

Report to Committee

To:

Planning Committee

Date:

December 13, 2011

From:

Cathryn Volkering Carlile

File:

General Manager - Community Services

Re:

Child Care Development Advisory Committee 2011 Annual Report and 2012 Work Program

Staff Recommendation

That, as per the General Manager of Community Services' report dated December 13, 2011, "Child Care Development Advisory Committee: 2011 Annual Report and 2012 Work Program", the Child Care Development Advisory Committee 2012 Work Program be approved.

Cathryn Volkering Carlile

General Manager - Community Services

lileader

Att. 1

	FOR ORIGINA	ATING DEPARTME	ENT USE ONLY
ROUTED TO: Development Application	ions	CONCURRENCE Y M N	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER
REVIEWED BY TAG	YES	NO	REVIEWED BY CAO YES NO

Staff Report

Origin

The mandate of the Child Care Development Advisory Committee (CCDAC) is to provide Council with advice regarding the development of quality, affordable and accessible child care in Richmond.

This report presents the CCDAC 2011 Annual Report and proposed 2012 Work Program (Attachment 1), which supports the following 2008 – 2011 Council Term Goal.

Improve the effectiveness of the delivery of social services in the City through the development and implementation of a Social and Community Service Strategy that includes:

- clearly articulated roles and services for the City, and a viable funding strategy
- the development and implementation of an effective lobbying strategy in partnership with community groups that targets the Province for funding for social service needs
- facilitation/development of an effective cross-service network that includes both intergovernmental and community agencies supporting/working together cooperatively
- facilitation of leadership mentoring between groups to make them more effective.

Analysis

The CCDAC Mission Statement is:

"As Council directs and as resources are provided, the Child Care Development Advisory Committee (CCDAC) provides Council with advice (e.g., information, options, analysis, recommendations), regarding the planning, development, support and promotion of a range of quality, affordable and accessible child care in the City of Richmond."

The goal of the CCDAC is to assist Council in planning for and supporting quality child care in Richmond.

The CCDAC 2011 Annual Report and proposed 2012 Work Program are found in Attachment 1.

1. 2011 Annual Report

In 2011, CCDAC members participated in a range of undertakings to advance child care in Richmond, including recommending Child Care Grant allocations; monitoring the implementation of full day kindergarten; and submitting letters to Council providing advice regarding Child Care Grants for non-capital expenses, a City Child Care Coordinator staff position, and the "Community Plan for a Public System of Integrated Early Care and Learning".

Meeting attendance and participation has been strong in 2011, and staff anticipate that this trend will continue in 2012.

2. Proposed 2012 Work Program

In 2012, CCDAC will give priority to advising City Staff and Council regarding the Official Community Plan and the Social Planning Strategy; participating in City planning processes, including providing advice regarding childcare hub models; advocating for the establishment of a childcare coordinator position; and undertaking May is Childcare Month activities, including a display proposed for the City Hall Atrium.

City staff will support the CCDAC 2012 Work Program as City policies, work programs, staff time and resources permit.

CCDAC submitted an additional level request to fund the childcare coordinator position. This request was reviewed by senior staff but was not deemed high priority in comparison with other funding requests. Council will be presented with all additional level requests as part of the 2012 budget process.

CCDAC currently receives an annual operating budget of \$5,000. CCDAC is requesting an increase of \$2,000 due to increased secretarial costs (\$1,000) and advertising for Childcare Month activities (\$1,000), as follows:

Projected expenses for 2012:

\$3,000
\$2,000
\$2,000
\$7,000

For 2012, CCDAC is requesting an operating budget of \$7,000. Staff do not recommend increasing the budget to maintain consistency with other advisory committees that are not requesting increases, and to minimize overall operating budget increases.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact at this time. CCDAC currently receives an annual operating budget of \$5,000.

Conclusion

CCDAC members are devoted to improving the availability and accessibility of quality child care in Richmond. In the coming year, CCDAC will continue to participate in City initiatives, including the Official Community Plan, the Social Planning Strategy and City planning processes. CCDAC will also work in partnership with statutory organizations, child care providers and non-profit organizations to support child care in Richmond. Staff recommend support for the proposed CCDAC 2012 Work Program.

