## Report to Committee

To: Planning Committee
From: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wayne Craig } \\ & \text { Director, Development }\end{aligned}$

Date: June 4, 2019
File: RZ 17-788945

Re: Application by Konic Development for Rezoning at 8291 and 8311 Williams Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" Zone to "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" Zone

## Staff Recommendation

That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10053, for the rezoning of 8291 and 8311 Williams Road from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" zone, to permit the development of 10 townhouse units with vehicle access from Williams Road, be introduced and given first reading.


Wayne Craig
Director, Development
WC:mp
Att. 5


## Staff Report

## Origin

Konic Development has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to rezone the properties at 8291 and 8311 Williams Road from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" zone for the development of 10 two-storey and three-storey townhouse units with vehicle access from Williams Road. A location map and an aerial photo are provided in Attachment 1.

## Findings of Fact

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is provided in Attachment 2. Preliminary development plans are provided in Attachment 3.

## Subject Site Existing Housing Profile

The subject site is $1,960 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(21,097 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ in size and is located on the north side of Williams Road, between No. 3 Road and Piggot Drive.

The subject site consists of two lots; each containing a single family dwelling. The applicant has indicated that both dwellings are owner-occupied and do not contain a secondary suite. Both dwellings will be removed at a future development stage.

## Surrounding Development

Existing development immediately surrounding the subject site is as follows.
To the North: Single family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS $/$ /E)", with vehicle access from Pigott Road.

To the South: Across Williams Road, are single family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)". These lots are designated for townhouse development in the Arterial Road Policy.

To the East: $\quad$ Single family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)". These lots are designated for townhouse development in the Arterial Road Policy.

To the West: $\quad$ Single family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)". A rezoning application (RZ 18-817742) has been submitted to rezone the immediately adjacent properties at $8231 \& 8251$ Williams Road to the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" zone for the development of 10 townhouse units. Access to the proposed development is to be provided via a Statutory Right-of-Way to be registered over the proposed driveway and drive aisle on the subject properties at 8291 and 8311 Williams Road. The rezoning application (RZ 18817742 ) is currently under staff review.

## Related Policies \& Studies

## Official Community Plan

The subject site is located in the Broadmoor planning area, and is designated "Neighbourhood Residential (NRES)" in the Official Community Plan, which permits single-family, duplex, and townhouse development. The proposed rezoning is consistent with this designation.

## Arterial Road Policy

The subject site is designated "Arterial Road Townhouse" in the Arterial Road Housing Development Map. The proposed rezoning is consistent with this designation.

## Floodplain Management Implementation Strategy

The proposed redevelopment must meet the requirements of the Richmond Flood Plain Designation and Protection Bylaw 8204. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on Title is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Public Consultation

A rezoning sign has been installed on the subject property. Staff have not received any comments from the public about the rezoning application in response to the placement of the rezoning sign on the property.

Should the Planning Committee endorse this application and Council grant $1^{\text {st }}$ reading to the rezoning bylaw, the bylaw will be forwarded to a Public Hearing, where any area resident or interested party will have an opportunity to comment. Public notification for the Public Hearing will be provided as per the Local Government Act.

## Analysis

## Site Planning and Form and Character

The subject properties have a total combined frontage of $40.24 \mathrm{~m}(132.02 \mathrm{ft}$.), and are proposed to be consolidated into one development parcel. The site frontage meets the minimum frontage requirement of 40 m for townhouse development on a minor arterial road such as Williams Road.

The applicant has proposed four buildings arranged on a T-shaped central drive aisle. Each of the two buildings on the south side of the site along Williams Road contains two three-storey units and one two-storey units, and each of the two buildings on the north side contains two twostorey units.

Four units in the buildings on the south side have front doors fronting onto Williams Road, and two units have front doors fronting onto the internal drive aisle. All the units in the buildings on the north side have front doors fronting onto the internal drive aisle. All the garages will be accessed from the internal drive aisle.

Building massing is consistent with the Arterial Road Guidelines for Townhouses in the Official Community Plan. The buildings on the south side are stepped back to two storeys within 7.5 m of the side yards to provide a transition to the existing single detached houses to the east and west. The height of the proposed duplexes on the north side is two-storey to serve as a transition to the single family homes to the north.

