## Report to Committee

To: Planning Committee
Date: June 7, 2021
From: Wayne Craig
File: RZ 20-907463
Director, Development
Re: Application by Zhao XD Architect Ltd. for Rezoning at 9200, 9220, 9240, 9260, 9280, 9300, 9320 \& 9340 Francis Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" to "Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis Road (Broadmoor)"

## Staff Recommendation

That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10254, to create the "Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis Road (Broadmoor)" zone, and to rezone 9200, 9220, 9240, 9260, 9280, 9300, 9320 , and 9340 Francis Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" to "Town Housing (ZT94) Francis Road (Broadmoor)," be introduced and given first reading.


Wayne Craig
Director, Development
WC:jr
Att. 6

| REPORT CONCURRENCE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Routed To: | Concurrence | Concurrence of General Manager |
| Affordable Housing | $\square$ |  |

## Staff Report

## Origin

Zhao XD Architect Ltd. on behalf of 1125640 BC Ltd. (Directors: Fuhua Yuan and Man Yuan) has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to rezone 9200, 9220, 9240, 9260, 9280, 9300 , 9320, and 9340 Francis Road from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to a new sitespecific "Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis Road (Broadmoor)" zone, to permit the development of 25 townhouse units with vehicle access from Francis Road. A location map and aerial photo are provided in Attachment 1.

## Findings of Fact

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is provided in Attachment 2.

## Subject Site Existing Housing Profile

Each of the eight properties currently contains a single detached dwelling, none of which contain a secondary suite. Each existing dwelling would be demolished.

## Surrounding Development

Development immediately surrounding the subject site is generally as follows:

- To the North: single detached dwellings on properties zoned "Single Detached (RS1/C)" and townhouse dwellings on a property zoned "Low Density Townhouses (RTL1)."
- To the South: single detached and duplex dwellings on properties fronting Glenbrook Dr zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)."
- To the East and West: single detached dwellings on properties zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)," which are designated "Arterial Road Townhouse" in the Arterial Road Land Use Policy.


## Related Policies \& Studies

## Official Community Plan

The subject site is located in the Broadmoor planning area, and has an Official Community Plan (OCP) designation of "Neighbourhood Residential" (Attachment 3) which supports a range of residential uses including townhouses. The proposed rezoning is consistent with this designation.

## Arterial Road Policy

The subject site is located on a minor arterial road and is designated "Arterial Road Townhouses" on the Arterial Road Land Use Map contained in the OCP. The proposed rezoning is consistent with this designation.

The minimum development site size described in the Arterial Road Land Use Policy is 40 m frontage and 35 m lot depth. The proposed development site does not meet the minimum lot depth requirement, however the applicant has demonstrated that the site can be developed consistent with the Arterial Road Land Use Policy designation. A new site-specific zone is proposed to address the reduced lot depth, as neighbouring properties on Francis Road would also have insufficient lot depth to rezone without a variance. Details on the proposed new zone are provided in the Analysis section of this report.

## Floodplain Management Implementation Strategy

The proposed redevelopment must meet the requirements of the Richmond Flood Plain Designation and Protection Bylaw 8204. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on Title is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Affordable Housing Strategy

The City's Affordable Housing Strategy requires a cash-in-lieu contribution of $\$ 8.50$ per buildable square foot towards the City's Affordable Housing Reserve Fund for all rezoning applications involving townhouses. A $\$ 275,961.00$ contribution is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Public Consultation

A rezoning sign has been installed on the subject property. Staff have received two phone calls for additional information about the development, but have not received any comments from the public about the rezoning application in response to the placement of the rezoning sign on the property.

Should the Planning Committee endorse this application and Council grant $1^{\text {st }}$ reading to the rezoning bylaw, the bylaw will be forwarded to a Public Hearing, where any area resident or interested party will have an opportunity to comment. Public notification for the Public Hearing will be provided as per the Local Government Act.

