Schedule 5 to the Minutes of the Public Hearing meeting Richmond City Council held on Monday, October 16, 2017.

ON TABLE ITEM

Date: October 16,2017 Meeting: Public Hearing Item: 4 #8

CityClerk

From:

Amelee Cruz <acruz@hglinvestments.com>

Sent:

Monday, 16 October 2017 11:58

To:

CityClerk

Cc:

Racheal Wong

Subject:

LUC 040 - Letter for Public Hearing Oct 16, 2017 7pm

Attachments:

LUC 040 - Letter from HGL Investments.pdf

Dear Sir,

Please see attached letter in regards to LUC 040.

As directed from the City of Richmond's letter, we are submitting this document prior to 4pm deadline today.

Kindly confirm receipt of this e-mail.

Please do not hesitate to contact the below for further queries.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amelee Cruz Property Administrator

Direct: 778.588.5561 | Mobile: 604.355.4882

INVESTMENTS LTD.

Alderbridge Place, Unit 219 - 4940 No. 3 Road, Richmond, BC V6X 3A5





Proportion Figure (and 74% - 1946 No. 3 Prince, Flore and it is now in it

16 October 2017

City of Richmond – City Clerk's Office 6911 No. 3 Road Richmond BC V6Y 2C1

Attention: Director, City Clerk's Office

Re: Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9745, to establish underlying zoning for the

property developed under Land Use Contract 040

Dear Sir:

Upon review of the City's proposal to establish the underlying zoning for our property under Land Use Contract 040, we respectfully request the City to amend the Bylaw to allow for our 3 existing garbage enclosures to be permitted in the proposed setbacks under proposed new zoning ZC40, Section 22.40.6 Yards & Setbacks. These enclosures are fences screening areas containing garbage bins.

Our Property Managers had conferred with City staff a few years ago when repaving the parking lot on the location of these 3 long-standing garbage enclosures. It was decided that there were no practical alternatives to the location of these enclosures, other than removing the enclosures entirely and leaving the waste bins fully exposed. This was not a solution acceptable to the Owners nor the City staff reviewing our site at that time, hence the enclosures were allowed to sit as they are on site today.

We also ask for clarification as to whether these enclosures are affected by the proposed setbacks. They are fenced areas with no roof. Our understanding is that the setbacks restrict the location of "buildings", and the Zoning Bylaw defines a "building" as "a temporary or permanent structure having a roof supported by columns or walls...". These enclosures do not have a roof. Are they affected by the proposed setbacks?

We look forward to a positive response from the City on this matter.

Respectfully yours,

H. G. L. INVESTMENTS LTD.

Racheal Wong Asset Manager