A common outdoor amenity space is proposed between the proposed duplexes on the north side. The proposed outdoor amenity area is designed to facilitate children's play and a bench to permit observation of children and social activities.

One convertible unit (Unit 101) is proposed in the building at the southwest corner. The unit includes space designed for the future installation of an elevator and a side-by-side, two car garage, which is wide enough to accommodate an accessible parking space.

One ground-level, one-bedroom secondary suite is proposed. The secondary suite is proposed within the townhouse unit (Unit 109) in the building at the northeast corner. Parking for the unit is provided in a side-by-side, non-tandem arrangement; therefore, an additional on-site parking space for the secondary suite is not required.

Further details of the site plan and architectural character of the proposed development, and landscape design including the outdoor amenity area design will be reviewed and finalized through the Development Permit application process.

## Existing Legal Encumbrances

There is a restrictive covenant (registration number: 167729 C ) registered on the title of the property at 8311 Williams Road. The covenant restricts development of the property to one single detached dwelling house only. This covenant must be discharged prior to the final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

Also, there is an existing 3.0 m wide Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) along the rear property line for an existing sanitary sewer line. The applicant is aware that no construction of a building or a structure, or planting of trees is permitted in the SRW.

## Transportation and Site Access

Vehicle access is proposed via a single driveway crossing to Williams Road located in the middle of the site frontage. The proposed drive aisle is designed to provide vehicle access to future developments to the east and west. Access to the proposed townhouse development on the adjacent site to the west (RZ 18-817742) will be provided through the driveway and drive aisle. Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, a Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) for Public Right-of-Passage (PROP) over the entire driveway and the drive aisle is required to be registered on title. Registration on title ensures all purchasers are made aware that the driveway and drive aisle will be used by future adjacent developments.

Staff have identified that a 1.0 m road dedication is required along the entire Williams Road frontage in order to accommodate a new sidewalk and landscape boulevard. The required 1.0 m must be dedicated prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

The proposed vehicle and bicycle parking spaces meet the Zoning Bylaw 8500 requirements. The required number of residential parking spaces is 20 , and the proposed development includes 20 residential parking spaces in attached garages. Two visitor parking spaces are proposed in the side yards: one on the east side and one on the west side.

Eight of the 10 townhouse units have side-by-side garages. Two units in the buildings on the south side will have two vehicle parking spaces in a tandem arrangement. Providing four parking spaces in a tandem arrangement complies with the Zoning Bylaw 8500 requirement that allows $50 \%$ of parking spaces to be in a tandem arrangement. Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, a restrictive covenant is required to be registered on title to prohibit the conversion of the tandem garage area into habitable space.

A total of 13 Class 1 bicycle parking spaces are required, and a total of 14 Class 1 bicycle parking provided in the garages.

## Tree Retention and Replacement

The applicant has submitted a Certified Arborist's Report; which identifies on-site and off-site tree species, assesses tree structure and condition, and provides recommendations on tree retention and removal relative to the proposed development. The Report assesses six (6) bylawsized trees (tag \#1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6) on the subject property, two (2) hedges (tag \#7 and 8) located on the shared property line with the neighbour to the east at 8331 Williams Road, and one (1) tree (tag\# 9) located on the neighbouring property to the west at 8251 Williams Road.

The City's Tree Preservation Coordinator has reviewed the Arborist's Report and supports the Arborist's findings, with the following comments:

- Two (2) trees (tag\# 1 and 2) located on the development site are in very poor condition and should be removed and replaced. One (1) holly tree (tag\#1) has multiple stems commencing at the base, and there is branch and twig dieback throughout the entire canopy due to holly blight disease. One (1) Dwarf Alberta Spruce (tag\#2) has a moderate corrected lean to the west. The crown is asymmetrical, and is heavily weighted to the west.
- Four (4) trees (tag\#3, 4, 5, and 6) located on the development site are in fair to good condition and are not in conflict with the proposed development. These trees should be retained and protected.
- Two (2) hedges (tag\#7 and 8) are proposed to be retained but trimmed back to accommodate the proposed parking area and site grading. The applicant has obtained written permission from the adjacent property owner to trim the hedges.
- One cherry (1) tree (tag\#9) located on the neighbour's property to the west is to be retained.
- Retained trees should be protected as per the City of Richmond Tree Protection Information Bulletin Tree-03.
- Replacement trees should be specified at 2:1 ratio as per the Official Community Plan.