## Analysis

## Site-specific Zone - "Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis Road (Broadmoor)"

This rezoning application would result in the creation of a site-specific zone. The proposed "Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis Road (Broadmoor)" zone is identical to the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" zone except for a reduction to the minimum lot depth from 35 m to 33 m , and reduction to the minimum front yard setback from 6 m to 4.5 m where a 6.0 m rear yard setback is provided.

The reduced lot depth requirement is a response to the existing lot geometry. Properties on the south side of Francis Road between Garden City Road and No. 4 Road generally have a lot depth of 33.5 m , which would not meet the minimum development site size in the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" zone, despite being designated "Arterial Road Townhouse" in the OCP. A new site-specific zone is proposed to address this unique inconsistency and establish clear expectations for the development of townhouses in this area.

The reduced front yard setback is a response to guidelines contained in the Arterial Road Land Use Policy, specifically that a 4.5 m front yard setback may be considered when a 6.0 m rear yard setback is provided. The proposed "Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis Road (Broadmoor)" zone would permit a variable front yard setback based on the rear yard setback consistent with this guideline. Based on the current lot dimensions and road width, the proposed townhouses would be 8.0 m from the back of the curb. At Development Permit stage the applicant will be required to conduct an acoustical analysis to ensure that living spaces fronting Francis Road meet the minimum CMHC indoor noise standards.

## Urban Design and Site Planning

The applicant proposes 25 townhouse units in nine buildings arranged on either side of a central east-west drive aisle. The site plan and massing are consistent with the Development Permit Guidelines for Arterial Road Townhouses. Conceptual development plans are provided in Attachment 4.

Three buildings containing 13 units along Francis Road are three storeys, with living space located on the second and third storeys. The end units step down to two storeys within 7.5 m of the adjacent properties to provide a transition to the existing single detached dwelling. Direct pedestrian access to the sidewalk is provided via landscaped front yards.

Six duplex buildings containing 12 units at the rear of the property are all two storeys, with living space located on both levels. Pedestrian access is provided via the drive aisle. Three of these units are proposed to be designed as convertible units. Accessibility features of all units will be reviewed through the Development Permit.

All of the units have private outdoor space at grade in the form of a landscaped front or rear yard. Units fronting Francis Road have a second storey Juliet balcony off of the living room facing the drive aisle, and a third storey Juliet balcony off of the master bedroom facing the road. Rear units do not have balconies but feature larger outdoor spaces at grade.

The shared outdoor amenity area is proposed near the middle of the site opposite the driveway. The current concept includes a play structure for young children, bench seating, retention of mature trees, and open lawn area. Detailed design and programming of the private and shared outdoor amenity areas will be reviewed through the Development Permit process.

Shared garbage and recycling rooms are proposed near the middle of the site flanking the driveway. Vehicles servicing the site are able to complete a three-point tum in the drive aisle to enter and exit the site in a forward motion.

## Existing Legal Encumbrances

There is an existing 3.0 m wide statutory right-of-way (SRW) along the rear property line for the sanitary sewer. The applicant is aware that no construction or tree planting is permitted within the SRW area.

## Housing Type and Tenure

The applicant proposes 25 strata-titled townhouse units. This report has been submitted prior to Council's consideration of new policies regarding age and rental restrictions in strata-titled buildings. These policies are scheduled to be considered at the Public Hearing to be held on June 21,2021. Additional requirements are included in this report in anticipation of Council adopting these policies prior to consideration of this application.

To maximize potential rental and housing opportunities throughout the City, the applicant has agreed to register a restrictive covenant on title prior to rezoning bylaw adoption, prohibiting (a) the imposition of any strata bylaw that would prohibit any residential dwelling unit from being rented; and (b) the imposition of any strata bylaw that would place age-based restrictions on occupants of any residential dwelling unit. This covenant will not be required if Council does not adopt these policies on June 21, 2021.

