To: Development Permit Panel ..... Date: February 12, 2013
From: Wayne Craig File: DP 12-617639Director of Development
Re: Application by Hollybridge Limited Partnership for a Development Permit at5440 Hollybridge Way

## Staff Recommendation

That a Development Permit be issued which would permit the construction of the first phase of a three-phase, high-rise, mixed use development at 5440 Hollybridge Way on a site zoned "Residential/Limited Commercial (RCL3)", which phase incorporates 219 dwelling units and approximately $1,157.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(12,459 \mathrm{f} 2)$ of ground floor retail.


## Staff Report

## Origin

Hollybridge Limited Partnership has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to develop the first phase of "River Park Place", a three phase, $44,567 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(479,733 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$, high-rise, highdensity, mixed use project containing approximately 582 dwelling units at 5440 Hollybridge Way on a site in the City Centre's Oval Village. The subject application for Phase 1 of "River Park Place" is located on "Lot I" at the east side of 5440 Hollybridge Way, adjacent to Gilbert Road, and includes 219 dwelling units, approximately $1152.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $12,400 \mathrm{ft} 2$ ) of ground floor retail, and parking for 310 cars.

5440 Hollybridge Way, which is currently occupied by a large warehouse/office building, is being rezoned from Industrial Business Park (IB1) to Residentia//Limited Commercial (RCL3) for this project under Bylaw No. 8879 (RZ 09-506904).

All Engineering requirements in respect to the subject development have been resolved via the rezoning. As per legal agreements registered on title, the developer is responsible for the design and construction, at the developer's sole cost, of upgrades across the subject site's street frontages, together with various other transportation, engineering, and park-related works, on a phase-by-phase basis. The required work will be managed in three stages via the City's standard Servicing Agreement (SA) processes as follows:

- Servicing Agreement \#1: Prior to rezoning adoption, the developer must enter into the first SA, secured via a Letter(s) of Credit, which work will include (i) all sanitary, storm, and water improvements, except for works within Pearson Way, (ii) road widening along Gilbert Road, and (iii) the first stage of frontage works along the south side of new River Road;
- Servicing Agreement \#2: Prior to Building Permit issuance for Phase $1 /$ Lot 1 (the subject of DP 12-617639), the developer must enter into the second SA, secured via a second Letter(s) of Credit, which work will include (i) construction of Pearson Way, including all required sanitary, storm, and water improvements, and (ii) frontage works along Gilbert Road, new River Road, and Hollybridge Way; and
- Servicing Agreement \#3: Prior to Building Permit issuance for Phases 2 and 3/Lot 2 (west of Pearson Way), the developer must enter into the third SA, secured via a third Letter(s) of Credit, which work will include the completion of frontage works specific to Lot 2.

No additional Servicing Agreement works are required in respect to the subject Development Permit application.

## Development Information

Please refer to altached Development Application Data Sheet (Attachment 1) for a comparison of the proposed developinent data with the relevant Bylaw requirements.

## Background

Development surrounding the subject site includes the following:
Oval Village: The subject site and lands to its north, west, and south are designated for high-rise, mixed use development with a maximum density of 3 floor area ratio (FAR), of which up to twothirds may be residential. (Attachment 2) This area includes:

- To the north, across new River Road is property recently rezoned by ASPAC Developments (Oval 8 Holdings/RZ 09-450962) to permit the development of a 5 -phase, high-rise, highdensity, mixed-use project, construction of a portion of Pearson Way, and improvements to a City-owned park and natural area at 6900 River Road (adjacent to Gilbert Road) that is designated as a heritage landscape. The first two phases of ASPAC's development, including one fronting new River Road and the other fronting the Middle Arm, are currently the subject of Development Permit (DP) reviews.
- To the west, across Hollybridge Way from the subject site is the Hollybridge drainage canal and Riparian Management Area (RMA), which are being improved as part of a new linear park system by the developer of the high-rise, mixed-use development currently under construction along the park's western edge (Onni "Ora"/DP 10-520511).
- To the south, abutting the subject site is the City-owned Richmond Winter Club, beyond which is Lansdowne Road (which is identified for future improvements as part of a linear park system) and a site currently undergoing rezoning review for high-rise, high-density, mixed use development (Cressey/RZ 12-602449).