## Tree Replacement

The applicant wishes to remove two on-site trees (tag\# 1 and 2). The $2: 1$ replacement ratio would require a total of four replacement trees. The preliminary landscape plan submitted for the rezoning application shows 10 new trees to be planted on the site. The size and species of replacement trees, and overall landscape design will be reviewed in detail through the Development Permit application review process.

## Tree Protection

Four (4) trees (tag\#3, 4, 5, and 6) located on the development site are proposed to be retained and protected, and one (1) cherry tree (tag\#9) on the neighbouring property to the west at 8251 Williams Road is to be retained and protected. The applicant has submitted a tree protection plan showing the trees to be retained and the measures taken to protect them during development stage (Attachment 4). To ensure that the trees identified for retention are protected at development stage, the applicant is required to complete the following:

- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission to the City of a contract with a Certified Arborist for the supervision of all works conducted within or in close proximity to tree protection zones. The contract must include the scope of work required, the number of proposed monitoring inspections at specified stages of construction, any special measures required to ensure tree protection, and a provision for the arborist to submit a postconstruction impact assessment to the City for review.
- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission of a $\$ 35,000$ Tree Survival Security for the four trees located on the development site to be retained.
- Prior to demolition of the existing dwelling on the subject site, installation of tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained. Tree protection fencing must be installed to City standard in accordance with the City's Tree Protection Information Bulletin Tree-03 prior to any works being conducted on-site, and remain in place until construction and landscaping on-site is completed.


## Variance Requested

The proposed development is generally in compliance with the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" zone, except for the variances noted below.

- Reduce the front yard setback along Williams Road from 6.0 m to 4.5 m in order to provide a 6.0 rear yard setback to the buildings proposed at the rear.


## Staff support the proposed variance for the following reasons:

- The proposed variance is consistent with the Arterial Road Guidelines for Townhouses in the OCP. Balconies, bay windows, and porches are not permitted to project into the proposed 4.5 m front yard setback;
- The proposed 6.0 m rear yard setback to the rear units provides an improved rear yard interface with the existing single family dwellings to the north;
- Four existing trees in the rear yard are proposed to be retained, and providing a 6 m rear yard setback maximizes tree retention opportunities; and
- A Im road dedication along Williams Road frontage is provided to accommodate a new sidewalk and a landscape boulevard.
- Allow one small car parking stall in each of the side-by-side garages (eight (8) small car spaces in total). The Zoning Bylaw allows small car parking spaces for on-site parking areas which contain 31 or more paces. The proposed development contains 22 parking spaces; therefore all required parking spaces are required to be standard spaces.

Staff support the proposed variance as it enables the required resident parking spaces to be provided within the garages of each unit in a side-by-side arrangement.

These variances will be reviewed in the context of the overall details design of the project, including architectural form, site design and landscaping at the Development Permit application review stage.

## Affordable Housing Strategy

In accordance with the City's Affordable Housing Strategy, which requires either provision of units or a cash contribution to the City's Affordable Housing Fund, townhouse rezoning applications are required to provide a cash-in-lieu contribution of $\$ 8.50$ per buildable square foot towards the City's Affordable Housing Reserve Fund. The applicant proposes to make a cash-in-lieu contribution of $\$ 105,388.27$.

In addition to the cash-in-lieu contribution, the applicant proposes to construct a one-bedroom secondary suite in one of the townhouse units. Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, the applicant must register two restrictive covenants ensuring that:

- No final Building Permit inspection will be granted until a one-bedroom secondary suite is constructed to the satisfaction of the City, in accordance with the BC Building Code and the City's Zoning Bylaw; and
- A secondary suite cannot be stratified or otherwise held under separate title.


