from the Corporate Operational Service Level Review Program.

This report provides an update on the following six Departments/Work Units: Community Bylaws, Information Technology, Intergovernmental Relations and Protocol Unit, City Clerk's Office, Transportation, and Economic Development. Recommendations for the six completed reviews have been transformed into tasks for the Department/Work Unit to undertake, and these have progressed to the implementation stage. Progress on addressing the assigned tasks for each of the Departments/Work Units to improve their efficiency and/or effectiveness (to October 2015) is provided in Attachment 5.

Once endorsed by the CAO, Service Level Review recommendations are referred back to the subject department or Administration and Compliance Department for further assessment. Only those with positive business case (i.e. produce a benefit beyond the cost of implementation) will be implemented. As per Council direction, this report provides and update to the Service Level Review Program. Due to sensitivity around personnel matters, obligation to consult with the Unions and potential disruption of work force, detail records and recommendations of the reviews are not included in this report.

To date, with 30% of the Service Level Reviews complete, the following observations can be drawn:

a. The service levels of the work units reviewed are set to legislative/industry standard requirements (Attachment 1). The work units have been able to demonstrate that they conduct periodic review of service level standards to achieve a balance between acceptable service levels and cost control. Grass cutting by Parks Operations is an example:

Category of Action	Example	Action	Result
Periodic Review of service level standards for specific services	Grass Cutting – As per industry standard (an external requirement), grass of a moderately used field should be cut every 7-10 days.	Parks Operations has deliberately chosen to reduce the number of grass cutting per year from 26/year (i.e. every 7 days/cut) to 22/year (i.e. every 10 days/cut) in neighbourhood school parks.	City service levels are not set arbitrarily. In this specific example, the Parks Department routinely monitors its operation to ensure the budget can be "stretched" without adversely affecting the quality of the park.

Figure 4: Balancing Service Level and Cost Control

b. The budget trends of the work units reviewed are generally within CPI increases. Any increase beyond is due to additional services/staff positions approved by Council to address growth or

ł

respond to senior level downloading. Figures 2 and 3 in this report are examples of such increases.

c. Improvement in efficiency/effectiveness has been reported for the work units that have implemented recommendations from the Service Level Reviews. One internal service and one external service improvements are cited below as examples:

Category of Action	Example	Action	Result
Improvement in Operational Efficiency	Employee records – An HR system and a financial system were two different systems that have been relied upon to generate employee information (e.g. their status).	A middleware software solution was introduced to synchronize employee records from the different systems.	All new hires, terminations, or changes in the organization are now automatically reflected in the IT systems to ensure the organization has a "single source of data" with respect to employee information.
	Dog licencing renewal was a manual process that required the full resource of one full- time employee (approximately 35 hours per week).	An improved renewal process (from manual to automated); implementation of an online payment capability increased employee capacity by 27%.	Automation created efficiency that allowed for growth in the dog licensing program. The change also freed up time for the staff member to provide assistance to other areas of the Community Bylaw Call Centre.
Cost Savings on Discretionary Services and Reallocation of Savings	Review of service agreements (e.g. by Economic Development	Discontinuance of a service agreement recommended to Council	Discretionary service has been discontinued and the budgeted amount for the service reallocated for other purposes to be determined by Council.

Figure 5: Efficiency/Cost Saving Examples

d. The formation of the Administrative and Compliance Department provide dedicated resources needed to address corporate issues/gaps (rather than within a specific department).

Category of Action	Example	Action	Result
Risk Mitigation	Internal Audit of Purchasing Card (PCard) Use - to determine compliance with administrative guidelines and procedures "Select" Internal Audit for	A periodic audit is conducted by the Business Advisory Services Unit of Administration and Compliance. An audit is underway to	Addresses an identified gap and inappropriate action to mitigate the risk For improved accountability
	quality assurance	review the processes and controls related to the purchase and distribution of fuel to the City's fleet vehicles.	and quality assurance

Category of Action	Example	Action	Result
Risk Prevention	Cash and Revenue Handling at City Facilities - Financial transactions routinely occur in City facilities. A review needed to be undertaken to: • optimize staff safety • develop consistent and standardized procedures based on best practices • provide operational efficiencies • reduce risk of financial loss to the City	Community Services and Finance and Corporate Services Divisions came together to conduct a comprehensive review, with participation from Administration and Compliance staff.	<ul> <li>Cash and Revenue Procedures Manual developed and circulated to appropriate staff for compliance and guidance</li> <li>Education sessions conducted amongst City facilities staff</li> <li>Management reporting sessions conducted</li> </ul>
Corporate Compliance	Review of timekeeping procedures and roles/responsibilities across the various Divisions	Development of an Administrative Directive on timekeeping to serve as a corporate guide for all City staff	<ul> <li>Consistent timekeeping practices and procedures</li> <li>Accountability clearly defined</li> </ul>
Corporate Performance	Development of a Corporate Performance Strategy as an administrative tool	Working with departments and work groups in conjunction to establish appropriate key performance indicators	<ul> <li>On-going with the Service Level Review Program</li> <li>A Community Dashboard development is under way</li> </ul>

Figure 6: Sample Actions to address Corporate Issues/Gaps with Corporate

e. The Corporate Administration established the Corporate Operational Service Level Review Program as a management tool to inform the CAO and senior management on opportunities for continuous improvement of processes and procedures within specific operations and for improved efficiencies through reorganizing functions and or service delivery models. The Corporate Operational Service Level Review Program is not designed to make recommendations of cost reduction (i.e. elimination of services and/or programs). As such, the Program is not intended to be a budgeting tool.

### B. Application of Service Level Review Results in Context

A service level review could potentially identify opportunities for efficiencies and possibly a few positions that could be eliminated, but it would at the same time potentially identify other areas to which the surplus resource would need to be moved. In reality, as highlighted as a constant theme in the Columbia Institute report, local government organizations have been cutting back for the past 25 years and it has become more and more difficult to do so. Council constantly strives to provide more and better services to the community. However, at some point, there will be a need to reconcile or balance the growing needs/requirements in the community with the desire to maintain low tax increases. New and/or significant sources of revenue may need to be identified.

In practice, as the City is a lean organization, there will be certain impacts on any program should staff positions be eliminated without considering a corresponding reduction in program or service delivery.

A simple, logical financial approach would be to eliminate discretionary programs (see examples below) as a way to maintain core functions/services. The financial approach, however, does not take the social/ community impact into consideration and is not reflective of Council's direction. This report, does not in any way recommend that discretionary programs be eliminated. These examples are merely meant to illustrate the reality of the potential impact of eliminating discretionary services (staff and program cost).

Sample Discretionary Program	Staff Complement (FTE)	Costs (staff + program costs - revenues)
Tree Bylaw	3	\$316,600
Economic Development	2	\$433,900
Youth Services	3	\$322,000
Nature Park	3.7	\$360,000

Figure 7: Examples of Discretional Services/Program and Associated Costs

#### **Financial Impact**

None.

The Corporate Operational Service Level Review Program has been mandated by the CAO to review efficiency and effectiveness of programs/initiatives within departments/work units. Any cost savings generated by the SLR are either reallocated to support existing work programs/initiatives within the same fiscal year. Any long term operating budget reduction is to be accounted for in future budgets.

#### Conclusion

Local governments have experienced continual downloading from senior governments over the past 25 years and the trend continues today. In some cases, a local government Council may have absorbed so many non-core programs and services costs into its annual operating budgets that it may no longer be clear which costs are for core programs and services and which are discretionary. Over time funding for core programs and services may be diverted to facilitate popular discretionary programs or services that have been downloaded and/or which hold a strong appeal to a particular interest group. As the base funding for core services is eroded, pressure will build to increase revenues and/or find cost reductions. The challenge is that today there are few options that will produce cost reductions without impacting programs and services.

It is good practice for a responsible administration to regularly initiate operational service level reviews to maintain the low tax increases while delivering high quality services. Since 1997, Richmond has a long standing practice of conducting ongoing operational reviews to support both the process through which the annual operating budget is approved and operational process improvement. Over the years the program has produced many opportunities for significant cost savings in a wide variety of areas of the organization. Staff will continue with this practice and provide periodic progress update to Council as directed.

