

Report to Committee

To:

General Purposes Committee

Date:

January 6, 2009

From:

Cathryn Volkering Carlile

File:

General Manager - Community Services

Re:

2010 City Grant Program

Staff Recommendation

That, as per the report from the General Manager, Community Services, dated January 6, 2009, the 2010 City Grants be allocated as follows (see **Attachment 2**):

- The organizations in the Health, Social and Safety Services category be awarded the recommended grant amounts and cheques disbursed for a total of \$433,550.
- The organizations in the Cultural and Community Events category be awarded the recommended grant amounts and cheques disbursed for a total of \$82,450

Cathryn Volkering Carlile

General Manager - Community Services

Att. 3

FOR ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT USE ONLY						
ROUTED TO:		Co	NCURRENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GE	NERAL MAN	AGER
Arts, Culture & Heritage Group Recreation Planning Budgets			YDND	lilea	M	And the second s
REVIEWED BY TAG	YES	N/	NO	REVIEWED BY CAO	YES	NO

Staff Report

Origin

City Council has the authority to provide financial assistance to community organizations under the Local Government Act. The City of Richmond Grant Program (Attachment 1) outlines the principles and processes by which the grants are to be allocated.

The City Grant Program supports the following Council Term Goal:

Improve the effectiveness of the delivery of social services in the City through the development and implementation of a Social and Community Service Strategy that includes:

Clearly articulated roles and services for the City, and a viable funding strategy

The development of civic engagement and capacity growing programs that supplement grant programs for addressing social service issues

The City Grant Program clearly articulates the City's granting criteria for non-profit organizations, implements a viable funding strategy and identifies their essential role in making Richmond a livable community. Since adoption of the revised Grant Program in 2008, civic engagement and capacity growing programs have been deemed eligible for assistance and such programs have been recommended for funding.

This report provides information and recommendations pertaining to the 2010 City Grant Program.

Findings Of Fact

1. 2010 Grant Program

In July 2008, following a City Grant Policy Review lead by Cllr. Evelina Halsey-Brandt and Cllr. Linda Barnes, Council adopted a revised City of Richmond Grant Program. By requiring greater specificity regarding benefits, clients, programs and finances, the new program has provided greater clarity, facilitated review and promoted greater program accountability. Following the first year of implementation of the revised City Grant Program, it was slightly modified for 2010 based on applicant and reviewer suggestion, including the addition of definitions provided by the Richmond Community Services Advisory Committee (RCSAC).

2. Grant Budget

The 2010 Grant Budget is \$518,000, consisting of \$511,500 base budget as established in 2009, plus an annual Cost of Living increase, as indicated in the City Grant Program (Attachment 1, "Program Funding").

Base Funding (2009)	\$511,500
Cost of Living Increase	\$6,500
Total (2010)	\$518,000

3. Applications Received

Advertisements were placed on the City Page/City Notice Board in the Richmond Review and on the City website in August and September, 2009 advising the community that applications would be accepted until October 16th, 2009 for the 2010 City Grant Program. The Program and Application Form were posted on the City website, available at the Information counter and circulated electronically to the RCSAC and Community Associations, as well as by request.

A total of 59 applications were received for a requested total of \$1,441,917 as follows:

Program Area	Total Requested 2010
Health, Social & Safety Services	\$1,170,773
Cultural & Community Events	\$271,144
Total	\$1,441,917

This is the highest number of applications ever received by the City Grant Program (for 2008 and 2009 figures, see Analysis below). Requests amount to 278% of the budget. Some of the increase may be attributed to the loss of provincial funding (see Analysis, below).

A summary table of requests for the 2010 Grants Program is included as Attachment 2.

4. Late Applications

No applications were received after the October 16th, 2009 deadline. This likely reflects the new Program, which indicates that late applications will not be forwarded to Council with those submitted on time, and will not be considered as part of the City Grant review process. The new application form also indicates the deadline on each page.

5. New Applications

Thirteen (13) applications from organizations that had not applied since 1993 or earlier were received for the 2010 Grants Program, as follows:

2010 Grants Pro	ogram – New Applications
Health, Social & Safety Services	Cultural & Community Events
African Development Foundation of Canada	Cinevolution Media Arts Society
Canadian National Institute for the Blind	Dolphin Basketball Association
Kids Help Phone	KidSport Richmond
Richmond Food Security Society	Music Encore Concert Society
Rosewood Manor Care Foundation	Richmond Minor Football League
Senior Link Society	Richmond Museum Society
Shia Muslim Community of B.C.	

6. Application Review Process

A City Grant Review Committee, consisting of staff from a range of departments, reviewed the 2010 applications.

Staff members reviewed applications and completed the Grant Application Summary Sheets (Attachment 3) attached to this report. These summarize each application with respect to the purpose of the request, services received from the City, use of previous year's grant, financial status and recommended grant. As part of the revised Grant Program, Business & Financial Services staff reviewed the financial information provided by applicants and assisted the Review Committee by providing an analysis of financial documentation provided. Recommended allocations were determined by the City Grant Review Committee rather than individual reviewers.

Analysis

1. Summary of Grant Applications

Grant application information, recommendations and allocations from 2008 – 2010 are:

Grant Allocations/Recommendations*					
	2008	2009	2010		
Total number of applications	48	45	59		
New applicants	12	5	13		
Late applications	1	0	0		
Grants denied (did not meet criteria)	15	8	17		
Partial amount of request recommended	29	28	36		
Full amount of request recommended	4	9	6		
Total Grant Program budget	\$500,000	\$511,500	\$518,000		
Total allocated	\$500,000	\$511,500	TBD		

^{*}some categories overlap and others are not described; numbers are not meant to be totalled

2. Summary of Reasons for Partial or No Funding

Partial funding of requests is typically recommended because requests exceed the capacity of the Grant Program to fully fund them. Most applications (61%) are only partially funded. This does not necessarily imply any criticism of a proposed program or organization. The primary reason for partial funding is that the total amount requested exceeds the Grants Program budget and providing some assistance to many is deemed preferable to providing full assistance to few.

The primary reasons for recommending denial of a request are outlined in the Grant Program, Section 2, "Eligibility" (Attachment 1), and on the Grant Summary Sheets (Attachment 2) as "Key Determinants of Eligibility/Less Favourably Considered". The quality, including completeness, with which the application was prepared is also considered.

Reasons for recommending partial or no funding include, but are not limited to:

- Programs were previously funded by other levels of government
- Funding responsibility lies in other jurisdictions
- Other funding partners have not been sought (looking to City as sole source)

- Insufficient community benefit demonstrated
- Not partnering with other organizations
- Duplication of service
- Unaccounted surplus
- Fee-based (user pay) budget should be used
- City provides other forms of support to the organization.

3. Provincial Funding

A number of applicants indicated that their provincial funding has been reduced or eliminated. To date, these Provincial funding changes have resulted from primarily three sources: Vancouver Coastal Health, BC Direct Access Gaming Funds and the BC Arts Council. Further funding changes may occur as contract renewal/termination dates approach. Other provincial funding sources (e.g., Ministry of Children and Family Development, Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General) may also be implementing funding reductions in 2010.

The City Grant Program does not have the policy intention or funding capacity to replace lost provincial funding, which remains the responsibility of the provincial government.

(a) Vancouver Coastal Health (VCH) Contract Reductions

To date, the following agencies have been advised by VCH that, due to the VCH budget deficit, their funding will be significantly reduced for the coming fiscal year.

Richmond Addiction Services Society (RASS):

In September 2009, Council received a Memorandum from Community Services staff outlining information provided by VCH and RASS, indicating that the VCH contract with RASS for providing substance addiction prevention and counselling services would be terminated. In 2009/2010, RASS received base funding of \$1,614,480 from VCH, constituting 83% of their total operating budget (\$1,945,205).

In November, 2009 Council was apprised by a Memorandum from Community Services staff that VCH and RASS were still negotiating. RASS indicated that the anticipated budget reductions would be less severe than originally proposed by VCH. While VCH would be offering Adult Addictions counselling programs previously offered by RASS, RASS would continue to offer youth clinical programs, as well as adult, youth and family prevention programs.

In December, 2009 a VCH news release confirmed that, while a formal contract is not yet in place, the Adult/Older Adult Addiction Services previously offered by RASS will be offered in-house by VCH as of February 2010; the Youth & Family Addictions Services will be offered in partnership with RASS; and RASS will continue to deliver prevention services to all populations. While not finalized, the contract is currently anticipated to constitute a reduction of \$994,480 in RASS' overall budget (RASS anticipates receiving \$620,000 from VCH for 2010/11, compared with \$1,614,480 in 2009/10, constituting a 62% reduction).

RASS will continue to receive Problem Gambling funding (prevention and counselling) provided by the Ministry of Public Safety and the Solicitor General, with no reduction yet announced.

RASS has applied to the City Grant program for \$275,873 (\$35,718 more than their 2009 request) to help cover operational expenses that will no longer be funded through VCH. Staff are recommending a grant to RAS of the same level as provided from 2005 - 2009 (\$171,950), to support their prevention initiatives with respect to both substance addiction and problem gambling. Due to the potential organizational instability resulting from the loss of VCH funding, staff will request a complete mid-year and year-end report on activities and expenditures pertaining to City funding, should the recommended grant be awarded.

Canadian Mental Health Association (CMHA), Richmond Branch

In November, 2009 the City Grant Review team were advised by CMHA that their VCH contract would be reduced by \$53,000 (3% of the total \$1,649,213 received from the Province). The VCH reduction was calculated as a 10% reduction of CMHA overall administrative expenses, and complete elimination of the administrative allowance for their Supported Housing program. While CMHA requested negotiations (December, 2009 CMHA letter to VCH), VCH subsequently indicated to CMHA that the funding reduction was non-negotiable.

The CMHA did not increase the amount requested of the 2010 City Grant Program due to this loss of VCH funding. In 2009, CMHA was awarded \$27,000. Staff are recommending the same level of funding for 2010 to assist with their Meal Program and operating expenses.

Richmond Hospice Association

The Richmond Hospice Association advised the City in October that their VCH contract of \$48,000 would not be renewed, representing a 46% budget loss (the balance of their revenue is received primarily from BC Gaming, which may also be in jeopardy). This will result in the loss of two part-time positions: Volunteer Coordinator and Trainer/Group Facilitator.

Richmond Hospice has applied for a City grant of \$50,000 to make up for this loss. While no grant requests have been made of funders other than the City, a key determinant of eligibility, staff are recommending a grant of \$4,000 to assist the Hospice to leverage other funding, under the advisement that other granting bodies must be approached.

(b) BC Direct Access Gaming Funds

The following applicants reported a loss of BC Direct Access Gaming Funds in their 2010 City Grant application ranging from approximately \$10,000 to \$50,000 (others may have lost funding subsequent to the Grant Application deadline, or will be affected as their fiscal year-end approaches or their three-year agreements come to a close):

- Richmond Community Orchestra and Chorus Association
- Richmond Minor Football League
- Richmond Music School
- Richmond Singers
- Richmond Youth Concert Band Association

Two of these applicants indicated that the decision to either make a first-time application (Richmond Minor Football League) or to increase the amount requested (Richmond Music School) of the City Grant Program are directly attributable to the loss of BC Direct Access funds. Future City Grant Programs may be more significantly impacted by the loss of this key source of revenue for many Richmond non-profit organizations.

(c) BC Arts Council Funding

As reported to Council in October 2009 by the Richmond Arts Coalition, many Richmond arts organisations have experienced significant budget reductions, not only due to the loss of BC Direct Access Gaming Funds as indicated above, but also by the loss of Provincial funding to the BC Arts Council (BCAC). The Richmond Arts Coalition report indicated that BCAC funding was reduced in February 2009 by 47%, with further cuts announced in September 2009, as well as continued reductions in 2010 and 2011. In response to the Richmond Arts Coalition presentation, Council wrote to the Premier expressing opposition to the cuts, requesting restoration of the said budgets, and extension of multi-year funding commitments. Council also requested that Staff investigate the development of a separate City grant program for arts and culture organisations, with a report anticipated by mid 2010.

City Grant applicants receiving BC Arts Council (BCAC) funding in 2009/10 include the Richmond Art Gallery (\$23,000), Richmond Gateway Theatre Society (\$24,500), Richmond Music School (\$1,000) and the Richmond Museum Society (\$23,000). BCAC grants for 2010/11 will be announced later this year.

To date, the announced BCAC funding reductions have not affected the City Grant Program as none of these applicants indicated increasing their requests of the City based on anticipated losses. Future City Grant programs may be more significantly impacted.

4. RCSAC Financial Health Survey

The RCSAC has conducted a Financial Health Survey and will present the results as part of the RCSAC 2009 Annual Report/2010 Work Program to Planning Committee in January 2010. This survey was undertaken to determine how the economic downturn has affected community service organizations. Preliminary results indicate that, to date, 63% of responding agencies have lost revenue in 2009. As some agencies will not know the status of their provincial contracts until expiry/renewal dates approach, the survey will be repeated every few months.

5. Social Financial Hardship Assistance Fund

In October, 2009 Council moved to assist community service agencies requiring bridge funding due to delays experienced in receiving funding, through the establishment of a Social Financial Hardship Assistance Fund:

That \$500,000 be allocated to the City's Social Financial Hardship Assistance Fund for a period not to exceed 3 years with a maximum annual allocation of \$250,000 in 2010, \$150,000 in 2011 and \$100,000 in 2012 and that interest not be charged upon timely repayment of an amount owing by an organization.

No applications for such loans have been received to date. None of the 2010 City Grant applicants demonstrated eligibility for this program because their funding losses are due to reductions or eliminations, rather than delays, making repayment unrealistic.

Financial Impact

The 2010 Grants Program has a budget of \$518,000. The following 2010 grant allocations are recommended:

_	Health, Social & Safety Services	\$433,550
_	Cultural & Community Events:	\$82,450
_	Total	\$516,000
_	Remaining	\$2,000
_	Total Budget	\$518,000

Conclusion

The City Grant Program contributes significantly to the quality of life in Richmond by supporting community organizations whose programs and activities constitute essential components of a livable community.

The recommended 2010 City Grant allocations fall within the budget of the 2010 City Grants program.

Lesley Sherlock Social Planner (604-276-4220)

helock

LS:ls

Richmond Grant Program City Of Richmond

Coordinated by The Policy Planning Division City Of Richmond

Table Of Contents

1.		Overview	. 3
((i)	Purpose	. 3
	(ii)	Program Context	
	(iii)	Principles	
	(iv)	Goal Of The City Grant Program	
	(v)	Program Objectives	
	(vi)	Program Criteria and Interpretation	
	(vii)	Program Funding	
	(viii)	Definitions	5
2.		Eligibility	
	(i) (ii)	Who Can Apply	
	(ii) /:::\	Who Cannot Apply	
	(iii)	Purposes Eligible for Funding	
	(iv)	Key Determinants of Eligibility	
	(V) (vi)		
	(vi)	Items Eligible For Funding	
	(vii)		
3.		Application Review Considerations	
((i)	Benefits of Funding Proposal	7
((ii)	Grant Allocation Considerations	
((iii)	Quality Of Documentation	
- ((iv)	Financial Considerations	
+	(v)	User Pay Principle	8
- 1	(vi)	Less Favourably Considered Applications	8
4.		Procedures	9
	(i)	Program Phases and Considerations	9
	(ii)	Funding Streams 1	
	(iii)	Application Deadline	
((iv)	Late Applications 1	10
((v)	Staff Review Of Applications 1	10
	(vi)	Timing Of Grant Decisions 1	10
((vii)	No Interim Funding 1	10
((viii)	Reporting of Grant Benefits 1	10
1	(ix)	Program Review1	10
5.		Awarding of Grants1	11
	(i)	Council Decision	
	(ii)		11
	(iii)	No Appeal	
6.		Program Support Documents1	П
	A =	TACHMENT A COMMEDDOODAM ADDUOTED	
		TACHMENT A GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION NOTICE	
		TACHMENT B GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION FORM	
	A	TTACHMENT C GRANT APPLICATION SUMMARY SHEET (FOR COUNCIL)	

THE RICHMOND GRANT PROGRAM

1. Overview

(i) Purpose

The purpose of the Richmond Grant Program is to:

- Achieve the City's Corporate Vision: "To be the most appealing, livable well managed City in Canada".
- Ensure that the limited Program dollars are effectively spent.
- Improve Program benefits, effectiveness, management, administration and phases.

(ii) Program Context

- The City of Richmond is one of the most diverse and family oriented communities in Canada.
- Richmond residents voluntarily form many types of community groups to meet a wide range of social, economic and environmental interests.
- In doing so, these groups assist in creating a vibrant, livable and appealing City.
- The Richmond City Council acknowledges that these groups:
 - · Are essential in building a viable community,
 - · Make Richmond a better place to live, work and play,
 - · Address important needs and issues,
 - · Wish to contribute their ideas, vast experience, abilities, and education,
 - Sometimes require financial assistance to implement their projects.

(iii) Principles

The Program is based on the following principles:

- Support The City's Corporate Vision
- Support Non-Profit Groups
- Benefit Richmond Residents
- Maximize Program Benefits
- Promote Volunteerism
- Build Partnerships
- Increase Community Group Capacity
- Cost Sharing
- Cost Effectiveness
- Grants Earned Not An Entitlement
- Promote User Pay of Community Group Programs
- Innovation.

(iv) Goal Of The City Grant Program

The goal of the Program is to build strong communities by assisting non-profit community groups in delivering services to Richmond residents.

(v) Program Objectives

The objectives of the Grant Program are:

- To improve the quality of life of Richmond residents through a wide range of beneficial community group programs,
- To assist primarily Richmond based community groups to provide more beneficial programs, to more residents,
- To build community group capacity to deliver programs,
- To promote partnerships and financial cost sharing among the City, other funders and community groups.

(vi)

Program Criteria and Interpretation

To maximize the City's Program benefits and limited funds, the following Criteria are established.

The table clarifies how the Multiple Criteria can be interpreted; applications are to indicate how.

MULTIPLE C	RITERIA – CITY GRANT PROGRAM
APITEOLA A DEL	SELECTO THE PROMISON COMMUNITY
CRITERIA A * BEI	NEFITS TO THE RICHMOND COMMUNITY
General Objectives	Interpretation
- Promote Livability	· ·
Promote an Appealing City	
- Build A Legacy	
Build Sustainability	Applications are to clearly address some of these criteria.
- Build Financial Vlability	A mellion block and a state of the second stat
Build A Complete Community Brown A 1997 of March 1997 of	Applications which promote volunteer participation and citizen
- Promote "Well Managed"	involvement are encouraged.
Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism	│ ▶ Innovation is encouraged.
Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness	initovation is enfouraged.
- Innovation	1
- Other	
Types Of Residents	
- General Population	
- Seniors	Applications must address at least one of these communities.
People with Disabilities	Group program objectives must be stated.
- Children	Need: Applications are to:
- Youth	Demonstrate community need
- Families	 Show financial need, and
- Low Income	 Demonstrate the impact that would occur if the City did not
- Women	fund the application.
– Men	➤ Maximize Benefits:
– Immigrants	Applications that provide the greatest benefits to the largest number
- Tourists	of Richmond residents are encouraged.
- Other	
Priority Activities	
- Prevention	
- Intervention	
- Treatment	
- Wellness	> Applications must address at least one of these types.
Build Organizational Capacity	
Build Community Capacity	How the Group's program benefits Richmond residents must be
- Improve the Quality of Life:	- stated.
> Social > Economic	_
Economic Environmental	-
- Other	-
- Other	
CRITERIA B. B	ENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT GROUP
ALIM PARTIES	
General Priorities	Interpretation
- Improve Quality of Service	> The Group's program benefits must be clearly stated.
- Maximize Number Served	> What the Group will do must be clearly stated.
Promote Partnerships	> The City will favour applications that involve more partners.
- Leverage More Funds from Others	➢ How Group and/or resident capacity is built must be explained.
Build Organizational Capacity	➤ Co-ordination:
Support Stable, Capable Groups	 Applications, which demonstrate co-ordination and co-
Minimize Duplication of Services	operation with other groups to prevent the duplication of
- Other	projects, programs, services or events, are favoured.
	Multiple-Funded Project:
	Applications, which provide evidence of having funding from a
	variety of sources, are favoured.
	 > "User Pay" > Where appropriate, projects that require that the users of the
	proposed program/ service pay some amount for the services are favoured.

(vii) Program Funding

a) Base Program Funding

- Until Council determines otherwise, \$500,000 is available for the Program.
- Every five years beginning in 2013, Council will review base program funding.
- Council may increase or decrease the amount allocated to the Program, or keep it the same, based on overall City corporate priorities.

b) Annual Cost of Living Increase

- To maintain the effectiveness of Program base funding in light of general rising costs (e.g., the cost of living, fees), starting in 2009 and each year thereafter, an annual cost of living factor will be automatically added to the base program funding.
- The cost of living increase will be based on the Vancouver CPI annual average change as determined by BC Stats for the previous year.
- Finance Division of the City of Richmond will determine the amount annually and add it to the base program funding.

2009 Gr	ant Program Funding
Base Funding	\$500,000 (reviewed every 5 yrs., beginning in 2013)
Consumer Price Index (CPI)	To be determined annually
TOTAL	\$500,000 + CPI = New base program funding

c) Unused Program Funds

At the end of each year, any unallocated Grant Program dollars must be returned to the City's General Revenue Account.

(viii) Definitions

To clarify terms for applicants, reviewers and Council, the following are defined:

Partnership: A relationship between individuals or groups that have a joint interest and which is characterized by mutual cooperation and responsibility, often for the achievement of a specified goal. This may be a formal relationship defined by written agreement outlining the contributions and expectations of each partner, or an informal relationship dependent on the goodwill of the partners involved with a particular project, issue or initiative.

Duplication: Two or more agencies running an identical non-profit service and/or program for the same target population during the same a.m. or p.m. hours. Duplication may be desirable when a single agency does not have the capacity to meet the demand for service.

School (public and private) based programs: "School (public and private) based programs" are those funded, offered or initiated through regular fiscal, operational, curricular, extra-curricular and social activities of a school or a school district.

Community based programs in schools: "Community based programs" offered in public and private schools or on school grounds will be considered to be "community based" rather than school-based if they do not meet the definition of "school –based" and primarily benefit the larger community, rather than the school itself, the school district, or its students.

2. Eligibility

(i) Who Can Apply

- Only registered non-profit societies (society incorporation number must be provided).
- The Group's Board must approve of the application being submitted.

(ii) Who Cannot Apply

- Groups other than registered non-profit groups [e.g., for profit groups].
- Individuals, who do not represent a registered non-profit group.
- Public and private schools including post secondary educational institutions, or groups seeking funding for school-based programs (see Definitions, p. 5).
- Pre-schools and child care providers [A separate City Child Care Grant Program exists].
- Organizations that primarily fund other organizations (e.g., grants) or individuals (e.g., scholarships).
- Others, as determined by Council.

(iii) Applications Per Year

Due to limited Program funds, only one application per Group/per year will be accepted.

(iv) Purposes Eligible for Funding

Grants may be used for the following purposes:

1. Operating Assistance

Regular operating expenses or core budgets of established organizations, including supplies and equipment, heat, light, telephone, photocopying, rent, and administrative support salaries.

2. Community Service (e.g., program, project)

Must respond to the program criteria, have specific goals and objectives, and have a defined start and finish date.

3. Community Event

Must respond to the program criteria, have specific goals and objectives, and have a defined start and finish date.

(v) Key Determinants of Eligibility

To be considered eligible, all proposals must demonstrate that:

- a. Primarily Richmond residents will be served,
- An effort has been made to seek funding from sources other than the City and the applicant, and
- c. Funding and/or non-funding partnerships have been established.

(vi) Items Eligible For Funding

Items eligible for funding are those required to directly deliver the project, including regular Group operating expenses or program/project specific expenses, including:

- · Professional and administrative salaries and benefits
- Consultant services to deliver the project
- Office rent
- Supplies
- Equipment
- Rentals [e.g., vehicles, equipment, and maintenance]
- Heat
- Light
- Telephone
- Photocopying
- Materials

(vii) Items Not Eligible For Funding

Grants are not for:

- Debt retirement
- Land and land improvements
- Building construction and repairs
- Retroactive funding
- Operating deficits
- Proposals which primarily fund or award other groups or individuals

- Political activities including:
 - · Promoting or serving a political party or Group,
 - Lobbying of a political party, or for a political cause.
- Activities that are restricted to or primarily serve the membership of the organization, unless
 group membership is open to a wide sector of the community (e.g., women, seniors) and is
 available free-of-charge or for a nominal fee that may be reduced or exempted in case of
 need.
- Expenses that may be funded by other government programs or entities
- · Annual fund-raising campaigns, form letter requests or telephone campaigns
- Expenses related to attendance at seminars, workshops, symposiums, or conferences
- Public and private school-based programs (see Definitions)
- Pre-school or child care programs, as a separate City Child Care Grant Program exists
- Travel costs outside the Lower Mainland
- · Other, as Council may determine.

