# Council/School Board Liaison Committee

## Tuesday, June 17th, 2008

# 6.4A CITY CENTRE AREA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

(COR - Terry Crowe; RSD - Ken Morris)

Mr. Crowe circulated to members of the Committee, a copy of a draft Work Program which had been prepared in response to a request from the Joint Management Committee, which would help to determine the location of a new elementary school in the City Centre. A copy of this Work Program is attached as Schedule A and forms part of these minutes. The proposed plan was then reviewed in detail by Mr. Crowe. Mr. Morris also spoke about the proposed Work Program, noting that development of the program had been collaborative in nature, and had an aggressive time frame in which to complete the work.

With reference to the Work Program, advice was given that updates would be provided at future Committees meetings on the progress of this Program.

A brief discussion ensued, during which City staff were thanked for their work on the new CCAP and the report which had been provided to the School Trustees. Concern was expressed however about where children would attend school within the CCAP, the availability of funds from the Ministry of Education for a new school, and the potential timeline for construction as a new school would not be constructed for four to five years.

As a result of the discussion, the following motion was introduced:

It was moved and seconded

That the following recommendation be forwarded to City Council and to the Board of Education of School District No. 38 (Richmond) for approval:

"That approval in principle be given to the location of a new school within the City Centre Area Plan, and that the process to find a location for this facility be commenced as soon as possible."

CARRIED

## 4.

Designated Speaker: Ken Morris

Mr. Morris reviewed the correspondence received from the Ministry of Education regarding underutilized space in local schools, and which indicated that the Ministry of Education supports the expanded utilization of instance of the community and wants to ensure that every sused effectively to meet the needs of the surround.

SCHEDULE A TO THE MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL/SCHOOL BOARD LIAISON COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, JUNE 17, 2008.

June 11, 2008 5pm

## DRAFT

# WORK PROGRAM To Determine The Location Of A New Elementary School In the City Centre Richmond School Board [SB] and City Of Richmond [City]

### 1. Directives

2.

3.

(1.)	Dire O	ective # 1: From the City - SB Joint Directives Management Committee - April 10, 2008  To prepare a discussion paper and schedule, for SB and City collaboration, for selecting a new elementary school form, size and location in the City Centre.  form, size, location and implementation for this school.  The idea is to be prepared with a 'road map' for the next Board and Council for dealing with this issue.
		The draft will be brought to the joint management committee by TC and KM for review.
(2.)	Dire	ective # 2: School Board – May 20, 2008  CCAP Implementation Strategy Highlights: THAT the Board of Education (Richmond) direct staff to continue to meet with the City of Richmond and to prepare a report by the end of June/early July regarding acquiring a school site that will be brought to a future meeting of the Board CARRIED
	This elen	e Of This Report s report outlines a work program, by which the SB and City can collaborate to select a new nentary school form, size and location in the City Centre. there are many possible options, the solution may be either in or near the City Centre.
Draf (1.)	t Wa To f - - The -	ork Program facilitate the completion of the Work Program, it is proposed that: Richmond Council /School Board Liaison Committee (CSBLC) address this issue by holding special meetings, perhaps twice a month SB and City staff prepare information in summary from, and draft Work Program below may be lengthened to accommodate: any extra time for City, SB and Provincial consultation and approvals, and any community consultations. all school site decisions are anticipated to made in 2009.

	Topic	Who does It?	Schedule (Done By)
1.	Draft Work Program (this report)	SB and City	June 30, 200
2	Need For New School		
	Statement of Need – Why is a new school needed?		
	When will the new school be needed? (e.g., in 5, 10 years?)	SB	By July 31,
0508	☐ Demographics ☐ Other		2008
	u Other		
3.	General New School Considerations (Facts, Pertinent Points)		G 2007
Ť.	(1) Relevant BC MoE initiatives and policies		
	(2) BC Maximum Allowable (school site and building)		
	- (e.g., for 300 children - 2ha. + .2 ha for every +50 children)	000	
	(3) Review Existing City and SB Resolutions and understandings regarding		
	land acquisition and the recent refinements of those understandings.		
200	(4) BC School Site Selection Guide		
	(5) Walk-Limits	45)	
	(6) Busing		
	(7) Transfer students		
	(8) Portables		
00000	(9) District Programs		
	(10) Preliminary Preferences:		
	- Board	SB	By August 31
	- City	28	2008
	- Provincial		
1000	- Community		
	(11) Funding sources:	8	
	- SSAC, - Provincial \$\$\$,		
-	Provincial \$\$\$,     Sale of SB lands,		
_	Possible Senior Gov't & Other Green Initiatives \$\$\$	2	
	Possible Senior Gov't & Other Green Grants		
	(12) Cost considerations:		60
	- Land		
	- Construction		
١,	New School Type Option: For each option:		
	Describe the nature of the possible school/educational experience):		
	- Pros		
	- Cons		
	- Conclusion per Option		2.
	(1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres)		
	- single storey		
_	- two storey		Du August 24
	(2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?)	SB	By August 31, 2008
	- single storey		2000
-	- two storey	İ	
	Only expand existing schools through renovation     Use Portables	G.	
	5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space	Į.	
\	6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits)	1	
	7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson		
}	8) Other		
	9) Conclusion		

Inventory Of New School Type & Site Options  Sites may be in or near the City Centre. For each option:  Describe the nature of the possible school/educational experience):  Pros Cons Conclusion per Option  (1) New School with maximum allowable (7 acres)  single storey  single storey  site A  site B  site C  (2) New School with minimum size (3 acres?)  single storey  two storey  single storey  single storey  single storey  site A  site B  site C  (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation  Site B  site C  (4) Use Portables  Site C  (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space  Site B  Site C  (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits)	SB & City	By Sept 30, 2008
- Site A - Site B - Site C  (3) Only expand existing schools through renovation □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C  (4) Use Portables □ Site B □ Site C  (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C  (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits)	SB & City	
□ Site B □ Site C  (5) Bus students anywhere in the City that has space □ Site A □ Site B □ Site C  (6) Require parents to transport to existing facilities (within walk limits)		
□ Site A □ Site B □ Site C  (7) Relocate the French Immersion program @ Anderson □ Site A □ Site B		
☐ Site C (8) Other ☐ Site A ☐ Site B ☐ Site C (9) Conclusion		
Cost Options	SB & City	By Sept 30, 2008
Determine How To Pay	SB & City	By Sept 30, 2008
Rank School Type and Site Options  (1) Ranking 1 - By Preference [quality of facility & educational experience]  (2) Ranking 2 - By Cost [highest - lowest]  (3) Ranking 3 - By Practicality [all factors]  (4) Conclusions (e.g., preferred solution - all factors)  (5) SB & City staff recommendation	SB & City	By Sept 30, 2008
SB - City Liaison Committee decision and recommendation to SB & City.		In 2009

	To Acquire A Nev	∙ Work v Elemen	Program tary Scho	ol in the	e City C	entre	
	Topic					Who does It?	Schedule (Done By)
10. SB Decision	- 1000						In 2009
11. City decision							In 2009

	•		
		•	
		`	