

Schedule 7 to the Minutes of the
Council Meeting for Public
Hearings held on
Tuesday, May, 20, 2014.

Mayor and Councillors

From: Klaus Gade [klausgade@northan.com]
Sent: Tuesday, 20 May 2014 15:57
To: Mayor and Councillors
Cc: Badyal, Sara
Subject: Development 13040 NO.2 Rd
Attachments: Dear Mayor and Councilors.docx

Categories: 12-8060-20-9094 - RZ 12-602748 - 13040 No. 2 Rd - Kirk Yuen of Cape Construction (2001) Ltd.

To Public Hearing
Date: <u>May 20, 2014</u>
Item # <u>1</u>
Re: <u>13040 No. 2 Rd</u> <u>RZ 12-602748</u>

Dear Mayor and Councilors,

Regarding the proposed development at 13040 No.2 Road, I am concerned about the size, proximity and change it will bring to the South end of No.2 Road.

Let me say I am at least pleased to hear the Developer has offered to not use the easement and has come up with an alternative to garbage/recycle pick up location.

I am still very concerned with the proximity of the new proposed development to our building. It is a very "In your face" development; that is, it is too big and too close. It appears there is no consideration for a green buffer zone between our building and the new proposed building. There will be no privacy for those living on the west side of our building. Why does it have to be as high as is proposed? No doubt to maximize profits. Why can the new development not be one less storey?

I used to live in the village of Steveston. I moved and have stayed at 6233 London Road because it offers a quieter, less busy, less crowded surrounding. Building and densifying is not in tune with this part of Richmond. Even the ALR is disappearing with the building of super mansions on it. Why must the character of this side of Richmond be compromised? Is it not enough another development is being built at the end of No.2 road?

Did no one find it odd at the last meeting, Mr. Dana Westermarck of Oris Development was supposedly concerned with the esthetics of a building he has nothing to do with. If this is worth noting, then would the size and proximity to our building not be worth noting?

Further, what happened to the engineer's report that was proposed for the parkade/easement behind 6233 London Road? Does anyone remember the parkade that collapsed in Eliot Lake? Supposedly the city's engineers had signed off on that to.

Thank you for your time

Klaus Gade

6233 London road