## Transportation and Site Access

Vehicle access to the subject site is proposed from a driveway crossing to Francis Road. The driveway is aligned opposite Heather Street, creating a four-way intersection. This location is preferred by staff as it reduces the number of potential conflict points between vehicles and pedestrians.

Vehicle and bicycle parking for residents are provided consistent with Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, including Level 2 EV charging for all residential vehicle spaces. Each unit includes a two-car garage with space for Class 1 bicycle parking. All of the units have parking spaces in a side-by-side arrangement.

Visitor parking is provided consistent with Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500. A total of five visitor parking spaces are provided, including two standard spaces at the west end of the drive aisle, two standard spaced at the east end of the drive aisle, and an accessible space in the middle of the development beside the amenity area. Class 2 bicycle parking is also provided beside the amenity area.

## Tree Retention and Replacement

The applicant has submitted a Certified Arborist's Report; which identifies on-site and off-site tree species, assesses tree structure and condition, and provides recommendations on tree retention and removal relative to the proposed development. The Report assesses 32 bylaw-sized trees on the subject property and five trees on neighbouring properties.

The City's Tree Preservation Coordinator has reviewed the Arborist's Report and supports the Arborist's findings, with the following comments:

- 11 trees (tag\# 307, 308, 309, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, and 319) located on site are identified in good condition and noted to he retained and protected in the Arhorist report. These trees are primarily located within the rear yard sethack, except for Trees \# 307-309. The outdoor amenity area has been specifically located to accommodate retention of these trees.
- 21 (tag\# 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 310, 311, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, $329,330,331$, and 332 ) are in poor condition - either dead, dying (sparse canopy foliage), have been previously topped or exhibit structural defects such as cavities at the main branch union and co-dominant stems with inclusions. As a result, these trees are not good candidates for retention and should be replaced.
- The hedges along the west property line are in poor condition - either dead, dying, or pruned back excessively. As a result, the hedges are not a good candidate for retention. Replacement trees are not required for the removal of hedges.
- 5 (tag\# A, B , C, D, E) located on neighbouring property to be protected as per Arborist report recommendation.
- Replacement trees should be specified at 2:1 ratio as per the OCP.

The applicant proposes to remove existing hedges located in the City boulevard to provide pedestrian access to the townhouse units and comply with the design guidelines for landscaping along arterial road. Continuous hedges are discouraged in the front yard based on Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) and urban design principles, with a goal of enhancing the pedestrian experience and allowing for casual surveillance of the public and semiprivate realms.
Parks staff have reviewed the proposal have approved removal of the hedges and shrubs located in the development frontage. Compensation is not required for the removal of hedges, however new trees will be planted in the upgraded boulevard. The size, species, and location of new street trees will be determined through the Servicing Agreement process.

## Tree Replacement

The applicant wishes to remove 21 on-site trees (tag\# 301-306, 310, 311, and 320-332). The $2: 1$ replacement ratio would require a total of 42 replacement trees. The applicant proposes to plant 32 trees in the proposed development.

To satisfy the 2 : 1 replacement ratio established in the OCP, the applicant will contribute $\$ 7,500.00$ to the City's Tree Compensation Fund in lieu of the remaining 10 trees that cannot be accommodated on the subject property after redevelopment.

## Tree Protection

11 trees (tag\# 307-309, and 312-319) on the subject site and 5 trees (tag\# A-E) on neighbouring properties are to be retained and protected. The applicant has submitted a tree protection plan showing the trees to be retained and the measures taken to protect them during development stage (Attachment 5). To ensure that the trees identified for retention are protected at development stage, the applicant is required to complete the following items:

- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission to the City of a contract with a Certified Arhorist for the supervision of all works conducted within or in close proximity to tree protection zones. The contract must include the scope of work required, the number of proposed monitoring inspections at specified stages of construction, any special measures required to ensure tree protection, and a provision for the arborist to submit a postconstruction impact assessment to the City for review.
- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission to the City of a $\$ 55,000.00$ Tree Survival Security.
- Prior to demolition of the existing dwelling on the subject site, installation of tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained. Tree protection fencing must be installed to City standard in accordance with the City's Tree Protection Information Bulletin Tree-03 prior to any works being conducted on-site, and remain in place until construction and landscaping on-site is completed.


