

City of Richmond

Report to Council

To:

Richmond City Council

Date:

July 23, 2008

From:

Councillor Harold Steves Chair, Planning Committee File:

08-4045-20-10

Re:

NEW SCHOOL - CITY CENTRE

The Planning Committee, at its meeting held on July 22, 2008, considered the attached material and recommends as follows:

Committee Recommendation

That the following recommendation be forwarded to City Council and to the Board of Education of School District No. 38 (Richmond) for approval:

"That support be given to the need for expanded school capacity within the City Centre Area and the process to find options to meet this need be commenced as soon as possible."

Councillor Harold Steves, Chair Planning Committee

Attach.

VARIANCE

Please note that the Council/School Board Liaison Committee recommended the following:

That the following recommendation be forwarded to City Council and to the Board of Education of School District No. 38 (Richmond) for approval:

"That approval in principle be given to the location of a new school within the City Centre Area Plan, and that the process to find a location for this facility be commenced as soon as possible."

Council/School Board Liaison Committee

File no .08-4045-20-10/2008-TO Planning-July 22,

Tuesday, June 17th, 2008

6.4A CITY CENTRE AREA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

(COR - Terry Crowe; RSD - Ken Morris)

Mr. Crowe circulated to members of the Committee, a copy of a draft Work Program which had been prepared in response to a request from the Joint Management Committee, which would help to determine the location of a new elementary school in the City Centre. A copy of this Work Program is attached as Schedule A and forms part of these minutes. The proposed plan was then reviewed in detail by Mr. Crowe. Mr. Morris also spoke about the proposed Work Program, noting that development of the program had been collaborative in nature, and had an aggressive time frame in which to complete the work.

With reference to the Work Program, advice was given that updates would be provided at future Committees meetings on the progress of this Program.

A brief discussion ensued, during which City staff were thanked for their work on the new CCAP and the report which had been provided to the School Trustees. Concern was expressed however about where children would attend school within the CCAP, the availability of funds from the Ministry of Education for a new school, and the potential timeline for construction as a new school would not be constructed for four to five years.

As a result of the discussion, the following motion was introduced:

It was moved and seconded

That the following recommendation be forwarded to City Council and to the Board of Education of School District No. 38 (Richmond) for approval:

"That approval in principle be given to the location of a new school within the City Centre Area Plan, and that the process to find a location for this facility be commenced as soon as possible."

CARRIED

(Mr. Crowe then left the meeting (10:53 a.m.), and did not return.)

4. NEW BUSINESS

4.1 Underutilized Space in Schools (RSD - Update - Oral Report)

Designated Speaker: Ken Morris

Mr. Morris reviewed the correspondence received from the Ministry of Education regarding underutilized space in local schools, and which indicated that 'the Ministry of Education supports the expanded utilization of school assets to benefit the community and wants to ensure that excess school space is used effectively to meet the needs of the surrounding community.'

7.

SCHEDULE A TO THE MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL/SCHOOL BOARD LIAISON COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, JUNE 17, 2008.

June 11, 2008 5pm

DRAFT

WORK PROGRAM To Determine The Location Of A New Elementary School In the City Centre Richmond School Board [SB] and City Of Richmond [City]

1. Directives

í	(1.)	Dire	ective # 1: From the City - SB Joint Directives Management Committee - April 10, 2008 To prepare a discussion paper and schedule, for SB and City collaboration, for selecting a new elementary school form, size and location in the City Centre.
19				form, size, location and implementation for this school. The idea is to be prepared with a 'road map' for the next Board and Council for dealing with this issue.
		8		The draft will be brought to the joint management committee by TC and KM for review.
	. (2.)	Dire	ective # 2: School Board – May 20, 2008 CCAP Implementation Strategy Highlights: THAT the Board of Education (Richmond) direct staff to continue to meet with the City of Richmond and to prepare a report by the end of June/early July regarding acquiring a school site that will be brought to a future meeting of the Board CARRIED
2.	_			e Of This Report s report outlines a work program, by which the SB and City can collaborate to select a new
	C		elen	nentary school form, size and location in the City Centre. there are many possible options, the solution may be either in or near the City Centre.
3.			To f	ork Program acilitate the completion of the Work Program, it is proposed that: Richmond Council /School Board Liaison Committee (CSBLC) address this issue by holding special meetings, perhaps twice a month
20 9			The - -	SB and City staff prepare information in summary from, and draft Work Program below may be lengthened to accommodate: any extra time for City, SB and Provincial consultation and approvals, and any community consultations are anticipated to made in 2009.