Lesley Sherlock Social Planner

(604-276-4220)

LS:ls

CITY OF RICHMOND

CHILDCARE DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

2011 ANNUAL REPORT

The Childcare Development Advisory Committee (CCDAC) had an enjoyable and productive year. We had full membership and relatively good attendance at meetings, which made the meetings enjoyable and stimulating. A summary of our key initiatives is outlined below:

- 1. We began the year with four new citizen appointments to our committee: Princess Go, Alice Law, Harp Mundie, and Shyrose Nurmohamed. Princess Go resigned during the course of the year and Lori Mountain was a new appointment during the year.
- 2. At our February meeting, Brian Jackson (Director of Development) spoke to us about the Development Negotiation Process. This helped to clarify the city process when negotiating new childcare space and why staff are restricted legally on what information they can release to the committee during the process.
- 3. During January and February, in keeping with our Work Program for 2011, we formed sub-committees to address each of the pertinent subjects that we had outlined. These sub-committees reported regularly to the Committee and an brief outline of their discussions is attached to this report. These included:
 - Lobbying
 - Networking
 - Childcare Grants
 - City Planning Processes
 - Pillars of the Child Care System
- 4. In May, Jane Boyd of "Work Family & Life Consulting" was our guest speaker and presented a Draft of the City of Richmond's Design Guidelines for Negotiated Child Care Facilities.
- 5. At our November meeting, Suzanne Carter-Huffman, Senior Planner/Urban Design, for the City spoke to us about the City Centre Development and Child Care Negotiations.
- 6. There were three subjects that dominated our meetings throughout the year. I take this opportunity to reaffirm their importance. We have submitted letters to the City on all of these matters:
 - the additional level funding request for a Child Care Coordinator
- the Coalition of Childcare Advocates of BC and their Community Plan for a Public System of Integrated Early Care and Learning and their request for support through the Metro Vancouver Board
 - a review of the Childcare Grant program and suggested revisions to the criteria

7. Linda McPhail, our School Board liaison, provided an update on the implementation of full day Kindergarten.

We also would like to take this opportunity to express our sincerest thanks to Lesley Sherlock (City Staff) for her capable support and direction as we worked our way through our year's agenda. We also appreciated the advice of our Council liaison, Cllr. Evelina Halsey-Brandt, particularly in the area of the grant program and our desire to make some changes to the criteria.

2012 WORK PROGRAM (Table attached)

Our 2012 Work Program will provide the opportunity to continue lobbying for a Childcare Coordinator, to complete our reports on the remaining "Pillars of the Childcare System" and to work on a special project for Childcare month.

2012 BUDGET

CCDAC received an operating budget of \$5,000 for 2011. The following proposed 2012 budget includes an increase of \$1,000 for advertising CCDAC May is Childcare Month activities and \$1,000 for secretarial services (meeting expenses).

	4
Proposed Committee Budget:	\$7,000.00
riobosed Committee Budget.	Φ/,000.00

Projected expenses for 2012:

Meetings and Miscellaneous Expenses:	\$3,000.00
Forums and Conventions	\$2,000.00
Childcare Month Expenses	\$2,000.00
Total	\$7,000.00

MEMBERS of the 2011 Childcare Development Advisory Committee

VOTING:

- 1. Linda Shirley (Chair)
- 2. Shyrose Nurmohamed (Vice Chair)
- 3. Janet Dhanani
- 4. Ofer Marom
- 5. Sonia Dhudwal
- 6. Gina Ho
- 7. Sushma Wadhwania
- 8. Ginny Lam
- 9. Alice Law
- 10. Lori Mountain
- 11. Harp Mundie

NON-VOTING:

- Marcia MacKenzie (Child Care Resource and Referral)
- Linda McPhail (School Board Liaison)

ADDENDUM REPORTS FROM WORK PROGRAM SUBCOMMITTEES

LOBBYING

This subcommittee focused its efforts on promoting the creation of a child care coordinator as part of city staff. A business case indicating the importance of the role followed by a proposed job description was submitted for review by Council and a budget request was put forward to cover such a position.