3. Application Review Considerations

(i) Benefits of Funding Proposal

To determine the benefits of funded group programs, the following qualitative and quantitative factors are considered:

- The quality and credibility of the group (e.g., accreditation, licenses).
- The purpose of the proposed program (e.g., prevention, treatment and wellness programs have inherent value).
- The quality of the program offered (e.g., sound practice followed, delivered by responsible people and professionals).
- The number of clients served.
- Evaluations of the program once delivered (e.g., client and participant letters, surveys; community acceptance; program evaluations).
- Benefits to the community at large.

(ii) Grant Allocation Considerations

- In reviewing grant applications, preparing recommendations and making grant decisions, primary consideration is given to meeting the Program Principles, Goals, Objectives, Multiple Criteria, Policies and Requirements including:
 - · Demonstrated organizational efficiency, effectiveness and stability
 - · The number of Richmond residents served
 - The quality of service
 - · The financial need of the Group
 - The proposed community interaction
 - The role and number of volunteers
 - · The use of existing community services and facilities
 - Unique nature not a duplication of service
 - · Applying to more than one funding source
 - Partnership roles
 - Other City programs, services and financial assistance already provided.
- Grant allocations are partially dependent on the annual Program budget.
- The value of any other City support (e.g., space, photocopying, staff services) that the Group receives may affect the amount of grant awarded.
- Not all groups meeting the Program requirements will necessarily receive a grant.
- Based on the number of applications, groups may not receive the full grant that they request, but only a portion of it.
- · Grants are not to be regarded as an entitlement.
- Approval of a grant in any one year is not to be regarded as an automatic ongoing source of annual funding.
- As Council wishes to maximize benefits from the Grant Program, the assessment of City Grant applications is flexible.

(iii) Quality Of Documentation

 A quality, fully completed application has a better chance of receiving City funding (see chart below).

	Delowy.		
	Quality Of Application		Comments
	Thoroughness of proposal		
	Clarity of proposal	🗸	Applications are to clearly address criteria.
_	Amount of requested grant and why] [i pendanono di o to didanji dadi odo ditoria.
-	State proposed benefits of City grant	>	Groups must be accountable.
_	Capability of Group to deliver project	>	Groups must demonstrate that they are capable.
,-	Applicants are to demonstrate financial partnerships and whether they have been:	>	Applications are to clearly and fully state funding details.
	 Applied for, or Already received 		

- City staff have a limited amount of time to ask groups to clarify their applications.
- Applicants are required to address Grant Program Phases 2, 6, 7 and 8.
- Successful applicants are those who fully address all their Program Phases and requirements.
- Applicants are to provide documentation that addresses the Program Principles, Goals, Objectives, Multiple Criteria, Policies and Requirements.
- How well applicants do this, thoroughly and with clarity, will affect the success of their application and their future applications.
- All application projects must have a specific set of goals, objectives, deliverables, clients and benefits.
- All funded activities must specify a start and finishing date.
- Documented authorization of the application by the Group's Board must be provided (e.g., Board resolution).
- organizations seeking funding of community based programs in schools or on school grounds(see Definitions) must provide a statement from the School Principal or the School District that the proposed use is approved of and will be accommodated, should funding be received
- All required documentation is indicated on the Grant Notice and Application.

(iv) Financial Considerations

- Applicants must submit:
 - a) The Group's audited financial statements for the most recent completed fiscal year including the auditors report signed by the external auditors.
 - b) If audited financial statements are not available, submit the reviewed financial statements for the most recent completed fiscal year along with the review engagement report signed by the external auditors.
 - c) If neither audited nor reviewed financial statements are available, submit the compiled financial statements for the most recent completed fiscal year along with a compilation report signed by the external auditors.
 - d) If neither a, b, or c are available, financial statements for the most recent completed fiscal year endorsed by two signing officers of the Board of Directors.
 - e) The Group's current fiscal year operating budget.
 - The Group's budget to support the application (e.g., Operating Assistance or Community Service budget).
- Group applications will be reviewed for financial accountability by Finance staff.

(v) User Pay Principle

Applicants are encouraged to consider applying the "user pay" principle, where appropriate [e.g., users of the proposed service, program, or project would pay some of the cost].

(vi) Less Favourably Considered Applications

Applications which are less favoured, are those which:

- Rely only on City funding
- Are funded by a single Group and the City
- Risk the Group becoming dependant on City grants

- Demonstrate insufficient partnering Unnecessarily duplicate existing services
- Other.

4. Procedures

(i) **Program Phases and Considerations**

The following Program phases and considerations are to be managed, monitored and improved, as necessary:

Program Phase	Who	Activity	Considerations
Phase 1	City staff	Prepare For Annual Grants	Follow Program requirements Promoting the Program
Phase 2	Applicant	Apply For A Grant	Stability - of Group applying Capability - experience, reliability of Group Accountability - of Group Maximum benefits: Increased numbers served Of quality of service Degree of Need Most assisted per grant Cost effectiveness - of proposal Promote multiple partner funding & support Leverage of more funds from others Group's own support of their application: Funding Services, In-kind resources Thoroughness of proposal Clarity of proposal Amount of requested grant Benefits of any previous City grant
Phase 3	City staff	Review applications Make recommendations	Follow program requirements
Phase 4	Council	Reviews applications Reviews staff recommendations Considers any presentations Awards Grants Any referrals by Council regarding the grant recommendations will be addressed by staff and forwarded to Council	Program Principles Program Policies
Phase 5	City staff	Issue letters: Awarded grants Explaining denied grants	Follow program requirements
Phase 6	Applicant	Delivers funded service/program	Follow program requirements
Phase 7	Applicant	Monitors funded service/program	Follow program requirements
Phase 8	Applicant	Reports results to City: Mid year, or At end of service/program, or When next applying for a grant.	Follow program requirements
Phase 9	City staff	Periodically: Evaluates Grant benefits Evaluates Grant Program Proposes Program improvements	Address Council instructions Analysis Options Make recommendations
Phase 10	Council	Reviews recommendations Approves changes	Makes decisions
Phase 11	City staff	Implement program changes	Follow program requirements

(ii) Funding Streams

- To facilitate comparisons, staff will categorize the applications as follows:
 - Services (e.g., Health, Social and Safety), and
 - Events (e.g., Cultural and Community).
- Staff will provide information to Council regarding the total amount requested and recommended in each category as part of the annual grants review report.

(iii) Application Deadline

The annual deadline for submitting City grant applications will be determined annually (e.g., on the second Friday of October).

(iv) Late Applications

Applications which miss the application deadline must not be accepted, processed or funded from the Grant Program for that application year, as:

- There is an ample annual application notice period for all.
- · There are limited Program funds.
- The Program management phases are to be competed within a defined time period.
- Applicants desire a decision, as soon as possible.
- The processing of late applications would require that those applications that made the deadline be re-evaluated, thus delaying the process.
- · Late applicants may apply in the next year.

(v) Staff Review Of Applications

- Staff are to administer the Program based on the Program Principles, Goals, Objectives, Multiple Criteria, Policies and Requirements.
- As staff review applications, they may contact the applicants and others, to clarify the proposals.
- As it is Council who makes the final grant decisions, while reviewing applications, staff are not to advise applicants regarding:
 - · Whether or not they will receive a grant, or
 - · The possible amount of a grant.

(vi) Timing Of Grant Decisions

Generally, Council will decide on the applications in the first quarter of the year; however, no specific date is set to allow for processing, budget and timing.

(vii) No Interim Funding

There is to be no interim funding of a group or its application while it waits to hear if its application is approved, as such would delay application review times and final decisions.

(viii) Reporting of Grant Benefits

Those who receive a City grant are required to demonstrate the benefits of their program, by providing:

- A statement of program purpose
- Program evaluations (e.g., by group, or independent sources)

Groups are required to demonstrate program benefits in several ways:

- · When they apply, by providing information regarding anticipated program benefits,
- Those receiving a grant must report either at year-end or, if applying again, by providing
 information regarding the program benefits including evaluation results.

On a random basis, mid-year progress and financial reports will be requested.

(ix) Program Review

- The Program will be reviewed annually by staff after the grants have been awarded for that year.
- Council may change the Program at any time.

5. Awarding of Grants

(i) Council Decision

- Council will make the final grant decisions, at its sole discretion, based on the Program Goals, Principles, Multiple Criteria, Policies and Requirements, and a review of City staff recommendations.
- Council may:
 - Approve a funding application:
 - In total, with or without conditions (e.g., subject to a mid-year review)
 - In part, with or without conditions
 - Ask for more information
 - Issue dollars in phases with conditions
 - Deny an application.
- For example, where a large amount of grant money is to be provided, or where Council is not familiar with the proposed program, Council may:
 - Issue the program dollars in phases, and
 - Request additional information (e.g., mid-year reviews) to ascertain program benefits prior to issuing any additional program dollars.
- If an application is not funded by Council, it is deemed to be denied.

(ii) Recuperation of Grant

Should the funds not be used for the stated purpose, the applicant is to automatically return them to the City.

(iii) No Appeal

There is no appeal to Council's decision, due to the high number of applications for limited Grant Program funding, and as applicants may apply in the next year.

6. Program Support Documents

To facilitate Program administration, a variety of documents may be used and modified from time to time by staff, including:

ATTACHMENT A	GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION NOTICE
ATTACHMENT B	GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION FORM
ATTACHMENT C	GRANT APPLICATION SUMMARY SHEET (FOR COUNCIL)

Health Social and Safety Services	/ Services			
APPLICANT NAME	2009 GRANT	2010 REQUEST	2010 RECOMMONDATION	RATIONALE/COMMENTS/CONDITIONS
African Development Foundation of Canada	N/A	152,581	0	Applicant to leverage partnership with the Richmond Multicultural Concerns Society to build a profile and to support their programs and services for African immigrants.
Big Brothers of Greater Vancouver	3,000	10,000		3,000 Same level as last year for operating assistance to support youth.
Big Sisters of Lower Mainland	3,000	5,000		3,000 Same level as last year for operating assistance to support youth.
Canadian Low Income Affordable Seniors Housing Society	N/A			To support volunteers working with low income seniors; applicant advised to work more closely 1,000 with seniors services provided within the community.
Canadian Mental Heatth Association	27,000	27,000		27,000 Same level of funding to assist with the Meal Program and operating expenses.
Canadian National Institude for the Blind	NA	10,000		1,000 To assist with the CNIB's Braille Literacy Program in Richmond.
Chimo Crisis Services	44,000	50,000		44,000 Same level as fast year to assist with CHIMO crisis programs.
Chinese Mental Wellness Association	8,400	35,331		8,400 Same level as last year to support social activities and referrals to other community services.
Community Meal at St. Alban's Hall	1,500	2,000		Increased level to support community meal. St. Alban's also provides an Extreme Weather 2,000 shelter.
Family Services of Greater Vancouver	45,000	70,000		45,000 Same level as last year for counselling, community education and development.
Heart of Richmond AIDS Society	6,600	14,000		Increased level due to expansion of outreach education, including nearly doubling the number 7,500 of presentations. \$29,000 in grocery vouchers distributed.
Integration Youth Services Society	Denied	51,223		Ulncomplete application. Needs to establish collaborative, action-based partnerships.
Kehila Society	A/N	15,000		Financial information incomplete. The general public has very limited access to this service. 0 VCH has denied this similar request for funding.
Kids Help Phone	A/N	5,000		Financial records incomplete. No proposed grant budget, purpose of request not specified.
Richmond Addiction Services Society	171,950	275,873		Same level as last year to support substance misuse and problem gambling prevention. 171,950 Complete mid-year and year-end reports of grant activities and expenditures required.
Richmond Amateur Radio Club	Denied	20,000		One-time grant to assist this group to leverage other funds to further their community and 1,000 safety activities.
Richmond Carefree Society	2,500	2,500		2,500 Same level as last year to support families with special needs children.
Richmond City Centre Community Association	1,200	16,900		Increase from last year to support high need and at-risk children. The RCCCA has limited 3,000 revenue-generating capacity.
Richmond Family Place	18,000	20,000		18,000 Same level as last year for salaries and benefits, plus some overhead expenses.
Richmond Food Security Society	N/A	14,950		Unaccounted for surplus of \$13,201 from 2008/09, which constituted 32% of their budget.

Page 1

	2009			
	GRANT		2010	
APPLICANT NAME	AWARDED	AWARDED 2010 REQUEST	RECOMMONDATION	RECOMMONDATION RATIONALE/COMMENTS/CONDITIONS
Richmond Hospice Association	N/A	000'09		4,000 To support operating expenses. Non-City grants must be sought to remain eligible.
Richmond Mental Health Consumer &				Same level as last year to support the Peer Companion Program for Richmond residents with
Friends Society	3,000	7,558.00		3,000 mental health illness. Non-City grants must be sought to remain eligible.
Richmond Multicultural Concerns				
Society	8,000	33,000		8,000 Same level as last year to deliver settlement and related services
Richmond Poverty Response				The Poverty Response Committee has effectively increased community awareness of and
Committee	6,000	6,300		6,000 capacity to address issues related to poverty. Same level as last year.
Richmond Society for Community				
Living	11,000	27,000		11,000 Same level as last year to support members of the community with developmental disabilities.
Richmond Women's Resource Centre				
Association	14,400	47,275		14,400 Same level as last year to support operating costs and women's programs.
Richmond Youth Services Agency	9,800	12,500	008'6	9,800 Same level as last year for support worker salary.
				Funding is already in place for a significant amount of staff hours for enhanced music
Rosewood Manor Care Foundation	N/A	35,537.00		0 programming.
			·	Same level as last year to support safety coordination re: a wide range of community safety
Safe Communities Richmond	2,000	17,500		2,000 issues. Additional funding sources must be sought if future applications are to be considered.
Senior Link Society	N/A	4,500		0 Duplication of service; lack of partnerships; project not sustainable.
Shia Muslim Community of BC	N/A	25,000		0 Duplication of service; lack of partnerships.
Touchtone Family Association	N/A	45,000	0	0 Duplication of service.
Turning Point Recovery Society	2,000	7,245		2,000 Same level as last year to support community discussions regarding addictions.
Volunteer Richmond Information				
Services Society	35,000	40,000	35,000	35,000 Same level as last year to support VRIS programs and partnerships.
2010 TOTAL		1,170,773	433,550	

$\overline{}$
d)
ň
ัซั
'n.

Cultural and Community Events	ty Events			
APPLICANT NAME	2009 GRANT	2010 REQUEST	2010 RECOMMONDATION	RATIONALE/COMMENTS/CONDITIONS
Cinevolution Media Arts Society	A/N	38,920		2,300 To support the third annual New Asia Film Festival.
Community Arts Council	Denied	22,297	0	0 Service duplication with other arts organizations.
Dolphin Basketball Association	N/A	8,000		1,000 One-time grant to assist with the purchase of a portable basketball hoop.
East Richmond Community Association	A/N	1,500		1,000 For the Cambie Family Fair, a community event.
Gulf of Georgia Cannery Society	1,000			1,000 Same level as last year to support the Salmon Stomp.
Hamilton Community Association	1,000	1,000		1,000 Same level as last year for the Hamilton Festival.
KidSport Richmond	N/A	10,000		5,000 To assist children in low-income families to access sports and recreation opportunities.
Music Encore Concert Society	N/A	9,000		0 Operated on in-kind donations; accumulated a deficit in 2009.
Pacific Piano Competition Society	1,000	1,000		500 Reduced level recommended as the Pacific Piano Competition will not be held this year.
Richmond Agricultural & Industrial Society	NA	13,812	7,	Same level as last year to support the Salmon Festival (in 2009, Salmon Festival funding was 7,000 awarded to the Steveston Community Society)
Richmond Art Gallery	1,000	7,500		2,000 Increased level to assist with translation costs. Non-City grants must be sought to remain eligible.
Richmond Artisits Guild	750	1,200	750	Same level as last year for advertising the Fraser River Art Festival
Richmond Community Band Society	A/N N/A	2,000	1,000	To support opportunities for young people to learn music and experience the discipline of playing in a band.
Richmond Community Orchestra & Chorus Association	1,000	15,000	1,000	Same level as last year for operating expenses.
Richmond Gateway Theatre Society	3,000	5,000		3,000 Same level as last year to support new playwrights.
Richmond Minor Football League	N/A	5,000		1,000 One-time grant to assist with the purchase of equipment.
Richmond Museum Society	N/A	7,000		2,000 To assist with the Space, Aerospace and Defence Exhibition
Richmond Music School Society	900	18,000		900 Same level as last year for operating expenses.
Richmond Singers	Denied	1,000	0	Only City funding sought and no partnerships for this project.
Richmond Summer Project c/o Steveston Community Association	50,000	50,000		50,000 Same level as last year to support low-cost summer programs.
Richmond Youth Concert Band Association	N/A	785		0 Significant budget surplus to accommodate the \$785.
Sea Island Community Association	1,000	1,200		1,000 Same level as last year to support Burkeville Daze.

\sim
œ
ñ
4
Ò
~
\sim 1

2009 GRANT REQUEST RECOMMONDATION RATIONALE/COMMENTS/CONDITIONS

On a notation of the second control of the se

Revenue and unrestricted cash assets may be used to support operating expenses.

82,450

16,821

NA

Thompson Community Association

2010 TOTAL

Steveston Community Society Textile Arts Guild of Richmond

APPLICANT NAME

ATTACHMENT 3 (Part 1)

HEALTH, SOCIAL AND SAFETY SERVICES GRANT SUMMARY SHEETS

2570489

GP - 38



1.	Applicant		African De	n Development Foundation of Canada			
2.	Grant Request		\$152,581.0		Proposal Title: None		
3.	Summary of Request		Funds requ	este	<u> </u>		ty centre to "serve the socio- dians in Richmond."
4.	Focus			•	ating Assistance, and/or y Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ect.	Event)
5.	Duration	. 40		oing	Activity, and/or		
6.	Multiple Criteria						
	FERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH			1		то	TERIA B — BENEFITS THE APPLICANT
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents		ority Activities		OUP
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): All of the above targeted at Richmond citizens that are African immigrants.	above targe Richmond o are African	ify): All of the eted at citizens that immigrants.		Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organizational Capacity Build Community Capacity Improve the Quality of Life: Social Economic Environmental Other (specify): All of the above targeted at Richmond clitzens that are African immigrants.		Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify></specify>
	Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No ☑ Yes ☐ No ☑ Yes ☐ No		•		Yes No		•
Key Determinants of Eligibility				Les	s Favourably Considered		
This	grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Res Seeks Funding from Sources O Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Su	sidents ther than the City a	and the		Only City Funding for Project Single Group and City Funded I Group becoming Dependant on Insufficient Partnering Duplication of Service Groups with Unaccounted Surp Other (specify):	City	Grants
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo Received	rts Currently	Value \$0.00 Please deta		one		

8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details	Value \$0.00 Please detail: None	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue Total Expenses	No Information, incorporated in 2009	\$14,700.00 \$14,435.00			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$N/A	\$265.00			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$N/A	\$N/A			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: As the ADFC was incorporated in February 2009, funds have been from personal donations with only a small surplus to support.			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	No Information, incorporated in 2009	\$152,581.00 (not confirmed)			
	Other Grants (List): United Way	\$N/A	\$150,000.00 (not confirmed)			
	From ADFC donations from own Group	\$ N/A	\$5,000.00			
	N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A			
	N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A			
	Total Grants	\$ N/A	\$307,581.00			
	Grant Surplus	\$ N/A	\$N/A			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Community Service	For A \$38,041.00 (Salaries)				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$10,720.00 (Consultant Service	es)			
		For C \$8,000.00 (Volunteer Support,	Events Organization)			
		For D \$24,500.00 (Office Rent)				
		For E \$55,000.00 (Supplies and Equ	ipment)			
		For F \$4,000.00 (Rentals of Vehicles	s, equipment and maintenance)			
		For G \$58,200.00 (Heat, light and telephone)				
		For H \$6,500.00 (Photocopying, materials and miscellaneous.)				
		Total \$152,581.00				
	Total Grant Request	\$152,581.00				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0.00				
		For B \$0.00				
		For C \$0.00				
		Total \$0.00				
13.	Rationale	Applicant to leverage partnership with the Society to build a profile and to support African immigrants.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	None.				
15.	Assessor	Kerry Gillis				



1.	Applicant		Big Brothe	rs of Greater Vancouver	
2.	Grant Request		\$10,000.00	Proposal Title: None	
3.	Summary of Request			the numbers of youth and ch rogram at two Richmond eler	ildren involved in the Teen mentary and secondary schools.
4.	Focus		-	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity	
6.	Multiple Criteria				
	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THE				CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT
	eral Objectives	Types of Resid		Priority Activities	GROUP
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify):	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (speci	Disabilities	✓ Prevention ✓ Intervention Treatment ✓ ✓ Wellness ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Build Community Capacity ✓ Improve the Quality of Life: ✓ • Social → • Economic → • Environmental Other (specify):	Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Bulld Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): <specify></specify>
☑ Yes ☐ No			-	Yes No	☑ Yes ☐ No
Key Determinants of Eligibility				Less Favourably Considered	,
\ \ \ \ \ \ \	Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service ☑ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): 	
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor Received	rts Currently	Value \$0.00 Please deta		
8.	Amount of Previous Yearnd Spending Details	ear Grant	Value \$3,00 Please deta	0.00 il: Salaries, rent, telephone, s	upplies, materials and travel.