## Townhouse Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

The proposed development consists of townhouses that staff anticipate would be designed and built in accordance with Part 9 of the BC Building Code. As sucb, this development would be required to achieve Step 3 of the BC Energy Step Code for Part 9 construction (Climate Zone 4). As part of a future Development Permit application, the applicant will be required to provide a report prepared by a Certified Energy Advisor which demonstrates that the proposed design and construction will meet or exceed these required standards.

## Amenity Space

The applicant is proposing a cash contribution in-lieu of providing the required indoor amenity space on-site. The total cash contribution required for the proposed 25 -unit townhouse development is $\$ 54,839.00$ based on the current OCP rates, and must be provided prior to rezoning adoption.

Outdoor amenity space is provided on site. Based on the preliminary design, the size of the proposed outdoor amenity space is consistent with the OCP minimum requirement of $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit. Staff will work with the applicant at the Development Permit stage to ensure the design of the outdoor amenity space meets the Development Permit Guidelines contained in the OCP.

## Public Art

Based on a maximum buildable floor area of approximately $32,466 \mathrm{SF}$ residential floor area, the recommended public art contribution based on Administrative Guidelines of \$0.90/SF (2021 rate) is approximately $\$ 29,219.40$.

As this project will generate a recommended public art contribution of less than $\$ 40,000$ and there are limited opportunities for locating public art on the site, as per Policy it is recommended that the public art contribution be directed to the Public Art Reserve for City-wide projects on City lands.

## Development Permit Application

Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, a Development Permit application is required to be processed to a satisfactory level. Through the Development Permit, the following issues are to be further examined:

- Compliance with Development Permit Guidelines for the form and character of multiple-family projects provided in the OCP.
- Refinement of the building design to provide a pedestrian-oriented streetscape.
- Review of the size and species of on-site trees to ensure bylaw compliance and to achieve an acceptable mix of coniferous and deciduous species on-site.
- Refinement of the shared outdoor amenity area design, including the choice of play equipment, to create a safe and vibrant environment for children's play and social interaction.
- Review of relevant accessibility features for the three proposed convertible units and aging-in-place design features in all units.
- Review of a sustainability strategy for the development proposal.


## Site Servicing and Frontage Improvements

Prior to issuance of a Building Permit, the applicant is required to enter in to a Servicing Agreement for the design and construction of the required site servicing and frontage works, as described in Attachment 6. Frontage improvements include, but may not be limited to:

- Removal of the existing sidewalk and replacement with 2.0 m concrete sidewalk at the property line, 1.5 m landscaped boulevard, and 0.15 m concrete curb and gutter.
- Removal of the existing driveway crossings and replacement with frontage works as described above.


## Financial Impact or Economic Impact

This rezoning application results in an insignificant Operation Budget Impact (OBI) for off-site City infrastructure (such as roadworks, waterworks, storm sewers, sanitary sewers, street lights, street trees, and traffic signals).

## Conclusion

The purpose of this application is to rezone the subject site from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the site-specific "Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis Road (Broadmoor)" zone, to permit the development of 25 townhouse units with vehicle access from Francis Road.

The proposed rezoning and subsequent development of the site are generally consistent with the applicable plans and policies for the area.

The list of rezoning considerations is included in Attachment 6, which has been agreed to by the applicant (signed concurrence on file).

It is recommended that Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10254 be introduced and given first reading.