~

Cecilia Achiam Director, Administration and Compliance (604-276-4122)

- Attachment 1: A Broader Local Government Context
- Attachment 2: 2013-2014 Key Municipal Data Survey
- Attachment 3: Types of Service by Division/Departments/Sections/Work Units
- Attachment 4: Proposed Service Level Review and Target Schedule
- Attachment 5: Service Level Review Progress Reports

# A BROADER LOCAL GOVERNMENT CONTEXT

### A. Provincial Lens

Under the current legislative framework, municipalities are "creatures of the province" and operate under provincial statutes and regulations with respect to powers and expenditure responsibilities, revenue sources, governance and structure. Additionally, municipal governments have no control over the province when it comes to downloading or providing grants to municipalities.

Local governments have experienced continual downloading from senior governments over the past 25 years and the trend continues today. In some cases, a local government Council may have absorbed so many non-core programs and services costs into its annual operating budgets that it may no longer be clear which costs are for core programs/services and which are for discretionary programs. Over time, funding for core programs and services may be diverted to facilitate popular discretionary programs or services that have been downloaded from federal/provincial governments, and/or which hold a strong appeal to a particular interest group. As the base funding for core services is eroded, pressure will build to increase revenues and/or find cost reductions. The challenge is that today there are few options that will produce cost reductions without impacting programs and services.

Recently (February 2015), the Institute of Research on Public Policy (IRRP) Study No 51<sup>1</sup> looked at municipal spending responsibilities in Canada and noted that with few exceptions, municipalities have access to only one major tax - the property tax, and user fees to fund services. Most municipal governments, Richmond included, cope with provincial downloading of services (including such services for seniors in aging communities, childcare and social housing) by looking inward to their existing operations for cost savings/reductions to provide these necessary (while not within local government mandates) services.

In September 2014, the Columbia Institute for Civic Governance published the report, *Who's Picking up the Tab?* 

"Who's Picking up the Tab? investigates the scale and scope of downloading onto local governments from federal and provincial governments, using BC as a case study." "Reflecting the transfer of responsibility onto local government, local expenditure in the province on sewer services between 2001 and 2010 grew by 173 per cent. Policing by 134 per cent. Water services by 130 per cent. Parks, recreation and culture by 108 percent.

Goods and services purchased in large quantities by local governments increase in price faster than the more general "market basket" used for the Consumer Price Index. Some municipalities have been developing Municipal Price Indexes that measure the specific goods purchased by local

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Institute of Research on Public Policy study No. 51, titled "No Seniors' Specials-Financing Municipal Services in Aging Communities" by Harry Kitchen dated February 2015. Source <u>http://irpp.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/study-no51.pdf</u>.

governments. Edmonton's MPI for 2012, for example, was almost double the CPI rate (2.6 per cent MPI vs 1.34 per cent CPI)."<sup>2</sup>

The Columbia Institute report cites social service cuts, housing, mental health impacts which in turn impact policing costs, wastewater treatment and environment, as examples of local government cost generators for which senior levels of government have delegated responsibility, but not funding.

# B. Regional Lens

From time to time, the Corporate Administration collects key indicators to ensure that the City's budgets and services offered are in line with neighbouring municipalities - Burnaby, Surrey and Vancouver. Burnaby and Richmond are particularly good comparables with respect to population size and capital inventory. Attachment 2 contains the 2014 Key Municipal Indicators Surveys comparing these four Metro Vancouver municipalities.

While the survey confirms that the City compares favourably with the other municipalities, it is most appropriate to compare Burnaby and Richmond as both cities have similar population (Richmond 209,339; Burnaby 233,734) with similar urban context.

Both cities maintain similar numbers of community infrastructures and parks with different emphasis on recreation and parks programs. Richmond operates with 7.1 FTE staff/1000 residents while Burnaby has 8.74 FTE/1000 residents. Burnaby's total salaries budget is \$160,780,000. Richmond's is \$141,263,300 (lower by \$19,516,700). Some of the variations on staffing and salary budget are related to services that are delivered under different models (e.g. contracted garbage pickup) and different assets (e.g. Burnaby own and manages golf course with full catering services, etc.). At the same time, Richmond is managing a much higher capital project load as the City is undertaking a major capital replacement/enhancement program. The capital budget comparison is Richmond \$237,203,000 (\$1,156/capita); Burnaby \$136,125,000 (\$582/capita). These facts might lead to the justification to have more staff to manage a relatively higher work load, all other operations being similar. The reality demonstrated by the survey is that the City is a relatively lean organization and consistently provides the same or higher levels of service than comparable Metro Vancouver municipalities.

# C. Richmond's Response to Evolving Needs

While Richmond has continually achieved reasonable budgets and successfully contained property tax increases over the past 20 years (while expanding programs and services at the same time), the City has not achieved these results without challenges. In 1998/9, with only short notice to local governments, the province eliminated over \$5 million in annual transfers to Richmond. Richmond absorbed that loss while continuing to maintain reasonable property tax increases.

In response to senior levels of government downloading and changing legislative requirements and professional practices to establish more stringent performance requirements, the City has taken on new services/programs to address Richmond's community needs. Key examples are provided below for illustration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Who's Picking up the Tab? Published by the Columbia Institute in September 2014

#### Social Services:

a. Council also initiated the City's Grant Programs to support a variety of community causes The total cost in 2015 is \$2,226,560:

#### Grants for 2015 as follows:

<u>Municipal Grant</u> Parks, Recreation and Community Events Health, Social and Safety Arts and Culture	\$101,494 \$563,986 \$105,080	
<u>Child Care Grant</u> Child Care grants Child Care Professional and Program Development Total Child Care	\$50,000 \$15,000 \$65,000	
<u>Richmond Disability Grant</u> Richmond Therapeutic Equestrian Society Richmond Centre for Disability TOTAL	\$57,000 \$121,800 \$178,800	
Gateway Theatre GRAND TOTAL	\$1,212,200 <b>\$2,226,560</b>	

b. Delivery of social services is a specific example of an issue that City Council has chosen to address because of service gaps caused by senior levels of government downloading. Council approved several staff positions including a Child Care Coordinator, Affordable Housing Planner and Social Housing Coordinator which have ongoing annual cost implications of \$309,000 - \$337,000 (Figure 2). The work undertaken by these staff are outlined in the Council-approved Social Development Strategy. These "discretionary" (i.e. not legislatively required to be provided by a local government) programs and associated resources represent an expansion of City services. Council could have eliminated other discretionary services or reduced service levels (e.g. hours of operation in community facilities) in order to provide social services without any on-going additional budgetary impact but opted to maintain existing services while addressing these additional social service needs.

	New Positions Approved by Council (year approved)	Description	New Costs Impact to the Annual Operating Budget
Social Development Strategy	Child Care Coordinator (2014)	1 RFT	\$113,000
	Affordable Housing Planner (2015)	1 RFT	\$84,000
	Senior Service Coordinator Community Social Development (2015)	1 RFT	\$112,000 - 140,000

Figure 2: Example of Operating Budget Increase related to Program/Service Enhancement approved by Council to address Senior Levels of Government Downloading

# Growth-Related New Facilities:

To further control the impact on taxes to address growth, Council has adopted a phased approach to the replacement of existing and aging infrastructure. The addition of new community facilities in the City's Capital Program is funded from City reserves and augmented by financing with the repayment of the load from existing City operating budget. Nonetheless, bringing on new facilities without eliminating existing ones adds new cost impact to the City's annual Operating Budget. One recent example is the new City Centre Community Centre at 5900 Minoru Boulevard which has just opened (Figure 3).

New Positions Approved by Council (year approved)	Description	New Costs Impact to the Annual Operating Budget
Community Facilities Coordinator (2014)	2 RFT	\$ 1.31 M (Note: excludes the Area Coordinator position with existing funding of \$90,000
Recreation Leader (2014)	1 RFT	
Recreation Facilities Clerk (2014)	1 RFT	
Building Services Worker (2014)	2	
Enhanced Hours of	6am – 11pm	
Operation (2014)	(Sunday –	
	Thursday)	
	by Council (year approved) Community Facilities Coordinator (2014) Recreation Leader (2014) Recreation Facilities Clerk (2014) Building Services Worker (2014) Enhanced Hours of	by Council (year approved)2Community Facilities Coordinator (2014)2Recreation Leader (2014)1Recreation Leader (2014)1Recreation Facilities Clerk (2014)1Building Services Worker (2014)2Enhanced Hours of Operation (2014)6am – 11pm (Sunday –

Figure 3: New Cost Impact of the City Centre Community Centre to the City's Annual Operating Budget

Another example that the City has implemented to keep the City's operating cost from rising is the Corporate Energy Management Program. With the program in place, the total energy use for City infrastructure has remained fairly stable since 2010, even though the City has continued to add infrastructure to its asset list to meet increasing community needs (e.g. Community Safety Building, new street lighting in City development areas, and increased capacity at City drainage pumps). It is anticipated that notwithstanding the doubling in size of the Minoru Senior Centre (which is under construction), energy utilization is anticipated to be similar to the current/older facility through energy management.