NETWORKING

The Networking Subcommittee supported the Child Care Resource and Referral's establishment of a networking group that met regularly once or twice a month. An official name was established (The Richmond Childcare Alliance) which gave the group credibility and an official status. Chairpersons for this committee are Shyrose Nurmohamed and Lori Mountain and the Treasurer is Harp Mundie. A Group RRSP plan has now been established whereby members can contribute monthly towards future retirement. An official website is under construction and should be on-line by mid-late January 2012. Interested members may establish a website presence to promote their business for a fee of \$50 per year. A bank account has also been opened at TD Canada Trust as a club. The Committee continues to recruit members and advertise this endeavour for more participation. Lack of participation continues to be an ongoing issue with fewer than twenty people regularly attending meetings. More fun topics, speakers and social evenings will subsequently be planned to encourage more CCRR members to attend and become part of the Alliance.

CHILDCARE GRANTS

The grant subcommittee received 13 applications for this grant and recommended the distribution of \$26,050 to three non-profit organizations. The funds were distributed to groups which would serve the overall needs of all children regardless of the type of care they were enrolled in or the teaching philosophy of that program.

The committee also played a part in the action to change the terms of the grant in order to include, in the future, professional development and other options, rather than limiting the grants to only minor capital budget projects.

CITY PLANNING PROCESSES

This subcommittee addressed the concerns of the group in that often we heard about new developments only when they were released to the media. The following requests were made:

- Request that the City of Richmond contact the Advisory Committee once a developer has applied for a site and the City has gained knowledge that either a monetary claim or a childcare location will be required at the specific site. CCDAC can then give the City advice on whether a) a childcare is needed in that area b) what type of child care (age, program, number of children, etc) or c) if monetary donation would be more advisable for this development.
- If privacy is an issue and the City feels it cannot release too much information regarding the development, then a radius (eg. 2 km's north, east, south, west) can be shown to the advisory committee and we can give feedback regarding that area.
- If possible, have the CCDAC members sign a confidentiality agreement if information will be presented that cannot be released to the public, thus enabling the committee to give as accurate feedback as possible.

PILLARS OF THE CHILDCARE SYSTEM

COLLABORATION / PARTNERSHIP

Providing child care can be isolating. Many caregivers never see each other except when earning the 40 hrs of professional development required by Licensing. Centres are often reluctant to allow visits from other providers. Collaboration opportunities that do exist are scattered and usually focused on a specific topic such as make-and-take instead of enhancing the development of pedagogy.

Current Reality

- There is currently no centralized society or organization overseeing collaboration.
- CCRR holds a variety of workshops throughout the year—around 20-25 participants attend.
- Richmond Childcare Education Training Committee has held an annual conference each spring—this year is its 4th. It is usually sold-out.
- CCRR has supported a networking group for many years that recently became the Richmond Childcare Alliance Network.

Challenges

- No current organization or individual is mandated to organize collaboration.
- It is difficult to find suitable time—hard to get away in the daytime and care givers are reluctant to attend nights or weekends.
- Divergent demographics—different philosophies, needs and levels of expertise.
- There is a prevalent attitude that workshops are only a means to an end—people attend solely to get certificate for licensing.
- General feeling of apathy over improving skill set.
- Richmond Children First offered a subsidy for providers to attend professional development. Due to lack of applications this program has been cancelled.

Suggestions

- Establish a city staff position of Child-Care Coordinator.
- Establish a 'model centre' to share ideas and latest developments in child care.
- Start a collaborative website that centralizes existing opportunities.
- Lobby Licensing at the Provincial level to modify Professional Development requirements.
- Market the benefits of collaboration to raise participation levels.
- Support the existing grass-root projects by co-sponsoring workshops.
- Set-up 'Train the trainers' models to disseminate knowledge through the child care community.
- Facilitate partnerships between similar centres—Montessori, Family, Play-based.