Jordan Rockerbie
Planner 1
(604-276-4092)
JR.js
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Development Application Data Sheet
Development Applications Department

## RZ 20-907463

## Attachment 2

Address: $\quad 9200,9220,9240,9260,9280,9300,9320 \& 9340$ Francis Road
Applicant: Zhao XD Architect Ltd.
Planning Area(s): Broadmoor

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Owner: | 1125640 BC Ltd. (Directors: <br> Fuhua Yuan and Man Yuan) | To be determined |
| Site Size $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right):$ | $5,027 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | No change |
| Land Uses: | Single detached homes | Townhouses |
| OCP Designation: | Neighbourhood Residential | No change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis <br> Road (Broadmoor) |
| Number of Units: | 8 single detached homes | 25 townhouses |


| On Future Development Site | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 0.6 | 0.6 | None permitted |
| Buildable Floor Area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ):* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max. } 3,016.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ \left(32,466 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max. } 3,016.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ \left(32,465 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | None permitted |
| Lot Coverage (\% of lot area): | Building: Max. 40\% <br> Non-porous Surfaces: <br> Max. 65\% <br> Landscaping: Min. 25\% | Building: 39\% Non-porous Surfaces: 64.4\% <br> Landscaping: 25\% | None |
| Lot Size: | None | 5,027 m ${ }^{2}$ | None |
| Lot Dimensions (m): | Width: 40 m Depth: 33 m | Width: 150 m Depth: 33.53 m | None |
| Setbacks (m): | Front: Min. 4.5 m Rear: Min. 6 m Side: Min. 3 m | Front: 4.5 m <br> Rear: 6 m <br> Side: 3 m | None |
| Height (m): | 12.0 m | 12.0 m | None |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Regular (R) / Visitor (V): | $2(\mathrm{R})$ and $0.2(\mathrm{~V})$ per unit | $2(\mathrm{R})$ and $0.2(\mathrm{~V})$ per unit | None |
| Ott-street Parking Spaces - Total: | 50 (R) and 5 (V) | $50(\mathrm{R})$ and $5(\mathrm{~V})$ | None |
| Small Car Parking Spaces: | Permitted - Maximum of $50 \%$ of required spaces | 14 spaces (i.e. 28\%) | None |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Permitted - Maximum of $50 \%$ of required spaces | None | None |


| On Future <br> Development Site | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or cash-in-lieu | Cash-in-lieu | None |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | Min. $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit <br> (i.e. $150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | $6.96 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit <br> (i.e. $174 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | None |

Other: Tree replacement compensation required for loss of significant trees.

* Preliminary estimate; not inclusive of garage; exact building size to be determined through zoning bylaw compliance review at Building Permit stage.
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## Prior to final adoption of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10254, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Consolidation of all the lots into one development parcel (which will require the demolition of the existing dwellings).
2. Submission of a Contract entered into between the applicant and a Certified Arborist for supervision of any on-site works conducted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken, including: the proposed number of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction assessment report to the City for review.
3. Submission of a Tree Survival Security to the City in the amount of $\$ 55,000$ for the 11 on-site trees to be retained (Tag 307-309, 312-319). Up to $90 \%$ of the security will be returned to the applicant after submission of a postconstruction assessment report and a City inspection, with the remainder held for up to one year to ensure that the trees survive.
4. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on title (Area A).
5. Subject to Council adopting policies regarding age and rental restrictions in strata-titled buildings prior to the subject rezoning application bylaw receiving first reading, registration of a restrictive covenant prohibiting:
a) the imposition of any strata bylaw that would prohibit any residential dwelling unit from being rented; and
b) the imposition of any strata bylaw that would place age-based restrictions on occupants of any residential dwelling unit.
This consideration will not be required if Council does not adopt these policies prior to the subject rezoning application bylaw receiving first reading.
6. The submission and processing of a Development Permit* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.
7. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 7,500$ to the City's Tree Compensation Fund for the planting of replacement trees within the City.
8. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 0.90$ per buildable square foot (e.g. $\$ 29,219.40$ ) to the City's public art fund.
9. Contribution of $\$ 54,839.00$ in-lieu of on-site indoor amenity space to go towards development of City facilities.
10. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 8.50$ per buildable square foot (e.g. $\$ 275,961.00$ ) to the City's affordable housing fund.