## Public Art Program Policy

The applicant will be participating in the City's Public Art Program by making a voluntary contribution to the City's Public Art Reserve Fund for City-wide projects on City lands. A total contribution will be $\$ 10,538.83$ (based on $\$ 0.85$ per buildable square foot). This contribution is required to be submitted to the City prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Townhouse Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

The applicant has committed to achieving an EnerGuide Rating System score of 82 and all units will be pre-ducted for solar hot water. As part of the Development Permit application review process, the developer will be required to retain a Certified Energy Advisor (CEA) to complete
an Evaluation Report to confirm details of construction requirements needed to achieve the target rating.

This application would qualify as an "in-stream" application as the associated Development Permit application was submitted prior to July 16, 2018 (i.e., before Bylaw 9769 implementing BC Energy Step Code requirements for all new construction in Richmond was adopted), provided that the associated Building Permit application is received prior to January 1, 2020.

Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, the applicant is required to register a legal agreement on title to ensure that all units are built and maintained to ERS 82 or higher, as detailed in the CEA's evaluation report, and that all units are to be solar hot water ready. The legal agreement must include language to note that, should the application not meeting the grandfathering provisions described above, the development will need to comply with the BC Energy Step Code requirements in place at the time of the Building Permit application.

## Amenity Space

The applicant is proposing a cash contribution in-lieu of providing the required indoor amenity space on the site. The total cash contribution required for the proposed 10 -unit townhouse development is $\$ 16,000$ ( $\$ 1,600$ per unit as per the OCP).

Outdoor amenity space will be provided on-site. Based on the preliminary design, the size of the proposed outdoor amenity space is consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) minimum requirement of $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit. Staff will work with the applicant at the Development Permit stage to ensure the design of the outdoor amenity space meets the Development Permit guidelines in the OCP.

## Site Servicing and Frontage Improvements

Prior to issuance of a Building Permit, the applicant is required to enter into a Servicing Agreement for the design and construction of the required site servicing and frontage improvements, as described in Attachment 5. Frontage improvements include, but may not be limited to, the following:

- Removing the existing sidewalk and constructing a new 1.5 m wide sidewalk; and
- Constructing a new 1.5 m wide landscaped boulevard with street trees.

A 1 m road dedication is required to accommodate the frontage improvements.

## Development Permit Application

Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, a Development Permit application is required to be processed to a satisfactory level. Through the Development Permit, the following issues are to be further examined:

- Compliance with Development Permit Guidelines for the form and character of multiplefamily projects provided in the 2041 Official Community Plan.
- Refinement of the proposed site grading and building foundations to ensure survival of all proposed protected trees and development of an appropriate transition between the proposed development to the public sidewalk on Williams Road, and to the adjacent existing properties.
- Review of the size and species of on-site replacement trees to ensure bylaw compliance and to achieve an acceptable mix of coniferous and deciduous trees on site.
- Refinement of the outdoor amenity area design, including the choice of play equipment, to create a safe and vibrant environment for children's play and social interaction.
- Review of relevant accessibility features and aging-in-place design features in all units.
- Review of a sustainability strategy for the development proposal.


## Financial Impact or Economic Impact

The rezoning application results in an insignificant Operational Budget Impact (OBI) for off-site City infrastructure (such as roadworks, waterworks, storm sewers, sanitary sewers, street lights, street trees and traffic signals).

## Conclusion

The purpose of this application is to rezone 8291 and 8311 Williams Road from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" zone, to permit the development of 10 two and three storey townhouse units with vehicle access from Williams Road.

The rezoning application is consistent with the land use designation and applicable policies contained in the Official Community Plan for the subject site. Further review of the project design will be completed as part of the Development Permit application review process.

The list of rezoning considerations is included in Attachment 5, which has been agreed to by the applicant (signed concurrence on file).