# **Emerging Community Issues**

Council has deep knowledge of Richmond's community needs and has also taken on other worthwhile regulatory measures that are not "mandatory" by legislation but are based on community needs. Examples include: Pesticides Bylaw and Soil Regulation (agricultural viability), Grease Bylaw (protect utility infrastructure), Wildlife Control (aircraft movement and agriculture), to name a few. These also have implications on the operational budget.

# **Open and Accessible Government**

The number of requests for City records through the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FOIPPA) established by the Province has increase substantially since the Act was extended to local governments in 1994. The number of requests has increased 170% from 2004 to 2014. At the same time, the complexity of these requests has also increased. The City now has one staff dedicated part-time to the coordination of FOIPPA responses as many of the responses involve input from across the Corporation. The cost to provide this service is only partially recoverable based on legislation and the remaining cost is absorbed as part of the City's operational budget.

The City has also expanded ways to consult residents in a variety of initiatives. For example, "Let's Talk Richmond" is a web-based interface that the City regularly uses to consult the public. Other recent innovative outreach processes include the Garden City Lands Ideas Fair and the Use of Language on Signage Outreach processes. These initiatives enable better dialogue with stakeholders and residents but they do have associated costs that are absorbed into the City's operating budget.

# **Meeting Standards**

The following table provides a sample listing of legislated/professional/industry standards that City operations must follow to ensure quality of service delivered. To ensure compliance, regular monitoring, reporting and training are required. The costs associated with compliance are also absorbed into the City's operating costs.

Operation	Industry/Professional Standards	City of Richmond Service Level	Example of Application	Purpose
ENGINEERING	AND PUBLIC WORKS			
Water Services	The Provincial Drinking Water Protection Act	Adopt standards in full	Various Water Services maintenance programs support meeting these requirements, including water testing, chlorination, hydrant maintenance, flushing, etc.	Sets out requirements for water quality
	The American Public Works Association, Canadian Public Works Association and British Columbia Water and Wastewater Association best practice guidelines	Applied to utility maintenance		The frequency of maintenance programs as recommended by these organizations are recognized by the Province of BC.
	Public Sector Accounting Board standards		The City's GIS and Hansen maintenance management systems	Required for public reporting of asset value and

Table 1: Mandatory Legislated/Professional/Industry Standards for City Operations

Operation	Industry/Professional Standards	City of Richmond Service Level	Example of Application	Purpose
			and respective operational programs support meeting these standards.	depreciation as an indicator of performance on asset replacement.
Solid Waste	The Provincial Environmental Management		The <i>Act</i> requires the City to submit plans for solid waste management.	The City is required to track and report on our maintenance programs and track the results. The act also sets out requirements for solid waste reporting, spill reporting, pollution prevention, contaminated sites, greenhouse gas reporting, and waste disposal guidelines.
Liquid Waste	The Provincial Federal Fisheries Act		Monitoring and maintenance programs related to drainage systems and ditches are reported as required through the Act.	The <i>Act</i> regulates the quality of storm water discharge.
	The Provincial Water Act		Pump stations and storm water drainage system maintenance programs.	The Act regulates water intake and discharge, resulting in requirements for water licensing.
	The Provincial Municipal Waste Water Regulation		In order to meet this regulation, sewer maintenance programs are required and must be reported on.	The Act requires sewer systems be classified and operators be certified.
	Metro Vancouver's Integrated Liquid Waste Resource Management Plan – which is required by the Province –		Sewer, water, and storm water related environmental improvements.	The <i>Plan</i> requires bi-annual reporting to the Province of BC on the City's progress on sewer, water, and storm water related environmental improvements.

Operation	Industry/Professional Standards			Purpose
Facility Maintenance	The American Society of Heat and Refrigeration Engineers (ASHRAE) standards		E.g. boilers, chillers, etc	Industry standard that indicates frequency of maintenance for mechanical equipment.
	The BC Safety Standards Act		It applies to buildings, district energy operations and regulates electrical installations such as traffic signals, street lights and pump stations.	The <i>Act</i> requires building owners to adhere to ASHRAE standards. The act also prescribes district energy operations and regulates electrical installations
	The International Sanitary Supply Association		All City facilities	The regulations dictate janitorial maintenance standards for buildings.
	The BC Building Code		All capital projects	The <i>Code</i> establishes minimum standards required in construction.
	The BC Fire Code		Facility maintenance programs are required for the City to meet this code.	The <i>Code</i> requires maintenance standards for life and safety systems within all buildings.
	The City's High Performance Building Policy		New City facilities	Adopted by Council, this City Policy, establishes LEED-related building standards.
	The City's Management of Waste and Recyclable Materials from City Facilities, Demolition, and Construction Activities Policy		Demolition	These City Policies established an 80% recycling target for demolishing old buildings in preparation for new construction.

Operation	Industry/Professional Standards	City of Richmond Service Level	Example of Application	Purpose
Safety	The Provincial <i>Dike</i> <i>Maintenance Act</i>		Several drainage, irrigation and engineering programs support meeting this requirement.	This <i>Act</i> requires local government regulate dike height, inspection periods, construction standards, annual reporting, etc.
	The Provincial WorkSafeBC Occupational Health and Safety Regulation		Training and maintenance programs are organized for staff to meet this regulation during the course of their work.	This regulation requires that staff meet mandatory training components, and be in compliance with all safety regulations.
	The BC Gas Utility Act		Training program for staff who work around gas mains.	The <i>Act</i> specifies how to excavate around gas mains, and training requirements to work around gas mains.
	The Provincial <i>Motor</i> <i>Vehicle Act</i>		Affect staff who have to operate a motor vehicle for work.	The <i>Act</i> dictates class of driver licenses, maintenance standards, commercial vehicle inspection, operator hours of service, cargo security, and the installation and maintenance of road line painting, traffic signals, pedestrian crossings and road signs.
Construction Standards	The Master Municipal Construction Document		Apply to planning and construction of roads and utility.	Sets minimum standards for roads and utility infrastructure.
	The Canadian Construction Document Committee		Apply to all capital projects	Sets minimum standards for building construction.

Operation	Industry/Professional Standards		d Example of Application	Purpose
Sustainability	The Provincial Greenhouse Gas Reduction Targets Act	Greenhouse Gas	Corporate and community energy management	The <i>Act</i> sets community and corporate greenhouse gas reduction targets and carbon neutrality goals.
PARKS OPERA	TIONS	TIONS		
Grass cutting	1. Well-Groomed - 3- 7 days/cut	··································	; City Wide Parks, City Hall, Sports Field	To meet acceptable landscape
	2. Groomed - 7 day/cut		Community Parks School Parks	construction practices for the Province of
	3. Moderate - 7-10 days/cut		Parks	British Columbia as agreed upon by the BCSLA, the BCLNA,
	<ol> <li>Background &amp; Natural Areas – to preserve biodiversity and meadow functioning</li> </ol>	Natural Areas – to preserve biodiversity and meadow	Garden City Park, Areas in Terra Nova Park	government authorities and other industry associations.