## Prior to a Development Permit* being forwarded to the Development Permit Panel for consideration, the developer is required to:

1. Submission of a Landscape Plan, prepared by a Registered Landscape Architect, together with a cost estimate for the landscaping works. The Landscape Plan should:

- comply with the guidelines of the OCP's Arterial Road Policy and should not include hedges along the front property line;
- include a mix of coniferous and deciduous trees;
- include the dimensions of tree protection fencing as illustrated on the Tree Retention Plan attached to this report; and
- include the required replacement trees
$\qquad$

2. Complete a proposed townhouse energy efficiency report and recommendations prepared by a Certified Energy Advisor which demonstrates how the proposed construction will meet or exceed the required townhouse energy efficiency standards (BCESC Step 3).
3. Complete an acoustical and thermal report and recommendations prepared by an appropriate registered professional, which demonstrates that the interior noise levels and noise mitigation standards comply with the City's Official Community Plan and Noise Bylaw requirements. The standard required for air conditioning systems and their alternatives (e.g. ground source heat pumps, heat exchangers and acoustic ducting) is the ASHRAE 55-2004 "Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy" standard and subsequent updates as they may occur. Maximum interior noise levels (decibels) within the dwelling units must achieve CMHC standards follows:

| Portions of Dwelling Units | Noise Levels (decibels) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bedrooms | 35 decibels |
| Living, dining, recreation rooms | 40 decibels |
| Kitchen, bathrooms, hallways, and utility rooms | 45 decibels |

## Prior to a Development Permit* being forwarded to Council for issuance, the developer is required to:

1. Submission of a Landscape Security to the City based on $100 \%$ of the cost estimate provided by the Landscape Architect plus a $10 \%$ contingency. Up to $90 \%$ of the security will be returned to the applicant after a City inspection, with the remainder held for up to one year to ensure that the planting survives.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
2. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
3. Incorporation of accessibility and sustainability measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
4. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.
5. Enter into a Servicing Agreement* for the design and construction of engineering infrastructure improvements. A Letter of Credit or cash security for the value of the Service Agreement works, as determined by the City, will be required as part of entering into the Servicing Agreement. Works include, but may not be limited to:

## Water Works:

a. Using the OCP Model, there is $000.0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water available at a 20 psi residual at the Francis Road frontage. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of $220 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$.
b. At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:
i. Submit Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) or Intemational Organization for Standardization (ISO) fire flow calculations to confirm development has adequate fire flow for onsite fire protection. Calculations must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer and be based on Building Permit Stage Building designs.
ii. Provide a right-of-way for the water meter and meter chamber (unless meter is to be located in a mechanical room), at no cost to the City. Exact right-of-way dimensions to be finalized during the servicing agreement process.
iii. The frontage improvements are in close proximity with the existing AC watermain along Francis Road frontage. Subject to a utility locate and a geotechnical assessment of the impact of the required frontage improvements to the existing watermain, the developer is required to replace the existing watermain along the Francis Road frontage, approximatel $\mathrm{Ne}^{5} \mathrm{~m}-20452$
iv. Review hydrant spacing on all road frontages and provide fire hydrants as required to meet City spacing requirements. Fire department approval is required for all fire hydrant installations, removals, and relocations.
$v$. Install one new water service connection.
c. At Developer's cost, the City is to:
i. Reconnect all existing water service connections and hydrant leads to the new water main.
ii. Cut, cap, and remove all existing water service connections and meters to the development site.
iii. Complete all tie-ins for the proposed works to existing City infrastructure.

## Storm Sewer Works:

a. At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:
i. Provide an erosion and sediment control plan for all on-site and off-site works, to be reviewed as part of the servicing agreement.
b. At Developer's cost, the City is to:
i. Cut and cap all existing storm service connections to the development site and remove inspection chambers.
ii. Install one new storm service connection, complete with inspection chamber. Inspection chamber to be located in a right-of-way onsite.