It is recommended that Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10053, be introduced and given first reading.
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## Attachment 1: Location Map

Attachment 2: Development Application Data Sheet
Attachment 3: Preliminary Development Plans
Attachment 4: Tree Management Plan
Attachment 5: Rezoning Considerations

## City of Richmond



|  | Original Date: 10/27/17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## City of Richmond



Original Date: 10/30/17
Revision Date:

Address: 8291 and 8311 Williams Road
Applicant: Konic Development
Planning Area(s): Broadmoor

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Owner: | South Arm Williams Homes Ltd. | To be determined |
| Site Size $\left(\mathbf{m}^{2}\right):$ | $1,960 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(21,097.2 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $1,919 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(20,664.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ after 1 m <br> road dedication |
| Land Uses: | Single-family residential | Multi-family residential |
| OCP Designation: | Neighbourhood Residential | No change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Low Density Townhouses (RTL4) |
| Number of Units: | Two single detached dwellings | 10 townhouse units |


|  | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 0.60 | 0.60 | none permitted |
| Buildable Floor Area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ):* | Max. $1151.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $1151.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(12,397.6 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | none permitted |
| Lot Coverage (\% of lot area): | Building: Max. 40\% Non-porous Surfaces: Max. 65\% <br> Landscaping: Min. 25\% | Building: 38.48\% Non-porous Surfaces: 57.17\% <br> Landscaping: 27.1\% | none |
| Lot Dimensions (m): | Width: 40 m Depth: 35 m | Width: 40 m Depth: 48.7 m | none |
| Setbacks (m) | Front: Min. 6 m Rear: Min. 3 m Side: Min. 3 m | Front: Min. 4.5 m Rear: Min. 6 m Side: Min. 3 m | Variance - front yard setback |
| Height (m): | 12 m (3 storeys) | 11.7 m (3 storeys) | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces - Total: | 20 (R) and $2(\mathrm{~V})$ | 20 (R) and 2 (V) | none |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Permitted - Maximum of $50 \%$ of required spaces | 4 stalls | none |
| Small Car Parking Spaces: | None permitted | 8 | Variance |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - Class 1 | 1.25 per unit (i.e. 13) | 14 | none |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - Class 2 | 0.2 per unit (i.e. 2) | 2 | none |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or $\$ 1,600 /$ unit cash-in-lieu (i.e. $\$ 16,000$ ) | \$16,000 cash-in-lieu | none |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit (i.e. $60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | $87.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | none |
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Site Plan - Not to Scale

| $\frac{\text { TREE }}{\#}$ | SPECIES | $\frac{\mathrm{DBH}}{(\mathrm{~cm})}$ | $\frac{\text { SPREAD }}{\text { (m est.) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Holly (Ilex sp.) | 39 per survey | 5.5 m |
| 2 | Dwarf Alberta Spruce (Picea sp.) | 40 | 2.1 m |
| 3 | Cherry (Prumus sp.) | 24 | 7.6 m |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Holly } \\ \text { (Ilex sp.) } \end{gathered}$ | 41 per survey | 7.9m |
| 5 | Cherry (Prunus sp.) | 35 | 10 m |
| 6 | Cherry <br> (Prumus sp.) | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { combined } \\ (15+12+8+5) \end{gathered}$ | 7 m |
| 7 | Cedar <br> (Thuja occidentalis) | - | 1.5 m |
| 8 | Laurel (Laurocerasus $s p$. | - | 2 m |
| 9 | Cherry (Prunus sp.) | 38 per survey | 9.4 m |