#### 2013-2014 Key Municipal Indicators Survey

A	B	C During here	D	E
1 Measure	Richmond	Burnaby	Surrey	Vancouver
2 Total Land Area (hectares) <sup>1</sup>	12,967	9,860	31,641	11,497
3 Land area per 1,000 pop.	63	42	60	18
4 Population <sup>2</sup>	205,133	233,833	523,814	652,207
5 Population - Rmd % of others	100%	88%	39%	31%
6 Residential Properties <sup>3</sup>	65,585	67,897	135,369	179,284
7 Total Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Empl	1,456	2,027	2,572	8,310
8 Total FTEs - Rmd % of others	100%	72%	57%	18%
9 # of FTEs per 1,000 population (approx)	7.10	8.67	4.91	12.74
10 Budget Capital <sup>5</sup>	\$237,203,000	\$136,125,000	\$468,458,000	\$289,000,000
11 Capital budget per capita	<u>\$1,156</u> 42%	\$582 26%	\$894 43%	\$443
12 Capital budget as % of total	······································			
13 Budget Operating <sup>6</sup>	\$323,726,800	\$379,162,604	\$623,238,000	\$1,147,900,000
14 Operating budget per capita	\$1,578 58%	\$1,622 74%	\$1,190 57%	\$1,760 80%
15 Oper. budget as % of total		1		\$1,436,900,000
<b>16 Budget</b> (operating + capital) <sup>7</sup>	\$560,929,800	\$515,287,604 \$2,204	\$1,091,696,000 \$2,084	\$1,438,900,000
<ul><li>17 Total Budget per capita</li><li>18 Total Budget - Rmd % of others</li></ul>	\$2,734 100%	109%	51%	39%
<ul> <li>18 Total Budget - Rmd % of others</li> <li>19 Total Salary Budget <sup>8</sup></li> </ul>	\$135,287,700	\$160,780,000	\$246,406,000	\$703,032,000
<ul> <li>19 Iotal Salary Budget</li> <li>20 Sal. bud, % of oper. budget</li> </ul>	42%	42%	40%	61%
21 Salary budget per capita	\$660	\$688	\$470	\$1,078
23 Full Service Community Centres <sup>9</sup>	6	4	6	24
24 Population per Cmty Ctr	34,189	58,458	87,302	27,175
25 Fire Halls <sup>10</sup>	7	7	17	20
26 Population Per Fire Hall	29,305	33,405	30,813	32,610
27 Police Service <sup>11</sup>	29,303	35,405	50,615	52,010
	53	58	84	77
<ul> <li>28 Crime rate/1000 residents</li> <li>29 Housing Starts <sup>12</sup></li> </ul>		2,298		6,071
	1,427	10	3,071	9
<ul> <li>30 Housing starts per 1,000 population</li> <li>31 Parks and Open Space</li> </ul>		10	0	9
32 Acres park/1000 residents	10.24	23.63	15.41	4.5
33 Building Permits Issued <sup>12</sup>	1,311	1,674	4,781	5,497
34 Building Permits iss'd per 1,000 population	6	7	9	8
35 Bunding Formits 135 d per 1,000 population	V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
36 Sources:				
27 Pichmond, City's wahaita, Pumahu, City	u's website · Surrev · W	l Vikinedia org wehsi	te · Vancouver · Wiki	nedia org website
	·		··· ··· ·· · ·	
38 <sup>2</sup> Richmond: Quarterly Financial Informat	ton (2014 Q4); Other	r Cittes: Population	i Estimates (by Loca	i Health Area) of B
39 <sup>3</sup> 2013 BC Assessment Revised Roll Totals				
40 <sup>4</sup> Including RFT, RPT, TFT, Aux, and poli				nce Department;
41 Burnaby: 2014-2018 Financial Plan; Surr	-			
<sup>42</sup> <sup>5</sup> Richmond: 2013-2017 5 Year Financial				
43 2017 Financial Plan, excluding amortizati	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
45 <sup>6</sup> Richmond: 2013-2017 5 Year Financial				•
46 Consolidated Financial Plan (Bylaw 1810)	9), excluding contribi	uted capital expend	itures; Vancouver: 9	Quarterly Capital
47 <sup>7</sup> Sum of Line 9 and 12				
<sup>48</sup> <sup>8</sup> Richmond:Budget provided by Finance L				Report; Surrey:
49 Budget from 2014-2018 Financial Plan; V				
<sup>50</sup> <sup>9</sup> Full Service Community Centre's definition	on: Centre serving al	l ages with a broad	of range of recreat	ion, social and
51 cultural programming and facilities, open	7 days per week. Sou	rce: Each city's wei	bsite.	
52 $^{10}$ Each city's website				
53 <sup>11</sup> The number of Criminal Code offences of	or crimes (excluding a	drugs and traffic) r	eported/ 1,000 perm	anent residents
<sup>54</sup> <sup>12</sup> Canada Mortgage and Housing Corpor				
<sup>55</sup> <sup>13</sup> Richmond: Quarterly Financial Informa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		nit Tabulation Reno	rt (2014 OA)
56 Surrey: City's Development Statistics; Van				

# City of Richmond Types of Service - Departments/Sections/Work Units by Division

**Core:** Services required by legislation from the federal or provincial governments.

**Traditional:** Time-honoured services that are commonly considered essential or foundational.

**Discretionary:** Services that may have value but if the fiscal situation requires it, these services could be reduced, eliminated, or contracted out.

Division	Department/Sections/Work Units	Types of Service			
		Core	Traditional	Discretionary	
CAO's Office (6)	CAO's Office				
	Corporate Administration	V	v		
	• Administrative Support Services (including the Mayor's Office & Councillors' Office)		V	V	
	Intergovernmental Relations & Protocol Unit			v	
	Corporate Programs Management Group		-	V	
	Corporate Communications		V	-	
	Corporate Planning		V		
Deputy CAO (4)	Human Resources				
	Training & Development		v		
	Employee & Labour Relations	V	V		
	Compensation, Job Evaluation & Recognition		V		
	Workplace Health, Safety & Wellness	√ (Safety)	√ (Health)	√ (Wellness)	
Community Services (20)	Parks				
	• Parks Operations (includes Asset Management, Construction & Maintenance, Turf Management, Horticulture, Urban Forestry)		v	v	

Division	Department/Sections/Work Units		Types of Service		
		Core	Traditional	Discretionary	
	• Parks Programs (includes Nature Park)		V		
	• Britannia			V	
	Parks Planning & Design		V	v	
	Recreation & Sport				
	Community Services Admin.		v	v	
	Community Recreation Services (includes community centres)		v	V	
	Aquatic, Arena & Fitness Services		v	v	
	• Sport & Event Services (includes volunteer management)			V	
	Planning & Project Services			V	
	Arts, Culture & Heritage Services				
	Arts Services (includes Art Gallery, Art Centre, Cultural Centre)			v	
	Heritage Services			V	
	Richmond Museum			v	
	Gateway Theatre (liaison)			v	
	Richmond Public Library (liaison)		V	v	
	Community Social Development				
	Social Planning			V	
, ,_, ,, ,	Affordable Housing	   		٧	
	Diversity & Cultural Service			V	
	Child Care Services			V	

\*\*

Division	Department/Sections/Work Units		Types of Service		
		Core	Traditional	Discretionary	
	• Youth Services			V	
	Senior Services			V	
Engineering & Public Works (10)	Engineering				
	Engineering – Planning	v	V		
	• Engineering – Design & Construction	V	٧		
	Facility Services		٧		
	Capital Building Project Development			v	
	• Sustainability (includes district energy, corporate energy, environmental sustainability)			V	
	Public Works			-	
	Public Works Administration		٧	V	
	• Fleet Operations & Environmental Programs		٧	V	
	Roads & Construction Services	٧	٧		
	Sewerage & Drainage	v	٧		
	Water Services	v	٧		
Finance & Corporate Services (23)	Finance				
	Financial Reporting	v	V	v	
	Financial Planning & Analysis	v	٧	v	
	• Revenue	v	v	v	
	• Purchasing		v	v	

Division	Department/Sections/Work Units	Types of Service		
		Core	Traditional	Discretionary
	Treasury & Financial Services	v	v	v
	Payroll		V	v
	Information Technology			
	IT Administration		V	v
	Business & Enterprise Systems		V	V
	Infrastructure Services		V	V
	GIS & Database Services		V	v
	Customer Service Delivery		v	v
	City Clerk's Office			
	Operations/Legislative Services	v	V	
	Records & Information	v	V	
	Richmond Archives		V	v
	Administration & Compliance			
	Business Advisory Services		V	v
	Business Licenses		v	v
	Risk Management			v
	Economic Development			V
	Corporate Partnerships			V
	Customer Service		V	v
	Corporate Compliance			V

Division	Department/Sections/Work Units		Types of Service			
		Core	Traditional	Discretionary		
	Performance & Innovation			v		
	Real Estate Services			v		
Law & Community Safety (9)	RCMP					
	Administration (includes Telecommunications, Records, Crime Prevention, Information Technology, Victim Assistance, Finance, Risk Management, Court Liaison)		v	V		
	Fire-Rescue					
	Administration		v	V		
	Operations	V	v	V		
	• Fire Prevention	V	v	V		
	Training & Education	v	v	v		
	Community Bylaws		v	v		
	Emergency Programs	V	V	V		
	Legal Services	-	V	V		
	Law & Community Safety Administration		V	V		
Planning & Development (11)	Transportation					
	Transportation Planning	V	v			
	Traffic Operations		V			
	Traffic Signal Systems	-	v			
	Building Approvals					
	Plan Review	v	v			

Division	Department/Sections/Work Units		Types of Se	ervice
		Core	Traditional	Discretionary
	Building Inspections	v	V	
	Plumbing & Gas Inspections	V	V	
	Tree Preservation		V	v
	Development Applications			
	Production Centre			v
	Developments	V	V	
	Major Projects			v
	Policy Planning	v	V	
Total = 83				

# Service Level Review (SLR) Update and Target Schedule

# Service Level Review Goals:

- Review operational activities for efficient, effective, and appropriate use of resources;
- Identify process improvement and resource reallocation that can increase efficient and effective service delivery; and
- Identify operational performance indicators and link key metrics to corporate performance measurements to track progress into the future.

