

MayorandCouncillors

From: MayorandCouncillors
Subject: FW: Send a Submission Online (response #892)

Sent: Friday, 20 November 2015 07:45
To: MayorandCouncillors

Your Name	David \$ Elizabeth Currie
Your Address	5860 Kittiwake Drive
Subject Property Address OR Bylaw Number	LUC Early Termination
Comments	<p>I would like to put on record is that I am against council approving the early termination of the LUC's. I would like to ask council why we are discussing this early termination. There has been 95 houses built I believe on LUC properties and only a small percentage of complaints. I believe this meeting has been called because a very small group of individuals particularly from the Westwind area have created a lot of noise. I think there are bigger issues to deal with like the need for low cost housing, over spending at city hall, food bank usage etc. The list is extensive. I also believe that the change that has occurred in Richmond has happened far too fast and many of the members of this present council have been party to this. They get constant feedback people are not happy with this change, and I would suggest that maybe they feel a little guilty for the mess they have created, and consider that approving this change will appease the situation. Approving the early termination is not going to change one thing. We are still going to have monster houses. We are still going to have issues with privacy and shade problems. The massing issue which is being touted is a very small percentage of new LUC homes, so it would seem that I am going to be affected by a very small group. I don't think this should happen. In conclusion, if council approve early termination, the possible effect on this change to the four thousand plus home owners will be that their principal investment will possibly be worth less. What builder, investor, purchaser will want to purchase and LUC home with this controversy surrounding it. I recognise that we do know if the house value will decrease but I don't want to gamble on it. One final question. Would council gamble this way with their home value. The bottom line for me is money. I would suggest that you leave the LUC term as is with an expiry in 2024.</p>

City Clerk's Office

--- - 37

LUC Correspondence