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$1,611,400.00	\$1,394,100.00	
	Total Expenses	\$1,609,600.00	\$1,393,600.00	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$1,800.00	\$500.00	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	No info provided	No info provided	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: none provided.	Please detail: none provided.	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$3,000.00	\$10,000.00 (not confirmed)	
	Other Grants (List):	N/A		
	Big Brothers of Greater Vancouver Foundation	Not Provided	\$40,700.00 (confirmed)	
	United Way	Not Provided	\$6,200.00 (confirmed)	
	None	Not Provided	None	
	Total Grants	Not Provided	\$56,900.00	
	Grant Surplus	Not Provided	\$N/A	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	☑ Group Operating Assistance	For A \$7,700.00 (Full Time Salaries) For B \$700.00 (Office Rent))	
		For C \$100.00 (Supplies)		
•		For D \$200.00 (Telephone))		
		For E \$800.00 (Materials)		
		For F \$500.00 (Travel)		
		Total \$10,000.00		
	Total Grant Request	\$10,000.00		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$3,000.00 (same as previous year)		
		For B \$None		
		For C \$None		
		Total \$3,000.00		
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for operating as	ssistance to support youth.	
14.	Conditions, if applicable	None.	,	
15.	Assessor	Kerry Gillis		
	1	<u> </u>		

Comments:



1.	Applicant		Big Sisters	of Lower Mainland	
2.	Grant Request		\$5,000.00	Proposal Title: None	
3.	Summary of Request		To support		full-time Richmond counsellor girls in Richmond
4.	Focus		☑ A Comm	perating Assistance, and/or aunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity	
6.	Multiple Criteria		L ,		
	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI				CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT
Gene	General Objectives Types of Residents			Priority Activities	GROUP
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness	General Por Seniors Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants	Disabilities	✓ Prevention ✓ Intervention Treatment ✓ ✓ Wellness ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Build Community Capacity ✓ Improve the Quality of Life: ✓ • Social ✓ • Economic For incommental	 Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): <specify></specify>
	Innovation	☐ Tourists		Other (specify):	,
	Other (specify): Other (specify): Other (specify):				
	re criteria satisfactorily met? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No			Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No	Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes No
Key Determinants of Eligibility				Less Favourably Considered	
This g	This grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			✓ Only City Funding for Project ✓ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify):	
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor Received	rts Currently	Value \$0.00 Please deta		
8.	Amount of Previous Young and Spending Details	∍ar Grant	Value \$3,00 Please deta	0.00 il: Counsellor's salary.	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$1,015,256.00	\$935,661.00	
	Total Expenses	\$924,652.00	\$936,403.00	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$90,604.00	\$(742.00)	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	No info provided	No info provided	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Surplus allocated to building repairs, equipment and to offset 2009 deficit.	Please detail: Soft economy in 2009	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$3,000.00	\$5,000.00 (not confirmed)	
	Other Grants (List):	\$N/A	\$ N/A	
	None listed	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	
	None listed	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	
	None listed	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	
	Total Grants	\$ N/A	\$5,000.00	
	Grant Surplus	\$ N/A	\$N/A	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	☑ Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)	For A \$5,000.00 (Full Time Salaries For B \$0.00 For C \$0.00)	
		Total \$5,000.00		
	Total Grant Request	\$5,000.00		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$3,000.00 (same as previous year) For B \$0.00 For C \$0.00 Total \$3,000.00		
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for operating a Recommend that this group more clear group benefits of their work in any future additional funding sources be sought to	arly articulate the community and are grant applications and that	
14.	Conditions, if applicable	None.		
15.	Assessor	Kerry Gillis		



1.	Applicant		Canadian L	ow Income Senior's Afford	able Housing Society
2.	Grant Request		\$15,000.00	Proposal Title: None	
3.	Summary of Request		expenses a Seniors, rac highly disco businesses Programs b seniors with	dio and TV shows on seniors' bunted travel excursions at dis and a monthly low cost banquenefit the Richmond commun affordable alternatives that the	nclude on-site into-seminars for lifestyle, health and exercise, count prices at participating uet with karaoke and dancing.
4.	Focus	<u>su</u>	☐ A Comm	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)
5.	Duration		1	oing Activity, and/or me Activity	
6.	Multiple Criteria			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CRIT	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): riteria satisfactorily met? es □ No	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (speci	Disabilities ify): actorily met?	✓ Prevention ✓ Intervention ☐ Treatment ✓ Wellness ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Build Community Capacity ✓ Improve the Quality of Life: ✓ • Social ✓ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes ✓ No	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes No (service duplication)</specify>
Key Determinants of Eligibility				Less Favourably Considered	
区 (((((((((((((((((((Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded I □ Group becoming Dependant on ☑ Insufficient Partnering ☑ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surp □ Other (specify): 	City Grants
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor Received	rts Currently	Value \$0.00 Please deta		
8.	Amount of Previous Yound Spending Details	ear Grant	Value \$0.00 Please deta		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$53,750.00	\$62,200.00		
	Total Expenses	\$67,266.00	\$62,200.00		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(13,516.00)	\$0.00		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	No info provided	No info provided		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Private funding could not keep pace with the growing popularity of programs offered.	Please detail: No info provided.		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$0.00	\$15,000.00 (not confirmed)		
	Other Grants (List):	\$N/A	\$N/A		
	Service Canada	\$N/A	\$33,621.00 (not confirmed)		
	BC Gaming	\$N/A	\$45,000.00 (not confirmed)		
	Private donations	\$N/A	\$9,000.00 (confirmed)		
	Total Grants	\$N/A	\$102,621.00		
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A	\$N/A		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☑ Community Service (e.g.,	For A \$7,000.00 (Salaries)			
	Program, Project, Event)	For B \$5,000.00 (Volunteer support))		
		For C \$3,000.00 (Rent)			
		Total \$15,000.00			
	Total Grant Request	\$15,000.00			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1,000 (Volunteer Support)			
		For B \$N/A			
		For C \$N/A			
		Total \$1,000			
13.	Rationale	To support volunteers working with low income seniors; applicant advised to work more closely with seniors services provided within the community.			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	None.			
15.	Assessor	Kerry Gillis			
4	1				

Comments:



1.	Applicant		Canadian B	dian Mental Health Association			
	Applicant						
2.	Grant Request		\$27,000	- 1	•	gram & Operational Assistance	
				For CMH	A – Pathways Clubhouse		
3.	Summary of Request	The City Grant would be used to deliver direct services to the members of					
			CMHA - Pathways Clubhouse. Specifically, the majority of the grant would				
		help to fund the Meal Program, as essential service that has experienced budget cuts and cost increases this year. A smaller amount is also					
			requested to assist in funding rent and day to day operational costs.				
	 <u>-</u>		[7] O O				
4.	Focus		Group Operating Assistance, and/or				
			A Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)				
5.	Duration		•	-	Activity, and/or		
			A One-time Activity				
6.	Multiple Criteria						
CRIT	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY			CRITERIA B - BENEFITS	
						TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	tents	Pric	ority Activities	GROUP	
図	Promote Livability	☑ General Pop	oulation	Ø	Prevention	☑ Improve Quality of Service	
	Promote an Appealing City	☐ Seniors		\square	Intervention	✓ Maximize Number Served	
	Build a Legacy	People with	Disabilities	$ \mathbf{V} $	Treatment	✓ Promote Financial Partnerships	
$ \overline{\mathbf{Q}} $	Build Sustainability	☐ Children		Ø	Wellness	✓ Leverage More Funds from Others	
\square	Build Financial Viability	☐ Youth		V	Build Organizational Capacity	☑ Build Organizational Capacity	
	Build a Complete Community	☐ Families		Ø	Build Community Capacity	Support Stable, Capable Groups	
	Promote "Well Managed"	☑ Low Income			Improve the Quality of Life:	☑ Minimize Duplication of Services	
Ø	Promote Diversity	☐ Women		Ø	Social	Other (specify): <specify></specify>	
Ø	Promote Volunteerism	☐ Men		Ø	• Economic		
	Promote Wellness 🗹 Immigrants				 Environmental 		
Ø	Innovation	☐ Tourists			Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
	☐ Other (specify): <specify> ☐ Other (specify></specify>		ify): <specify></specify>				
Are criteria satisfactorily met? Are criteria satisfa		•		criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met?		
	☑ Yes ☐ No ☑ Yes ☐ No)	Ø	Yes D No	☑ Yes ☐ No	
Key Determinants of Eligibility				Less Favourably Considered			
This grant proposal:				Only City Funding for Project			
团				☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects			
171	Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the			Group becoming Dependant on City Grants			
	Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			☐ Insufficient Partnering			
	<u>-</u>			☐ Duplication of Service			
				Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit			
				Other (specify): <specify></specify>			
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor	ts Currently	Value \$6,50				
••				·			
				Please detail: Property Tax Relief, Supported Housing Program			
	A	O	1/alice #07 0				
8.	Amount of Previous Ye	ear Grant	Value \$27,0				
	and Spending Details	Please detail: Meal Program: \$16,100 Wellness Instructor: \$2,500					
		Rent. light. telephone & GST: \$8.400					

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$2,630,194	\$2,221,115	
	Total Expenses	\$2,654,682	\$2,258,810	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$-24,488	\$(37,695)	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$ <amount></amount>	\$ <amount></amount>	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: Deficit is due to amortization payments. Postapplication, CMHA received budget cut of \$53,000 for 2010.	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$27,000	\$27,000	
	Other Grants (List): VCH	\$155,223	\$155,223	
	Other (provided by group)	\$00	\$50,000	
	<other grant=""></other>	\$ 0	\$41,000	
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0	
	Total Grants	\$182,223	\$273,223	
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$8,400 Rent, light, telephore For B \$0 For C \$0 Total \$8,400	one, GST	
	☑ Community Service	For A \$18,600 Meal Program		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0		
		For C \$0		
		Total \$18,600		
	Total Grant Request	\$27,000		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$27,000 For B \$0		
		For C \$0		
		Total \$27,000		
13.	Rationale	Same level of funding to assist with the expenses.	Meal Program and operating	
14.	Conditions, if applicable	<info></info>		
15.	Assessor	Mark Mansfield		
	1	1		



1. Applicant		CNIB					
2.	·····		\$10,000	Proposal Title: CNIB's Br	aille Literacy Programs		
3. Summary of Request			Volunteer Support - \$100 Supplies - \$65 Equipment - \$1700 Rentals - \$1000 Telephone - \$65 Photocopying - \$20 CNIB's Braille Literacy Programs ensure that children and adults living in Richmond who have vision loss have the same opportunities to access and read printed materials as individuals who have sight.				
4.	Focus		☑ Group Operating Assistance, and/or☐ A Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)				
5.	Duration		✓ An Ongoing Activity, and/or✓ A One-time Activity				
6.	Multiple Criteria						
CRITERIA A - BENEFITS TO THE RICHMOND CO					CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP		
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify></specify>		Disabilities	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☐ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☑ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>	 ✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 		
Are	criteria satisfactorily met? /es No	Are criteria satisf ☑ Yes ☐ No		Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No	Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered			
This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group ☑ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted			1	 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 			
7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently Received			Value \$22,000 Please detail: Raise-a-Reader: Vancouver Sun				

15.	Assessor	Mark Mansfield		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	<info></info>		
13.	Rationale	To assist with the CNIB's Braille Litera	cy Program in Richmond.	
		Total \$1,000	Drawn in Distance	
		For C \$0		
***	11000111110111011101111	For B \$0		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1,000		
	Total Grant Request	\$ <amount></amount>		
		Total \$0		
	(g., m. rd	For C \$0		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A \$0 For B \$0		
	Community Consis	Total \$10,000		
		• • •	telephone, photocopying	
			s, equipment, rentals	
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$5,150 Salaries and benefi		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant			
	Justification for Accumulated	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>	
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0	
	Total Grants	\$0	\$42,171	
	Service Canada	\$0	\$2,000	
	Grants & Other	\$0	\$16,171	
	HRDC	\$0	\$2,000	
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$22,000	
10.	Richmond Grant	\$0	This Application \$ <amount></amount>	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$0	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$-651,399	\$-149,041	
	Total Expenses	\$8,870,387	\$8,083,906	
	Total Revenue	\$8,218,988	\$7,934,865	
9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details	Value \$0 Please detail: <info></info>		



1.	Applicant		CHIMO Cris	sis Services		
2.	Grant Request		\$50,000	Proposal Title: Strengthening Crisis Prevention and		
au •			700,000	Response, Building Resilience		
Ci by se th ec fa to		This grant will support the delivery and further expansion of CHIMO's Crisis Response and Community Education Services, which are delivered by professionally trained and supervised volunteers. Crisis response services help individuals and families in Richmond navigate their way through wide-ranging life crises in 20 different languages. Community education Services help children, youth, parents, newcomers, and families to become more knowledgeable about social concerns and how to recognize and address them in healthy and effective ways. In 2008/09, these services collectively supported over 14,500 people.				
4.	Focus		•	perating Assistance, unity Service (e.g., P		ect, Event)
5.	5. Duration		☑ An Ongo	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO TH	E DICHMOND CO				CRITERIA B - BENEFITS
						TO THE APPLICANT
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities		GROUP
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Vlability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify></specify>	General Por Seniors Feople with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities	 ✓ Prevention ✓ Intervention Treatment ✓ Wellness ✓ Build Organization ✓ Build Community Improve the Quality ✓ Social ✓ Economic ✓ Environmental Other (specify): < 	Capacity ity of Life:	 ✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): <specify></specify>
	riteria satisfactorily met? 'es No	Are criteria satisfa ☑ Yes ☐ No	•	Are criteria satisfactoril ☑ Yes ☐ No	y met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably C	onsidered	
This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents ☑ Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group ☑ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted		 ☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 				
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo Received	rts Currently	Value \$767, Please deta	•	up - \$33,00	0, All other funders - \$734,140
Volunteer e		il: Salary & Benefit), Volunteer Training - \$15,500, ecognition \$2,750, Printing &		

2774274

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Comp (e.g., Audited Financial St		Budget for Current Year \$1,785,614 \$1,762,224		
	Total Revenue	\$1,616,104				
	Total Expenses	\$1,624,018				
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$-7,914		\$23,390		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	•	\$0		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: <info></info>		Please detail: <info></info>		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Comp	leted Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$44,000		\$50,000		
	Other Grants (List): VCH	\$0		\$438,340		
	Direct Access Gaming	\$0		\$75,000		
	Law Foundation	\$0		\$220,800		
	<pre><other grant=""></other></pre>	\$0		\$ <amount></amount>		
	Total Grants	\$1,585,292		\$659,140		
	Grant Surplus	\$ <amount></amount>		\$ <amount></amount>		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: <info></info>		Please detail: <info></info>		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$ For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$				
	☑ Community Service	For A \$21,000	Salaries and	d henefits		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$18,000	Volunteer T			
		For C \$11,000		Support, Photocopying, Materials		
		Total \$50,000		13 0.		
	Total Grant Request	\$50,000				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$44,000				
		For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$44,000				
13.	Rationale	1	g to assist with th	ne Meal Program and operating		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	<info></info>				
1.5	Annonar	Mark Manafield				
15.	Assessor	Mark Mansfield				



1.	Applicant Chinese Mental Wellness Association of Canada				of Canada
2.	Grant Request		\$35,331	Proposal Title: CMWAC F	Programs
3.	Recovery; I			ucational Seminars; Youth Res	
4.	Focus		☑ A Comm	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity	
6.	Multiple Criteria				T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THE				CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT GROUP
	Promote Livability	Types of Resid		Priority Activities Prevention	
	Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify></specify>		Disabilities	 ✓ Intervention ✓ Treatment ✓ Wellness ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Build Community Capacity ✓ Improve the Quality of Life: ✓ • Social ✓ • Economic ─ Environmental Other (specify): <specify></specify> 	 ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): <specify></specify>
Are c ☑ Y	riteria satisfactorily met? es	Are criteria satisfa ☑ Yes ☐ No	-	? Are criteria satisfactorily met? Are criteria satisfactorily met ☑ Yes ☐ No ☑ Yes ☐ No	
⊢—	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered	I
This (This grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships		and the	☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>	
7.	7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently Received Value \$ <ar< th=""><th></th><th></th></ar<>				
8.				00 nil: Coordinator - \$5,605 Rent - \$2,572.50 Telephone/Internet - \$153.5 Printing of programs - \$69.0	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)		Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$133,808		\$89,864	
	Total Expenses	\$124,994		\$79,455	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$8,814		\$10,409	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$ <amount></amount>		\$ <amount></amount>	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: <info< th=""><th>></th><th>Please detail: <info></info></th></info<>	>	Please detail: <info></info>	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Com	pleted Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$8,400		\$35,331	
	Other Grants (List): BC Gaming	\$1286.25		\$19,980	
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0		\$0	
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0		\$0	
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0		\$0	
	Total Grants	\$9,686.25		\$55,311	
	Grant Surplus	\$ <amount></amount>		\$0	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: <info< th=""><th>></th><th>Please detail: <info></info></th></info<>	>	Please detail: <info></info>	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$22,000	Salaries & Benefit	ks	
		For B \$ 6,431	Office Rent		
		For C \$0			
•		Total \$28,431			
	☑ Community Service	For A \$6,900	Volunteer Suppor	t, Equipment, Materials	
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0			
		For C \$0			
		Total \$6,900			
	Total Grant Request	\$35,331			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$8,400			
	·	For B \$0			
		For C \$0			
		Total \$8,400			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support social activities and referrals to other community services. The CMWAC is 66% dependent on a city grant in order to operate and more effort needs to be made to seek grants from other sources.			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	<info></info>			
15.	Assessor	Mark Mansfield			
		I Mark Marchold			



1. Applicant Commur			Community	ity Meal at St Albans			
2.	Grant Request		\$2000	Proposal Title: St Albans Community Meal			
3.	t		St Albans Anglican Church is requesting financial assistance from the City to continue to provide a hot nourishing meal to 140-170 people who are on low income and to provide this service every Tuesday from September until June.				
4.	Focus		· ·	-	iting Assistance, and/or y Service (e.g., Program, Proj	ect, Event)	
5.	Duration			oing .	Activity, and/or		
6.	Multiple Criteria		l. 7.7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY			CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Pric	ority Activities	GROUP	
x000 x0 x x x00	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> Interial satisfactorily met?</specify>	X General Pol X Seniors X People with X Children X Youth X Families X Low Income X Women X Men X Immigrants	Disabilities		Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organizational Capacity Build Community Capacity Improve the Quality of Life: • Social • Economic • Environmental Other (specify): fellowship	 X Improve Quality of Service X Maximize Number Served X Promote Financial Partnerships X Leverage More Funds from Others X Build Organizational Capacity X Support Stable, Capable Groups X Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify></specify> 	
X Ye		X Yes No		XY		X Yes No	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Les	s Favourably Considered		
This grant proposal: X Serves Primarily Richmond Residents X Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group X Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 				
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo Received	rts Currently	Value \$0 Please deta	il: N	o non-grant city supports o	currently received.	
8. Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details Value \$1500 Please detail			or food and to pay the coo	k's wages			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$22,849	\$29,000			
	Total Expenses	\$26,594	\$29,000			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$-3,745	\$0			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$7,611	\$			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Very small accumulated surplus held for emergency situations:	Please detail:			
		e.g.: equipment replacement	Territoria de la contractiona de			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$1,500	\$2,000			
	Other Grants (List):	\$ N/A	\$			
	Gilmore Park Church	\$ N/A	\$8,000			
	Sunrise Rotary	\$N/A	\$2,000			
	London Drugs	\$N/A	\$1,000			
	Total Grants	\$8,000	\$13,000 \$			
	Grant Surplus	\$0				
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: no grant surplus from City Grant	Please detail: most funding for thi project is raised by donations			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$				
		For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$				
	X Community Service	For A \$880 Salaries				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$1,120 Food				
		For C \$				
		Total \$				
	Total Grant Request	\$2,000				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$880 salaries				
		For B \$1,120 food for project clients				
		For C \$				
	· ·	Total \$2000				
13.	Rationale	Increased level funded at the full reque meal. St. Alban's also provides an Extre				
14.	Conditions, if applicable					
15	Assessor	Alan Hill				
15.	W9969901	Aran Fill				



	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1. Applicant Family Serv			vices Of Greater Vancouver			
2.	Grant Request		\$70,000	Proposal Title: Richmond Counselling, Community Education and Development Program		
commu Richmo the City expens			community of Richmond for the City is un expenses of	The grant requested will be used to continue a counselling, support and community education and development project that has been operating in Richmond for the last thirty years. It is proposed the funding obtained from the City is used for salaries (83%), utilities bill payment and general expenses occurred from running an office to support this project. The City is being asked to fund 48% of this project.		
4.	Focus		•	perating Assistance, unity Service (e.g., F	•	ect, Event)
5.	Duration		_	ing Activity, and/or ne Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
CRI	TERIA A – BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY			CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities		GROUP
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Bulld a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> criteria satisfactorily met?</specify>	Are criteria satisfa	Disabilitles ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	X Prevention X Intervention X Treatment X Wellness Build Organizatio X Build Community X Improve the Qua X • Social X • Economic Definition of the Community Control of the Control o	Capacity lity of Life:	X Improve Quality of Service X Maximize Number Served X Promote Financial Partnerships X Leverage More Funds from Others X Build Organizational Capacity X Support Stable, Capable Groups ☐ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met?</specify>
N A	· ······	Yes No	· ·	☑ Yes ☐ No		☑ Yes ☐ No
	Determinants of Eligibility	<u>.</u>	-	Less Favourably C		
This grant proposal: x Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group □ Demonstrates Partnerships □ All Documentation has been Submitted			Only City Funding for Project Single Group and City Funded Projects Group becoming Dependant on City Grants Insufficient Partnering Duplication of Service Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit Other (specify): <specify></specify>			
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo Received	rts Currently		igure available Il: Space at Richm	<u></u>	place and subsequent waiving of
8. Amount of Previous Year Grant Value \$45,00 and Spending Details			I: This money was		alaries and benefits for the same s being requested for this year	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$22,133,829	\$22,363,741	
	Total Expenses	\$22,111,848	\$22,359,770	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$21,781	\$3971	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$701,828 (unrestricted)	\$ N/A	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: this large surplus represents the surplus from over 100 programs and services.	Please detail:	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$45,000	\$70,000	
	Other Grants (List):	\$61,159	\$56,366	
	United Way	\$27,226	\$27,226	
	BC Gaming	\$12,707	\$8,240 provincial funding (not confirmed-drop from last year)	
	Donations and Fundraising	\$21,226	\$20,900	
	Total Grants	\$106,159	\$126,226	
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A	\$0	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail:	Please detail: \$20,000 shortfall in grants for this project to be provided by Family Services.	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$		
		For B \$		
		For C \$		
		Total \$		
	☐ Community Service	For A \$58,208 salaries and benefits		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$4,021 office supplies/rent/cop	ying	
		For C \$,7771		
·		Total \$70,000		
L	Total Grant Request	\$70,000		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$45,000 salaries and benefits.		
•		For B \$		
		For C \$		
		Total \$45,000		
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for counselling, community education and development.		
14.	Conditions, if applicable			
15.	Assessor	Alan Hill		



	T	<u> </u>					
1.	······································			Richmond Aids Foundation			
2.	Grant Request		\$14,000	Proposal Title:			
3.	Summary of Request		Funding for	office rent.			
				•			
4.	Focus		☑ Group O	perating Assistance, and/or			
				unity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)		
5.	Duration			oing Activity, and/or			
			☐ A One-ti	me Activity			
6.	6. Multiple Criteria						
CRIT	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS		
Con	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	donto	Priority Activities	TO THE APPLICANT GROUP		
	-						
	Promote Livability	General Por	oulation	Prevention	✓ Improve Quality of Service✓ Maximize Number Served		
	Promote an Appealing City	Seniors	Disabilities	Intervention			
	Build a Legacy	People with	Disabilities	☑ Treatment	Promote Financial Partnerships		
	Build Sustainability	☑ Children		Wellness	Leverage More Funds from Others		
	Build Financial Viability	Youth		Build Organizational Capacity	Build Organizational Capacity		
	Build a Complete Community	☑ Families		Build Community Capacity	Support Stable, Capable Groups		
	Promote "Well Managed"	Low Income	,	Improve the Quality of Life:	✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
	Promote Diversity	☑ Women ☑ Men		☑ • Social ☑ • Economic	☑ Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
	Promote Volunteerism	I —					
	Promote Wellness	☑ Immigrants		Environmental Sther (annuit)			
	Innovation	☐ Tourists ☐ Other (spec	16 A	Other (specify): <specify></specify>			
	Other (specify): <specify> criteria satisfactorily met?</specify>	Are criteria satisf	ify): <specify></specify>	Are criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met?		
Ø \		Yes No		☑ Yes ☐ No	Yes D No		
Key	Determinants of Eligibility	L		Less Favourably Considered	<u> </u>		
	grant proposal:			☐ Only City Funding for Project			
	Serves Primarily Richmond Res	idents		☐ Single Group and City Funded I	Projects		
 [27]	Seeks Funding from Sources O		and the	☐ Group becoming Dependant on	•		
	Application Group				ony Grants		
✓ Demonstrates Partnerships✓ All Documentation has been Submitted			☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service				
✓ All Documentation has been Submitted			Groups with Unaccounted Surp	lus or Deficit			
			Other (specify): <specify></specify>				
7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently none			none	- Other (opening), separation			
" "			il: Banding — VCU \$5000 BC	Gamina \$0000			
	1.0001700		Flease deta	il: Pending – VCH \$5000. BC	Gaining 45000		
8.	Amount of Previous Ye	nar Grant	Value \$660				
O.	and Spending Details	tai Gidill		u il: Office rent			
	and openianing betails		i loude dela	m. Onloo fort			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$144,803	\$152,000			
	Total Expenses	\$145,074	\$152,000			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$878.76	\$0			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$	\$0			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: accumulated from years 97-09.	1			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$6,600	\$14,000			
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	\$	\$			
	BC Gaming	\$7,000	\$9,000			
	Vancouver Coastal Health	\$	\$5,000			
	Fundraising	\$	\$2,000			
	Total Grants	\$13,600	\$30,000			
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail:				
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$14,000 Office Rent				
		For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$				
	☐ Community Service	For A \$				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$				
	Total Grant Request	\$ <amount></amount>				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$7,500				
		For B \$	·			
		For C \$				
		Total \$7,500				
13.	Rationale	Increased level due to expansion of outreach education, including nearly doubling the number of presentations. \$29,000 in grocery vouchers distributed.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
45	Accessor	Wayne Voc. Advisor Vovide Comises.	Community Social Continue			
15.	Assessor	Wayne Yee, Advisor Youth Services, C	Johnnanity Social Services			



1.	Applicant	`	Integration	on Youth Services Society (IYSS)		
2.	Grant Request		\$51,223 Proposal Title: 2009 Richmond City Grant IYSS			
3.	Summary of Request		Operating expenses And 5 program streams.			
4.	Focus		-	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Proj	ject, Event)	
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
CRIT	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gene	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met? es</specify>	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	✓ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ✓ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☑ Build Community Capacity ☐ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes ☐ No</specify>	 ✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): <specify></specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes ✓ No 	
	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered		
This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships (not necessarily Richmond bapartnering) ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted				 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering ☑ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 		
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor	rts Currently	Value \$5,00	00		
	Received	•		iil: Richmond Mandarin Lion G Education Path \$4,000	Sate Club \$1000	
8.	8. Amount of Previous Year Grant Value			lue \$5000 in 2008 ease detail: Not itemized in application.		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$95,433	\$95,443			
	Total Expenses	\$93,268	\$93,268			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$2165	\$2175			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$304	\$304			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail:	Please detail:			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$5,000	\$51,223			
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>		\$			
	Direct Access	\$12,000	\$0			
	Richmond Mandarin Lions Gate	\$0	\$1,000			
	Richmond Education Path	\$0	\$4,000			
	Total Grants	\$ <amount></amount>	\$ <amount></amount>			
	Grant Surplus	\$ <amount></amount>	\$ <amount></amount>			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>			
1.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	 ✓ Operating Assistance ✓ Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project) 	For A \$20,500 For B \$31,223				
	Total Grant Request	\$51,313				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0. For B				
		Total \$0				
13.	Rationale	Incomplete application. Needs to establish collaborative, action-based partnerships.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable					
15.	Assessor	Wayne Yee, Advisor Youth Service	s. Community Social Services			
	1.1000001	Wayne Yee, Advisor Youth Services, Community Social Services				