# August 2012 to September 2015:

# 14 Reviews Completed<sup>1</sup> (18% of approximately 78 Work Units or 17% of 83 Work Units)

No. of Departments/ Sections/Work Units	Division	Department/Work Unit	2015 Operating Budget	Target Completion Date
1	Law & Community Safety	Community Bylaws	\$2,502,800	Completed
5	Finance & Corporate Services	<ul> <li>Information Technology</li> <li>IT Administration</li> <li>Business &amp; Enterprise Systems</li> <li>Infrastructure Services</li> <li>GIS &amp; Database Services</li> <li>Customer Service Delivery</li> </ul>	\$10,126,800	Completed
1	CAO' Office	Intergovernmental Relations & Protocol Unit	\$343,000	Completed
3	Finance & Corporate Services	City Clerk's Office <ul> <li>Operations/Legislative</li> <li>Services</li> <li>Records &amp; Information</li> <li>Richmond Archives</li> </ul>	\$1,837,700	Completed
3	Planning & Development	<ul> <li>Transportation</li> <li>Transportation Planning</li> <li>Traffic Operations</li> <li>Traffic Signal Systems</li> </ul>	\$3,231,800	Completed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Completed = SLR recommendations formulated and reviewed by the Finance, Cost Control and Efficiency (FCCE) Sub-Committee of the Senior Management Team and the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO)

No. of Departments/ Sections/Work Units	Division	Department/Work Unit	2015 Operating Budget	Target Completion Date
1	Finance & Corporate Services	Administration and Compliance – Economic Development	\$452,000	Completed
14			\$18,494,100	

# 10 Reviews Completed by FCCE Sub-Committee Pending Review by CAO and One (1) Pending Review by FCCE Sub-Committee and CAO (14% of approximately 78 Work Units or 13% of 83 Work Units)

No. of Departments/ Sections/Work Units	Division	Department/Work Unit	2015 Operating Budget	Target Completion Date
1	Law & Community Safety	Emergency Programs	\$697,600	Pending CAO review
4	Deputy CAO	<ul> <li>Human Resources</li> <li>Training &amp; Development</li> <li>Employee &amp; Labour Relations</li> <li>Compensation, Job Evaluation &amp; Recognition</li> <li>Workplace, Health, Safety &amp; Wellness</li> </ul>	\$2,387,400	Pending CAO review
4	Community Services	Parks <ul> <li>Parks Operations</li> <li>Parks Programs</li> <li>Britannia</li> <li>Parks Planning &amp; Design</li> </ul>	\$14,864,800	Pending CAO review
1	Finance & Corporate Services	Administration and Compliance – Business Licences	\$610,500	Pending CAO review
1	Finance & Corporate Services	Administration and Compliance –Customer Service	\$1,721,000	Pending FCCE review
11			\$20,281,300	

No. of Work Units	Division	Work Unit	Target Completion Date
	Jur	ne – December 2015	
3	Community Services	Arts, Heritage and Culture	3 <sup>rd</sup> Q 2015
5	Community Services	Recreation and Sport Services	3 <sup>rd</sup> Q 2015
6	Community Services	Community Social Development	4 <sup>th</sup> Q 2015
1	Engineering and Public Works	Roads & Construction Services	4 <sup>th</sup> Q 2015
1	Engineering and Public Works	Public Work Administration (Administration, PW Labour Pool)	4 <sup>th</sup> Q 2015
1	Engineering and Public Works	Fleet Operations & Environmental Programs	4 <sup>th</sup> Q 2015
17			
		2016	
1	Engineering and Public Works	Sewerage and Drainage	1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2016
1	Engineering and Public Works	Water Services	1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2016
special review	Reviewing Parks Operations and Engineering Public Works together to look for efficiency and effectiveness improvements		1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2016
1	Engineering and Public Works	Engineering-Planning	1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2016
1	Engineering and Public Works	Engineering-Design and Construction	1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2016
1	Engineering and Public Works	Sustainability (DE, Corp Energy, Environmental Sustainability)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Q 2016
1	Engineering and Public Works	Capital Buildings Project Development	2 <sup>nd</sup> Q 2016
1	Law and Community Safety	Legal Services	2 <sup>nd</sup> Q 2016
1	Law and Community Safety	Fire-Rescue	2 <sup>nd</sup> Q 2016
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Financial Reporting	3 <sup>rd</sup> Q 2016
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Financial Planning & Analysis	3 <sup>rd</sup> Q 2016
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Revenue/Taxes	3 <sup>rd</sup> Q 2016
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Purchasing/Stores	3 <sup>rd</sup> Q 2016
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Treasury & Financial Services	4 <sup>th</sup> Q 2016
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Payroli	4 <sup>th</sup> Q 2016
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Real Estate Services	4 <sup>th</sup> Q 2016
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Administration & Compliance- Business Advisory	4 <sup>th</sup> Q 2016
20			

June 2, 2015

No. of Work Units	Division	Work Unit	Target Completion Date
	Angelon	2017	
1     Finance and Corporate Services     Administration & Compliance-Risk     1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2017       Management     Management     1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2017		1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2017	
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Administration & Compliance- Corporate Partnerships	1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2017
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Administration & Compliance- Corporate Compliance	1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2017
1	Finance and Corporate Services	Administration & Compliance- Performance Measurement	1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2017
1	Law & Community Safety	Law & Community Safety Administration	1 <sup>st</sup> Q 2017
1	Planning & Development	Development Applications-Production Centre	2 <sup>nd</sup> Q 2017
1	Planning & Development	Development Applications- Developments	2 <sup>nd</sup> Q 2017
1	Planning & Development	Policy Planning	2 <sup>nd</sup> Q 2017
3	Planning & Development	Building Approvals	<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Q</b> 2017
11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

#### SERVICE LEVEL REVIEW PROGRESS REPORT October 2015

### Community Bylaws Department Law and Community Safety Division

#### MANDATE

Responsible for the investigation and enforcement of the City's regulatory bylaws.

#### **TYPE(S) OF SERVICE**

□ Mandatory

#### 🗹 Traditional

☑ Discretionary

#### COUNCIL TERM GOAL(S) 2014-2018

Council Term Goal #1: A Safe Community: Maintain emphasis on community safety to ensure Richmond continues to be a safe community.

1. Implementation and Managen	nt 2. Planning and Development
<ul> <li>Delivery of City Program, Services, and</li> <li>Manage projects related to equipal acquisition and servicing (e.g. parled)</li> <li>Administrate related parking program towing company).</li> <li>Educate bylaw amendments to commembers through new releases, reads, etc.</li> <li>Develop statistical reports monthluse.</li> <li>Manage the contractual relationship for adjudication provider.</li> <li>Manage contractual relationship for meter services.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lead the preparation and/or amendment of 1 bylaws including: animal control regulation bylaw, commercial vehicle licensing bylaw, dog licensing bylaw, noise regulation bylaw, newspaper distribution regulation bylaw, traffic bylaw, unsightly premises regulation bylaw, etc.</li> <li>Communicate bylaw changes internally via memos, reports and other internal publications.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Compliance and Enforcement</li> <li>Investigate Complaints &amp; Initiate I</li> </ul>	pactive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bylaws include: <sup>1</sup> Animal Control Regulation Bylaw No. 7932, Boulevard Maintenance Regulation Bylaw No. 7174, Commercial Vehicle Licensing Bylaw No. 4716, Dog Licensing Bylaw No. 7138, Drainage, Dyke and Sanitary Sewer System Bylaw No. 7551, Election and Political Signs Bylaw No. 8713, Fire Protection and Life Safety Bylaw No. 8306, Late Night Event (Rave) Prohibition Bylaw No. 7202, Newspaper Distribution Regulation Bylaw No. 7954, Noise Regulation Bylaw No. 8856, Nuisance Prohibition - Bylaw 6983 (1999), Parking (Off-Street) Regulation Bylaw No. 7403, Pesticide Use Control Bylaw No. 8514, Public Health Protection Bylaw No. 6989, Public Parks and School Grounds Regulation Bylaw No. 7310, Sign Bylaw No. 5560, Soil Removal And Fill Deposit Regulation Bylaw No. 7162, Vehicle For Hire Regulation Bylaw No. 6900, Watercourse Protection and Crossing Bylaw No. 8441, Water Use Restriction Bylaw No. 7784, Zoning Bylaw No. 8500