Lansllowne Village: Lands east of the subject site are largely designated for residential uses with a maximum density of 2 FAR and a typical maximum height of $25 \mathrm{~m}(82 \mathrm{fi}$ ). This area includes:

- To the east, across Gilbert Road is a mix of older warehouses, light industrial uses, and a limited number of high-rise, residential buildings. In addition, a 6 -storey residential development containing 660 dwellings has recently been approved at 7731 and 7771 Alderbridge Way (Onni "Riva"/RZ 11-5985209).


## Rezoning and Public Hearing Results

During the rezoning process, staff indicated that design development was encouraged at Development Permit (DP) stage in regard to the following:

1. Refine the individual characters of the project's three towers, together with their interfaces with the fronting streets, the development's mid/low-rise massing, and the skyline.
> "River Park Place" is comprised of three towers: one at Hollybridge Way; one "midblock"; and, one at Phase 1 at the corner of new River Road and Gilbert Road - a key entrance to the City Centre. To address Phase I's prominent location, its tower has been pulled close to the corner and the building's finishes and colours have been chosen to give it a clean, contemporary appearance and contrast with the tower's canted blue-grey curfain wall - its signature feature. In addition, public art, pedestrian weather profection, and a unique "rain garden" landscape desig" are proposed to complement the tower and provide for a distinctive streetscape that blends Richmond's riparian heritage with its emerging urban character.
2. Ensure that the project's large tower floorplates do not appear blocky.

At rezoning stage, to increase tower spacing and variation in tower height across the "River Park Place" sife, it was agreed that the development's tower floorplates would be larger than what is typically recommended under the City Centre Area Plan (CCAP). To ensure that this would not result in a "blocky" appearance, at Phase I the developer Itas sculpted the tower and used shifts in materials and colour to break up (layer) the massing and create visual interest.
3. Provide for an atractive residential interface with the street, especially where building setbacks are minimal, as at the proposed affordable housing building.

All building setbacks at Phase 1 comply with the Zoning Bylaw. (The development's affordable housing building is proposed for Phase 2.) Townhouse units will be located a minimum of 3 m ( 10 ft ) back and a maximum of 1.0 m ( 3 ft 3 int above the fronting public sidewalk. The proposed frontage designs, which include a combination of entry gate features, raised terraces bordered by hedges and planting, and projecting window bays and wood-look fromt doors at the unit entries, provide for both an attractive residential streetscape and pleasant transition from the public street 10 the private realm.
4. Explore opportunities to create vibrant retail streetscapes that contribute to the animation, pedestrian-amenity, and commercial success of the development and its surroundings.

The design of the development's proposed retail frontage along new River Road incorporates a combination of wood and glass canopy features, hanging signage armatures and sign bands, stone piers that define retail urit bays, and recessed store entries with brightly coloured frames. The two entls of Phase 1's retail fromage are anchored by the development's "gateway" lower, which incorporates a bold canopy design and increased selbacks on the east, and a special commercial unit design incorporating folding glass doors on the west. These features, together with new River Road's proposed wide sidewalks, off-street bike paths, dual tree-fined boulevards, furnishings, und amenifies, will contribute towards making this important Village "high street" an attractive, visually interesting place for shopping and strolling for residents and visitors alike.
5. Refine the rain garden concept in respect to its form and character, together with the potential environmental role of this and other project features in respect to City Centre Area Plan (CCAP) "eco-amenity" and related "green building" objectives.

The rain garden proposed for Phase I's Gilbert Road frontage has beent relocated from the building's from yard to the back of curb in order to expand its size, better provide for the range and amount of indigenous and riparian planting recommended to replace the habitat lost as a result of ditch infill around the site, and manage stormwater runoff from the public sidewalk. Detuiled design and construction of the rain garden will be managed via the City's Servicing Agreement process (at the sole cost of the developer), to the satisfaction of the Director of Environmental Sustainability, Senior Manager, Parks, and Director of Engineering.
6. Refine the rooftop landscape concept, taking into consideration, among other things, how the lower 2-storey portions of the project's podium frontages can best "fit" with the development's taller forms.

