

Schedule 11 to the Minutes of the Public Hearing meeting of Richmond City Council held on Monday, October 16, 2017.

To Public Hearing	
Date:	Oct 16, 2017
Item #	9
Re:	Bylaw 9062 9063

MayorandCouncillors

From: MayorandCouncillors
Sent: Thursday, 27 July 2017 15:02
To: 'Don Flintoff'
Subject: RE: Council Agenda Item 23 – APPLICATION BY ONNI DEVELOPMENT (IMPERIAL LANDING) CORP. FOR A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT AT 4020, 4080, 4100, 4180, 4280 AND 4300 BAYVIEW STREET (FORMERLY 4300 BAYVIEW STREET) TO AMEND THE "STEVESTON MARITIME MIXED USE (ZMU12)" ZO

Categories: - TO: MAYOR & EACH COUNCILLOR / FROM: CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dear Mr. Flintoff,

This is to acknowledge and thank you for your email. Please be advised that copies of your email have been forwarded to the Mayor and each Councillor and City staff.

Your letter will be included as part of the Public Hearing materials when this matter proceeds to Public Hearing on October 16, 2017.

Sincerely,

Hanieh

Hanieh Berg | Acting Manager, Legislative Services
 City Clerk's Office | City of Richmond
 6911 No. 3 Road, Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1
 Direct (604) 276-4163 · Fax (604) 278-5139



From: Don Flintoff [mailto:don_flintoff@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, 24 July 2017 15:58
To: CityClerk; MayorandCouncillors
Subject: : Council Agenda Item 23 – APPLICATION BY ONNI DEVELOPMENT (IMPERIAL LANDING) CORP. FOR A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT AT 4020, 4080, 4100, 4180, 4280 AND 4300 BAYVIEW STREET (FORMERLY 4300 BAYVIEW STREET) TO AMEND THE "STEVESTON MARITIME MIXED USE (ZMU12)" ZONE

Monday, July-24-17

From: Donald Flintoff
 6071 Dover Road
 Richmond, BC
 V7C 3K9

To : Mayor and Council

RE: Council Agenda Item 23 – APPLICATION BY ONNI DEVELOPMENT (IMPERIAL LANDING)

CORP. FOR A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT AT 4020, 4080, 4100,
4180, 4280 AND 4300 BAYVIEW STREET (FORMERLY 4300
BAYVIEW STREET) TO AMEND THE "STEVESTON MARITIME
MIXED USE (ZMU12)" ZONE AND THE "STEVESTON MARITIME
(ZC21)" ZONE

(File Ref. No. RZ 13-633927) (REDMS No. 5421598 v. 3)

I am opposed to the proposed Bylaw 9063 as ONNI knew and agreed to the zoning requirements prior to commencing the project. Now that the project exists, ONNI is negotiating a better option (\$) by seeking even more favourable re-zoning of the project. However, if no re-zoning is granted the property tax is still payable to the City.

Assuming Council may approve the Bylaw, I would like to speak to the following amendments proposed in CNCL-472.

In CNCL-455, p. 3, the Staff report addresses 32 hotel units including cooking facilities in buildings 5 and 6. As very few hotel rooms of this class have cooking facilities, Council should prohibit hotel units with cooking facilities as these could easily be converted to long term rentals or condos in the future. I am opposed to the inclusion of cooking units in CNCL-473, p. 2. The 90 day stay should be reduced to 30 days. Who will monitor the stays and enforce this type of zoning?

The Indoor Recreation uses in buildings 2 and 4 should be prohibited as this would conflict with the facilities provided by the Steveston Community Centre and its revenues.

The Grocery Store in building 2 is not currently required and would be better suited on Moncton or Chatham. I would not wish to see the Super Grocer & Pharmacy, a thriving business, be put at financial risk

because ONNI does not want to live up to the agreement it entered into when it sought the original re-zoning . I notice that CNCL-473 p. 2 excludes grocery store use but General Retail use could include small deli and other corner store type operations.

I disagree that the proposed hotel use (23,122 FT²) would not increase the value of the development due to the high tenant improvement costs. This cost could be mitigated by removal of the cooking facilities in the hotel units. The value of the uplift comparison should have been performed by reviewing the value of existing hotels of a similar type and view in Richmond. Assuming this would yield the original amount of \$9 million increase in value.

ONNI has successfully engaged in negotiation of a lower price of \$4.75 million plus a voluntary community amenity contribution (a sweetener) of \$2.375 million,. I would suggest that Staff review information from BC Assessment for further validation of this re-zoning. (CNCL-461, p. 9)

The voluntary contribution of \$605 towards Storm Drainage DCC projects (CNCL-462, p. 10) seems quite small considering the area of hard surfaces surrounding the project.

If Council agrees to pass the Bylaw without further modification, ONNI has been successful in negotiating a great deal for themselves and leaving Richmond citizens with the fallout.

Regards,

Donald Flintoff