## Suitable Replacement Tree Species

- Purple Fountain European Beech (Fagus sylvatica 'Purple Fountain')
- Japanese Tree Lilac 'Ivory Silk' (Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk')
- Japanese maple (Acer palmatum sp.)
- Persian Ironwood (Parrotia persica)
- Stewartia (Stewartia pseudocamellia)
- Ginkgo 'Princeton Sentry' (Ginkgo biloba 'Princeton Sentry')
- Dik's Weeping Cypress (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana 'Dik's Weeping')
- Serviceberry (Amelanchier x grandiflora 'Autumn Brillance')
- Oriental Dogwood (Cornus kousa)
- Paperbark maple (Acer griseum)
- Threadleaf Cypress (Chamaecyparis pisifera 'Filifera')
- Sentinel Columnar pine (Pinus nigra 'sentinel')
- Picea omorika (Serbian spruce)
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South Area Tree Retention \& Removal Plan, Scale $1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}$
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## Prior to final adoption of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10053, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Consolidation of all the lots into one development parcel (which will require the demolition of the existing dwellings).
2. 1 m road dedication along the entire Williams Road frontage.
3. The submission and processing of a Development Permit* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.
4. Registration of a cross-access easement, statutory right-of-way, and/or other legal agreements or measures, as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, over the internal drive-aisle in favour of future developments to the east and west.
5. Submission of a Contract entered into between the applicant and a Certified Arborist for supervision of any on-site works conducted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken, including: the proposed number of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction assessment report to the City for review.
6. Submission of a Tree Survival Security to the City in the amount of $\$ 35,000$ for the four trees to be retained.
7. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on title.
8. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 0.85$ per buildable square foot (i.e. $\$ 10,538.83$ ) to the City's public art fund.
9. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 8.50$ per buildable square foot (i.e. $\$ 105,388.27$ ) to the City's affordable housing fund.
10. Discharge of the restrictive covenant (Registration Number: 167729 C), which restricts the use of the property to one detached dwelling only registered on the title of 8311 Williams Road.
11. Registration of a legal agreement on title prohibiting the conversion of the tandem parking area into habitable space.
12. Registration of a legal agreement on title identifying that the proposed development must be designed and constructed to meet or exceed EnerGuide 82 criteria for energy efficiency and that all dwellings are pre-ducted for solar hot water heating. The legal agreement must include language to note that, should the application not meeting the grandfathering provisions described above, the development will need to comply with the BC Energy Step Code requirements in place at the time of the Building Permit application.
13. Contribution of $\$ 16,000$ ( $\$ 1,600$ per unit) in lieu of providing on-site indoor amenity space.
14. Registration of a legal agreement on title or other measures, as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, to ensure that:
a) No final Building Permit inspection granting occupancy will be completed until one one-bedroom secondary suite is constructed on site, to the satisfaction of the City in accordance with the BC Building Code and the City's Zoning Bylaw.
b) The secondary suite cannot be stratified or otherwise held under separate title.

## Prior to a Development Permit ${ }^{*}$ being forwarded to the Development Permit Panel for consideration, the developer is required to:

1. Complete a proposed townhouse energy efficiency report and recommendations prepared by a Certified Energy Advisor which demonstrates how the proposed construction will meet or exceed the required townhouse energy efficiency standards (EnerGuide 82 or higher), in compliance with the City's Official Community Plan.

## Prior to Development Permit* Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Submission of a Landscape Security based on $100 \%$ of the cost estimate provided by the Landscape Architect, including all hard and soft materials, installation and a $10 \%$ contingency.

## Prior to Demolition Permit* Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.

## Prior to Building Permit ${ }^{*}$ Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
2. Incorporation of accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
3. Enter into a Servicing Agreement* for the design and construction of engineering infrastructure improvements. A Letter of Credit or cash security for the value of the Service Agreement works, as determined by the City, will be required as part of entering into the Servicing Agreement. Works include, but may not be limited to:

## Water Works:

- Using the OCP Model, there is $672 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water available at a 20 psi residual at the Williams Road frontage. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of $220 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$.
- The Developer is required to:
- Submit, at Building Permit stage, Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) or International Organization for Standardization (ISO) fire flow calculations to confirm the development has adequate fire flow for onsite fire protection. Calculations must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer and be based on Building Permit Stage Building designs.
- Provide an adequately sized utility SRW for a new water mater and its chamber that shall be placed inside the proposed development. A plan showing the location and size of the required utility SRW shall be submitted to the City for review and approval at the servicing agreement stage.
- At developer's cost, the City is to:
- Install a new service connection off of the existing 300 mm PVC watermain at Williams Road.
o Install a new water meter complete with chamber inside the development site.
- Remove existing water service connections to 8291 and 8311 Williams Road and cap at main.