1.	Applicant The Kehila			a Society of Richmond			
2.	Grant Request		\$15,000	000 Proposal Title: <proposal title=""></proposal>			
3.	dona perso Senio			Adult day care - once a week lunch program for frail older adults. Space donated by Courtyard Gardens, an assisted living facility. Feeds 12 persons. Primary referrals of clients come from Kehila Richmond Jewish Seniors Group. Secondary referrals for lunches are Jewish Seniors in the facility and tertiary referrals may come from the public.			
4.	Focus		☑ A Comm	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Proj	iect, Event)		
5.	Duration	:		oing Activity, and/or me Activity			
6.	Multiple Criteria						
	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH				CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP		
	Promote Liveability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met?</specify>	General Population Seniors People with Disabilities Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (specify): <specify< th=""><th>☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify> ☐ Yes ☐ No</specify></th><th>Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others □ Build Organizational Capacity □ Support Stable, Capable Groups □ Minimize Duplication of Services □ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes □ No</specify></th></specify<>		☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify> ☐ Yes ☐ No</specify>	Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others □ Build Organizational Capacity □ Support Stable, Capable Groups □ Minimize Duplication of Services □ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes □ No</specify>		
⊢—	Determinants of Eligibility	Yes No		Less Favourably Considered			
 -	grant proposat:			☐ Only City Funding for Project			
E.J	Serves Primarily Richmond Res general public.			Single Group and City Funded I			
	Seeks Funding from Sources O Application Group	ther than the City a	and the	☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants			
	Demonstrates Partnerships (fur			☐ Insufficient Partnering			
F	All Documentation has been Submitted - Balance Shiprovided.		Sheet not	☐ Duplication of Service			
	*			Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit Other (specify): Required Financial Statements not submitted. Balance Sheet not provided. Financial health undeterminable			
7.	7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently Received \$6000 private Federation		te donors through Community	y Foundation of Jewish			
8.	B. Amount of Previous Year Grant none and Spending Details						

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	Not submitted.	Not submitted. Not submitted.			
	Total Expenses	Not submitted.				
	Surplus or (Deficit)	Not submitted.	Not submitted.			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	Not submitted.	Not submitted.			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail:	Please detail:			
0.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$	\$15,000			
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	 \$	\$			
	Jewish Federation of Greater Vancouver	\$	\$15,000			
	Community Foundation, Jewish Federation	\$	\$6,000			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$	\$			
	Total Grants	\$	\$			
	Grant Surplus	\$	\$			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail:	Please detail:			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$10,500				
		For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$				
	☑ Community Service	For A \$4,500				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$				
	Total Grant Request	\$15,000				
2.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0				
		For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$0	·			
3.	Rationale	Financial information incomplete. The access to this service. VCH has deni				
4.	Conditions, if applicable					
5.	Assessor	Wayne Yee, Advisor Youth Services, Community Social Services				



1.	1. Applicant I			KIDS HELP PHONE			
2.	Grant Request		\$5,000 Proposal Title: Counselling Service Delivery Project				
3.	3. Summary of Request		Specific req	juest	is not clearly stated in ap		
4.	Focus		☐ A Comm	nunity	ing Assistance, and/or Service (e.g., Program, Proj	ject, Event)	
5.	Duration		☑ An Ongo ☐ A One-ti	_	ctivity, and/or ctivity		
6.	Multiple Criteria		<u> </u>			p	
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC			CRITERIA B - BENEFITS	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Prior	rity Activities	GROUP	
O C P		Are criteria satisf	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	Are co		☐ Improve Quality of Service ☐ Maximize Number Served ☐ Promote Financial Partnerships ☐ Leverage More Funds from Others ☑ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Support Stable, Capable Groups ☐ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No</specify>	
⊢	Determinants of Eligibility				Favourably Considered		
This grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group □ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted		and the		Only City Funding for Project Single Group and City Funded I Group becoming Dependant on Insufficient Partnering Duplication of Service Groups with Unaccounted Surp Other (specify): Incomplete doc	City Grants		
7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently none Received			none				
8. Amount of Previous Year Grant none and Spending Details							

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year
	Total Revenue	(c.g., ridalos i manour otatement)	\$
	Total Expenses	\$	* \$
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$	\$
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$	\$
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail:	Please detail:
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application
	Richmond Grant	\$	\$5,000
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	\$	\$
	City of North Van	\$	Confirmed, no dollar amount
	City of White Rock	\$	Confirmed, no dollar amount
	City of Langley	\$	Confirmed, no dollar amount
	Total Grants	\$	\$
	Grant Surplus	\$	\$
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail:	Please detail:
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$5,000	
		For B \$ <amount></amount>	
		For C \$ <amount></amount>	
		Total \$ <amount></amount>	
	☐ Community Service	For A \$ <amount></amount>	
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ <amount></amount>	
		For C \$ <amount></amount>	
		Total \$ <amount></amount>	
	Total Grant Request	\$ <amount></amount>	
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0	
40	D.C I	Total \$ 0 Financial records incomplete. No propo	and grant hudget, nurnees of
13.	Rationale	request not specified.	sed grant budget, purpose or
14.	Conditions, if applicable		
15.	Assessor	Wayne Yee, Advisor Youth Services, (Community Social Services



1.	Applicant	,	Richmond Addiction Services Society				
2.	Grant Request		\$275,873	Proposal Title: No Title/ General support and services			and services
				(see below-summary of request)			
3.	Summary of Request		The grant w	vill be	used for the following pur	poses:	
			a) employm	ent c	of an addiction specialist/p	roblem gamblir	ng counsellor
			b) to facilitat	te C/	ATS program (Constructive	e Alternative to	Teen
			Suspension)	•			
					uild the RASS Volunteer p	rogram	
			d) general o	opera	iting expenses.		
4.	Focus		•	•	ting Assistance, and/or		
					Service (e.g., Program, Proj	ect, Event)	
5.	Duration		X An Ongo □ A One-tii	7	Activity, and/or		
•	Multiple Criterie		LI A One-til	inie A	CHVILY		
6.	Multiple Criteria					CRITERIA B – E	PENECITO
CRIT	TERIA A – BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC			TO THE APPLIC	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Prio	rity Activities	GROUP	
Х	Promote Livability	X General Por	oulation	Х	Prevention	X Improve Qua	ality of Service
Х	Promote an Appealing City	X Seniors		Χ	Intervention	X Maximize Nu	ımber Served
Х	Build a Legacy	X People with	Disabilities	Х	Treatment		ancial Partnerships
	Build Sustainability	X Children		1 .	Wellness		ore Funds from Others
	Build Financial Viability	X Youth		Х	Build Organizational Capacity		zational Capacity
	Build a Complete Community	X Families		Х	Build Community Capacity		ole, Capable Groups
1 ' '	Promote "Well Managed"	X Low Income	•	X	Improve the Quality of Life:		plication of Services
	Promote Diversity	X Women		X	Social	Other (speci	fy): <specify></specify>
*	Promote Volunteerism	X Men		X	Economic		
٠,	Promote Wellness	X Immigrants		X	Environmental Other (enveits): <annotice< th=""><th></th><th></th></annotice<>		
*	Innovation Other (enceits)	☐ Tourists ☐ Other (spec	ify): <specify></specify>		Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
	Other (specify): <specify> criteria satisfactorily met?</specify>	Are criteria satisfa	*	Are (criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfa	ctorily met?
X Ye		X Yes No	-	XY		X Yes □ No	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility	,		Les	s Favourably Considered		
This	grant proposal:			Only City Funding for Project			
	Serves Primarily Richmond Res	sidents		☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects			
	Seeks Funding from Sources C		and the		Group becoming Dependant on	•	
	Application Group		;		Insufficient Partnering	,	
Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted					Duplication of Service		
X All Documentation has been Submitted				Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit			
				x	Other (specify): overreliance on		ment Funding.
7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently		Value \$N/A					
l le		Please deta		/A			
					•		
8.	Amount of Previous Y	ear Grant	Value \$171,	,970			
	and Spending Details		Please deta	ail: th	is funding was for addictio		unsellor (Youth)
			and for problem gambling prevention/counselling				

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$2,138,600	\$1,022,550	
	Total Expenses	\$2,173,213	\$1,076,001	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$-53,431	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$-34,616 (Deficit)		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail:	Please detail: The deficit is due to operating and service pressures and RASS will engage in an aggressive fundraising campaign to balance the budget.	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$171,950	\$275,873	
	Other Grants (List):	\$1,887,276	\$	
	Vancouver Coastal Health/RHS	\$1,722,927 :Provincial funding	\$620,600 Note: Provincial cut	
	MHSD	\$130,000	\$0	
	Health Canada	 \$34,349	\$0	
	Min Public Safety/Sol General	\$0	\$140,000	
	Total Grants	\$2,059,226	\$1,036,473	
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0	
	Justification for Accumulated		Please detail:	
	Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: No Surplus	Please detail.	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	X Operating Assistance	For A \$ 214,873 salaries and benefits	s (full time)	
		For B \$15,000 salaries and benefits (part time)	
		For C \$44,000 office rent /utilities	·	
		For D \$2000 other/general Total \$275,873		
	☐ Community Service	For A \$0		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0		
		For C \$0		
		Total \$0		
	Total Grant Request	\$275,873		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$171,950		
		For B \$		
		For C \$		
		Total \$171,950		
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support subs	tance misuse and problem	
		gambling prevention.	•	
14.	Conditions, if applicable	That at the end of the fiscal year RASS produce both a full operating report detailing both service provision and organisational financial status and a full and comprehensive fundraising strategy. A half year operating report is also expected at the time of the next City Grant application. Urgent need to broaden/diversify funding base.		
15.	Assessor	Alan Hill		



1.	. Applicant Richmond			Ama	ateur Radio Club	
2.	Grant Request		\$20,000	Proposal Title: Richmond Amateur Radio Club		
3.	CI		70% of grant request is to replace and repair existing equipment. The Club's current amateur radio equipment is rapidly becoming obsolete and is in need of replacement or major repairs, should it be required to assist in emergencies.			
4.	Focus		☑ A Comm	unity	ting Assistance, and/or y Service (e.g., Program, Pro	oject, Event)
5.	Duration		☑ An Ongo □ A One-ti	_	Activity, and/or Activity	
6.	Multiple Criteria					
CRIT	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	ERICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY			CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Pric	ority Activities	GROUP
O O O Are o	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met? es</specify>	Are criteria satisf ☑ Yes ☐ No	Disabilities cify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	Ø	Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organizational Capacity Build Community Capacity Improve the Quality of Life: • Social • Economic • Environmental Other (specify): Provides back up radio communication criteria satisfactorily met? Yes □ No	
					Only City Funding for Project	
This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	Single Group and City Funded Projects Group becoming Dependant on City Grants Insufficient Partnering Duplication of Service Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo Received	rts Currently	none			
8.	Amount of Previous Yound Spending Details	ear Grant	\$0			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year	Budget for Current Year			
		(e.g., Audited Financial Statement)				
	Total Revenue	\$1834.43	\$1850			
	Total Expenses	\$2015.37	\$1895			
	Surplus or (Deficit)		\$ <amount></amount>			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	(\$180.94)	(\$45)			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: declining club membership, additional cost for field day operation and equip maintenance	Please detail: <info></info>			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant		\$20,000			
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>		none			
	<other grant=""></other>					
	<other grant=""></other>					
	<other grant=""></other>					
	Total Grants					
	Grant Surplus					
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant					
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$20,000 Equipment				
	Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	Total \$20,000				
	Total Grant Request	\$20,000				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1,000				
13.	Rationale	One-time grant to assist this group to le- community and safety activities.	verage other funds to further their			
14.	Conditions, if applicable					
15.	Assessor	Wayne Yee Advisor Youth Services C	Community Social Services			
		Wayne Yee, Advisor Youth Services, Community Social Services				



1.	Applicant		Richmond Carefree Society				
2.	Grant Request		\$2,500 Proposal Title: NONE				
		Funding to cover some core operational costs. Richmond Carefree Society engages volunteers to support parents and families who have a child with special needs. Twice a week, volunteers provide childcare between 9 and 11:30 a.m. at the East Richmond Community Hall for up to 16 children.					
4.	Focus		☑ A Comm	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Proj	ect, Event)		
5.	Duration	, ,		oing Activity, and/or me Activity			
6.	Multiple Criteria						
	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO THI eral Objectives	Types of Resid		Priority Activities	CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT GROUP		
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify></specify>	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	oulation Disabilities	□ Prevention □ Intervention □ Treatment □ Weliness □ Build Organizational Capacity ☑ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ ● Social □ ● Economic □ ● Environmental □ Other (specify): <specify></specify>	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
Ale o	criteria satisfactorily met? 'es No	Are criteria satisfa ☑ Yes ☐ No	•	Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No	Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered			
This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City ar Application Group ☑ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted		and the	 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 				
Received In kind		In kind -\$20	fee subsidy for music therapy 0/wk Cambie Community Cen \$140/wk from Minoru Seniors t	tre – rental fee waived			
8.	Amount of Previous Young and Spending Details	ear Grant	\$2,500				

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year to Sept 15, 2009			
	Total Revenue	\$22,827.18	\$4,292.65			
	Total Expenses	\$17,626.92	\$9,665.17			
	Surplus or (Deficit)		no			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$5,200.26				
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: to be used to for 2009 fiscal year operations.	Please detail: (\$5362.52)			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$2,500	\$2,500			
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>		\$ <amount></amount>			
	BC Direct Access		\$10,000			
	Greater Vancouver Real Estate Board		\$2,500			
	Personal donations		\$500			
	Total Grants	·	\$15,500 (\$20,545.99)			
	Grant Surplus		unknown			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant					
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$1,400 insurance				
		For B \$600 transportation				
		For C \$500 music therapy				
		Total \$				
	☐ Community Service	For A \$				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$				
	Total Grant Request	\$2,500				
12.	Récommended Grant					
		T-4-1 #2 500				
42	Rationale	Total \$2,500	lies with special needs children			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support families with special needs children. The application is well presented with all documentation.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable					
15.	Assessor	Wayne Yee, Advisor Youth Services,	Community Social Services			



1. Applicant Richmond			Richmond	d City Centre Community Association (RCCCA)				
2.	Grant Request		\$16,900	6,900 Proposal Title: N/A				
3.			To run a community-based, asset-development program for high need and at-risk children who attend Cook and Anderson elementary schools in the inner city. The children, who would otherwise be on their own, with inadequate care, on their school's early dismissal day each week. The program is based on an earlier pilot project that demonstrated the effectiveness of this type of asset development in inner city children. The program is a partnership with: Boys & Girls Club of Richmond/Delta, the United Way, and the schools.					
4.	Focus		•	operating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, P	roject, Event)			
5.	Duration		1	oing Activity, and/or Ime Activity				
6.	Multiple Criteria							
CRI	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT			
Ger	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP			
x D	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy	General Pop Seniors X People with		X Prevention X Intervention Treatment	X Improve Quality of Service X Maximize Number Served X Promote Financial Partnerships			
x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness	X Children Youth X Families X Low Income Women Men X Immigrants	•	X Wellness X Build Organizational Capacity X Build Community Capacity ☐ Improve the Quality of Life: X • Social X • Economic ☐ • Environmental	X Leverage More Funds from Others X Build Organizational Capacity X Support Stable, Capable Groups ☐ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): n/a			
X	Innovation Other (specify): n/a	☐ Tourists ☐ Other (spec		Other (specify): n/a				
Are o	criteria satisfactorily met? es 🔲 No	Are criteria satisfa X Yes	•	Are criteria satisfactorily met? X Yes	Are criteria satisfactorily met? X Yes			
Key	Determinants of Eligibility		<u> </u>	Less Favourably Considered				
This grant proposal: X Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group X Demonstrates Partnerships			and the	 ☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering 				
x All Documentation has been Submitted				☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>				
Received (i) Use of t		(i) Use of La	000 minimum & significant othe ang Community Centre, plus \$ nt (ii) City staff & Council Liais	35,000/year Youth Development				
8. Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details Value \$1,200 Please detail School			Please deta		sset development program Cook			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$257,250	\$213,575			
	Total Expenses	\$265,863	\$309,279			
	Surplus	\$8,612	\$1,296			
	Accumulated (Deficit)	\$0	\$0			
	Justification for any Accumulated	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a			
	Surplus	(does not appear that RCCCA applies				
		to Province for funding)				
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$1,200	\$16,900			
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$2,100 (Boys & Girls Club)			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$1,200 (Prov. Gaming Grant)			
	·	·				
	Grant Surplus	N/a	n/a			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a			
11.	Previous City Grant PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$0				
	- operating / tooleanies	For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$0				
	X Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A \$14,836 professional and administrative salaries and benefits (Part time)				
	(orgi, monit, regionit, region)	For B \$180 staff transportation				
		For C \$400 training & professional development				
		For D \$1,484 administration				
		Total \$16,900				
	Total Grant Request	\$16,900				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$3,000				
		For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$3,000				
13.	Rationale	Increase from last year to support high RCCCA has limited revenue-generating				
4.	Conditions, if applicable	none				
15.	Assessor	Sheila Johnston, Clerk's Office				
	1.	Tollow Common, Clork Commo				



	T						
1.	Applicant		Richmond Family Place				
2.	Grant Request		\$20,000	Proposal Title: Richmond	Family Place		
3.	Summary of Request		Richmond Family Place (RFP) is seeking funding to support its operating costs. RFP provides a wide array of preventative services and programs to families with children birth to six years of age living in Richmond. These programs are delivered by qualified Early Childhood Educators at eight different sites throughout Richmond. The essence of Richmond Family Place is to promote community initiatives, enhance the parenting skills of caregivers, provide children opportunities to learn the skills they need, and to support the family as a whole.				
4.	Focus		1	Operating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)		
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity			
6.	Multiple Criteria				,		
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THE	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY		CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP		
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met?</specify>	Are criteria satisfa	Disabilities sify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	✓ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☐ Wellness ☑ Build Organizational Capacity ☑ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>	 ✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 		
Ø Y		Yes No)	☑ Yes ☐ No	☑ Yes ☐ No		
<u> </u>	Determinants of Eligibility		1	Less Favourably Considered			
This grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	Only City Funding for Project Single Group and City Funded Projects Group becoming Dependant on City Grants Insufficient Partnering Duplication of Service Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit Other (specify): <specify></specify>			
7.	Received Please deta space at Ha			5,749 ail: Subsidized rent at Debeck House (\$35,999); free rental amilton and Cambie Community Centres (\$29,750) and Cambie and Libraries (\$50,000)			
8.	Amount of Previous Ye and Spending Details	∍ar Grant	Value \$18,000 Please detail: Administrative Salary, .2 FTE (\$8,190); Heat, electricity, phones (\$2,480); Program Coordinator, .15 FTE (\$8,970)				

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year
	Total Revenue	\$755 ₃ 381	\$638,631
	Total Expenses	\$755,749	\$658,101
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(368)	\$(19,470)
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(24,241)	\$ <amount></amount>
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Tiny loss this year, previous fundraising goals not met	Please detail: Due to deferred payment from the Ministry of Advanced Education and Labour
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application
	Richmond Grant	\$18,000	\$20,000
	Other Grants (List): BC Gaming	\$51,000	\$51,000
	Vancouver Coastal Health	\$67,047	\$62,000
	Ministry for Children & Family Dev.	\$110,000	\$106,000
	United Way	\$144,715	\$210,000
	Total Grants	\$390,762	\$82,971Ministry of Advanced Ed.
	Grant Surplus	N/A	\$6,000 Foundations, other grants
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Total grants: \$538,971 Please detail: N/A
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$17,160 Salaries and be For B \$1,000 Heat For C \$1,840 Light	nefits
	Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	Total \$20,000 For A N/A For B For C Total N/A	
	Total Grant Request	\$20,000	
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$18,000 For B For C Total \$18,000	
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for salaries and expenses.	d benefits, plus some overhead
14.	Conditions, if applicable	N/A	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



1.	1. Applicant Rich				Richmond Food Security Society					
2.	Grant Request		\$14,950	1,950 Proposal Title: Food in the City Project						
3.	Summary of Request		Food in the grown food	ood in the City aims to increase the production & consumption of locally rown food by:				ally		
			١-	•	d back	vards in Richm	ond to	o gard	leners to grow food	
			_			•		_	ours to pick & distribute	Э
				_		areness around	-	_	▼	
			_					•	cessing local food	
						ism through ba	_		~	
4.	Focus		<u> </u>			ssistance, and/or	<u> </u>			
"			,	•	_	ce (e.g., Program		ect, Ev	ent)	
5.	Duration		☐ An Ongo	oing .	Activity	/, and/or	•			
			☑ A One-ti	me A	Activity					
6.	Multiple Criteria				,					
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY						RIA B - BENEFITS	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resi	dents	Pric	ority A	ctivities		GROU	IE APPLICANT JP	
Ø	Promote Livability	☑ General Po	pulation	Ø	Prever	ntion		☐ Ir	nprove Quality of Service	
1	Promote an Appealing City	☑ Seniors			Interve	ention			laximize Number Served	
1	Build a Legacy	People with	Disabilities		Treatn	nent		☑ P	romote Financial Partnersh	ips
I	Build Sustainability	☐ Children		Ø	Wellne	ess		☑ L	everage More Funds from (Others
	Build Financial Viability	☐ Youth			Build (Organizational Cap	acity	☑ B	uild Organizational Capacit	y
	Build a Complete Community	☑ Families		V	Build 0	Community Capacit	ty	□ s	upport Stable, Capable Gro	ups
Ø	Promote "Well Managed"	☑ Low Income	•	Ø	Improv	e the Quality of Life	ie:	☑ M	linimize Duplication of Serv	ces
	Promote Diversity	☐ Women		Ø	• Soc	cial			other (specify): <specify></specify>	
1	Promote Volunteerism	☐ Men		Ø	• Eco	onomic				
I —	Promote Wellness	☑ Immigrants		Ø		vironmental				
	Innovation	☐ Tourists			Other	(specify): <specify:< th=""><th>> </th><th></th><th></th><th></th></specify:<>	>			
	Other (specify): <specify></specify>		ify): <specify></specify>	ļ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	riteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisf	-			satisfactorily met?		Are criteria satisfactorily met?		
☑ Y		Yes No			Yes I			M Ye	S LINO	
	Determinants of Eligibility			 		ourably Conside				
	grant proposal:				-	City Funding for Pro	-			
	Serves Primarily Richmond Res Seeks Funding from Sources O		and the	Single Group and City Funded Projects						
	Application Group	ther than the Oity	and the	Group becoming Dependent on City Grants (seeking primarily City funding)						
Ø					Insuffic	cient Partnering				
☑ All Documentation has been Submitted				☐ Duplication of Service						
				☑ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit						
					Other	(specify): <specify?< th=""><th>></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></specify?<>	>			
			Value \$1, 4:	20						
	Received							20), Cultural Centre sp	ace	
			for World Fo	ood i	Day (\$	3200), printing o	of loca	I food	guide (\$1,000)	
8.				Value N/A						
	and Spending Details		Please deta	ail: N	/A					

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year	Budget for Current Year
	Total Revenue	(e.g., Audited Financial Statement) \$41,000	<u> </u>
	Total Expenses	\$27,799	\$48,445
	Surplus or (Deficit)	[' '	\$48,445
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$13,201 N/A	\$N/A
	, , ,		\$N/A
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Not provided	Please detail: N/A
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application
	Richmond Grant	N/A	\$14,950
	Other Grants (List):	N/A	\$
	Environment Canada EcoAction	N/A	\$4,000
	Fundraising	N/A	\$9,450
	Vancouver Coast Health	\$35,244	\$
	Total Grants	N/A	\$28,400
	Grant Surplus	N/A	N/A
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	N/A	Please detail:
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A N/A	
		For B	
		For C	
		Total	
	☑ Community Service	For A \$8,800 Salaries and benef	its
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$1,250 Volunteer support	
		For C \$4,900 Equipment, photoc	copying, translation, website, etc.
		Total \$14,950	
	Total Grant Request	\$14,950	
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0	'
		For B \$	
		For C \$	
		Total \$0	
13.	Rationale	Unaccounted for surplus of \$13,201 from of their budget.	m 2008/09, which constituted 32%
		One other non-City grant of \$4,000 is be	eing sought for 2010.
14.	Conditions, if applicable	N/A	
4E	Annoner	Loolou Chaulaste	
15.	Assessor	Lesley Sherlock	