# Service Level Review Progress Report October 2015

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>Files and Gather Evidence.</li> <li>Administer bylaw control for animal licenses, property use, and traffic.</li> <li>Enforce 24 City bylaws.</li> <li>Transfer tickets to collection agencies (for non-compliance after X days).</li> <li>Administrate traffic permits.</li> <li>Perform patrols.</li> <li>Enforce noise bylaw through contracted services (Vancouver Coastal Health).</li> </ul>	
3. Administration	4. Service Requests and Support
<ul> <li>Management of City Records</li> <li>Manage the database and records.</li> </ul> Procurement <ul> <li>Prepare RFPs (Equipment &amp; Service Acquisitions).</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Internal Service Requests</li> <li>Receive calls/inquiries from internal stakeholders.</li> <li>Provide risk assessed responses and referrals (if necessary) internally on issues that could impact public safety &amp; bylaws.</li> <li>Serve as subject matter experts during a bylaw amendment process (i.e., input into revised legislation drafts).</li> <li>Community and Stakeholder Service Requests</li> <li>Receive calls/inquiries from external stakeholders.</li> <li>Provide risk assessed responses and referrals (if necessary) externally on issues that could impact public safety &amp; bylaws.</li> <li>Provide soil application intake, processing, and response.</li> <li>Dispute Resolution</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Communicate with complainants (Officers administer direct calls).</li> <li>Communicate with complainants regarding the adjudication process (Officers administer direct calls).</li> <li>Provide witness services through enforcement (if claims advance to the court system).</li> <li>Gather evidence for adjudication or court hearings.</li> <li>Adjudicate unresolved animal licenses, property use, and traffic violations.</li> </ul>

# Service Level Review Progress Report October 2015

	HIGHLIGHTS OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON ASSIGNED TASKS	SERVICE EFFICIENCIES AND/OR BUDGET IMPACT (IF APPLICABLE)
Completed		
6	Developed business case for implementation of mobile solutions to enhance efficiencies in work processes. Pilot project approved and implemented.	<ul> <li>Testing of mobile field equipment revealed connectivity issues with current information technology systems. Alternative options to improve officer productivity when they are out in the field are being looked into including a capital project submission for a new land management system.</li> </ul>
•	Completed e-commerce initiatives approved by Council ( <i>i.e. online dog licence renewal;</i> online payment capability for dog licence renewal, parking tickets, and other bylaw violation tickets).	<ul> <li>Improved internal and external customer service.</li> </ul>
•	Developed a new bylaw officer deployment model.	Increased officer productivity.
8	Identified 13 bylaws for inclusion in the Notice of Violation - Dispute Adjudication System.	<ul> <li>For BC drivers, residents or businesses, streamlined the process to dispute their notice of violation out of court in a simpler, more convenient and cost-effective manner.</li> <li>Dispute adjudication process being included in appropriate City bylaws as they are brought forward to Council for amendment.</li> </ul>
9	Reviewed and streamlined administrative functions.	<ul> <li>Improved internal and external customer service.</li> </ul>
•	Developed additional performance metrics.	Improved management reporting.
In .	Progress	
0	Review opportunity to centralize processing of applications, renewals and payments upon completion of e-commerce initiatives.	<ul> <li>Centralization was determined to not be viable at this time. This opportunity will be revisited upon completion of other IT initiatives.</li> </ul>

# SERVICE LEVEL REVIEW PROGRESS REPORT October 2015

# Information Technology Department Finance & Corporate Services Division

MANDATE
Deliver information technology solutions, in partnership with City business units, to meet emerging

business priorities and provide secure, reliable, and high quality customer service support and products.

	TYPE(S) OF SERVI	CE
Mandatory	☑ Traditional	☑ Discretionary

#### COUNCIL TERM GOAL(S) 2014-2018

Council Term Goal #2: A Vibrant, Active, and Connected City: Continue the development and implementation of an excellent and accessible system of programs, services, and public spaces that reflect Richmond's demographics, rich heritage, diverse needs, and unique opportunities, and that facilitate active, caring, and connected communities.

Council Term Goal #9: **Well-Informed Citizenry:** Continue to develop and provide programs and services that ensure the Richmond community is well-informed and engaged on City business and decision making.

FUNCTIONAL AREAS The Department is divided into the following sections: IT Customer Service Delivery; Infrastructure Services, GIS & Database Services, Business & Enterprise Systems, and IT Administration.		
1. Implementation and Management	2. Planning and Development	
Operation, Management and Maintenance of Assets and Infrastructure	Development of City Programs, Services and Events	
<ul> <li>Oversight of approximately 2,502 user profiles.</li> <li>Provide hardware and software installation and support for desktop workstations (1,407 PCs), printers and handheld devices.</li> <li>Manage the City's network connecting City Hall, the Works Yard and over 30 other City sites.</li> <li>Maintain 100+ physical servers and 334 virtual</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Set IT strategic direction/plan for the City.</li> <li>Develop new and innovative strategies to improve efficiency/effectiveness of employees and to better serve the community.</li> <li>Prepare business cases or conduct business analysis as required.</li> <li>Prepare plan for disaster recovery.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Maintain 1991 physical berters and 951 mitual servers for the City's applications.</li> <li>Maintain the City's document management, email, backup systems and network security.</li> <li>Maintain the City's website and intranet site.</li> <li>Undertake technical and business analysis services and support for 18+ enterprise applications, 90 desktop applications, and mobile apps.</li> <li>Test and implement new and innovative IT</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Planning, Policy, Bylaw and Studies</li> <li>Revise and/or develop IT-related Policies, Administrative Procedures/Directives as necessary.</li> <li>Prepare capital submission requests.</li> <li>Conducts risk assessments to determine the security impact on the City's data and infrastructure.</li> </ul>	

-		
	products and systems.	Development and Construction of Assets and
0	Implement, support and maintain the	Infrastructure
	Geographic Information System (GIS).	Evaluate new IT technology devices, hardware,
0	Implement a control asset management	software, applications and systems.
	system for IT desktop hardware, software and	
	equipment.	
•	Provide and maintain telephone services	
	including line switches and handsets and	
	wireless devices.Facilitate equipment and	
	system updates.	
•	Work with staff, facilities, consultants,	
	contractors, and departments to deal with	
	organizational changes and office relocations.	
Del	ivery of City Programs, Services, and Events	
•	Oversee the day to day collection and	
	distribution of all internal and external mail to	
	all City facilities via the Mail Room.	
•	Process all large volume printing and mailouts	
	(e.g. tax and utility notifications/billings).	
-		
Cor	npliance and Enforcement	
6	Provide oversight to ensure compliance with	
	IT-related Council Policy and Administrative	
	Procedures/Directives.	
Par	tnerships and Collaborations	
	Work together with all Divisions to ensure that	
	IT services meet City requirements.	
2	Administration	4. Service Requests and Support
Inte	ernal City Administration and Logistics	Internal Service Requests
•	City Payments and Spending.	• Serve as the first point of contact for City staff
•	Manage payments to contractors/vendors.	computer and telecom issues.
-		Solve City staff IT requests for service.
	curement	
•	Prepare Requests for Proposals (RFPs),	
	Expressions of Interests (EOIs), and other	
	types of requests for vendors.	
•	Evaluate RFPs, EOIs and other submissions.	
•	Prepare agreements following awarding of	
	contract.	

	PROGRESS REPORT		
	HIGHLIGHTS OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON ASSIGNED TASKS	SERVICE EFFICIENCIES AND/OR BUDGET IMPACT (IF APPLICABLE)	
Со	mpleted		
•	Restructured IT Department (i.e. aligned IT staff resources with business units, and assessed current IT staff complement and their workplans).	<ul> <li>Improved understanding of business unit requirements.</li> <li>Enhanced internal and external customer service.</li> </ul>	
•	Developed a Corporate Digital Strategy.	<ul> <li>Serves as an IT framework to guide actions and work plans to improve customer service and become a more efficient and effective organization.</li> </ul>	
6	Streamlined process for managing IT contracts.	<ul> <li>Enhanced rigour and consistency in management of contracts.</li> </ul>	
•	Restructured the GIS (Geographic Information System) Steering Committee with new Terms of Reference.	<ul> <li>Better meets the City's corporate GIS requirements.</li> </ul>	
In	Progress		
	Develop a business case for a web-based submission portal for developers to submit plans electronically and have collaborative electronic reviews by staff. (This task was assigned to IT following the service level review of the Transportation Department.)		