The design of the podium rooftop and perinneter residential and indoor amenity uses have been refined. The outdoor amenities, which include children's play, garden plots, a tai chi area, open lawn, decorative planting, and seating, are laid out to create a pattern of distinct, but connected, "outdoor rooms" that are well suited to allowing a variety of active and passive uses to coexist comfortably. Surounding uses provide for casual surveillance along three sides from private and shared decks, balconies, and indoor spaces (i.e. the fourth is left opetn to maximize sun exposure), and overlook from the tower above is selectively screened by trees and sliade structures.
7. Address how best to coordinate the parking/loading areas and access points on both lots so as to minimize impacts on the streetscape and neighbours.
For Phase 1, parking, loading, and waste handling will be accommodated entirely off street via a proposed on-site service lane (secured via a right-of-way) along the site's south side. That lane, which will also provide for a mid-block pellestrian connection between Pearson Way and Gilbert Road, the project's hydro transformers, and, in the future, parkinghoading access to development on the Richmond Winter Club site, has been designed as an attractive space (e.g., decorative paving, trees and planting, special architectural features) and an amenity for residents and commercial tenants (e.g., car/dog/bike wash facility, workshop, and end-of-srip facilities). In addition, given that the building's core is located in the tower on the north side of the site (i.e. not near the lane), a "delivery" space is proposed inside the parkade for the convenience of residents. (Future vehicle access to Phases 2 and 3 will be via a single shared driveway along Pearson Way, as per legal agreements registered on title.)

The Public Hearing for the rezoning of the subject site was held on September 5, 2012. No concerns about rezoning the property were expressed at the Public Hearing.

## Staff Comments

The proposed scheme attached to this report has satisfactorily addressed the significant urban design issues and other staff comments identified via the review of the subject DP application. In addition, the proposal complies with the intent of the applicable sections of the Official Community $\mathrm{Plan}(\mathrm{OCP})$ and is in compliance with the Residential/Limited Commercial ( RCL 3 ) zone.

## Advisory Design Panel Comments

The subject Phase I DP application was considered by the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) on a preliminary (non-voting) basis on September 19, 2012 and a formal (voting) basis on November 21, 2012. An excerpt of the ADP Minutes of November 21, 2012 is respect to the subject DP application is attached, including the applicant's design response to the Panel's comments in "bold italics". (Attachment 3)

In brief, the Panel was pleased with the applicant's design development between the project's preliminary and formal reviews and indicated support for the project's clean, contemporary look, which the Panel indicated would make it an attractive addition to the Oval Village and Gibert Road's City Centre "gateway". Refinement was encouraged with respect to the detailed design of the rain garden, retail and residential frontages, and material/colour selection. Overall, staff believe that the applicant has satisfactorily addressed the Panel's comments.

## Analysis

The subject DP application is for the first phase of a three-phase, comprehensively planned, high density, mixed use development in the Oval Village area of Richmond's City Centre. The site is zoned "Residential/Limited Commercial (RCL3)" and is subject to OCP and CCAP policies and DP Guidelines aimed at establishing a high-amenity, pedestrian-oriented, urban village supportive of City objectives for the Richmond Olympic Oval and a premier urban riverfiont. In support of this, current City policies and zoning encourage:

- High-density, high-rise, mixed use development;
- The establishment of new River Road as the village's "high street" (i.e. a focus for pedestrian-oriented shops, services, and, restaurants) and Lansdowne Road as an "art walk" linking the Richmond Oval with the Canada Line;
" Development of a "gateway" feature near the Gilbert Road/new River Road intersection;
- Voluntarily developer contributions towards child care, affordable housing, and public art;
- Sustainable development and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures aimed at reducing greenhouse gas and encouraging alternate travel modes; and
- Road and pedestrian network improvements, including the construction of Pearson Way between new River Road and Hollybridge Way, which will subdivide 5440 Hollybridge Way into an east lot (Phase I/DP 12-617639) and a west lot (future Phases 2 and 3).

The subject Phase 1 development proposes a $16,536 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(178,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ mixed use development on the east side the subject site (between Gilbert Road and the proposed extension of Pearson Way) containing 219 market dwellings, approximately $1,152 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(12,400 \mathrm{ff}^{2}\right)$ of ground-floor retail fronting new River Road, and three levels of parking containing 310 spaces.

At rezoning stage it was determined that the developer would make a voluntary cash contribution of $\$ 874,000$ to the Child Care Development Reserve Fund to facilitate the construction of an offsite, City-owned, child care facility and, on that basis, it was agreed that the construction of the development's $2,412 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $25,963 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) of affordable (low-end market rental) units would be deferred to the project's second phase. Furthermore it was agreed that the combined total floor area of the development's commercial uses (Phases I-3), which are proposed in compliance with the CCAP Village Centre (density) Bonus policy and RCL3 zone, would be limited to approximately $3,609 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(38,843 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ unless, as per that policy and zone, the developer provides additional voluntary amenity contributions for child care and/or other purposes, as determined to the satisfaction of the City.