1.	Applicant		Richmond Hospice Association (RHA)					
2.	Grant Request		\$50,000	Proposal Title: Funding for Positions of Coordinator of Volunteer Resources and Trainer/Group				
				Facilitator				
3.	Summary of Request		most vulner their familie maintain 2 ordinator po service for trains all ho	To maintain the existing hospice service in Richmond and to serve (i) the most vulnerable members of the community in their final stage of living, (ii) their families, and (ii) their friends. The grant would secure funding to maintain 2 part-time positions critical to the delivery of service. (1) Coordinator position is a community partnership allowing seamless access to service for all Richmond residents. (2) Training/Group Facilitator position trains all hospice palliative volunteers in Richmond and provides on-going educational opportunities.				
4.	Focus			opportunities. perating Assistance, and/or				
			☐ A Comm	unity Service (e.g., Program, I	Project, Event)			
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or				
			A One-ti	me Activity				
6.	Multiple Criteria							
CRIT	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT			
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP			
	Promote Livability	☐ General Po	oulation	☐ Prevention	x Improve Quality of Service			
	Promote an Appealing City	☐ Seniors		☐ Intervention	x Maximize Number Served			
	Build a Legacy	☐ People with	Disabilities	☐ Treatment	x Promote Financial Partnerships			
	Build Sustainability	☐ Children		x Wellness	Leverage More Funds from Others			
	Bulld Financial Viability	☐ Youth		☐ Build Organizational Capaci	ty 🔲 Build Organizational Capacity			
	Build a Complete Community	☐ Families		☐ Build Community Capacity	Support Stable, Capable Groups			
	Promote "Well Managed"	Low Income	•	x Improve the Quality of Life	: X Minimize Duplication of Services			
X	Promote Diversity	☐ Women		X • Social	Other (specify):			
x	Promote Volunteerism	☐ Men		■ • Economic				
x	Promote Wellness	☐ Immigrants						
	Innovation	☐ Tourists		Other (specify):				
	Other (specify):	X Other: the tage of the depth	terminally ill mily &					
	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfa		Are criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met?			
	s 🗆 No	X Yes No	•	X Yes D No	X Yes D No			
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considere	d			
This	grant proposal:			χ Only City Funding for Project				
x Serves Primarily Richmond Residents				Single Group and City Fund	ed Projects			
Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and Application Group			and the	Group becoming Dependan	t on City Grants			
x Demonstrates Partnerships				☐ Insufficient Partnering				
All Documentation has been Submitted				■ Duplication of Service				
				☐ Groups with Unaccounted S	urplus or Deficit			
				☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>				
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo	rts Currently	Value \$ n/a					
1 1			Please deta	il: applicant did not detail a	ny non-grant City support			

8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details	Value: \$0 in previous year Please detail: applicant received \$4,500 City Grant in 2002				
9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$105,290	\$75,104			
	Total Expenses	\$88,449	\$119,112			
	Surplus	\$16,841	0			
	Accumulated (Deficit)	\$0	(\$44,008)			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: surplus created as a result of a vacant position	Please detail: funding has been cut by Vancouver Coastal Health			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$4,500 (2002)	\$50,000			
	Other Grants (List): none	\$0	\$0			
	none	\$0	\$0			
	none	\$0	\$0			
	none	\$0	\$0			
	Total Grants	\$0	\$0			
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	x Operating Assistance	For A \$49,200				
		For B \$360				
		For C \$440				
		Total \$50,000				
	☐ Community Service	For A \$0				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$0				
	Total Grant Request	\$50,000				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$4,000				
		For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$4,000				
13.	Rationale	To support operating expenses. T prompted this application. Hospice w sources must be sought to be conapplications.	ill be advised that additional funding			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	none				
15.	Assessor	Sheila Johnston, Clerk's Office				
		1				



				·				
1.	Applicant		Richmond	Richmond Mental Health Consumer and Friends Society (RCFC)				
2.	Grant Request		\$7,558.78	Proposal Title: Peer Companion Program				
3.	Summary of Request		This grant proposal is for the continuation and demonstrated need for expanding our Peer Companion Program, which targets people with newly diagnosed or existing mental health issues and who need assistance connecting with community and mental health services in Richmond. Early intervention is made possible, so clients live more healthy productive lives. Seniors with mental health issues are introduced to the seniors centre. We employ people with mental health issues as an entry level job to help them return to productive lives.					
4.	Focus		☐ A Comm	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Proj	ect, Event)			
5.	Duration			oing Activity, and/or me Activity				
6.	Multiple Criteria				p			
	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO THI			In	CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT			
	eral Objectives	Types of Resid		Priority Activities	GROUP			
		General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Familles Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	✓ Prevention ✓ Intervention ✓ Treatment ✓ Wellness Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Build Community Capacity ✓ Improve the Quality of Life: ✓ • Social □ • Economic □ • Environmental □ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes No</specify>	 ✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ☐ Leverage More Funds from Others ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes ☐ No 			
	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered				
	Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted:			 ✓ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service 				
				Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit Other (specify): <specify></specify>				
7.	l ma			veek il: <info> Use of Butler Did It fo</info>	or bi-weekly coffee houses			
8.				0 il: Peer Companion Coordinato	or Wages, \$3,000			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$282,266.56	\$274,930			
	Total Expenses	\$252,242.97	\$274,930			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$30,023.59	\$N/A			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$N/A	\$N/A			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Surpluses are used to fund the Peer Companion Program and the Coffee House Program	Please detail: <info></info>			
0.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$3,000	\$7,558.78			
	Other Grants (List):		\$N/A			
	SMART, Vancouver Coastal Health	\$20,873	\$N/A			
	Richmond Health Services	\$212,177.88	\$N/A			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$	\$N/A			
	Total Grants	\$236,050.88	\$7,558.78			
	Grant Surplus	\$30,023.59	\$N/A			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: see above	Please detail: <info></info>			
 1.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$7,558.78 Peer Companie For B For C Total \$7,558.78	on Salary			
	Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A For B For C Total				
	Total Grant Request	\$7,558.78				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$3,000 For B For C				
		Total \$3,000				
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support the Peer Companion Program for Richmond residents with mental health illness. Non-City grants must be sought to remain eligible.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	N/A				
15.	Assessor	Lesley Sherlock				
	1	1				



1.	Applicant Richmond				Multicultural Concerns Society (RMCS)				
2.									
				\$33,000	Proposal Title: RMCS Capacity Building				
3.	functions of RMCS to (a growing im newcomers become fan community.				subsidize administrative positions and support core operating s of the society, maximizing organizational capacity and allowing to (among other things) (i) identify & meet unique needs of the immigrant & refugee communities of Richmond; (ii) assist ners with settlement & integration; & (iii) assist newcomers to familiar with Cdn. Culture & become contributing members of the nity. All RMCS activities help achieve Richmond's stated vision (to nost appealing, liveable and well-managed community in Canada).				
4.	Focus				•	ating Assistance, and/or y Service (e.g., Program, Proj	ect,	Event)	
5.	Duration			X An Ong ☐ A One-ti	-	g Activity, and/or Activity			
6.	Multiple Criteria								
	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH						то	ITERIA B — BENEFITS THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Тур	es of Resid	dents	Pric	ority Activities	GR	OUP	
	Promote Livability	X	General Po	pulation		Prevention	x	Improve Quality of Service	
,	Promote an Appealing City	X	Seniors			Intervention	х	Maximize Number Served	
	Build a Legacy	X	-	n Disabilities		Treatment	X	Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from	
X	Build Sustainability	X	Children			Wellness	Х	Others	
x	Build Financial Viability	×	Youth		x	Build Organizational Capacity	x	Build Organizational Capacity	
х	Build a Complete Community	X	Families		X	Build Community Capacity	x	Support Stable, Capable Groups	
	Promote "Well Managed"	x	Low Incom	e	X	Improve the Quality of Life:	x	Minimize Duplication of Services	
x	Promote Diversity	x	Women		X	• Social		Other	
х	Promote Volunteerism	x	Men			• Economic			
х	Promote Wellness		Immigrants			 Environmental 			
~~	Innovation		Tourists			Other: n/a			
	Other: n/a		Other: n/a		•		_	11 11 11 11 11	
X Ye	riteria satisfactorily met?	X Y		actorily met?	Are X Y	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are X Y	criteria satisfactorily met? /es	
	Determinants of Eligibility		25 LIN	<u>, </u>		ss Favourably Considered	<u> </u>	es 🗀 NO	
-									
	grant proposal:	.1	_		Only City Funding for Project				
l	Serves Primarily Richmond Res Seeks Funding from Sources O			and the	Single Group and City Funded Projects				
X	Application Group		ilan tilo Oity (☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants				
Х	Demonstrates Partnerships				Insufficient Partnering				
X	x All Documentation has been Submitted				Duplication of Service				
				Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit					
7.	Non Grent City Com-			Value 60		Other (specify):			
e I	Received	on-Grant City Supports Currently Value \$0 Please detail			il: a	pplicant does not receive	non	-grant City support	
8.					dministrative Salaries and	Be	nefits		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$881,631	\$898,100			
	Total Expenses	\$874,874	\$898,100			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$0			
	Accumulated Surplus	\$0	\$0			
	Justification for any Accumulated	Please detail: not applicable.	Please detail: not applicable			
	Surplus (Deficit)	Applicant does not apply for				
		Provincial funding.				
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$8,000 (2009)	\$33,000			
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	\$10,000 United Way	\$34,675 (Ministry of Advanced Education and Labour Market Development			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$O	\$0			
	Total Grants	\$0	\$0			
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	X Operating Assistance	For A \$33,000 – professional and ad (full time)	Iministrative salaries and benefits			
		For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$0				
	☐ Community Service	For A \$0				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$0				
	Total Grant Request	\$33,000				
2.	Recommended Grant	For A \$8,000				
		For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$8,000				
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to deliver settle	ment and related services			
	rationale	Came level as last year to deliver settle	mon and related services			
4.	Conditions, if applicable	none				
15.	Assessor	Sheila Johnston, Clerk's Office				
		Total Common Common				



1.	Applicant	·····	Richmond Poverty Response Committee				
2.	Grant Request						
			\$6,300	Proposal Title: Richmond Poverty Response Committee			
4.5.	Focus Duration	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RPRC is comprised of community agencies, voluntary organizations, faith groups, concerned citizens and people living in poverty. PRC develops poverty-reduction initiatives based on identified needs, exchange of information, empowers volunteers to take leadership and explores advocacy positions to support people living in poverty. For over eight years, RPRC has developed a growing and dynamic community-wide network of support for its initiatives through collaboration, cosponsoring, information sharing, research, engaging others and supporting each other's programs. Group Operating Assistance, and/or A Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)				
6	Multiple Criterie		☐ A One-ti	me Activity			
6.	Multiple Criteria				Toniana and and and and and and and and and		
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP		
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met? es</specify>	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (special Actions)	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☐ Wellness ☑ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify> ☐ Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No</specify>	Improve Quality of Service		
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered			
□ □ □	This grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 			
7.	7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently Received Value \$N Please d			il: N/A			
8.	and Spending Details Please				, Low Income Resource Directory		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$20,060	\$28,500		
	Total Expenses	\$43,068	\$28,500		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(23,008)	\$N/A		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$ <amount></amount>	\$N/A		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: \$20,000 United Way Funding received in January, 2009	Please detail: N/A		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$6,000	\$6,300		
	Other Grants (List):				
	United Way	\$20,000	\$5,000 Coast Capital		
	BC Healthy Communities	\$2,500	\$10,000 VanCity		
	<other grant=""></other>	\$	\$17,000 Vancouver Foundation		
	Total Grants	\$28,500	\$38,300		
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A	\$N/A		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	I		
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$3,750 Salaries and benef For B \$1,250 Materials For C \$1,300 Photocopying, well Total \$6,300	its bsite, bookkeeping		
	Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A \$ <amount> For B \$<amount> For C \$<amount> Total \$<amount></amount></amount></amount></amount>			
	Total Grant Request	\$ <amount></amount>			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$6,000 Operating Expens For B For C Total \$6,000			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for operating as	ssistance.		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	N/A			
15.	Assessor	Lesley Sherlock			



1.	Applicant Richmond		Society for Community Living (RSCL)				
2.	Grant Request		\$27,000	\$27,000 Proposal Title: Family Resource Program			
3.			to family n having a lo provides as disability du quality of life Coordinator	The Family Resource Program provides information, resources and support to family members facing extraordinary circumstances that come with having a loved one who have a developmental disability. The Program provides assistance to families and individuals with a developmental disability during all of life's transitions, resulting in the improvement in the quality of life for individuals and the improved well being of the family unit. A Coordinator provides important services including referrals for families to access services and programs offered by community partners.			
4.	Focus		1	•	ating Assistance, and/or y Service (e.g., Program, Proj	lect, Event)	
5.	Duration		X An Ongo	_	Activity, and/or Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria			•			
	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO TH					CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Res	dents	Pri	ority Activities	GROUP	
	Promote Livability	General Po	pulation	X	Prevention	Improve Quality of Service	
ı	Promote an Appealing City	X Seniors		X	Intervention	x Maximize Number Served	
l .	Build a Legacy		h Disabilities	X	Treatment Wellness	X Promote Financial Partnerships	
	Build Sustainability	A		X		Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity	
	Build Financial Viability Build a Complete	-			Build Organizational Capacity		
X	Community	X Families		X	Build Community Capacity	X Support Stable, Capable Groups	
	Promote "Well Managed"	X Low Incon	ne	X	Improve the Quality of Life:	Minimize Duplication of Services	
<u>×</u>	Promote Diversity	X Women			• Social	Other (specify):	
	Promote Volunteerism	X Men			• Economic		
	Promote Wellness	X Immigrant	\$		Environmental Other (consists):		
_	Innovation Other (enecify)	☐ Tourists ☐ Other (spe	nife At		Other (specify):		
	Other (specify): criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satis		Are	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met?	
X Ye		X Yes D N		XY		X Yes D No	
$\overline{}$	Determinants of Eligibility			Les	ss Favourably Considered		
	grant proposal:			Only City Funding for Project			
x	Serves Primarily Richmond Res	sidents		Single Group and City Funded Projects			
1	Seeks Funding from Sources O		and the	Group becoming Dependant on City Grants			
X	Application Group						
X	Demonstrates Partnerships	hmittad		☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service			
X All Documentation has been Submitted				冒	Groups with Unaccounted Surp	lus or Deficit	
					Other (specify):		
7.	Received Please deta Pendleton I		318 ail: Rd ad,	property tax exemption - & 4433 Francis Road & # PLUS rent exemption - T	- 5335 Steveston Hwy, & 9580 #9-11020 No. 1 Rd & #303-7560 Treehouse Early Learning Centre		
8.	Amount of Previous Y	ear Grant	Value \$11,0				
	and Spending Details		Please deta	ail: s	alary & benefits of Family ilities AND workshops AND	Resource Coordinator AND office D telephone AND mileage	

	Y	·		
9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$8,348,170	\$8,479,027	
	Total Expenses	\$8,361,265	\$8,472,437	
	(Deficit)	\$0	\$0	
	Accumulated Surplus	\$22,905	\$n/a	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: surplus comes from specific, restricted program funding for staffed residential services; it will be applied to the purchase of a new, modified vehicle.	Please detail: n/a	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$11,000	\$27,000	
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	\$5,543.01 BC Gaming Direct Access	\$13,000 BC Gaming Direct Access	
	<other grant=""></other>	\$3,739.77 Bank of Montreal	 \$	
	<other grant=""></other>	\$	\$	
	<other grant=""></other>	\$	\$	
	Total Grants	\$	\$	
	Grant Surplus	\$0	 \$	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A \$21,512.18 – professional and For B \$1,082.54 – telephone and inte For C \$1,521.90 - photocopying For D \$383.38 – mileage and parking For E \$2,500.00 - workshops Total \$27,000 For A \$ For B \$ For C \$	rnet	
	Total Grant Request	\$27,000		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$11,000 For B \$ For C \$ Total \$11,000		
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support the Family Resource Program.		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	none		
15.	Assessor	Sheila Johnston, Clerk's Office		
_				



1.	Applicant Richmond		Richmond 1	Women's Resource Centre		
2.	Grant Request		\$47,275	275 Proposal Title: Richmond Women's Resource Centre		
 4. 5. 	Summary of Request Focus		The RWRC is the only women's centre in Richmond, whose mission is "To provide a supportive environment in which all women are supported and encourage to achieve their fullest potential". RWRC's primary role is to enable women to obtain the assistance they need, which increases their well-being and the well-being of their families. Many women come back to volunteer and help other women, thus giving back to the community. The RWRC advocates on women's issues, including violence, poverty, childcare, affordable housing and access to legal services. Group Operating Assistance, and/or A Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event) An Ongoing Activity, and/or			
	Marking Cultura		☐ A One-ti	me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria				CRITERIA B - BENEFITS	
CRIT	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY		TO THE APPLICANT	
Gene	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met? es \(\text{No} \)</specify>	Are criteria satisfa ☑ Yes ☐ No	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	☑ Prevention ☑ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☑ Build Organizational Capacity ☑ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☑ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No</specify>	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes</specify>	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered		
This grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	 ☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 		
7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently Value N/A Received Please deta						
8.	Amount of Previous Yound Spending Details	ear Grant	Value \$14,4 Please deta publication	00 il: \$13,600 for operating exper	nses; \$800.00 for "Hot Ink"	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Compl (e.g., Audited Financial St		Budget for Current Year
	Total Revenue	\$110,125	atementy	\$133,055
	Total Expenses	\$(14,276)		\$124,014
İ	Surplus or (Deficit)			\$9,041
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)			\$36,768
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Losses accumulated surplus	offset by	Please detail: Surplus will be used to upgrade computers and software
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Comp	leted Year	This Application
	Richmond Grant	\$14,400		\$47,275
	Other Grants (List): VanCity	\$837		\$10,000
	B.C. Direct Access	\$48,607		\$71,662
	Sunset Rotary	\$10,000		\$5,000 Community Access (Fed)
	Community Response Network	\$2,885		 \$
	Total Grants	\$67,085		\$133,937
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A		\$N/A
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A		Please detail: N/A
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$25,886	Salaries and bene	efits
		For B \$13,000	Office Rent	
		For C \$8,389	Supplies, telepho	ne, photocopying, etc.
		Total \$ <amount></amount>		
	☐ Community Service	For A \$ <amount></amount>		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ <amount></amount>		
		For C \$ <amount></amount>		
		Total \$ <amount></amount>		
	Total Grant Request	\$47,275		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$13,600	Operating Expens	es
		For B \$800	Hot Ink (teen writing	ng group at Richmond Secondary)
		For C \$ <amount></amount>		
		Total \$14,400		
13.	Rationale	Same level as last ye	ar to support oper	ating costs and women's programs.
14.	Conditions, if applicable	N/A		
15.	Assessor	Lesley Sherlock		
υ.	Vaacaani	Trasies Oliellock		



1.	Applicant Rose		Rosewood	wood Manor Care Foundation		
2.	Grant Request		\$35,536.87	Proposal Title: Enhanced Music Therapy Program		
3.					or groups and individuals (150 over 61% of 2 part time wages.	
4.	Focus			perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	jećt, Event)	
5.	Duration		-	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
CRIT	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY		CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a riteria satisfactorily met?	General Poly Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilitles ify): n/a actorily met?	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ ● Social ☐ ● Economic ☑ ● Environmental ☐ Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met?	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): n/a	
Kov	es ⊻ No Determinants of Eligibility	Yes V No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Yes ☑ No Less Favourably Considered	Yes No	
This grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted		☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): n/a				
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor Received	rts Currently	Value \$unki Please deta	nown ill: Property tax relief		
8.	Amount of Previous Ye and Spending Details	ear Grant	Value \$0.00 Please deta			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$517,941.00	\$147,565.00			
	Total Expenses	\$12,340.00	\$81,537.67			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0.00	\$0.00			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$50,5601.00	\$66,027.33			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: surplus is earmarked to cover renovations and enhanced programs	Please detail: surplus is earmarked to cover renovations and enhanced programs			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$0.00	\$35,536.87			
	Other Grants (List): n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
-	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	Total Grants	\$ n/a	\$35,536.87			
	Grant Surplus	\$ n/a	\$0.00			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$35,536.87				
		For B \$ n/a				
		For C \$ n/a				
		Total \$ n/a				
	☐ Community Service	For A \$ n/a				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a				
		For C \$ n/a				
		Total \$ n/a				
	Total Grant Request	\$35,536.87				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0.00				
		For B \$ n/a	•			
		For C \$ n/a				
		Total \$0.00				
13.	Rationale	Funding is already in place for a significant amount of staff hours for enhanced music programming.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a	n/a			
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver				
		Togrolyti biglidiy gild begillig belvel				



			·			
1	1. Applicant		Safe Communities Richmond (SCR)			
2.	Grant Request		\$17,500	Proposal Title: N/a		
3.			To cover their ongoing costs of operation of Safe Communities Richmond, to provide funds to achieve International Designation and to fund the pilot of the Vial of Life Program in the City. All SCR programs benefit the whole community in injury prevention and reduction work and specific vulnerable populations through affiliated networks. SCR is dedicated to supporting collaborative practice and the programs that are implemented to reduce the pain and cost of injury to the community and promote a culture of safety for all Richmond citizens.			
4.	Focus			perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, P	roject, Event)	
5.	Duration		x An Ongo	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria		I			
CRI	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY		CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
X X X X X X X X	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other: engage residents in prevention practices builds the capacity of the community to reduce injuries and create a legacy of safety	visitors to th	Disabilities sidents, and the community programs that from injury	X Prevention X Intervention Treatment X Wellness X Build Organizational Capacity X Build Community Capacity X Improve the Quality of Life: X • Social X • Economic X • Environmental Other (specify): Are criteria satisfactorily met?	X Improve Quality of Service X Maximize Number Served X Promote Financial Partnerships X Leverage More Funds from Others X Build Organizational Capacity X Support Stable, Capable Groups X Minimize Duplication of Services Other: creates networking opportunities Are criteria satisfactorily met?	
ΧY		X Yes No)	X Yes No	X Yes	
	Determinants of Eligibility	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Less Favourably Considered	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
This grant proposal: X Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group X Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	X Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service		
				Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit X Other (specify): balance sheet is missing from application		
7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently Received Value \$1,150			Please deta		tal fee waived); event space (\$500 or event (\$350 no charge)	

	Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details	Value \$2,000 in 2008 Please detail: n/a			
9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$900	\$2100		
	Total Expenses	\$6,689.45	\$5,644.38		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$n/a	\$n/a		
	Accumulated Surplus	\$3,544.38	\$n/a		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus	Please detail: The balance held by SCR included a grant held for the Falls Prevention Network, and they now need the funds, leaving SCR in a deficit, or \$0, balance.	Please detail: n/a		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$2, 000 - 2008	\$17,500		
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	\$3,500 from Falls Prevention Network	\$0		
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0		
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0		
	<other grant=""></other>	\$ 0	 \$0		
	Total Grants	\$0	\$0		
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$ 0		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	□ Operating Assistance	For A \$3,600 – professional & admin	salaries and benefits		
		For B \$2,000 - supplies			
		For C \$4,500 – international designati			
		For D \$5,000 - Vial of Life pilot project			
		For E \$2,400 – 4 th Annual Safe Comn	nunities Day Event		
		Total \$17,500			
	☐ Community Service	For A \$0			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0			
		For C \$0			
		Total \$0			
	Total Grant Request	\$17,500			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$2,000			
		For B \$0			
		For C \$0			
		Total \$2,000			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support safety coordination re: a wide range of community safety issues. Additional funding sources must be sought if future applications are to be considered.			
		none			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	none			



1.	Applicant		Senior Link Society			
2.	Grant Request		\$4500.00	Proposal Title: Ipod and Gramaphone Project		
3.				Funding for part time wages for a program coordinator to facilitate an intergenerational program opportunity.		
4.	Focus		1	perating Assistance, and/or unity Service (e.g., Program	, Project, Event)	
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	6. Multiple Criteria					
CRIT	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	General Objectives Types of Resid		dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a criteria satisfactorily met?	General Por Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (speci	Disabilities ify): n/a actorily met?	✓ Prevention ✓ Intervention ✓ Intervention ✓ Treatment ✓ Wellness Build Organizational Capa Build Community Capacit ✓ Improve the Quality of Life ✓ Social ← Economic ← Environmental Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes ✓ No	y Support Stable, Capable Groups	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Conside	red	
This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group □ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	 ☑ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☑ Insufficient Partnering ☑ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☑ Other (specify): Not a development project; no sustainability illustrated 		
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor Received	rts Currently	Value \$0.00 Please deta			
8.	Amount of Previous Ye and Spending Details	ear Grant	Value \$0.00 Please deta		·	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year \$5200.00	
	Total Revenue	\$3873.06		
	Total Expenses	\$5375.50	\$4705.00	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(1512.44)	\$495.00	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: no explanation given	Please detail: no explanation given	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$n/a	\$4500.00	
	Other Grants (List): n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	
	Total Grants	\$ n/a	\$4500.00	
	Grant Surplus	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a		
		For B \$ n/a		
		For C \$ n/a		
		Total \$ n/a		
	☑ Community Service	For A \$4500.00		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a		
		For C \$ n/a		
		Total \$ n/a		
	Total Grant Request	\$4500.00		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0.00		
•		For B \$ n/a		
į		For C \$ n/a		
		Total \$0.00		
13.	Rationale	Duplication of service; lack of partnerships; project not sustainable		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a	······································	
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver		