### SERVICE LEVEL REVIEW PROGRESS REPORT October 2015

# Intergovernmental Relations and Protocol Unit CAO's Office

### MANDATE

Responsible for liaising with other governmental organizations on issues of mutual concern, as well as facilitating financial and other support from those organizations for City and community initiatives; and, manages protocol activities between the City and senior governments, and the international diplomatic community.

TYPE(S) OF SERVICE

□ Mandatory

Traditional

Discretionary

#### COUNCIL TERM GOAL(S) 2014-2018

Council Term Goal #5: **Partnerships and Collaboration:** Continue development and utilization of collaborative approaches and partnerships with intergovernmental and other agencies to help meet the needs of the Richmond community.

	FUNCTIONAL AREAS		
1.	Implementation and Management	2.	Planning and Development
De	livery of City Programs, Services and Events	Pla	nning, Policy, Bylaws and Studies
•	Provide assistance to City-endorsed events requiring high-level protocol support.		Coordinate Award submissions.
	Oversee the Sister City Program.		
Ра	rtnerships and Collaborations		
•	Develop working relationships with provincial and federal ministries.		
•	Coordinate meetings with senior government officials at all levels or external partners on various initiatives.		
•	Liaise with external partners including Vancouver Coastal Health, Port Metro		
	Vancouver, the Richmond Hospital, Kwantlen		
	University, etc.		
Co	mpliance and Enforcement		
0	Oversee the hosting of visitors and delegations		
	at City Hall and other City facilities as per		
	Council Policy 1402 and Administrative		
	Procedure 1402.01 on Visiting Delegations, Study Tours and City Hall Tour Requests.		·
	Study Tours and city Hall Tour Requests.		

# Service Level Review Progress Report October 2015

3. Administration	4. Service Requests and Support
<ul> <li>City Revenue Management</li> <li>Coordinate Grant submissions.</li> <li>Records Management</li> <li>Manage information regarding senior government contacts, initiatives, agendas and opportunities via an Intergovernmental Relations database.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Internal Service Requests</li> <li>Respond to City staff queries on grant and award applications/submissions.</li> <li>Respond to City staff queries on high-level protocol-related matters (e.g. delegations, tours, etc.).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Manage a Grants application database.</li> <li>Manage an Awards application database.</li> </ul>	

	PROGRE	SS REPORT
	HIGHLIGHTS OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON ASSIGNED TASKS	SERVICE EFFICIENCIES AND/OR BUDGET IMPACT (IF APPLICABLE)
Со	mpleted	
*	Realigned reporting of the IGR and PU Unit to Corporate Planning who oversees the Corporate Communications Unit. Developed IGR strategy and business plan.	<ul> <li>Improved synergy between the two Work Units.</li> <li>Enhanced service delivery on various initiatives.</li> </ul>
6	Reviewed the corporate protocol function.	<ul> <li>Updated service level with an increased focus on intergovernmental relations vs. protocol functions.</li> </ul>
•	Reviewed the Grants Application/Submission process and database system.	<ul> <li>Streamlined Grants Application/Submission process.</li> </ul>
•	Reviewed the Awards Application/Submission process and database system.	<ul> <li>Streamlined Awards Application/Submission process.</li> </ul>
•	Reviewed and updated the Intergovernmental Relations database.	Improved internal customer service.
In	Progress	
•	Realign administrative support services on protocol-related matters.	

# SERVICE LEVEL REVIEW PROGRESS REPORT October 2015

# City Clerk's Office Finance and Corporate Services Division

#### MANDATE

Responsible for providing secretariat services to City Council and its Committees; preservation of all Council, Committee and City records; organizing and conducting City elections; and oversees requests related to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy legislation.

#### TYPE(S) OF SERVICE

☑ Mandatory

☑ Traditional

Discretionary

### COUNCIL TERM GOAL(S) 2014-2018

Council Term Goal #9: **A Well-Informed Citizenry**: Continue to develop and provide programs and services that ensure the Richmond community is well-informed and engaged on City business and decision making.

FUNCTIONAL AREAS The Department is divided into the following sections: Legislative Services and Records & Information				
1. Implementation and Management	2. Planning and Development			
<ul> <li>Delivery of City Programs, Services and Events</li> <li>As Secretariat for Council and its Committees <ul> <li>prepare agendas and record minutes;</li> <li>manage follow-up actions following Council and Committee meetings.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Manage Council correspondence in coordination with the Corporate Assistant in the Councillors' Office.</li> <li>Manage statutory-related advertising and/or notifications.</li> <li>Serve as caretaker of Council policies and administrative procedures/directives.</li> <li>Manage the City Archives.</li> <li>Manage the City's Freedom of Information &amp; Protection of Privacy Act (FIPPA) Program.</li> <li>Manage the annual Civic Appreciation Event.</li> <li>Coordinate the Council report review process.</li> </ul> <li>Operation, Management and Maintenance of Assets and Infrastructure <ul> <li>Manage and implement a records management system for the preservation of City records.</li> </ul> </li>	<ul> <li>Development of City Programs, Services and Events</li> <li>Organize City elections (every four years).</li> <li>Organize and plan the annual Civic Appreciation Event.</li> </ul>			

# Service Level Review Progress Report October 2015

<ul> <li>Partnerships and Collaborations</li> <li>Liaise with the Friends of the Richmond Archives and other partners at the Richmond Cultural Centre (including the Richmond Museum, Art Gallery, and Richmond Public Library).</li> <li>Liaise with Provincial and Federal archival organizations.</li> </ul>	
3. Administration	4. Service Requests and Support
<ul> <li>Management of City Records</li> <li>Manage the bylaws database system.</li> <li>Manage Council referral system.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Community and Stakeholder Service Requests</li> <li>First stop for processing citizens' petitions, complaints and requests to Council.</li> <li>Receive and process requests for information under the FIPPA.</li> <li>Certify life certificates.</li> <li>Internal Service Requests</li> <li>Respond to City staff requests for City records and information.</li> <li>Capacity Building and Advisory Support</li> <li>Provide advice to staff on statutory-related matters (e.g. related to FIPPA, Local Government Act, Community Charter).</li> </ul>

PROGRESS REPORT		
HIGHLIGHTS OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON ASSIGNED TASKS	SERVICE EFFICIENCIES AND/OR BUDGET IMPACT (IF APPLICABLE)	
Completed		
<ul> <li>Pursued technology-related initiatives:</li> <li>web-streaming of Council meetings.</li> <li>electronic format for responses to Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FIPPA) requests.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved customer service.</li> <li>Streamlined work processes.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>reviewed and upgraded the bylaws administration system.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Reviewed election-related requirements (i.e. staffing, funding allocation) and developed Richmond Election app.</li> </ul>	Improved administration of 2014 Local Election.	
Developed internal procedures for FIPPA- related services.	• 18 efficiencies put into action with respect to the processing of FIPPA requests.	

# Service Level Review Progress Report October 2015

٩	Reviewed staffing structure/allocation of staff resources.	0	Improved service delivery to Council and Committees. Streamlined administrative functions.
In	Progress		
0	Explore an external review of Richmond's business operation and service level amongst similar-sized local municipalities.		
•	Review of additional technology-related initiatives.		
0	Review of the management of the Civic Appreciation Event.		
۲	Review of additional FIPPA-related initiatives.		
۲	Review of future election-related capital requirements.		
	Development of appropriate service-related statistics, performance metrics and benchmarking information.		
0	Development of strategy on the long-term preservation of digital information.		

### SERVICE LEVEL REVIEW PROGRESS REPORT October 2015

### Transportation Department Planning and Development Division

#### MANDATE

Responsible for establishing the planning and design policies that help shape the transportation system of the City. These policies include the planning and functional design of the City's transportation infrastructure of roads, bicycle lanes, sidewalks, crosswalks, and transit amenities, as well as traffic operation controls such as traffic signals and signage, on-street parking, traffic calming measures, and development access.

#### TYPE(S) OF SERVICE

☑ Mandatory

☑ Traditional

□ Discretionary

# COUNCIL TERM GOAL(S) 2014-2018

Council Term Goals (depending on the initiative): All Council Term Goals, except for Goal #7.

