

## Steveston Village Conservation Strategy – Public Meeting June 4, 2009

18 properties in area are restricted, others are subject to modified Sakamoto guidelines. Future buildings will have past character. Covers property /building exterior, not interior or use.

### Public Comments:

The overall intent to preserve the Steveston town centre heritage appeal was generally supported, and many of the concepts were received favourably, but with some exceptions.

Area Boundaries: (attendees present supported these boundary adjustments)

Include Gulf of Georgia Cannery, and Canfisco building, and former telephone exchange.

Exclude ANAF area once home(s?) relocated.

Include east side of #1 Road Front facade only from ANAF south for Sakamoto requirements?

Federal areas included may not be controllable by the City but they help define the area and inclusion in a comprehensive plan may assist them in grant applications.

Concern that 3 residential properties whose families have elderly aging in place are hurt due to their compassion. They should not be trapped in time due to this. Exclude them? Otherwise? If they are to be preserved it should not be at the owners disadvantage.

Possibility of parking requirement reduction creates concern, especially closer to waterfront. Concern also about current parking insufficiency if Harbour Authority develops its "loaned" spaces.

Three 3 storey areas would be allowed south of Bayview as long as the roofs are shaped like Gulf of Georgia and not higher than it. Resulting large buildings could be reminiscent of former cannery row but could remove significant waterfront visibility. Is that acceptable?

Future water lot use concern? Preservation of water heritage elements and village while still ensuring that fishing industry and business can function without unreasonable hindrance.

Concern by one expressed about requirement to relocate future development to lot frontage.

Plan allows for density bonusing for appropriate heritage work, and/or for cash. Cash to be redistributed to others in area doing heritage work. Density bonusing could be possibly be transferred out of zone, which may not be fair to other areas and they aren't notified.

ANAF – Due to prior application, excluded subject to deal for house relocation, and perhaps Japanese reminiscent landscaping, and plaque /display interpreting sites past history.

Tussey Berg Building – roof top stairwells combine to obstruct views like an additional 4<sup>th</sup> fl. Multiple rooftop stairwells are **NOT** desirable in future buildings. (Only one shared rooftop access?)

(Note that the ANAF property and Tussey Berg building form the gateway to Steveston.)

Concern expressed about lack of prior resident consultation, and lack of prior resident notice, and lack of area resident representation on committees dealing with such Steveston issues.

Concern also expressed by some about the 4 way stop traffic at #1 Road and Moncton, and by some about enough entertainment and things to do /see in the village. Public washroom insufficiency was also mentioned.