

SCHEDULE 8 TO THE MINUTES  
OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF  
COUNCIL FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS  
HELD ON MONDAY, OCTOBER 16,  
2006

MayorandCouncillors

To Public Hearing  
Date: OCT-16, 2006  
Item # 4A, 4B + 4C  
Re: Lot Size Policy 5434  
Bylaws 8080 + 8081

From: Webgraphics [webgraphics@richmond.ca]  
Sent: Monday, 16 October 2006 1:32 PM  
To: MayorandCouncillors  
Subject: Send a Submission Online (response #97)

## Send a Submission Online (response #97)

### Survey Information

Site:	City Website
Page Title:	Send a Submission Online
URL:	<a href="http://cms.city.richmond.bc.ca/CM/WebUI/PageTypes/Survey/Survey.aspx?PageID=1793&amp;PageMode=Hybrid">http://cms.city.richmond.bc.ca/CM/WebUI/PageTypes/Survey/Survey.aspx?PageID=1793&amp;PageMode=Hybrid</a>
Submission Time/Date:	2006-10-16 1:31:37 PM

### Survey Response

Your Name:	April Parr
Your Address:	10680 Seamount Rd.
Subject Property Address OR Bylaw Number:	5434 Proposed single- family lot size policy
	<p>I am against any more subdividing of lots in this neighbourhood until you substantially reduce the allowed size of homes being built, allowing for something atleast resembling a front yard, and with room for trees. The size of these houses that stretch way from the front to the back of the lots are just built out of pure greed for the almighty dollar and with no respect for the environment or attractiveness of the neighbourhood. It is possible to build 2 homes on a subdivided lot without making the homes so large. The new homes built on these subdivided lots along Williams rd. recently have no trees. We are an area with many nice trees yet we are losing trees permanently along Steveston Hwy and Williams and soon #5 road if the cities plan goes through. What is the point of a tree bylaw if new homes are allowed so much square footage on a too small lot and are eating up most of the trees in the neighbourhood? We recently lost about 10 very tall fir trees on the corner of #5 and Steveston Hwy. for a future townhouse</p>

Comments:

development. Squirrel sightings were much more common before they were cut down. The remaining fir trees on # 5 Rd will surely be gone if this is approved. I would also like to point out in regards to lane access that Seacliff road is already a very busy and dangerous road with so many cars using it as a route from/to # 5 Rd. that lane access will make it even more so. Until new subdivided homes can be built in a smaller, more reasonable size and in a more environmentally friendly way I am against any more subdividing of properties. I have one more comment to make. This area of Richmond has had alot of commercial development, loss of farmland , and residential projects pending yet which area of Richmond has been ignored as far as parks go? We have of course. I would like to suggest that city council look at giving us a nice landscaped park instead of more housing. We have no real parks in this area at all except for school yards. It is about time that this area of Richmond got something nice. Right now it feels that only developers have a vision for this part of Richmond.