FUNCTIONAL AREAS The Transportation Department has three main sections: Transportation Planning, Traffic Operations, and Traffic Signals.			
1. Implementation and Management	2. Planning and Development		
<ul> <li>Delivery of City Programs, Services, and Events</li> <li>Oversee the Commuter Carpool Program.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Planning, Policy, Bylaws and Studies</li> <li>Develop planning and design policies that</li> </ul>		
Operations, Management and Maintenance of Assets and Infrastructure	shape the City's transportation system including the transportation infrastructure (e.g. roads, bicycle lanes, sidewalks,		
<ul> <li>Maintain traffic and parking signs, pavement marking, pedestrian cross-walk, school frontages for drop off/pick up, special traffic and parking control.</li> </ul>	crosswalks, and transit amenities) and traffic operations control (e.g. traffic signals and signage, on-street parking, traffic calming measures, and development access).		
Install and maintain electronic traffic data collection devices.	<ul> <li>Establish the priority, scope, and functional design of transportation improvements.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Manage the maintenance of traffic signal system including 325+ traffic management devices (e.g. traffic signals, pedestrian signals,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop the annual and five-year roads and traffic components of the major capital works program.</li> </ul>		
<ul><li>special crosswalks, etc.).</li><li>Conduct fields inspections/visits as necessary</li></ul>	<ul><li>Oversee transportation studies by consultants.</li><li>Undertake transportation studies.</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Maintain and provide content for the City website, Facebook site, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Undertake long-range strategic planning on the public's future transportation needs.</li> <li>Design traffic and parking signs, pavement</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Partnerships and Collaborations</li> <li>Coordinate and liaise with organizations (e.g. Richmond School District, RCMP, Canada Post) and committees on transportation-related</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Design traffic and parking signs, pavement marking, pedestrian cross-walk, school frontages for drop off/pick up, special traffic and parking control.</li> <li>Collect and analyze a variety of data (e.g.</li> </ul>		

<ul> <li>matters.</li> <li>Work with external agencies (e.g. Translink, YVR, Port Metro Vancouver, BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure, and Metro Vancouver) on local and regional. Transportation improvements (e.g. transit services, major highways, bridge crossings, and policy/funding/governance issues).</li> <li>Coordinate with CMBC in the implementation of bus route detours to maintain existing transit service during road construction or community events.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>pedestrian counts, cyclist counts, parking surveys, traffic collision, traffic speed, etc.).</li> <li>Development and Construction of Assets and Infrastructure <ul> <li>Manage the design and construction of the traffic signal system.</li> <li>Work with vendor with respect to the supply and installation of bus shelters and regulate shelter advertising.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Manage vendors and consultants.</li> </ul>
3. Administration	4. Service Requests and Support
<ul> <li>Internal City Administration and Logistics         <ul> <li>Respond to Council referrals.</li> </ul> </li> <li>City Payment and Spending         <ul> <li>Monitor payments to vendors and contractors.</li> </ul> </li> <li>City Revenue Management         <ul> <li>Administer the external agency grant process including coordination, negotiation, preparation, and processing of cost-share grant applications as well as contribution agreements with external agencies<sup>1</sup> on road infrastructure and safety improvement projects<sup>2</sup>.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Community and Stakeholder Service Requests</li> <li>Receive and respond to public inquiries and complaints on traffic and parking-related matters (e.g. sightline obstruction, parking, speeding, shortcutting, etc.).</li> <li>Review and respond to requests for the upgrade of existing pedestrian facilities.</li> <li>Internal Service Requests</li> <li>Receive and respond to transportation-related queries from City staff.</li> <li>Review and approve Traffic Management Plans.</li> <li>Review development applications, servicing agreement drawings, tree planting drawings,</li> </ul>
Procurement	etc.
<ul> <li>Prepare RFPs as necessary and evaluate submissions.</li> <li>Award contracts and prepare agreements.</li> </ul>	

PROGRE	SS REPORT
HIGHLIGHTS OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON ASSIGNED TASKS	SERVICE EFFICIENCIES AND/OR BUDGET IMPACT (IF APPLICABLE)
Completed	
<ul> <li>Reviewed staff resources involved in a variety of review processes (e.g. small and simple development permit applications, servicing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved internal and external customer service.</li> <li>Streamlined work processes.</li> </ul>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Include TransLink, ICBC, provincial & federal government agencies.
 <sup>2</sup> Examples include Nelson Road widening, Westminster Highway widening, No. 6 Road widening, No. 5 Road/Steveston Highway intersection improvements.

	drawings).	
6	Submitted capital request for side-mounted radar technology (used for traffic speed studies).	<ul><li>Improved work safety.</li><li>Improved work efficiency.</li></ul>
•	Submitted capital request for intelligent management system (i.e. for real-time information to commuters).	<ul> <li>Improved external customer service.</li> </ul>
In	Progress	
9	Documentation of processes and procedure protocols in the Traffic Signal Systems Section.	
6	Preparation of a detailed post-disaster recovery plan for traffic management once the Traffic Signals Systems Section is relocated to its permanent location.	
•	Review of Transportation's performance metrics against the corporate performance measurement framework.	
•	Review of the existing Commuter Carpool Program.	

### SERVICE LEVEL REVIEW PROGRESS REPORT October 2015

# Economic Development Section Administration and Compliance Department Finance and Corporate Services Division

#### MANDATE

To strategically grow Richmond's business and employment base and ensure the City maintains a competitive business environment.

	TYPE(S) OF SERVI	CE	
Mandatory	Traditional	☑ Discretionary	

COUNCIL TERM GOAL(S) 2014-2018

Council Term Goal #7: **Strong Financial Stewardship**: Maintain the City's strong financial position through effective budget processes, the efficient and effective use of financial resources, and the prudent leveraging of economic and financial opportunities to increase current and long-term financial sustainability.

Council Term Goal #8: **Supportive Economic Development Environment**: Review, develop and implement plans, policies, programs and practices to increase business and visitor appeal and promote local economic growth and resiliency.

	FUNCTIONAL AREAS			
1.	Implementation and Management	2. Planning and Development		
De • • •	livery of City Programs, Services and Events Oversee implementation of the Resilient Economy Strategy. Conduct proactive business outreach initiatives as part of the Business Retention and Expansion (BRE) Program. Manage the annual Business Appreciation and Recognition event. Collect economic data for quarterly Council reports, economic development analysis, etc. Facilitate consultations with the business community. Serve as staff liaison to the Council-appointed Economic Advisory Committee. Administer contracts.	<ul> <li>Planning, Policy, Bylaws and Studies</li> <li>Undertake strategic planning work.</li> </ul>		
Ра •	<b>rtnerships and Collaborations</b> Maintain working relationships with core businesses and business enabling industries (e.g. YVR, Port Metro Vancouver, and other			

# Service Level Review Progress Report October 2015

•	commercial/industrial property owners/developers/managers). Serve as staff liaison for other organizations (e.g. Tourism Richmond and Richmond Chamber of Commerce). Participate in regional economic development	
•	initiatives (e.g. MVC). Work with partners of specific target sectors (e.g. industry associations).	
3.	Service Requests and Support	
Int	ernal Service Requests	
0	Receive and respond to general business/economic development-related information requests.	
•	Respond to Departmental requests for input into policies, bylaws, and strategies that affect businesses.	
9	Review reports to Council as part of the concurrence process.	
Ext	ernal Service Requests	
•	Receive and respond to general business/economic development-related information requests. Manage inter-departmental coordination and serve as single point of contact for businesses through resolution. Assist businesses on business development needs to include: identify a Richmond location; expand/relocate their business within Richmond; source a variety of statistical information for business plans of businesses; identify economic development and other incentives for locating in Richmond; serve as liaison between a business and City departments and other government agencies; connect businesses with local and regional business services and resources.	
Ca •	pacity Building and Advisory Support Promote Richmond as a place for doing business.	

	PROGRES	S REPORT		
	HIGHLIGHTS OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON ASSIGNED TASKS	SERVICE EFFICIENCIES AND/OR BUDGET IMPACT (IF APPLICABLE)		
Completed				
6	Reviewed working relationships and agreements with the City's external partners.	<ul> <li>Concluded an external partner agreement that was no longer providing good value for service.</li> </ul>		
•	Clarified roles and responsibilities of staff liaisons (i.e. Economic Development and Intergovernmental Relations) to various external partners.	<ul> <li>Streamlined working relationship with external partners.</li> </ul>		
•	Developed performance measurements and reported out to Council and on the Economic Development website.	Section outcomes consistently measured.		
In	Progress			
0	Integrate Economic Development digital methods with the City's Corporate Digital Strategy.			
۲	Work with IT to ensure database on key business statistics is appropriately maintained and integrated into the City's Corporate Digital Strategy.			