## Sanitary Sewer Works:

a. At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:
i. Not start onsite excavation or foundation construction prior to completion of rear-yard sanitary works by City crews.
ii. Monitor the settlement at the existing AC sanitary main long the entire south property line during preloading, dewatering, and soil preparation works per a geotechnical engineer's recommendations, and report the settlement amounts to the City for approval.
vi. Replace approximately 150 m AC sanitary main along the entire south property line with PVC, complete with new manholes per City spacing requirements.
vii. Remove the existing AC sanitary main and legally dispose offsite.
viii. Not encroach into City rights-of-ways with any proposed trees, retaining walls, or other non-removable structures.
b. At Developer's cost, the City is to:
i. Install one new sanitary service connection, complete with inspection chamber. The new service connection shall be tied-in to the required manhole at the sanitary main junction located at the south property line of 9300 Francis Road.
ii. Reconnect all existing sanitary service connections that service neighboring properties to the new sanitary main.
iii. Cut and cap all existing service connections to the development site, and remove inspection chambers.

## Frontage Improvements:

a. At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:
i. Return the existing Hydro lease lights and replace with City standard street lighting.
ii. Coordinate with BC Hydro, Telus and other private communication service providers:
a) To relocate/underground the existing overhead lines and poles to prevent conflict with the proposed sidewalk.
b) To underground overhead service lines.
c) To pre-duct for future hydro, telephone and cable utilities along all road frontages.
d) To locate all proposed underground structures (e.g. junction boxes, pull boxes, service boxes, etc.) outside of bike paths and sidew $G N C L-453$
e) Before relocating/modifying any of the existing power poles and/or guy wires within the property frontages.
f) To locate/relocate all above ground utility cabinets and kiosks required to service the proposed development, and all above ground utility cabinets and kiosks located along the development's frontages, within the developments site (see list below for examples). A functional plan showing conceptual locations for such infrastructure shall be included in the development process design review. Please coordinate with the respective private utility companies and the project's lighting and traffic signal consultants to confirm the requirements (e.g., statutory right-of-way dimensions) and the locations for the aboveground structures. If a private utility company does not require an aboveground structure, that company shall confirm this via a letter to be submitted to the City. The following are examples of statutory right-of-ways that shall be shown on the functional plan and registered prior to SA design approval:

- BC Hydro PMT - $4.0 \times 5.0 \mathrm{~m}$
- BC Hydro LPT $-3.5 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$
- Street light kiosk $-1.5 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$
- Traffic signal kiosk $-1.0 \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$
- Traffic signal UPS $-2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$
- Shaw cable kiosk $-1.0 \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$
- Telus FDH cabinet $-1.1 \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$
iii. Complete frontage upgrades including:
a. New 2.0 m wide concrete sidewalk at the property line, minimum 1.5 m wide landscaped boulevard with street trees, and concrete curb and gutter; and
b. Removal of the existing driveway crossings and replacement with the above frontage works.


## General Items:

a. At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:
i. Not encroach into the rear-yard sanitary right-of-way with proposed trees, retaining walls, non-removable fences, or other non-removable structures. No fill may be placed within the right-of-way without the City's review and approval.
ii. Provide, prior to start of site preparation works or within the first servicing agreement submission, whichever comes first, a geotechnical assessment of preload and soil preparation impacts on the existing utilities (e.g., AC watermain along Francis Rd and AC sanitary main along the rear yard) fronting the development site and provide mitigation recommendations.
iii. Provide a video inspection report of the existing sanitary sewers along the development's rear yard prior to start of site preparation works or within the first servicing agreement submission, whichever comes first. A follow-up video inspection report after site preparation works are complete (i.e. pre-load removal, completion of dewatering, etc.) is required to assess the condition of the existing utilities and provide recommendations. Any utilities damaged by the pre-load, de-watering, or other development-related activity shall be replaced at the Developer's cost.
iv. Enter into, if required, additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, dewatering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.

## Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.

All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.
The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.

- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contain prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.


## Signed

## Date

Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 Amendment Bylaw 10254 (RZ 20-907463)
9200, 9220, 9240, 9260, 9280, 9300, 9320 \& 9340 Francis Road
The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, as amended, is further amended by:
a. Inserting the following into the table contained in Section 5.15.1.c regarding Affordable Housing density bonusing provisions:

| Zone | Sum Per Buildable Square Foot of <br> Permitted Principal Building |
| :--- | :---: |
| "ZT94 | $\$ 8.50$ " |

b. Inserting the following into Section 17 (Site Specific Residential (Town Houses) Zones), in numerical order:

### 17.94 Town Housing (ZT94) - Francis Road (Broadmoor)

17.94.1 Purpose

The zone provides for low density town housing plus other compatible secondary uses, and provides for a density bonus that would be used for rezoning applications in order to help achieve the City's affordable housing objectives.
17.94.2 Permitted Uses

- child care
- housing, town


### 17.94.3 Secondary Uses

- boarding and lodging
- community care facility, minor
- home business
- secondary suite


### 17.94.4 Permitted Density

1. The maximum floor area ratio is 0.40 .
2. Notwithstanding Section 17.94.4.1, the reference to "0.40" in Section 17.94.4.1 is increased to a higher density of " 0.60 " if the owner, at the time Council adopts a zoning amendment bylaw to include the owner's lot in the ZT94 zone, pays into the affordable housing reserve the sum specified in Section 5.15 .1 of this bylaw.

### 17.94.5 Permitted Lot Coverage

1. The maximum lot coverage is $40 \%$ for buildings.
2. No more than $65 \%$ of a lot may be occupied by buildings, structures and nonporous surfaces.
3. $25 \%$ of the lot area is restricted to landscaping with live plant material.

### 17.94.6 Yards \& Setbacks

1. The minimum front yard is 6.0 m , except where the rear yard is 6.0 m , the minimum front yard is reduced to 4.5 m .
2. The minimum interior side yard is 3.0 m .
3. The minimum exterior side yard is 6.0 m .
4. The minimum rear yard is 3.0 m .
17.94.7 Permitted Heights
5. The maximum height for buildings is 12.0 m (3 storeys).
6. The maximum height for accessory buildings is 5.0 m .
7. The maximum height for accessory structures is 9.0 m .
17.94.8 Subdivision Provisions/Minimum Lot Size
8. The minimum lot width is 40.0 m .
9. The minimum lot depth is 33.0 m .
10. There is no minimum lot area requirement.
17.94.9 Landscaping \& Screening
11. Landscaping and screening shall be provided according to the provisions of Section 6.0 .
17.94.10 On-Site Parking and Loading
12. On-site vehicle and bicycle parking and loading shall be provided according to the standards set out in Section 7.0.

### 17.94.11 Other Regulations

1. In addition to the regulations listed above, the General Development Regulations in Section 4.0 and Specific Use Regulations in Section 5.0 apply.
2. The Zoning Map of the City of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it "TOWN HOUSING (ZT94) - FRANCIS ROAD (BROADMOOR)."

Lot 89 Section 27 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 34103 PID 003-486-109

Lot 90 Section 27 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 34103 PID 002-262-398

Lot 91 Section 27 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 34103 PID 007-009-411

Lot 92 Section 27 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 34103 PID 003-598-772

Lot 93 Section 27 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 34103 PID 006-127-690

Lot 94 Section 27 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 34103 PID 004-305-531

Lot 257 Section 27 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 35222 PID 007-150-946

Lot 258 Section 27 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 35222 PID 004-344-979
3. This Bylaw may be cited as "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10254".
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SECOND READING
THIRD READING
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