1.	Applicant		Shi'a Musli	m Community of BC		
2.	Grant Request		\$25000.00			
3.	Summary of Request		To bring qualified speakers to educate parents. Open to members and non-members of the group.			
4.	Focus			perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	piect Event)	
5.	Duration		☑ An Ongo	oing Activity, and/or me Activity	<u> </u>	
6.	Multiple Criteria		L. <u></u>			
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THE	RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a riteria satisfactorily met?	☐ General Pop ☐ Seniors ☐ People with ☐ Children ☐ Youth ☐ Families ☐ Low Income ☐ Women ☐ Men ☐ Immigrants ☐ Tourists ☐ Other (speci	Disabilities ify): n/a actorily met?	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☐ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met?	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): n/a	
□ Y		☐ Yes ☑ No)	☐ Yes ☑ No Less Favourably Considered	☐ Yes ☑ No	
Key Determinants of Eligibility This grant proposal: ☐ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group ☐ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): n/a		
7.	Non-Grant City Support Received	rts Currently	Value \$40,0 Please deta	000 il: farming tax credit from City	У	
8.	Amount of Previous Young and Spending Details	ar Grant	Value \$0.00 Please deta			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$1154666	\$75472.25		
	Total Expenses	\$1447137	\$74002.78		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$n/a	\$n/a		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(292471)	\$1469.47		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: deficit always addressed by fundraising or cancelling services	Please detail: no explanation given		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$n/a	\$25000		
	Other Grants (List): n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	In/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	In/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	Total Grants	\$ n/a	\$25000		
	Grant Surplus	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	Justification for Accumulated	Please detail: n/a	'		
	Surplus (Deficit) involving Previous City Grant	Please detail. 11/a	Please detail: n/a		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a			
		For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	☑ Community Service	For A \$25000			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	Total Grant Request	\$25000			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0.00			
		For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
13.	Rationale	Duplication of service; lack of partnerships.			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a			
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver			
		Togrolyh Digitalia dealing delver			



			·				
1. Applicant			Touchstone Family Association				
2.	Grant Request		\$45,000.00	Proposal Title: Front Porch Programs			rograms
3.	Summary of Request		New division of Touchstone to provide barrier free access to individuals and families who are voluntarily seeking services. Targeting children, youth and families. Current counselling is fee for service. Grant would cover the wages of two part time employees.				
4.	Focus		1		g Assistance, and/or ervice (e.g., Program, Pr	oject, I	Event)
5.	Duration			oing Act	ivity, and/or	·••	
6.	Multiple Criteria					,	
CRIT	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC			TO 1	TERIA B – BENEFITS THE APPLICANT
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priorit	y Activities	GRO	OUP
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Immigrants Chief (speci	Disabllities	☐ Int ☐ Tre ☐ We ☐ Bu ☐ Im ☐ • ☐ • ☐ Ot	evention ervention eatment ellness sild Organizational Capacity sild Community Capacity prove the Quality of Life: Social Economic Environmental her (specify): n/a	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): n/a
	es 🗆 No	☑ Yes ☐ No)		□ No	☑ A	∕es □ No
	Determinants of Eligibility		,		avourably Considered		
This grant proposal: ✓ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group ✓ Demonstrates Partnerships ✓ All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	 ☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): n/a 			
7.	Non-Grant City Supported Received	rts Currently	Value \$0.00 Please detail: n/a				
8.	Amount of Previous Yearnd Spending Details	ear Grant	Value \$15,0 Please deta	.000.00 ail: wages and benefits for Restorative Justice			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year
	Total Revenue	\$2682512.00	\$2805398.00
	Total Expenses	\$2771054.00	\$2805398.00
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$ n/a	\$n/a
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(88542.00)	\$ n/a
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: no explanation but increased revenue and a reduction of a position to half time will balance 2010 budget	Please detail: n/a
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application
	Richmond Grant	\$15,000.00	\$45,000.00
	Other Grants (List): CAP	\$2500.00	\$ n/a
	Mini Richmond	\$ n/a	\$30,500.00
	Women Making a Difference	\$ n/a	\$14,500.00
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a
	Total Grants	\$17,500.00	\$900,000.00
	Grant Surplus	\$n/a	\$n/a
:	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a For B \$ n/a For C \$ n/a Total \$ n/a	
	☑ Community Service	For A \$45,000.00	
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a	
		For C \$ n/a	
		Total \$ n/a	
	Total Grant Request	\$45,000.00	
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0.00	
		For B \$ n/a	
		For C \$ n/a	
		Total \$0.00	
13.	Rationale	Duplication of services	
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a	
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver	
	·		



	A		T Da	Int Deservery Con				
1.	Applicant		Turning Point Recovery Society					
2.	Grant Request		\$7245.00	Proposal Title:	Richmond Addiction	Study Circles - A Dialogue on		
3.	Summary of Request	-	dialogue on opposing vi	ges for a coordinator for the development of study circles, community ogue on addictions for the fall of 2010. This project is to address the osing views on addiction in the community. Turning Point would like uild consensus on how the community wants to address this issue.				
4.	Focus		; ·	perating Assistance, unity Service (e.g., P		ect, Event)		
5.	Duration			oing Activity, and/or me Activity				
6.	Multiple Criteria							
CRIT	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH			Priority Activities		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT GROUP		
	eral Objectives	Types of Resid				···		
000000000000	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities	 ✓ Prevention ✓ Intervention ✓ Treatment ✓ Wellness ☐ Build Organization ✓ Build Community ✓ Improve the Qual ✓ • Social ✓ • Economic ✓ • Environmental Other (specify): n 	Capacity ity of Life:	 ✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): n/a 		
Are o	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfa ☑ Yes ☐ No	-	Are criteria satisfactoril ☑ Yes ☐ No	y met?	✓ Yes □ No		
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably C	onsidered			
This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group ☑ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): n/a				
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo Received	rts Currently	Value \$0.00 Please deta	ue \$0.00 ase detail: n/a				
8.	and Spending Details Please			ue \$2000.00 ase detail: funds used towards purchase of bed bug resistant furniture the facility.				

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	(e.g., Audited Financial Statement) \$832078.00	\$896940.00		
	Total Expenses	\$839142.00	\$896940.00		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$n/a	\$ n/a		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(7064.00)	\$n/a		
	Justification for any Accumulated	Please detail: extraordinary expense	Please detail: n/a		
	Surplus (Deficit)	related to bed bug infestation and reduction in self pay revenues due to			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	economic downturn. Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
10.	Richmond Grant	\$2000.00	\$7245.00		
	Other Grants (List): Vancouver Coastal Health	\$5000.00	\$ n/a		
	Private donor	\$5000.00	\$5000.00		
	Diamond Foundation	\$ n/a	\$5,000.00		
	Coast Capital Savings	\$ n/a	\$15,000.00		
	Total Grants	\$12,000.00	\$12245.00		
	Grant Surplus	\$00.00	\$32,245.00		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a			
		For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	☑ Community Service	For A \$7245.00			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	Total Grant Request	\$7245.00			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$2000.00			
		For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$2000.00			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support community discussions regarding addictions.			
		Strong partnerships for project; financia the community that this is an important through skilled facilitation by a qualified	issue that needs to be addressed		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a			
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver			
10.	12000001	Cardiyii brandiy and Deanna Server			



1	1. Applicant			Volunteer Richmond Information Services				
2.	Grant Request		\$40,000					
		- 17-12	<u> </u>	Proposal Title: n/a				
3.	Summary of Request				operating expenses a ly and delivery of prog		ance and	
4.	Focus		1		Assistance, and/or	inst Event	<u> </u>	
5.	Duration				rvice (e.g., Program, Pro vity, and/or	ect, Event)		
J.	Duration			ime Activ	•			
6.	Multiple Criteria		1				Ç. Ş	
	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E BICHMOND C	ORABALINITY			CRITERIA B - BENEF		
		T				TO THE APPLICANT	,	
	General Objectives Types of Resid		dents	Priority	Activities	GROUP		
	Promote Livability	☑ General Po	pulation		vention	☑ Improve Quality of S		
	Promote an Appealing City	☑ Seniors			rvention	Maximize Number S		
	Build a Legacy	People with	Disabilities	I —	atment	Promote Financial F	· ·	
Ø	Build Sustainability	☑ Children			liness	Leverage More Fun		
	Build Financial Viability	☑ Youth			d Organizational Capacity	☑ Build Organizationa		
Ø	Build a Complete Community	☑ Families			d Community Capacity	Support Stable, Car		
Ø	Promote "Well Managed"	Low Income	9	-	rove the Quality of Life:	Minimize Duplication	n of Services	
	Promote Diversity	☑ Women			Social	Other (specify): n/a		
	Promote Volunteerism	☑ Men			Economic			
I —	Promote Wellness	Immigrants			Environmental			
	Innovation	☑ Tourists		Oth	er (specify): n/a			
	Other (specify): n/a	Other (spec						
Are o	criteria satisfactorily met? 'es No	Are criteria satisfa ☑ Yes ☐ No		Are criter	ia satisfactorily met? D No	Are criteria satisfactorily r ☑ Yes ☐ No	net?	
	Determinants of Eligibility				Nourably Considered	TEL TES LINO		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				······································			
ا ـــــا	grant proposal:	.t.d.a.u.t.a		Only City Funding for Project				
l	Serves Primarily Richmond Res Seeks Funding from Sources O		and the	Single Group and City Funded Projects				
181	Application Group	-			up becoming Dependant on	City Grants		
l	☑ Demonstrates Partnerships			_	ifficient Partnering			
Ø	All Documentation has been Submitted				lication of Service			
					ups with Unaccounted Surpl	lus or Deficit		
			I		er (specify): n/a			
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo Received	rts Currently	Value \$unki Please deta		f space, parking, eme	rgency equipment lo	ans, printing	
8.	Amount of Previous You	ear Grant	Value \$35,0	00.00				
	and Spending Details		Please detail: operating expenses					

	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver	
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a	
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support VRI	o programs and paπnersnips.
46	<u></u>	Total \$35,000.00	C programs and nectoerables
		For C \$ n/a	
		For B \$ n/a	
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$35,000.00	
	Total Grant Request	\$40,000.00	
		Total \$ n/a	
		For C \$ n/a	
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a	
	☐ Community Service	For A \$ n/a	
		Total \$ n/a	
		For C \$ n/a	
		For B \$ n/a	
• • •	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$40,000.00	
11.	Previous City Grant PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	<u> </u>
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a
	Grant Surplus	\$0.00	\$unknown
	Total Grants	\$1,061,255.00	\$175,484.00
	Ministry of Children and Family	\$282,422.00	
	Richmond Health Services	\$264,109.00	\$ n/a
	Other donors	\$342,890.00	\$ n/a
	BC Gaming	\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00
	Other Grants (List): United Way	\$56,834.00	\$55,484.00
	Richmond Grant	\$35,000.00	\$40,000.00
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: surplus necessary for continued service and stability	Please detail: n/a
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$6708.00	\$ n/a
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$n/a	\$n/a
	Total Expenses	\$1282274.00	\$1983182.00
	Total Revenue	\$1294508.00	\$1983305.00
	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year

ATTACHMENT 3 (Part 2)

CULTURAL AND COMMUNITY EVENTS GRANT SUMMARY SHEETS

2570493

<u>GP - 105</u>



1.	Applicant		Cinevolutio	on Media Arts Society			
2.	Grant Request		\$38,920.00				
3.				the 3 rd annual New Asia Film	Festival. The third edition will introduce youth film making.		
4.	Focus			perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	piect. Event)		
5.	Duration		☐ An Ongo	oing Activity, and/or me Activity	,,,		
6.	Multiple Criteria	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I				
 	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP		
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): Interia satisfactorily met?	General Popular Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): actorily met?	Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organizational Capacity Build Community Capacity Improve the Quality of Life: Social • Economic • Environmental Other (specify): Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes □ No	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes □ No</specify>		
V Y		Yes D No	<u> </u>	✓ Yes □ No Less Favourably Considered	Y Yes LI No		
Key Determinants of Eligibility This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents ☑ Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group ☑ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	□ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify):			
			Please deta	000.00 ail: Printing of marketing materials, facility use, and expenses ng rights and travel for film makers.			
8.							

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$1,762.00	\$102,777.74			
	Total Expenses	\$2,419.00	\$102,777.74			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(675.00)	\$0.00			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	No info provided	No info provided			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: 2008 is the first year of operation for Cinevolution. Have relied on VIK support from the Richmond Cultural Centre	Please detail: Growth in support from others funding sources and sponsors.			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$0.00	\$38,920.00 (not confirmed)			
	Other Grants (List):	\$N/A	\$N/A			
	BC Arts Council	\$N/A	\$10,000.00 (not confirmed)			
	Local Festival Grant	\$N/A	\$22,000.00 (not confirmed)			
	2010 Legacies Now	\$N/A	\$8,000.00 (confirmed)			
	Total Grants	\$N/A	\$78,920.00			
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A	\$N/A			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☑ Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)	For A \$34,020.00 (Part Time Salarie For B \$2,300.00 (Volunteer support) For C \$2,300.00 (Supplies) For D \$1,800.00 (Event Insurance) For E \$800.00 (postage and courier) Total \$38,920.00	•			
	Total Grant Request					
12.	Recommended Grant	\$38,920.00 For A \$2,300.00 (Volunteer Support) For B \$0.00 For C \$0.00 Total \$2,300.00				
13.	Rationale	To support the third annual New Asia Film Festival. Proposal has articulated that volunteer support is greatly needed. Cinevoluton's promotion of film to break down cultural barriers is an				
		important benefit of their work.	k gown cultural partiers is an			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	None.				
15.	Assessor	Kerry Gillis				

Comments:



1.	1. Applicant			Community Arts Council of Richmond				
2.	Grant Request		\$22,297.00	7.00 Proposal Title: None				
3.	Summary of Request		To support	the 2	2010 Exhibition Series.			
4.	Focus		☐ Group C	perat	ting Assistance, and/or			
-To				•	Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ect. E	vent)	
5.	Duration				Activity, and/or			
			☐ A One-ti	ime A	ctivity			
6.	Multiple Criteria							
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY				ERIA B – BENEFITS	
Gan	aral Objectives	Tunes of Book		Dela	uite. A atientia	TO THE APPLICANT		
	General Objectives Types of Resider ✓ Promote Livability ✓ General Pop			+=-	rity Activities Prevention	GROUP Improve Quality of Service		
	Promote an Appealing City	✓ Seniors	pulation	I	Intervention		mprove Quality of Service Maximize Number Served	
	Build a Legacy	People with	Disabilities		Treatment	1 —	Promote Financial Partnerships	
	Build Sustainability	☑ Children		I	Wellness		everage More Funds from Others	
	Build Financial Viability	☑ Youth			Build Organizational Capacity	☑ E	Build Organizational Capacity	
	Build a Complete Community	☑ Families		I	Build Community Capacity	☑ 9	Support Stable, Capable Groups	
	Promote "Well Managed"	Low Income	•		Improve the Quality of Life:		Minimize Duplication of Services	
	Promote Diversity	☐ Women		1 —	Social		Other (specify): <specify></specify>	
	Promote Volunteerism	☐ Men		1 —	Economic			
_	Promote Wellness Innovation	✓ Immigrants✓ Tourists		<u> </u>	Environmental Other (consists):	ļ		
	innovation Other (specify):	Other (spec	i6.Δ·		Other (specify):			
	riteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfa			riteria satisfactorily met?	Are cr	iteria satisfactorily met?	
☑ Y		☑ Yes ☐ No		☑ Y		☑ Ye		
Key	Determinants of Eligibility	'		Less	s Favourably Considered			
This	grant proposal:				Only City Funding for Project			
Ø	Serves Primarily Richmond Res	sidents		ı	Single Group and City Funded I	Projects	;	
	Seeks Funding from Sources O Application Group	ther than the City a	and the	l _	Group becoming Dependant on	•		
	Demonstrates Partnerships				Insufficient Partnering			
All Documentation has been Submitted			Ø	Duplication of Service				
					Groups with Unaccounted Surp	us or D	Peficit	
					Other (specify):			
			Value \$0.00)				
	Received		Please deta	il: No	one.			
8.	Amount of Previous Ye	ear Grant	Value \$0.00					
	and Spending Details		Please deta	ui: NC	one.			
	1		i					

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$80,953.00	\$150,168.00		
	Total Expenses	\$123,795.00	\$150,168.00		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(42,842.00)	\$0.00		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	No info provided	No info provided		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Lost income from the classes that were held at the Richmond Cultural Centre. Had to rely on membership and commission revenues as well as savings.	Please detail: will be restructuring financial operations and will use savings and investments to continue supporting operations.		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$0.00	\$22,297.00 (not confirmed)		
	Other Grants (List):	N/A	\$ N/A		
	BC Arts Council	N/A	\$300.00 (not confirmed)		
	2010 Legacies Now	N/A	\$5,000.00 (not confirmed)		
	·	N/A	N/A		
	Total Grants	N/A	\$27,597.00		
	Grant Surplus	N/A	\$N/A		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☑ Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event) Total Grant Request	For A \$7,500.00 (Full time salaries) For B \$2,750.00 (Part time salaries) For C \$12,036.00 (Office rent) For D \$250.00 (Supplies) For E \$875.00 (Light) For F \$336.00 (Telephone) For G \$8,085.00 (Direct exhibition expenses) For H \$595.00 (Website pages) Total \$22,297.00			
12.	Recommended Grant	\$22,297.00 For A \$0.00 (Exhibition Expenses)			
	TO STATE OF THE ST	For A \$0.00 (Exhibition Expenses) For B \$0.00 For C \$0.00 Total \$0.00			
13.	Rationale	Service duplication with other arts organ	nizations		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	None.			
15.	Assessor	Kerry Gillis			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· -			

Comments:



1.	Applicant Dolphin Başketball Association					
2.	Grant Request		\$8,000.00 Proposal Title: None			
3.	Summary of Request			e two (2) portable basketball h ticipation in the annual Dolphi	noops in support of the women's n Basketball Classic.	
4.	Focus		☑ A Comm	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Proj	ect, Event)	
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THE	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gene	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): riteria satisfactorily met?	Types of Residents ☐ General Population ☐ Seniors ☑ People with Disabilities ☑ Children ☑ Youth ☑ Families ☐ Low Income ☑ Women ☑ Men ☐ Immigrants ☑ Tourists ☐ Other (specify):		Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organizational Capacity Build Community Capacity Improve the Quality of Life: Social Economic Environmental Other (specify): to promote equal opportunities.		
Kev Y		☑ Yes ☐ No		☑ Yes ☐ No Less Favourably Considered	☑ Yes ☐ No	
Key Determinants of Eligibility This grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City ar Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	□ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify):		
7.	Received Please de (provision			600.00 tail: Some support from Thompson Community Centre of two portable hoops, bleachers, benches, fencing, garbage pags, picnic tables, generable and rental fee waived		
1			Value \$0.00 Please detail: None.			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$15,627.90	\$10,919.12			
	Total Expenses	\$17,042.00	\$13,155.08			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(1,414.00)	\$(2,235.96)			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	No info provided	No info provided			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Security costs.	Please detail: security costs and lost revenue due to cost sharing.			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$0.00	\$8,000.00			
	Other Grants (List):	N/A	\$0.00			
	No other grants pursued.	\$0.00	\$0.00			
	No other grants pursued.	\$0.00	\$0.00			
	No other grants pursued.	\$0.00	\$0.00			
	Total Grants	\$0.00	\$8,000.00			
	Grant Surplus	\$0.00	\$0.00			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	-			
	☑ Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)	For A \$8,000.00 (two portable basis For B \$0.00 For C \$0.00 Total \$8,000.00	ketball hoops)			
	Total Grant Request	\$8,000.00				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1,000.00 For B \$0.00 For C \$0.00 Total \$1,000.00				
13.	Rationale	One-time grant to assist with the purchase of a portable basketball hoop. This grant would support the efforts of the group to promote female participation in sport. We recommend, however, that this group seek other sources of funding (such as sponsorships) as well as build more partnerships. Would also recommend that a quotation for equipment requests be furnished with any future grant applications that specify a need for equipment.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	None.				
15.	Assessor	Kerry Gillis	-			



1.	Applicant East			nst Richmond Community Centre				
2.	Grant Request		\$1500	O Proposal Title: Cambie Family Fair			amily Fair	
3.	, .		Volunteer Support - \$250 Entertainment - \$750 Marketing & Promotions - \$500					
4.	Focus							
5.	Duration		☐ An Ongo ☑ A One-ti	-	Activity, and/or ctivity			
6.	Multiple Criteria							
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND C	OMMUNITY				CRITERIA B - BENEFITS	
General Objectives Types of Resid			dents	Prio	rity Activities		TO THE APPLICANT GROUP	
NO N	2 - 11 - 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -	Are criteria satisf ☑ Yes ☐ No	Disabilities cify): <specify> factorily met?</specify>			Capacity lity of Life: specify> ly met?		
	Determinants of Eligibility	1			s Favourably C	***************************************		
	Demonstrates Partnerships			Only City Funding for Project Single Group and City Funded Projects Group becoming Dependant on City Grants Insufficient Partnering Duplication of Service Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit Other (specify): <specify></specify>				
7.			00 ail: East Richmond Community Association to provide the					
8.				ail: <iı< td=""><td>nfo></td><td></td><td></td></iı<>	nfo>			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$815,285	\$883,589			
	Total Expenses	\$810,392	\$880,847			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$4,893	\$2,743			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$0			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Surplus funds are allocated to ongoing community programs and projects.	Please detail: Surplus funds are allocated to ongoing community programs and projects.			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$0	\$1,500			
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0			
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0			
	Total Grants	\$0	\$0			
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$0 For B \$0 For C \$0 Total \$0				
	☑ Community Service	For A \$1500				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$0				
	Total Grant Request	\$ <amount></amount>				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1,000				
	·	For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$1,000				
13.	Rationale	For the Cambie Family Fair, a community event.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	<info></info>				
15	Assessor	Mark Mansfield				
_ 		Mark Mansheid				



1.	Applicant		Gulf of Georgia Cannery Society			
2.	Grant Request		\$2,000	\$2,000 Proposal Title: Salmon Stomp		
3.				Rentals, Volunteer support, Materials, Musicians Fees, Signage lecoration - \$2,000		
4.	Focus			perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	eject, Event)	
5.	Duration		☐ An Ongo	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
ļ	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	······································			CRITERIA B BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Priority Activities	GROUP	
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met? es</specify>	General Pole Seniors Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☐ Wellness ☑ Build Organizational Capacity ☑ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☑ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No</specify>	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify></specify>	
		E Tes LING)	Less Favourably Considered	M Yes LI NO	
This (✓ Demonstrates Partnerships			☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
7.			Value \$0 Please deta	ill; <info></info>		
8.				00 il: Salmon Stomp Festival		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year				
	Total Revenue	\$701,412	\$837,050				
	Total Expenses	\$647,538	\$820,031				
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$53,874	\$17,019				
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$0				
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>				
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application				
	Richmond Grant	\$1,000	\$1,000				
	Other Grants (List): G&F Financial Grp	\$1,500	\$1500				
	Cannery Society	\$3440.16	\$5100				
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0				
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0				
	Total Grants	\$5,940.16	\$6600				
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$ <amount></amount>				
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>				
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	<u> </u>				
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$0 For B \$0 For C \$0 Total \$0					
	☑ Community Service	· ·					
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A \$2,000 For B \$0					
	(1.0)	For C \$0					
		Total \$2,000					
	Total Grant Request	\$2,000					
40	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1,000					
		For B \$0					
		For C \$0					
42	Potionale	Total \$1,000	a Calman Stamm				
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support the	•				
		This event attracts many visitors and local residents and recognizes to Cannery for its history and contribution to Richmond.					
14.	Conditions, if applicable	<info></info>					
15.	Assessor	Mark Mansfield					
	1	Iviark iviansheid					



1.	Applicant	Hamilton Community Association						
2.	Grant Request		\$1,000 Proposal Title: Hamilton Festival					
3.	Summary of Request	Entertainme		Equipment - \$1,000				
4.	Focus							
5.	Duration			☐ An Ongoing Activity, and/or				
6.	. Multiple Criteria							
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC			CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Prio	ority Activities	GROUP		
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met?</specify>	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>		Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organizational Capacity Build Community Capacity Improve the Quality of Life: Social Economic Environmental Other (specify): <specify></specify>	✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes ✓ No</specify>		
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Les	s Favourably Considered			
a	Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☑ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 				
7.	Non-Grant City Supports Currently Value \$0 Received Please deta			ıil: <ir< th=""><th>nfo></th><th></th></ir<>	nfo>			
8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details Value \$1,00				ntertainment & Equipment	<u>t</u>		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year				
	Total Revenue	\$458,330	\$484,689 \$490,792 \$-6,103				
	Total Expenses	\$432,633					
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$25,697					
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$0				
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>				
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application				
	Richmond Grant	\$1,000	\$1,000				
	Other Grants (List): Lafarge Cement	\$0	\$5,000				
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0				
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0				
	<other grant=""></other>	\$0	\$0				
	Total Grants	\$1,000	\$6,000				
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0				
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: <info></info>	Please detail: <info></info>				
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application					
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$0					
		For B \$0					
		For C \$0					
		Total \$0					
	☑ Community Service	For A \$1,000					
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0					
		For C \$0					
		Total \$1,000					
	Total Grant Request	\$ <amount></amount>					
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1,000					
		For B \$0					
		For C \$0					
		Total \$1,000					
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for the Hamilton Festival.					
14.	Conditions, if applicable	<info></info>					
15.	Assessor	Mark Mansfield					
		I Walk Wallsheld					