Overall, as noted at rezoning stage, the three-phase development has successfully demonstrated:

- A strong urban concept providing for a high-density, pedestrian-friendly environment;
- Variation in building height, including two 15 -storey towers at the site's "landmark" corners (including one at Phase 1) and one 10 -storey "mid-block" tower, that together help to open up upper-level views across the site for residents on-site and neighbours, provide skyline interest, and maximize sun to usable rooftop spaces and the new River Road "high street";
- A midnrise building typology that suggests a "series of buildings", which serves to break up the development's large scale, contribute towards visually engaging streetscapes, and create opportunities to develop a distinctive and varied retail character at grade; and
- A strong landscape strategy, especially in the treatment of the development's podium roofs and the site's Gilbert Road frontage (i.e. Phase 1), the latter of which incorporates a rain
garden that, in combination with public greenway features, contributes towards a distinctive, park-like character complementary to Gilbert Road's "gateway" role and the City's adjacent "heritage woodlot" park at 6900 River Road.


## Additional comments specific to Phase 1 are as follows:

1. Conditions of Adjacency
1.1.Potential Development Impacts: The subject site is located in the Oval Village, a rapidly developing, high-rise, mixed use area affected by aircraft noise. The lack of finished construction, retail businesses, and local residents in the Village make it challenging for developers and potential purchasers to envision what the area will be like when it is more established. In light of this, the subject developer has reviewed the potential development impacts that residents of Phase 1 may experience with the aim of mitigating them to the extent possible and making potential residents aware of them in order that they may make an informed decision regarding where they wish to live. Overall, it has been demonstrated that the design of the subject development is sensitive to its emerging urban context and well suited to mitigating anticipated impacts. More specifically:
a) View blockage: This item is largely a function of tower spacing. The subject Plase 1 development's proposed tower is located at the northeast comer of the site, where it will be (i) $45 \mathrm{~m}-70 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $150 \mathrm{ft}-230 \mathrm{ft}$ ) from future towers on its west and south, (ii) have mid-rise development (typically no taller than 25 m ) on its cast, and (iii) and enjoy open views across the City-owned "heritage woodlot" and Dinsmore Bridge on its north.
b) Overlook: This is a function of tower spacing, the relationship of Phase l's outdoor common and private amenity spaces with its neighbours, and the relationships between Phase I's units. As the tower spacing noted above is broad, overlook is not expected to be a problem in this respect. Nevertheless, overlook of rooftop amenity spaces can still be uncomfortable and may discourage use, so the design of the subject development's rooftop outdoor space includes significant tree planting and shelters to screen views and provide a greater sense of privacy. Likewise, attention has been paid to the design of private outdoor spaces to, as much as possible, maximize spacing, ensure that units are not oriented towards one another, and, in the case of Phase l's townhouse units, many are provided with both more public outdoor space at grade and more private outdoor space above in the form of rooftop decks.
a) Shading: The CCAP encourages developers to maximize solar access to common onsite and off-site open spaces during the high use mid-day and afternoon periods. As demonstrated by shading diagrams submitted by the subject developer, due to the broad tower spacing and south-facing orientation of Phase l's rooftop amenity space, it can be expected to enjoy good sun exposure, even when the area is more highly developed. Moreover, in respect to the new River Road "high street", Phase 1 and future Pbases 2 and 3 have been designed to minimize shading of the street's north sidewalk, thus, helping to ensure that the "high street" will be a pleasant place to shop and linger.
b) Aircrafi \& ambient noise (experienced indoors): In respect to aircraft noise, the subject site is situated in OCP "Area 2", which permits multi-family residential uses, provided that air conditioning and other noise mitigation measures are incorporated, as identified via an acoustic study by a Registered Professional. The result of the required study,
which also took into account transitional noise (i.e. from existing industrial and commercial activities) and traffic noise (which can be expected to increase as the area builds out), makes recommendations in respect to the development's glazing and air conditioning systems that the developer has agreed to fully incorporate into the development.
c) Ambient night-time light: As areas urbanize, ambient night-time light (i.e. light pollution) typically increases, which can disturb sleep and cause other nuisances if it is not mitigated. To address this issue, the subject developer proposes to (i) eliminate balcony soffit lighting and, in its place, providing electrical outlets on the project's balconies so that residents may plug-in outdoor lighting when required, and (ii) install horizontal louver blinds on windows to enable residents to fully block out urwanted light.
1.2. "Heritage Woodlot": The City-owned park at 6900 River Road, north of Phase 1 (across new River Road), is designated by City bylaw as a heritage site and identified as a Riparian Management Area (RMA) and ecologically sensitive landscape. An impact assessment, undertaken by a Registered Professional, has concluded that the subject Phase l development is not expected to impact the heritage or ecological resources within the park. Monitoring of the park will continue throughout Phase l's construction processes and, as per legal agreement registered on the subject site, in the event that unforeseen impacts on the park are observed, the developer will undertake necessary mitigation and/or compensation, as required to the satisfaction of the City.
1.3.Richmond Winter Club: South of the subject development is the City-owned Richmond Winter Club. While there are currently no plans for the redevelopment of this property, it is currently developed at a very low density and could be redeveloped in the future. In light of this, the subject Phase 1 development provides for a service lane along its common (south) boundary with the Winter Club site (secured via right-of-way) for shared vehicle access and related purposes in the event the Winter Club site is redeveloped.
1.4.Traffic Considerations: Potential traffic impacts are expected to be minimal, as traffic generated by Phase 1 will have access to new River Road (scheduled to open in 2013) and Hollybridge Way via the developer's extension of Pearson Way, and all parking access, loading, and waste handling will be managed via the service lane proposed for the site's south boundary. In addition, driveway access will be provided to the existing Winter Club facility from Pearson Way (until the facility is redeveloped) and the Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan completed for the project has satisfactorily demonstrated that construction activities will be well managed and, among other things, will not conflict with access to the Richmond Oval.