QUALITY

It is often difficult to meet all the needs of families. However, City-owned facilities should be 'model' facilities that fulfill the needs of a myriad of family units and offer various programs that can serve as a reference point for other caregivers to establish their own centers. Parents also need to be educated to recognize and give credit to the variety of centers available. Quality programming is also dependent upon quality teachers. Graduates of programs need to better train in order to cope with the demands of a busy center. As well, licensing requirements need to allow more flexibility in their requirements for teachers and assistants.

The remaining pillars of: Space, Affordability, Minimized Bureaucracy and Central Coordination will be part of our 2012 Work Program.

CCDAC 2012 Work Program

This Work Program reflects the following 2008 - 2011 Council Term Goal: #4: Community & Social Services - Improve the effectiveness of the delivery of social services.

#4: Community & Social Services - Improve the effectiveness of the delivery of social services in the City through the development and implementation of a Social and Community Service Strategy:

clearly articulated roles and services for the City, and a viable funding strategy

the development and implementation of an effective lobbying strategy in partnership with community groups that targets the Province for funding for social service needs

facilitation/development of an effective cross-service network that includes both intergovernmental and community agencies supporting/working together cooperatively

facilitation of leadership mentoring between groups to make them more effective

Initiative	CCDAC Action/Steps	Expected Outcome	Indicator of Success	Partners
Advocacy				
More effective advocacy to	 Monitor issues, emerging trends 	Lobbying through	Improved funding,	 Federal Govt.
senior levels of government to	 Discuss, consider roles, 	Council to senior levels	policy and licensing	 Provincial
address the funding,	summarize issues	of government	programs	Govt.
bureaucracy, changing policies,	 Pass motions or resolutions 			 Child Care
and licensing issues for child	Prepare letters/briefs			Licensing
care providers	 Submit to Council through Staff Liaison 			(VCH)
Monitor proposal submitted to	CCDAC to monitor progress of	 Province and City 	Request considered by	 Stakeholders
City requesting a municipally or	proposal through Council Liaison	advised re: need,	Council and the	
provincially funded child care	 CCDAC to prepare a job 	roles, responsibilities	Province	
coordinator who would facilitate	description to further modify the	for child care		
stakeholder collaboration and act	role of a child care coordinator	coordination		
as a liaison to senior levels of		 A guideline of 		
government for licensing and		recommendations for		
other government issues.		the role of child care		
		coordinator		
Develop a job description for a				
Manifor progress of Information		Informed CCDAC	The proposal is	- Ctakeholdere
System of Farly Care and	disadvantages of the proposal	members can then lobby	established with	• Local
Learning Framework group and	Document discussion into a	government through	guidelines and	caregivers
their "Community Plan" proposal	report that can be used as a	Council with	recommendations	
	guideline of recommendations	recommendations and	according to our local	
	 Discuss proposal with local 	changes to the	community	
	caregivers to obtain opinions of	framework		
	the framework and how it could			
	serve their needs			

