## MayorandCouncillors

Schedule 7 to the Minutes of the Public Hearing meeting of Richmond City Council held on Monday, July 18, 2016.

From:

Webgraphics

Sent:

Sunday, 10 July 2016 9:43 AM

To:

MayorandCouncillors

Subject:

PH - July 18, 2016 - Item 10 - RICHMOND OFFICIAL COMMUNITY PLAN BYLAW 7100, AMENDMENT BYLAW 9589 AND RICHMOND ZONING BYLAW 8500, AMENDMENT

BYLAW 9590 (ZT 16-735335) - Send a Submission Online (response #962)

## Send a Submission Online (response #962)

**Survey Information** 

Site:	City Website Syle	<u> </u>
Page Title:	Send a Submission Online	41
URL:	http://cms.richmond.ca/Page1793.aspx	
Submission Time/Date:	7/10/2016 9:42:48 AM	

Kelvin Higo

Survey Response

Your Name

Your Address	3220 Regent Street
Subject Property Address OR Bylaw Number	Onni Development at Imperial Landing
Comments	Re: July 18/16 Public Hearing Though the Generation Daycare may be a worthwhile endeavor for City Council to approve, I am concerned about the precendent this sets with regards to the rezoning of the Onni Property in Steveston. If the City allows "sport" re-zoning, this defeats the whole purpose of the public hearing process that Onni went through to get their development approved in the first place. It also makes a mockery of the public's time and concern in participating in this type of process if a developer can return to Council after the fact and claim financial hardship. Onni Properties knew exactly or should have known what "maritime mixed use" meant when they made the original zoning application. Failure to do their due diligence does not mean that they get to do a "do over" without any consequences. If Council allows one "spot rezoning" for this property what will they do when Onni returns with another "valid"

To Public Hearing
Date: July 18, 2016
Item # 10
Re: Ocp Amendment
Bylaw 9589 and
Zoning Amendment
Bylaw 9590
27/6-735335

JUL 1 i 2016

RECEIVED

community need" and requests a further spot rezoning? If Council approves this current request by Onni in support of the Generations daycare spot rezoning, then it should be made very clear to Onni that any further rezoning requests will not be considered until negotiations satisfactory to the City is completed. As a longtime resident of Steveston, I feel there is a win-win solution provided the solution is based on some base principles. These include not taking away or competing with the Steveston town "core" businesses; that the businesses eventually allowed to establish along the waterfront are complimentary to the Steveston area; and that the developers compensate the community in a significant manner for the change in zoning. Steveston has become a very unique destination tourist attraction as well as a wonderful place to live and every developer has the responsibility to build on the various commercial and residential developments that has made Steveston what it is today and what it could become tomorrow.