Work Program To Acquire A New Elementary School In the City Centre					
Topic	Who does It?	Schedule (Done By)			
1. Draft Work Program (this report)	SB and City	June 30, 2008			
2. Need For New School					
☐ Statement of Need – Why is a new school needed?					
Q When will the new school be needed? (e.g., in 5, 10 years?)	SB	By July 31,			
D Demographics		2008			
□ Other		,			
3. General New School Considerations (Facts, Pertinent Points)					
(1) Relevant BC MoE initiatives and policies					
(2) BC Maximum Allowable (school site and building)					
(e.g., for 300 children - 2ha. + .2 ha for every +50 children)	4 9				
(3) Review Existing City and SB Resolutions and understandings regarding					
land acquisition and the recent refinements of those understandings.	ng				
(4) BC School Site Selection Guide					
(5) Walk-Limits					
(6) Busing					
(7) Transfer students					
(8) Portables					
(9) District Programs		8			
(10) Preliminary Preferences:		59			
		the state of the second second			
	— SB	By August 31,			
- City		2008			
- Provincial	15 21 0t st				
- Community	**				
(11) Funding sources:	8 8 8	8			
- SSAC,					
- Provincial \$\$\$,		,			
- Sale of SB lands,		* **			
- Possible Senior Gov't & Other Green Initiatives \$\$\$					
Possible Senior Gov't & Other Green Grants		9			
(12) Cost considerations:					
- Land	8 8				
- Construction					
1. New School Type Option: For each option:					
 Describe the nature of the possible school/educational experience): 		¥ 8			
- Pros		ū.			
- Cons	v ²²				
- Conclusion per Option		A 527			
(1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres)					
- single storey .		No.			
- two storey		Die Arrent 24			
(2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?)	SB	By August 31, 2008			
- single storey		2006			
- two storey					
(3) Only expand existing schools through renovation					
(4) Use Portables) ** }			
(5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space		ž.			
(6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits)	.				
(7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson		n = 1			
(8) Other	1				
(9) Conclusion					
	~				

Inventory Of New School Type & Site Options Sites may be in or near the City Centré. For each option: Describe the nature of the possible school/educational experience): Pros Cons Conclusion per Option (1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres) I single storey I two storey Site A Site B Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) I single storey I two storey Site A Site B Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation Site A Site B Site C (4) Use Portables Site A Site B Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space Site B Site C (5) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) Site A Site B Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) Site B Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site B Site C Site B Site C Site B Site C (8) Other	SB & City	By Sept 30, 2008
- Describe the nature of the possible school/educational experience): - Pros - Cons - Conclusion per Option (1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres) - single storey - two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) - single storey - two storey - site A - Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation - Site B - Site C (4) Use Portables - Site B - Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space - Site B - Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) - Site A - Site B - Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson - Site B - Site B - Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
- Pros - Cons - Conclusion per Option (1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres) □ single storey □ two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) □ single storey □ two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
- Consciusion per Option (1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres) □ single storey □ two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) □ single storey □ two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
- Conclusion per Option (1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres) □ single storey □ two storey □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) □ single storey □ two storey □ two storey □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
(1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres) single storey two storey Site A Site B Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) single storey two storey Site A Site B Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation Site A Site B Site C (4) Use Portables Site A Site B Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space Site B Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) Site B Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site B Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
□ single storey □ two storey □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) □ single storey □ two storey □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
□ two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) □ single storey □ two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
- Site B - Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) - single storey - two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation - Site A - Site B - Site C (4) Use Portables - Site B - Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space - Site B - Site B - Site C (5) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) - Site B - Site B - Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) - Site B - Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson - Site B - Site C - Site B	SB & City	
- Site C (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) single storey two storey Site A Site B Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation Site A Site B Site C (4) Use Portables Site B Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space Site B Site B Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) Site B Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site B Site C Site B Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site B Site C Site B Site C Site B	SB & City	
(2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?) □ single storey □ two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site B □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB &. City	
□ single storey □ two storey □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site B □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
□ two storey - Site A - Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site B □ Site B □ Site B □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site B □ Site B □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB &. City	
- Site A - Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site B □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
- Site B - Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation Site A Site B Site C (4) Use Portables Site B Site B Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space Site B Site B Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) Site B Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site B Site B Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
- Site C (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
(3) Only expand existing schools through renovation Site A Site B Site C (4) Use Portables Site A Site B Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space Site A Site B Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) Site A Site B Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site A Site B Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
□ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
□ Site B □ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
□ Site C (4) Use Portables □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	SB & City	
□ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	ob a. Oily	2008
□ Site B □ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other		
□ Site C (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other		
(5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space Site A Site B Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) Site A Site B Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site A Site B Site B Site C (8) Other		
□ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other		A X
□ Site B □ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other		**
□ Site C (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C	55	* *
(6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits) Site A Site B Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site A Site B Site C (8) Other	57	F .
□ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	5*0 E	
□ Site B □ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	w ^r .	1
□ Site C (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	9/	650
(7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson Site A Site B Site C (8) Other	1.00	g 8
□ Site A □ Site B □ Site C (8) Other	16	
Site C (8) Other		
Site C (8) Other	9	
	86 M	
C 0'1 A	133 De	
□ Site A	8	
□ Site B		120
☐ Site C		
(9) Conclusion	B	
	SB & City	By Sept 30, 2008
The state of the s	SB & City	By Sept 30, 2008
Rank School Type and Site Options		
(1) Ranking 1 - By Preference [quality of facility & educational experience]		
(2) Ranking 2 - By Cost [highest - lowest]	DD 0 511	By Sept 30,
(3) Ranking 3 - By Practicality [all factors][SB & City	2008
(4) Conclusions (e.g., preferred solution - all factors) (5) SB & City staff recommendation	164	VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VII
To y ou a only stati recommendation		
SB - City Liaison Committee decision and recommendation to SB & City.		In 2009

		Work Program			
	10 Acquire A New	Elementary Schoo	I in the City Cent	re	
10 CB Davisian			¥	vno does it?	(Done By)