Initiative	CCDAC Action/Steps	Expected Outcome	Indicator of Success	Partners
City Planning Processes				
Participate in City consultations	 Continue to participate in the Official Community Plan and Social Planning Strategy consultations 	Plans for future growth will address the need for quality, affordable childcare	OCP and Social Planning Strategy will incorporate CCDAC's perspective	 Stakeholders
Improve the current method of reviewing child care space.	 Requested that staff review earliest point in which CCDAC may be 	 Inclusion of CCDAC in the planning of new 	Guidelines adopted and CCDAC	DevelopersCity of
options in new developments	involved in the development	facilities and	consulted regarding:	Richmond
so that CCDAC can be more	process	implementation of	When/where to	
proactive and find out about	 Review CCDAC submission to the City Centre Area Plan and CCAP 	 discussed guidelines Better planned child 	negotiate built facilities vs. cash	
about them in the newspaper	Child Care section	care facilities that	contributions	
 Address request from Senior 	 Propose guidelines for negotiations 	address needs of the	 Built facilities are 	
City Planner as to design and	based on size of development, unit	local community	designed and	
use of new child care facilities Indoor/Outdoor space	type and location, and wnen to prioritize monetary contributions	Give private operators a chance to develop	community	
requirements, location &	Motion and proposal regarding the	and own a facility	according to size,	
needs	Hub Model provided to Council	 Model centres with 	location, design,	
 Explore Hub model, its 	 Address Senior City Planner's 	varied programs that	program offered	
advantages and	request as to the minimum size of	may not be available	When/where to	
disadvantages	facility that should be negotiated	elsewhere, catering to	prioritize hubs and	
	with builders	all age levels of	ideal size, design,	
	 Determine when to negotiate cash 	children and perhaps	model	
	contributions versus a built facility	even seniors	• Inclusion of	
	 Consider whether a facility should 		CCDAC Into the	
	be City or privately owned and		developing and	
	determine market value of		operating process	
	premises		of the facility	
	Set parameters for age groups or		Silo ide ide	
	special pulposes for flew lacilities			
	Inform the City's Senior Planner of discussion outcomes and present			
	with a report prepared by the			
	CCDAC			

Initiative	CCDAC Action/Steps	Expected Outcome	Indicator of Success	Partners
Pillars of Child Care System				
Develop guidelines or targets to help establish high performance in the five pillars of the childcare system outlined above – quality, collaboration/partnership, space, affordability, minimized bureaucracy, and central coordination. (Two of the pillars have been addressed, last three remaining.)	 Develop guidelines or targets for high performance Discuss one topic at each meeting Streamline the discussion and format into a report for submission to City planners Request that above report be formed into a booklet that is available to serve as a general guideline for builders, planners and public for reference, quality control 	 Improved quality, partnering, availability, efficiency and coordination Milestone: A booklet of recommendations that would provide guidelines that can be used in the future for quality programs and facilities 	Definitions, standards, guidelines and targets established with written references from CCDAC	Stakeholders City of Richmond
Child Care Grants				
Recommend Child Care Grant allocations	 Review revised program materials Review applications Recommend grants to Council Review allocation of a portion of the grants to educational programs and workshops for caregivers 	 Quality and capacity of child care programs will be enhanced Caregivers will have opportunities to attend workshops, participate in professional development 	Council endorses recommendations, allocates grants	Stakeholders
May is Child Care Month				
Propose activities for Child Care Month in May	 Plan suggestions for activities that are multicultural and inclusive of all children in the community 	 Enhance role of CCDAC Encourage participation from the community Celebrate 	Multicultural child- oriented festivities that celebrate all children and their families	 Stakeholders
		2,7 4 7 7 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3	Community building	C+akeholders
 As proposed by the Richmond Child Care Alliance, work with the City to establish a local children's art exhibit inclusive 	 Advertise to all local early childhood centres about exhibit Preview all work of schools involved Showcase the art in a non-partisan 	 Showcase CCDAC's promotion of the importance and freedom of learning and enhancing self- 	community building, networking improvement and liaison with City in support of the	Richmond Child Care Alliance
to be showcased in the City Hall Atrium. Exhibit to take place during	manner that does not favour one school over another or one child over another	esteem in our children through creation of unique pieces of self- exploratory art	importance of children	

Initiative		CCDAC Action/Steps	Expected Outcome	Indicator of Success	Partners
Networking					
Improve current level of	•	Refine contents of stakeholders	Better community	CCDAC will work	 Community
networking and collaboration	e de la composição de l	spreadsheet, use to achieve	network connections to	with community	Stakeholders
amongst child care providers to	a selec	goals, e.g., network, partner,	improve quality of child	partners to improve	
develop more consistent, flexible		identify service gaps/duplication,	care and to tackle arising	child care programs	
and quality child care programs		develop recommendations	community issues	and options	
and options	•	Attend Child Care Dinner to			
		expand network & raise			
		awareness of CCDAC			
	•	Participate in Child Care			
		Resource & Referral and Child			
		Care Alliance Network meetings			