				•			
1.	Applicant		KidSport Richmond				
2.	Grant Request		\$10,000		Proposal Title: Access project to ensure ALL Children (6 years old) have access to physical activit and sporting opportunity.		have access to physical activity
3.	Summary of Request	This request is for funding for operating assistance and for funding to assist community members on low income with registration fees so they can access physical activity and sporting opportunity. KidSport has seen (due to the recession) applications for assistance go up by 100% during 2009. City funding will allow this need to be met and avoid a situation where families in need of assistance are turned away.					
4.	Focus		☐ A Comm	unit	iting Assistance, y Service (e.g., P		ect, Event)
5.	Duration		x An Ongo □ A One-ti		Activity, and/or Activity		
6.	6. Multiple Criteria						
	TERIA A – BENEFITS TO TH			V			CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT
Ger	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents Priority Activities			GROUP	
x0000 x00 x x00	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify></specify>		Disabilities		Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organization Build Community Improve the Qualit Social Economic Environmental Other (specify): <s< th=""><th>nal Capacity Capacity ty of Life: specify></th><th> X Improve Quality of Service X Maximize Number Served X Promote Financial Partnerships X Leverage More Funds from Others X Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Support Stable, Capable Groups ☐ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify> </th></s<>	nal Capacity Capacity ty of Life: specify>	 X Improve Quality of Service X Maximize Number Served X Promote Financial Partnerships X Leverage More Funds from Others X Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Support Stable, Capable Groups ☐ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>
	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfa	*		criteria satisfactorily		Are criteria satisfactorily met?
X Y	·	X Yes No)	X Y			X Yes
	Determinants of Eligibility				s Favourably Co		
This X X X X	nis grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted			Only City Funding for Project Single Group and City Funded Projects Group becoming Dependant on City Grants Insufficient Partnering Duplication of Service Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit Other (specify): <specify></specify>			
7.	Received Please deta					EC staff se	rve as volunteers on the KidSport
8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details Value \$ N/A Please deta						

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	(e.g., Audited Financial Statement) (2008) \$15,662	\$21,610			
	Total Expenses	\$12, 974	\$23,600			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$	\$0			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$2,688 surplus	\$-1990 surplus deficit			
	Justification for any Accumulated	This small surplus was carried forward	Please detail: . A deficit is			
	Surplus (Deficit)	and used in the first quarter of 2009	projected for 2009 due to increased customer demand.			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$0	\$10,000			
	Other Grants (List):	\$12,261	\$2,100			
	Sports Council	\$	\$2,000			
	KidSport BC	\$	\$100			
	Total Grants	 \$12,261	 \$12,100			
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	X Operating Assistance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/postage/promotional materials			
		For C				
		Total \$1,080				
	X Community Service	For A \$8,920 KidSport Richmond reg	stration funding requests			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$8,920				
	Total Grant Request	\$10,000				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$ 5,000 towards KidSport regis	tration funding requests.			
		For B \$				
		For C \$				
		Total \$5,000				
13.	Rationale	To assist children in low-income families to access sports and recreation opportunities.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	It is expected that this group will continuand strive to avoid any potential ongoing funding.				
15.	Assessor	Alan Hill				
		7 1001 1777				



1.	. Applicant		Music Encore Concert Society			
2.	Grant Request		\$9,000 Proposal Title: Encore Concert Series			
3.	Summary of Request		-	artist honorariums, professio		
4.	Focus			perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	piect, Event)	
5.	Duration		☑ An Ongo	oing Activity, and/or me Activity	<i></i>	
6.	Multiple Criteria					
ļ	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THE				CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
MM CAP C		Are criteria satisfa ☑ Yes ☐ No	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☐ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No</specify>	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes □ No</specify>	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered		
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[]]	Demonstrates Partnerships			 ☐ Only City Funding for Project ☑ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☑ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☑ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 		
7.	Received \$600 pris		_	ultural Centre Lecture Hall rental fee waived inting provided		
8.	Amount of Previous Ye and Spending Details	ar Grant	Did not appl			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$18,717	\$22,000		
	Total Expenses	\$18,738	\$31,590		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	none	\$none		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(21)	\$(\$9,590)		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)		Please detail: incurred through artists travel, accom, meals.		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant		\$9,000		
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>				
	Royal Bank		\$15,000 not confirmed		
	<other grant=""></other>		\$		
	<other grant=""></other>		\$		
	Total Grants		\$24,000		
	Grant Surplus		unknown		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant		Please detail:		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$4500 artists travel			
		For B \$3000 artists accommodation and meals			
		For C \$1500 honoraria			
	☐ Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)				
	Total Grant Request	\$9,000			
12.	Recommended Grant				
		Total \$0			
13.	Rationale	Operated on in-kind donations; accumu	lated a deficit in 2009.		
14.	Conditions, if applicable				
15.	Assessor	Mouna Von Advisor Vouth Comices C	Community Social Commisses		
13.	70000001	Wayne Yee, Advisor Youth Services, C	Johnnahity Social Services		



1.	Applicant		Pacific Piano Competition				
2.	Grant Request		\$1000 Proposal Title: Piano Recital				
3.	Summary of Request		The Pacific Piano Competition Society are requesting 1000 dollars to assist with the organising of a Piano Recital on March 6 th 2010 at the Gateway Theatre. This recital will feature past Pacific Piano Competition winner Wayne Weng and young performers from the Donna Fishwick Piano Ensemble. Due to the Olympics the annual competition will not be held this in 2010. The proposed recital will be held instead (to maintain community interest and visibility for the organisation) The Pacific Piano Competition itself will return in 2011				
4.	Focus		☐ Group Operating Assistance, and/or X A Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)				
5.	Duration			oing Activity, and/or			
			X A One-ti	me Activity			
6.	6. Multiple Criteria						
CRIT	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP		
× × × × × × × □ □	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify></specify>		Disabilities	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment X Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity X Improve the Quality of Life: X • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental X Other (specify): arts/culture	X Improve Quality of Service X Maximize Number Served X Promote Financial Partnerships X Leverage More Funds from Others ☐ Build Organizational Capacity X Support Stable, Capable Groups ☐ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
ı	riteria satisfactorily met? s	Are criteria satisfa	-	Are criteria satisfactorily met? X Yes □ No	Are criteria satisfactorily met? X Yes No		
X Ye	s பல Determinants of Eligibility	L	<u>, </u>	Less Favourably Considered	X 163 LINU		
This (nis grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit 			
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo	rte Currently	Value \$20 9	Other (specify): <specify></specify>	 		
/-	Received	ns Currently	Value \$20,882 Please detail: in kind support through use of space and equipment at the Gateway theatre				
8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant Value \$10		Value \$1000 Please deta	00 rail: funding provided for last years Pacific Piano Competition			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year
	Total Revenue	\$10,566	\$6800
	Total Expenses	\$22,885	\$4860
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$-12319	\$1940
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$0
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: No deficit carried over into current financial year.	Please detail: NOTE: This is a smaller budget than last year as the Pacific Piano Competition is not being held this yearwill return in 2011
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application
	Richmond Grant	\$1000	\$1000
	Other Grants (List):	\$2000	\$
	Gateway Endowment Fund	\$1000	\$1000
	RBC	\$500	\$500
	HSBC	\$500	\$0
	Tom Lee Music	\$0	\$500
	Total Grants	\$5000	\$5000
	Grant Surplus	\$0	\$0
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: No Surplus from grants	Please detail: N/A
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application	<u> </u>
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$N/A	
		For B \$N/A	
		For C \$N/A	
		Total \$N/A	
	X Community Service	For A \$500 advertising	
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$200 technical crew fees	
		For C \$300 printed promotional mater	rials
		Total \$1000	
	Total Grant Request	\$1000	
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$ 500 Advertising	
		For B \$	
		For C \$	
		Total \$ 500	
13.	Rationale	Reduced level recommended as the Pa held this year.	cific Piano Competition will not be
14.	Conditions, if applicable		
15.	Assessor	Alan Hill	
	,		



1.	Applicant		Richmond Agricultural and Industrial Society (RAIS)			
2.	Grant Request		\$13,812	······································		
3.	Summary of Request		RAIS is an organisation that is run by the same Board of Directors as Steveston Community Society although it has a completely separate constitution. This funding request is for operating expenses for Steveston Salmon Festival and also Steveston Farmers and Artisans Market.			
4.	Focus		1			
5.	Duration			oing Activity, and/or	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
-			x A One-ti	me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH				CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
X	Promote Livability	X General Por	oulation	X Prevention	X Improve Quality of Service	
X	Promote an Appealing City	X Seniors		X Intervention	X Maximize Number Served	
X	Build a Legacy	X People with	Disabilities	X Treatment	X Promote Financial Partnerships	
X	Build Sustainability	X Children		X Wellness	X Leverage More Funds from Others	
Х	Build Financial Viability	X Youth		X X Build Organizational Capacity	X Build Organizational Capacity	
Х	Build a Complete Community	X Families		X Build Community Capacity	X Support Stable, Capable Groups	
Х	Promote "Well Managed"	X Low Income	•	X Improve the Quality of Life:	X Minimize Duplication of Services	
Х	Promote Diversity	X Women		X • Social	Other (specify): <specify></specify>	
X	Promote Volunteerism	X Men		X • Economic		
١٠.	Promote Wellness	X Immigrants		X • Environmental		
	Innovation	X Tourists	16.3	Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
<u> </u>	Other (specify): <specify> criteria satisfactorily met?</specify>	Other (spec	ify): <specify></specify>	Are criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met?	
XY		X Yes		X Yes No	X Yes No	
	Determinants of Eligibility	 		Less Favourably Considered		
 	grant proposal:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		☐ Only City Funding for Project		
Х	Serves Primarily Richmond Res	sidents		Single Group and City Funded Projects		
х	Seeks Funding from Sources O	ther than the City a	and the	Group becoming Dependant on	City Grants	
x	Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			☐ Insufficient Partnering		
x	All Documentation has been Su	hmitted		Duplication of Service		
^	7 III DOGUMGII AUG DOGM CO	Dirinto a		Groups with Unaccounted Surp	lus or Deficit	
				Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo	rts Currentiv	Value \$28.0	000 in-kind support		
	Received Please deta and \$8,000 supplies/gr			cail: \$20,000 in support is provided for the annual Salmon Festival D in support from Steveston Farmers Market (all support in kind rounds equipment). Also use office space in Steveston by Centresupport which has an unknown value.		
8.	Amount of Previous Y	ear Grant			09 under the name of Steveston	
				y Society (for the Salmon Festival)a society that has the same		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$178,999.25	\$203,804.04		
	Total Expenses	\$112,727.78	\$148,462.03		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$66,271.47	\$57,342.01		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0 (see note below)	\$0		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: any annual surplus funding is donated to Steveston Community Association to help with general operating expenses.	Please detail: any annual surplus funding is donated to Steveston Community Association to help with general operating expenses.		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$0 (7000 received under the name of Steveston Community Society for assistance with Salmon Festival)	\$13, 812		
	Other Grants (List):	,	· ·		
	Coast Capital Savings	\$N/A	\$15,000		
	Vancity	\$N/A	\$5,000		
	Heritage Canada	\$N/A	\$15,000*		
	Total Grants	\$N/A	\$48,812		
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A	\$0		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	X Operating Assistance	For A \$4,300 Salaries For B \$1000 Consultant Services For C \$1360 Volunteer Recognition Total \$6660			
	X Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A \$ 3452 Supplies/Equipment For B \$1700 Rentals For C \$ 2000 Utilities/Office materials Total \$ 7152			
	Total Grant Request	\$13,812.00			
12.	Recommended Grant				
	Table of the state	For A \$4000 (salaries) For B \$3000 (equipment) For C \$0			
13.	Rationale	Total \$7,000 This grant is provided to support the Salmon Festival at the same level as last year (in 2009, as in previous years, the Steveston Community Society applied for and received a grant of \$7,000 to support the Salmon Festival)			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	That none of the surplus City Grants is expenses of the Steveston Community			
15.	Assessor	Alan Hill			



1.	Applicant		Richmond Art Gallery					
2.	Grant Request		\$7,500	Proposal Title: Translation Project				
3.	Summary of Request		The Richmond Art Gallery is seeking funding to allow the translation of materials and thus to reduce access barriers access to its services. This will allow those in the community who have limited knowledge of English and/or are new immigrants to fully participate in programs.					
4.	Focus							
5.	Duration			x An Ongoing Activity, and/or				
6.	Multiple Criteria	, ,						
CRIT	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT			
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP			
xoooxoxooxo	Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men X Immigrants X Tourists		Disabilities	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☐ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity X Build Community Capacity X Improve the Quality of Life: X • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No</specify>	X Improve Quality of Service X Maximize Number Served X Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes □ No</specify>			
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered				
x 	Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			X Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): <specify></specify>				
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor Received	rts Currently	Value \$314, Please deta	000 il: Staff salaries and operating	funds			
8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant Value \$0 Please deta			il: last City Grant was for \$100	0 in 2008			

15.	Assessor	Alan Hill			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	One time grant for translation purposes.			
13.	Rationale	Increased level to assist with translation costs. Non-City grants must be sought to remain eligible.			
		Total \$2,000 Translation	No. Off.		
		For C \$0			
		For B \$0			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$2,000 Translation			
	Total Grant Request	\$7,500			
1		Total \$0			
		For C \$0	•		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0			
	χ Community Service	For A \$7,500 Translation of key pro	omotional materials		
		Total \$0			
		For C \$0			
	. •	For B \$0			
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$0			
11.	Previous City Grant PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	Surplus (Deficit) Involving				
	Justification for Accumulated	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A		
	Grant Surplus	\$ N/A	\$0		
	Total Grants	\$ N/A	\$7,500		
	Other Grants	\$ N/A	\$N/A		
	BC Arts Council	\$ N/A	\$N/A		
	Gaming Grants	\$ N/A	\$N/A		
	Other Grants (List):	\$N/A	\$N/A		
	Richmond Grant	Most Recent Completed Year \$1,000 (2008)	This Application \$7,500		
10.	Surplus (Deficit) GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	allocated (set aside for projects already committed to)			
· ' '		Please detail: all restricted or already	'		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$76,491	\$0		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$1986	\$0		
	Total Expenses	\$168,082	\$205,230		
	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET Total Revenue	(e.g., Audited Financial Statement) \$170,068	Budget for Current Year \$205,230		



1.	Applicant		Richmond Artists Guild				
2.	Grant Request		\$1,200		Proposal Title: 7 th Annua	l Fraser River Art Festival	
3.	Summary of Request		The 7 th Annual Fraser River Art Festival is a one-day art show, held at London Farm, and includes music, children's table, refreshments, an outdoor art exhibit, all free admission to all members of the public; grant would be invested in advertising for the Festival				
4.	Focus	,	Group Operating Assistance, and/or X A Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)				
5.	Duration		x An Ong	x An Ongoing Activity, and/or			
6.	Multiple Criteria						
CRI	TERIA A BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY			CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Pric	ority Activities	GROUP	
x	Promote Livability	x General Po	pulation		Prevention	x Improve Quality of Service	
ı	Promote an Appealing City	x Seniors			Intervention	X Maximize Number Served	
	Build a Legacy		n Disabilities		Treatment	X Promote Financial Partnerships	
	Build Sustainability	x Children			Wellness	Leverage More Funds from Others	
	Build Financial Viability	x Youth			Build Organizational Capacity	☐ Build Organizational Capacity	
x	Build a Complete Community	X Families		x	Build Community Capacity	Support Stable, Capable Groups	
	Promote "Well Managed"	x Low Income		X	Improve the Quality of Life:	☐ Minimize Duplication of Services	
x	Promote Diversity	X Women			 Social 	Other (specify):	
x	Promote Volunteerism	x Men			 Economic 		
ı	Promote Wellness	χ Immigrants	•		 Environmental 		
	Innovation	X Tourists			Other (specify):		
	Other (specify):	Other (spec					
l	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfa	-		criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met?	
XY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X Yes No	<u> </u>	XY		X Yes D No	
	Determinants of Eligibility				s Favourably Considered		
This	grant proposal:			X	Only City Funding for Project		
X	Serves Primarily Richmond R				Single Group and City Funded I	Projects	
	Seeks Funding from Sources C Application Group	ther than the City a	and the	Group becoming Dependant on City Grants			
x	Demonstrates Partnerships			☐ Insufficient Partnering			
	All Documentation has been Su	bmitted			Duplication of Service	•	
				☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit			
<u> </u>	 		T		Other (specify):		
7.	7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently Received Value \$280			wee	ekly use, rent free, of Pain	ting Room at the Arts Centre	
8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant value \$750 and Spending Details (\$550)			- po	esters printed (\$50); live m	nusic (\$150) and advertising	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$5,164.55	\$4,480		
	Total Expenses	\$5,340	\$4,480		
	(Deficit)	(\$175)	\$		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$0		
	Justification for any Accumulated	No explanation for the deficit.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Surplus (Deficit)	(does not appear to apply for Provincial Grants)			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$750	\$1,200		
	Other Grants (List):	\$0	\$0		
	, ,	\$0	so		
		\$0	\$0		
		\$0	\$0		
	Total Grants	\$750	\$00		
	Grant Surplus	\$n/a	\$n/a		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a		
	Previous City Grant				
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$			
		For B \$			
		For C \$			
		Total \$			
	x Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A \$1,200 (for advertising)			
		Total \$1,200			
	Total Grant Request	\$1,200			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$750			
		Total \$750			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for advertisin	g the Fraser River Art Festival.		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	none			
45		Ohille Jahrada Oliv Olivia			
10.	Assessor	Sheila Johnston, City Clerk's Office			



[<u>_</u>	Applicant	Dielessand					
1.	Applicant	Richmond Community Band					
2.	Grant Request		\$2,000				
3.	Summary of Request		concert ban	The grant would be used to help defray the operating costs of running the concert band thus enabling the band to pursue its annual program of public performances.			
4.	Focus		1		iting Assistance, and/or y Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ect.	Event)
5.	Duration				Activity, and/or		
.	Dui wai vii		☐ A One-ti				
6.	Multiple Criteria		. L .	*******			
CRI'	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND C	OMMUNITY				TERIA B - BENEFITS
			· - ····	T _{Det}	14 A 48 141		THE APPLICANT OUP
\vdash	eral Objectives	Types of Resi		+-	ority Activities		
x x 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism	X Children X Youth X Families X Low Income X Women X Men	n Disabilities e	00000××00	Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organizational Capacity Build Community Capacity Improve the Quality of Life: Social Economic	000000 x	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): funding needed to ensure the bands survival
	Promote Wellness Innovation	☐ Immigrants ☐ Tourists			Environmental Other (specify): <specify></specify>		
	Other (specify): <specify></specify>	1	cify): <specify></specify>	السا	Other (apacity), Tapacity		
	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisf		Are	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are	criteria satisfactorily met?
X Ye	_ `	X Yes □ No	•	X Y		ΧY	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility	,		Les	s Favourably Considered		
This	grant proposal:				Only City Funding for Project		
	Serves Primarily Richmond Res				Single Group and City Funded I	^o roje:	cts
	Seeks Funding from Sources O	ther than the City	and the		Group becoming Dependant on	City	Grants
x	Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships				Insufficient Partnering		
1	All Documentation has been Su	ubmitted			Duplication of Service		
					Groups with Unaccounted Surp	us or	· Deficit
					Other (specify): <specify></specify>		-
7.	7. Non-Grant City Supports Currently Received Value \$N. Please de						
8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant Value \$0 Please det			ail:			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$18,488	\$16,000	
	Total Expenses	\$17,425	\$16,000	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$1,063	\$0	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$1,063	\$0	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$0	\$2,000	
	Other Grants (List):	\$ 7,150	\$4,500	
	Gaming	\$ 7,000	\$0 (No Provincial Funding)	
	Gulf of Georgia Cannery	\$ 150	\$0	
	Royal Canadian Legion	\$ N/A	\$500	
	Gateway Theatre	\$0	\$4,000	
	Total Grants	\$7,150	\$6,500	
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A	\$0	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	X Operating Assistance	For A \$2000- rental of rehearsal and	storage space	
		For B \$0	·	
		For C \$0		
		Total \$0		
	☐ Community Service	For A \$0		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0		
		For C \$0		
		Total \$0		
	Total Grant Request	\$2000		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1000 -towards rental of storage	ge space/rehearsal space	
		For B \$0	·	
		For C \$0		
		Total \$1000		
13.	Rationale	To support opportunities for young people to learn music and experience the discipline of playing in a band.		
14.	Conditions, if applicable			
15.	Assessor	Alan Hill	,	
	 	<u> </u>		



1.	Applicant Richt		Richmond	chmond Community Orchestra and Chorus		
2.	Grant Request		\$15,000 Proposal Title: Office Administration			
3.	Summary of Request		To provide operating expenses in the amount of \$15,000 to cover office expenses, website management, internet, photocopying, telephone, printing, postage and contractual support. Shelley Morris Business Services provides the office space, furniture and amenities at t minimal cost. The Direct Access funding which was declined this year was used to provide assistance with administrative costs.			
4.	Focus	,	I	· · · ·		
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	TO THE APPLICANT GROUP	
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): <specify> riteria satisfactorily met?</specify>	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): <specify> actorily met?</specify>	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☐ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☐ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☑ Other (specify): Arts Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No	Improve Quality of Service	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered		
\(\overline{\text{\ti}\}\eta}\text{\tett{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tetx{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\texi{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{	Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 		
7.			t provided ail: Gateway Theatre – reduced	d costs		
8.				00 ail: Partial salary for admin. sup	pport	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$281,406	\$214,267		
	Total Expenses	\$327,507	\$243,714		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$	\$(29,447)		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$47,520	\$18,073		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: Estate beneficiary – invested in endowment fund with Richmond Foundation	Please detail: Drawing on assets because Direct Access funding not received		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$1,000	\$15,000		
	Other Grants (List):				
	Direct Access	\$20,000	\$Denied		
	<other grant=""></other>	\$	\$		
	<other grant=""></other>	\$	\$		
	Total Grants	\$21,000	\$15,000		
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A	\$N/A		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$15,000			
		For B \$			
		For C \$			
		Total \$15,000			
	☐ Community Service	For A \$ <amount></amount>			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ <amount></amount>			
		For C \$ <amount></amount>			
		Total \$ <amount></amount>			
	Total Grant Request	\$15,000			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1,000			
		For B \$			
		For C \$			
		Total \$1,000			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for operating expenses.			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	N/A			
15.	Assessor	Lesley Sherlock			
		Lesiey Offeriock			



1.	Applicant Richmond			Gateway Theatre Society			
2.	Grant Request		\$5000.00	00.00 Proposal Title: Scene First			
3.	Summary of Request		Scene First is producing workshops of 4 plays, 2 new submissions and first reading of a 5 th play. Featuring culturally diverse perspectives to appeal to the diverse community of Richmond. Target is younger patrons seeking edgier work.				
4.	Focus		☑ A Comm	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)		
5.	Duration		_	oing Activity, and/or me Activity			
6.	Multiple Criteria						
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP		
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a	General Por Seniors Seniors People with Children Youth Familles Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☑ Build Organizational Capacity ☑ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☑ • Economic ☑ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met?	 ✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ☐ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): Encourage ✓ culturally diverse stories and encourage female playwrights. 		
☑ Y		Yes No	•	☑ Yes ☐ No	☑ Yes ☐ No		
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered			
	s grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships All Documentation has been Submitted			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☑ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): n/a 			
7.			Please deta	\$1014608.00 e detail: Administrative costs of operations and maintenance of			
8.				0.00 ill: to be spent Dec 2009 for S	ea of Stories project		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$2206882.00	\$2182202.00		
	Total Expenses	\$2131917.00	\$2180701.00		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$n/a	\$ n/a		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(96628.00)	\$(75515.00)		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: \$19,611 for operating deficit accumulated over 25 years and \$77,017 for accounting recognition of overtime and vacation owed to employees.	Please detail: \$75515.00 for accounting recognition of overtime and vacation owed to employees.		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$3000.00	\$5000.00		
	Other Grants (List): BC 150	\$10,000.00	\$ n/a		
	BC Arts Council	\$ n/a	\$10,000.00		
	Donations and Fundraising	\$ n/a	\$5266.00		
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	Total Grants	\$13,000.00	\$20266.00		
	Grant Surplus	\$n/a	\$n/a		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please détail: n/a		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a			
		For B \$ n/a			
	·	For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	☑ Community Service	For A \$5000.00			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	Total Grant Request	\$5000.00			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$3000.00			
		For B \$n/a			
		For C \$n/a			
		Total \$3000.00			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support new	playwrights.		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a			
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver			
		1			