Storm Sewer Works:

- The Developer is required to:
- Upgrade approximately 114 meters of the existing 375 mm diameter storm sewer at Williams Road frontage to 600 mm diameter from existing manhole STMH 2925 to existing manhole STMH 117302 that is located at the east side of Piggott Drive. Existing manholes STMH 2925 and 2926 shall be replaced with 1200 mm diameter manholes as per the City's Engineering standards.
- Remove the existing storm service connection leads at Williams Road frontage.
- At Developer's cost, the City is to:
- Install an adequately sized storm service connection, complete with a type 3 inspection chamber at PL.
- Latecomer eligible works:
- The developer may apply for a Latecomer agreement for storm sewer works that are beyond the proposed development frontage.
- The Developer is required to:
- Not start onsite excavation and/or foundation works until the City has completed the proposed rear yard sanitary connections. Also indicate this as a note on the site plan and SA design plans.
- Review, via the SA design, the impact of the required private utility service connections (e.g., BC Hydro, Telus and Shaw) on the existing 350 mm diameter AC forcemain along the south side of Williams Road and provide mitigation measures. A utility locate is required to confirm the cover above the existing 350 mm AC forcemain at servicing agreement stage to determine whether the required private utility service connections will impact the $350 \mathrm{~mm} A C$ forcemain. If required, the impacted portion of the 350 mm AC forcemain shall be replaced.
- At developer's cost, the City is to:
- Install an adequately sized sanitary service connection complete with a 600 mm diameter inspection chamber. Tie-in shall be to existing manhole SMH1868 that is located at the northeast corner of 8291 Williams Road.
- Remove the existing sanitary service connection leads.


## Frontage Improvements:

- The Developer is required to:
- Pre-duct for future hydro, telephone and cable utilities along all road frontages.
- Locate all above ground utility cabinets and kiosks required to service the proposed development within the developments site (see list below for examples). A functional plan showing conceptual locations for such infrastructure shall be included in the Rezoning staff report and the development process design review. Please coordinate with the respective private utility companies and the project's lighting and traffic signal consultants to confirm the requirements and the locations for the aboveground structures. If a private utility company does not require an aboveground structure, that company shall confirm this via a letter to be submitted to the City. The following are examples of SRWs that shall be shown in the functional plan and registered prior to SA design approval:

1. BC Hydro PMT - 4mW X 5 m (deep)
2. BC Hydro LPT -3.5 mW X 3.5 m (deep)
3. Street light kiosk -1.5 mW X 1.5 m (deep)
4. Traffic signal kiosk - 1mW X 1m (deep)
5. Traffic signal UPS -2 mW X 1.5 m (deep)
6. Shaw cable kiosk -1 mW X lm (deep) - show possible location in functional plan
7. Telus FDH cabinet -1.1 mW X 1m (deep) - show possible location in functional plan

- Provide other frontage improvements as per Transportation's requirements. Improvements shall be built to the ultimate condition wherever possible.
- Provide street lighting along Williams Road frontage.

General Items:

- The Developer is required to:
- Provide, prior to first SA design submission, a geotechnical assessment of preload and soil preparation impacts on the existing utilities fronting or within the development site, proposed utility installations, the existing single family dwellings at 8331 and 8251 Williams Road and provide mitigation recommendations. The mitigation recommendations (if required) shall be incorporated into the first SA design submission or if necessary prior to pre-load.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.

4. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated
fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

## Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.
The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contain prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.


## Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 Amendment Bylaw 10053 (RZ17-788945) 8291 and 8311 Williams Road

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Zoning Map of the City of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it "LOW DENSITY TOWNHOUSES (RTL4)".
P.I.D. 009-913-301

Lot 13 Section 28 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 14004
P.I.D. 003-427-188

Lot 14 Section 28 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 14004
2. This Bylaw may be cited as "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10053".

FIRST READING
A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON
SECOND READING
THIRD READING
OTHER CONDITIONS SATISFIED

## ADOPTED

JUL 082019
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


[^0]:    * Preliminary estimate; not inclusive of garage; exact building size to be determined through zoning bylaw compliance review at Building Permit stage.