	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1.	Applicant		Richmond Museum Society (RMS)			
2.	Grant Request		\$7,000	Proposal Title: Space, A	erospace & Defence Exhibition	
3.	Summary of Request		To plan, design, install, coordinate and market a major exhibition (Nov. 2010 – Nov. 2011) showcasing the history of Richmond's space, aerospace and defence industries; the display of many artefacts from private collections, corporate collections and the Museum's permanent collection. The exhibit would expand audiences, engage hi-tech industries, and build relationships in the aero-industry. Photographs, blueprints/drawings, 3-D artefacts, models and audio visual components will tell the story of Richmond's growth in the aeronautics sector.			
4.	Focus			Operating Assistance, and/or munity Service (e.g., Program, I	Project, Event)	
5.	Duration	-	_	oing Activity, and/or ime Activity	·	
6.	Multiple Criteria		1			
	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	,			CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Ger	neral Objectives	Types of Resi	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
x	Promote Livability	🗶 General Po	pulation	☐ Prevention	☐ Improve Quality of Service	
x	Promote an Appealing City	x Seniors		☐ Intervention	☐ Maximize Number Served	
	Build a Legacy	x People with	h Disabilities	☐ Treatment	X Promote Financial Partnerships	
	Build Sustainability	x Children		☐ Wellness	Leverage More Funds from Others	
	Build Financial Viability	x Youth		☐ Build Organizational Capacity	☐ Build Organizational Capacity	
	Build a Complete Community	x Familles		☐ Bulld Community Capacity	x Support Stable, Capable Groups	
	Promote "Well Managed"	X Low Incom	18	x Improve the Quality of Life:	☐ Minimize Duplication of Services	
	Promote Diversity	X Women		X • Social	Other (specify):	
	Promote Volunteerism	x Men		X • Economic	·	
	Promote Wellness	x Immigrants	•	Environmental		
x	Innovation	χ Tourists		Other (specify):		
	Other (specify):	☐ Other (spec				
1	criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisf	•	Are criteria satisfactorily met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met?	
XY	 	X Yes No)	X Yes D No	X Yes No	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered		
This	grant proposal:			☐ Only City Funding for Project		
x	Serves Primarily Richmond R	esidents		☐ Single Group and City Funded	Projects	
x	Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City			☐ Group becoming Dependant or	n City Grants	
х				☐ Insufficient Partnering		
х	•			☐ Duplication of Service		
			☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surp	olus or Deficit		
				☐ Other (specify):		
7.	Non-Grant City Suppo Received	rts Currently	N/a			
8.	Amount of Previous Your and Spending Details	ear Grant	The Museur	m has never received a Richm	nond City Grant.	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (2008)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	(e.g., Audited Financial Statement) \$62,721	\$72,100			
	Total Expenses	\$60,281	\$72,100			
	Surplus	\$2,440	\$0			
	Accumulated (Deficit)	\$0	\$0			
	Justification for any Accumulated	Please detail: the 2008 surplus	[```			
	Surplus (Deficit)	covered any deferred liabilities related to on-going projects that spanned 2008/09.	Tiodos dotain.			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$0				
	Other Grants (List): <other grant=""></other>		\$6,000 - B.C. Arts Council			
	Total Grants		\$6,000 (confirmed)			
	Grant Surplus					
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	N/A	N/A			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A				
		For B				
		For C				
		Total				
	x Community Service	For A \$7,000 - to create an interactive	e youth component			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$0				
		For C \$0				
		Total \$0				
	Total Grant Request	\$7,000				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$2,000				
		For B 0				
		For C 0				
		Total \$2,000				
13.	Rationale	To assist with the Space, Aerospace an				
		The exhibit will run for a full 12 months; the Museum has demonstrated fiscal responsibility; the Museum is an integral part of the City's cultural fabric; the Museum is a steady, well-run, professional enterprise; the exhibit				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	is unique and will draw those interested None	in the fill acie of algrit.			
14.	Conditions, ii applicable	INOIR				
15.	Assessor	Sheila Johnston, City Clerk's Office				
		Totalia contracti, Oity Oletr's Office				



1.	. Applicant R		Richmond Music School Society				
2.	Grant Request		\$18,000	Proposal Title: Richmond Music School			
3.	approximate talented students application s			ely 3 dent seek n gra	nts attend the school each 0,000 enjoy free or almost is and faculty, in the facility is to find alternative fundinant. Any grant money from	t free cor / or in otl g for the	ncerts given by its her public venues. This loss of the BC Gaming
4.	Focus		·	•	iting Assistance, and/or / Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Ever	nt)
5.	Duration		☑ An Ongo □ A One-ti	-	Activity, and/or Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria						
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY			TO THE	IA B – BENEFITS APPLICANT
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Pric	ority Activities	GROUP	
	·	General Population Seniors People with Disabilities Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (specify): <specify> Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes No</specify>		Ø.		☑ Max ☑ Pror ☑ Leve ☑ Buile ☑ Sup ☑ Mini	rove Quality of Service dimize Number Served mote Financial Partnerships erage More Funds from Others d Organizational Capacity port Stable, Capable Groups imize Duplication of Services er (specify): <specify> ia satisfactorily met?</specify>
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Les	s Favourably Considered		
[] []	Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): <specify></specify> 			
7.	Value N/A Received Value N/A Please detail		1.—				
8.					cholarship awards		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$495,428	\$482.221		
	Total Expenses	\$501,105	\$489,196		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$5,677	\$5,677		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$129,579	\$124,902		
	Justification for any Accumulated	Please detail: Lesson fees must be	Please detail: Lesson fees must be		
	Surplus (Deficit)	paid in advance, hence surplus	paid in advance, hence surplus		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$900	\$18,000		
	Other Grants (List):	\$	 \$		
	Worksafe BC	\$500	\$6,840 2010 Legacies Now		
	Donation	\$100	\$2,000 BC Arts Council		
	<other grant=""></other>	\$	\$ 9,160 Private donors		
	Total Grants	\$1500	\$36,000		
	Grant Surplus	\$N/A	\$N/A		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: N/A	Please detail: N/A		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$18,000 Rent For B \$ For C \$ Total \$18,000			
	☐ Community Service	For A \$			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$			
		For C \$			
		Total \$			
	Total Grant Request	\$18,000			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$900			
		For B \$			
		For C \$			
		Total \$900			
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year for operating e	xpenses.		
		RMS has lost BC Direct Access Gaming funding.			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	N/A			
15.	Assessor	Lesley Sherlock			
	<u> </u>	· •			



1.	. Applicant Richmon			d Singers		
2.	Grant Request		\$1000	Proposal Title: Music Commission 40 th Anniversary		
3.	Summary of Request			o commission a piece of music in honour of upcoming 40 th Anniversary f the Richmond Singers for May 2011 concert.		
4.	Focus		·	Operating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)	
5.	Duration		· .	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria					
CRIT	ERIA A BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND C	OMMUNITY		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resi	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	General Po Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): n/a actorily met?	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☐ Improve the Quality of Life: ☐ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☑ Other (specify): Cultural Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☐ Yes ☑ No	Improve Quality of Service	
	Determinants of Eligibility	·		Less Favourably Considered		
	Demonstrates Partnerships			 ☑ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☑ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): n/a 		
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor Received	rts Currently	Value \$0 Please deta			
8.				ill: denied 2005-08. Received	most previous years since 1993.	

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year	
	Total Revenue	\$73,914	\$31,720	
	Total Expenses	\$74,998	\$52,966	
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$40,064	\$18,818	
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0	\$0	
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: BC Gaming grant denied (rec'd \$10,000 last year, 7% of total budget).	
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application	
	Richmond Grant	\$0	\$1,000	
	Other Grants (List): Gaming	\$10,000	\$0	
	BC Gaming Commission	\$ n/a	\$0	
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$0	
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	
	Total Grants	\$10,000	\$1,000	
	Grant Surplus	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a	
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application		
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a		
		For B \$ n/a		
		For C \$ n/a		
		Total \$ n/a		
	☑ Community Service	For A \$1,000		
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$n/a		
		For C \$ n/a		
		Total \$ n/a		
	Total Grant Request	\$1,000		
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0		
		For B \$ n/a		
		For C \$ n/a		
		Total \$0		
13.	Rationale	Only City funding sought and no partnerships for this project.		
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a		
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver		



1.	Applicant Richm			ond Summer Project – Steveston Community Society			
2.	Grant Request		\$50,000.00	000.00 Proposal Title: Richmond Summer Project			
3.	Summary of Request		Grant dollars would be distributed between 16 facilities city wide to provide low cost/no cost services to Richmond residents. Money would offset salaries and general and training expenses for summer 2010.				
4.	Focus			perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)		
5.	Duration		-	oing Activity, and/or me Activity Feb 1 st 2010 to Sept 3	30 th 2010		
6.	Multiple Criteria						
CRI	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	TO THE APPLICANT GROUP		
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a criteria satisfactorily met?	General Por Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): n/a actorily met?	Prevention Intervention Treatment Wellness Build Organizational Capacity Build Community Capacity Improve the Quality of Life: Social • Economic • Environmental Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes □ No	Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ─ Support Stable, Capable Groups ─ Minimize Duplication of Services ─ Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes ☐ No		
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered			
	Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): n/a 			
7.	1			nown il: facility space (various locat	ions), City staff to oversee		
8.	. Amount of Previous Year Grant Value \$50,			000.00 ill: wages, staff events, initiativ	ves, supplies and city wide		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$190,641.69	\$207,787.39			
	Total Expenses	\$193,859.47	\$220,144.87			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$40,000.00	\$n/a			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$(3,217.78)	\$(12,357.48)			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: surplus money accumulated from 2000-2007 to cover start up costs.	Please detail: unexplained; HRDC student works grant unconfirmed (64% of total budet)			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00			
	Other Grants (List): Canada Summer Jobs Grant (HRDC)	\$90,000.00	\$ 90,000.00			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	Total Grants	\$140,000.00	\$140,000.00			
	Grant Surplus	\$0.00	\$ n/a			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a For B \$ n/a For C \$ n/a Total \$ n/a				
	Community Samiles	· ·				
	Community Service (e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For A \$50,000.00 For B \$ n/a				
	(orgin aramin regional)	For C \$ n/a				
		Total \$ n/a				
İ		,				
	Total Grant Request	\$50,000.00				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$50,000.00				
		For B \$ n/a				
		For C \$ n/a				
		Total \$ 50,000.00				
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support low-cost summer programs.				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a				
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver				
		Curciyii Sianay and Souma Corror				



1.	Applicant Richmond				Youth Concert Band Association		
2.	Grant Request		\$785	Proposal Title: Music for Mom Concert			
3.	Summary of Request To par 17 th , 20			fund an e	evening concert at Ga	ateway Theatre on Monday May	
4.	Focus		•		ssistance, and/or ice (e.g., Program, Proj	ect, Event)	
5.	Duration		_	oing Activit me Activity	• •		
6.	Multiple Criteria						
CRIT	ERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC			CRITERIA B - BENEFITS	
Gene	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority A	Activities	TO THE APPLICANT GROUP	
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a riteria satisfactorily met? es	General Por Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): n/a actorily met?	☐ Treat ☑ Welln ☐ Build ☐ Build ☐ Impro ☑ • So ☐ • Ec ☐ • Er ☐ Other	rention ment less Organizational Capacity Community Capacity ove the Quality of Life:	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Build Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met? Yes	
Key	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Fav	ourably Considered		
	This grant proposal: ✓ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project ☑ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☑ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): n/a 			
7.					rehearsal and perfor	mance spaces	
8.	. Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details Value \$n/a Please deta			nil: n/a			

		Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver				
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a				
13.	Rationale	Significant budget surplus to accommodate the \$785.				
		Total \$0.00				
		For C \$ n/a				
		For B \$ n/a				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0.00				
	Total Grant Request	\$785.00				
		Total \$ n/a				
		For C \$ n/a				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a				
	☐ Community Service	For A \$785.00				
		Total \$ n/a				
		For C \$ n/a				
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a For B \$ n/a				
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a			
	Grant Surplus	,	\$ n/a			
	Total Grants	\$ n/a \$ n/a	\$785.00			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	Other Grants (List): n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	Richmond Grant	\$ n/a	\$785.00			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: surplus accumulated over 6-8 years, used to augment Direct Access fees used to purchase instruments	Please detail: no explanation given for surplus			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$18205.86	\$14000.00			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	Total Expenses	\$36150.82	\$36090.00			
	Total Revenue	\$38854.49	\$32500.00			
	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			



1.	Applicant		Sea Island	Community Association		
2.	Grant Request		\$1200	Proposal Title: Burkeville Daze		
3.	Summary of Request To assi event.			e Community Association with	h annual community celebration	
4.	Focus		I	perating Assistance, and/or nunity Service (e.g., Program, Pro	ject, Event)	
5.	Duration	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	☐ An Ongo	oing Activity, and/or me Activity		
6.	Multiple Criteria			7-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		
CRIT	ERIA A - BENEFITS TO THI	E RICHMOND CO	OMMUNITY		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT	
Gene	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP	
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a riteria satisfactorily met? es	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): n/a actorily met?	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☑ Build Community Capacity ☑ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☐ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
	Determinants of Eligibility	L		Less Favourably Considered		
区 区	his grant proposal: Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project □ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☑ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): n/a 		
7.	Non-Grant City Suppor Received	rts Currently	Value \$7240 Please deta	00 ill: annual City budget		
8.				0 ill: Burkeville Daze		

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year			
	Total Revenue	\$92688	\$103430			
	Total Expenses	\$92555	\$103384			
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$n/a	\$ n/a			
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$9666	\$9888			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: 50 year accumulated surplus for equipment and future expenses	Please detail: 50 year accumulated surplus for equipment and future expenses			
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application			
	Richmond Grant	\$1000	\$1200.00			
	Other Grants (List): Vancouver Airport Authority	\$1275	\$1275.00			
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a \$ n/a \$ n/a \$2475.00			
	n/a	\$ n/a				
	n/a	\$ n/a				
	Total Grants	\$2275				
	Grant Surplus	\$ n/a	\$ n/a			
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a			
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application				
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a				
		For B \$ n/a				
		For C \$ n/a				
		Total \$ n/a				
	☑ Community Service	For A \$1200				
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a				
		For C \$ n/a				
		Total \$ n/a				
	Total Grant Request	\$1200				
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$1000.00				
		For B \$ n/a				
		For C \$ n/a				
		Total \$ 1000.00				
13.	Rationale	Same level as last year to support Bur	keville Daze.			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a				
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver				
	<u></u>	Odiotyti Diditaly and Dodina Colvoi				



1.	Applicant		Steveston Community Society				
2.	Grant Request		\$29,109.00 Proposal Title: Steveston Community Society				
3.	Summary of Request		Society operating assistance and newsletter.				
4.	Focus		☑ Group Operating Assistance, and/or☑ A Community Service (e.g., Program, Project, Event)				
5.	Duration		☑ An Ongoing Activity, and/or □ A One-time Activity				
6.	Multiple Criteria		······································				
CRIT	TERIA A – BENEFITS TO THI	RICHMOND CO	YTINUMMC		CRITERIA B - BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities	GROUP		
NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N		General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Familles Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (spec	Disabilities ify): n/a actorily met?	 ✓ Prevention ✓ Intervention ✓ Treatment ✓ Wellness ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Build Community Capacity ✓ Improve the Quality of Life: ✓ • Social ✓ • Economic ✓ • Environmental Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes No 	✓ Improve Quality of Service ✓ Maximize Number Served ✓ Promote Financial Partnerships ✓ Leverage More Funds from Others ✓ Build Organizational Capacity ✓ Support Stable, Capable Groups ✓ Minimize Duplication of Services ✓ Other (specify): n/a ✓ Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes ✓ No		
Key Determinants of Eligibility				Less Favourably Considered			
N N	Demonstrates Partnerships		and the	 ☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☑ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): n/a 			
7.			Value unkno Please deta	unknown detail: Facility, staffing, utilities etc.			
8.	I		Value \$7000 Please deta	00.00 ail: Used for Salmon Fest operating expenses			

9.	YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET	Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$1451787.00	\$1168447.45		
	Total Expenses	\$1451787.00	\$1101368.60		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$0.00	\$n/a \$67078.85		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$n/a			
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: Projected surplus would be directed towards ongoing maintenance, repair and operation of centre. Direct Access Grant unconfirmed (\$31,000 or 6.5% of project budget)		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$7000.00	\$29,109.00		
	Other Grants (List): VanCity	\$5000.00	\$n/a		
	BC Direct Access Program Grant	\$n/a \$1000.00	\$ \$31,000.00 \$ n/a		
	Progressive Construction	\$15000.00	\$ n/a		
	Heritage Canada	\$9000.00	\$12,000.00		
	Other sources	\$9000.00	\$66,272.00		
	Richmond Agriculture and Industry Society		400,272.00		
	Total Grants	\$37,000.00	\$138,381.00		
	Grant Surplus	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☑ Operating Assistance	For A \$29,109.00			
		For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	☐ Community Service	For A \$ n/a			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	Total Grant Request	\$29,109.00			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0.00			
		For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$0.00			
13.	Rationale	Revenue and unrestricted cash assets may be used to support operating expenses.			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	n/a			
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver			
	1.1000001	Cardiyri Drandiy alid Dearina Selver			



1.	Applicant Textile		Textile Art	e Arts Guild				
2.			\$4000.00	Proposal Title: TAGOR Community Club				
3.			Requesting money to buy materials and supplies to sew or knit items requested by community partners to improve the quality of life for less fortunate Richmond residents. Community partners include Richmond Hospital, Public Health Nurses, Lions Manor, Volunteer Richmond Christmas Fund, Rotary Hospice House.					
4.	Focus		1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
5.	Duration			☑ An Ongoing Activity, and/or				
6.	Multiple Criteria							
	FERIA A - BENEFITS TO THE	·		T=	CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT			
	eral Objectives	Types of Resid		Priority Activities	GROUP			
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a	General Pop Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Tourists Other (speciovarian canand hospice	Disabilities ify): breast and cer patients a residents	 ☑ Prevention ☑ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☐ Build Community Capacity ☐ Improve the Quality of Life: ☑ • Social ☐ • Economic ☑ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): n/a Are criteria satisfactorily met?	Improve Quality of Service Maximize Number Served Promote Financial Partnerships Leverage More Funds from Others Bulld Organizational Capacity Support Stable, Capable Groups Minimize Duplication of Services Other (specify): n/a			
☑ Y	es 🗆 No	☑ Yes ☐ No		☑ Yes ☐ No	Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No			
	Determinants of Eligibility			Less Favourably Considered				
	Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group Demonstrates Partnerships			 □ Only City Funding for Project ☑ Single Group and City Funded Projects □ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants □ Insufficient Partnering □ Duplication of Service □ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit □ Other (specify): n/a 				
7.			Value \$840. Please detai	0.00 ail: room use at Richmond Cultural Centre				
8.	Amount of Previous Year Grant and Spending Details Value \$n/a Please deta		Value \$n/a Please detai	il: n/a				

Total Revenue \$4921.00 \$2750.00				
Total Expenses \$5237.00 \$4890.00				
Surplus or (Deficit) \$(316.00) \$3274.16				
Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit) \$3590.31 \$(2140.00)				
Surplus (Deficit) membership; maintain surplus to membership; main	Please detail: decrease in membership; maintain surplus to replace and repair equipment			
10. GRANT FUNDING PROFILE Most Recent Completed Year This Application				
Richmond Grant \$n/a \$4000.00				
Other Grants (List): n/a \$ n/a \$ n/a				
n/a				
n/a \$ n/a \$ n/a				
n/a \$ n/a \$ n/a				
Total Grants				
Grant Surplus \$ n/a \$ n/a				
Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant Please detail: n/a Please detail: n/a				
11. PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET This Application				
☐ Operating Assistance For A \$ n/a				
For B \$ n/a				
For C \$ n/a				
Total \$ n/a				
☑ Community Service For A \$4000.00				
(e.g., Event, Program, Project) For B \$ n/a				
For C \$ n/a				
Total \$ n/a				
Total Grant Request \$4000.00				
12. Recommended Grant For A \$1000.00				
For B \$ n/a				
For C \$ n/a				
Total \$1000.00				
13. Rationale To support community club charity sewing.				
	Group needs to do more outreach to obtain increases in donated materials; additional funding sources must be sought to qualify for future grants.			
14. Conditions, if applicable Grant money to be used to support community club charity	sewing			
	I			



	T		T					
1.			Thompson Community Association					
2.	Grant Request		\$16821.00	Proposal Title: Youth Music Coordinator City Wide program				
		Wages for music coordinator who creates and sustains music and arts based opportunities for youth throughout the City. This work is carried out within the 40 developmental assets for youth framework and is one of the few opportunities for youth to develop both music and leadership qualities.						
4.	Focus		1 . *	, , ,				
5.	Duration			☑ An Ongoing Activity, and/or				
6.	Multiple Criteria			<u> </u>				
	TERIA A - BENEFITS TO TH			DMMUNITY		CRITERIA B – BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT		
Gen	eral Objectives	Types of Resid	dents	Priority Activities		GROUP		
	Promote Livability Promote an Appealing City Build a Legacy Build Sustainability Build Financial Viability Build a Complete Community Promote "Well Managed" Promote Diversity Promote Volunteerism Promote Wellness Innovation Other (specify): n/a	General Popular Seniors People with Children Youth Families Low Income Women Men Immigrants Chief (spec	Disabilities	☐ Prevention ☐ Intervention ☐ Treatment ☑ Wellness ☑ Build Organization ☑ Build Community ☐ Improve the Quali ☑ • Social ☑ • Economic ☑ • Environmental ☐ Other (specify): n/	Capacity ity of Life:	☐ Improve Quality of Service ☐ Maximize Number Served ☐ Promote Financial Partnerships ☐ Leverage More Funds from Others ☐ Build Organizational Capacity ☑ Support Stable, Capable Groups ☐ Minimize Duplication of Services ☐ Other (specify): n/a		
Are criteria satisfactorily met? ✓ Yes ☐ No ✓ Yes ☐ No		-	Are criteria satisfactorii	y met?	Are criteria satisfactorily met? ☑ Yes ☐ No			
Key	Determinants of Eligibility		-	Less Favourably C	onsidered			
This grant proposal: ☑ Serves Primarily Richmond Residents Seeks Funding from Sources Other than the City and the Application Group ☑ Demonstrates Partnerships ☑ All Documentation has been Submitted			and the	☐ Only City Funding for Project ☐ Single Group and City Funded Projects ☐ Group becoming Dependant on City Grants ☐ Insufficient Partnering ☐ Duplication of Service ☐ Groups with Unaccounted Surplus or Deficit ☐ Other (specify): n/a				
7.	Non-Grant City Supports Currently Received Value \$unk		nown il: Facility space, s	upervision a	and support			
8.	1		Value \$n/a Please deta	il: n/a				

9. YOUR GROUP'S TOTAL BUDGET		Most Recent Completed Year (e.g., Audited Financial Statement)	Budget for Current Year		
	Total Revenue	\$1186666.00	\$1096400.00		
	Total Expenses	\$1036019.00	\$1002454.00		
	Surplus or (Deficit)	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	Accumulated Surplus or (Deficit)	\$150565.00	\$93946.00		
	Justification for any Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	Please detail: board is considering a large park project with the City that may use up the majority of the unrestricted cash assets.	Please detail: n/a		
10.	GRANT FUNDING PROFILE	Most Recent Completed Year	This Application		
	Richmond Grant	\$ n/a	\$16821.00		
	Other Grants (List): East Richmond Community Association	\$ n/a	\$2500.00		
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	n/a	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	Total Grants	\$ n/a	\$19321.00		
	Grant Surplus	\$ n/a	\$ n/a		
	Justification for Accumulated Surplus (Deficit) Involving Previous City Grant	Please detail: n/a	Please detail: n/a		
11.	PROPOSED CITY GRANT BUDGET	This Application			
	☐ Operating Assistance	For A \$ n/a For B \$ n/a For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	☑ Community Service	For A \$16821.00			
	(e.g., Event, Program, Project)	For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$ n/a			
	Total Grant Request	\$16821.00			
12.	Recommended Grant	For A \$0			
		For B \$ n/a			
		For C \$ n/a			
		Total \$0			
13.	Rationale	Revenue and unrestricted cash assets may be used to support operating expenses.			
14.	Conditions, if applicable	Provides a service that should be supported by community associations to be sustainable.			
15.	Assessor	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Salver			
	Loopagui	Carolyn Brandly and Deanna Selver			