## 2. Urban Desion and Sile Planning

2.1.Streetscape Strategies: The subject Phase 1 development incorporates distinct design strategies that address the unique circumstances of each of its four frontages, including:
a) River Road "High Street": The north face of the development fronts new River Road, which is intended to provide for a visually engaging, pedestrian-friendly streetscape. The proposed development satisfactorily addresses this with:

- Ground floor retail with narrow shop fronts and direct access to the public sidewalk, pedestrian-oriented signage, large shop windows, and pedestrian weather protection along the full length of the building;
- A mid-rise ( 5 storey ${ }^{\prime}$ ) streetwall that is in scale with surrounding planned development and the broad width of new River Road, while also helping to define the street edge, provide for continuity along the "high street", and contribute towards an intimate urban realm;
- Bookending of the mid-rise streetwall with a special corner treatment on the west and the development's residential tower on the east, the latter of which visually anchors the end of the block and helps to create a "gateway" at the entrance the Oval Village; and
- Public space incorporating a wide sidewalk, off-street bike path, dual tree-lined boulevards and landscaping, bike parking, pedestrian lighting, furnishings, and areas for outdoor dining and display.
b) Gilbert Road: This frontage is a prominent City Centre "gateway" and part of an important greenway linking the Middle Arm and proposed Lansdowne linear park and "art walk". This is addressed by blending strong, urban forms with riparian-type features to create a distinctly Richmond streetscape. More specifically, the subject Phase 1 development proposes:
- A 15 -storey tower with a slim north-facing profile and distinctive, glassy comer, which is pulled close to the street to visually anchor the site's "gateway" corner;
- A mid-rise ( 5 storey) streetwall, which extends south from the tower in a graceful curve to define the street edge, complement the scale of development planned east of Gilbert Road, and enhance the distinctive image of this City Centre entry;
- Two-storey townhouse units at the building's base, which enhance the pedestrian scale of the space and provide for visual interest and casual surveillance;
- A broad rain garden and coordinated private landscaping incorporating a mix of indigenous vegetation, water, and related features, which are designed to "pull" the riverfront's riparian landscape into the city and complement the nearby "heritage woodlot"; and
- Public art at the new River Road corner, which will belp to reinforce the prominence of this comer, enhance the narrative quality of the pedestrian realm, and enrich the area's expanding public art network.
c) Pearson Way: This frontage is intended to be a pedestrian-friendly, residential street. To achieve this in the Oval Village's emerging high-density, mixed use urban environment, the subject development proposes:
- Three-storey, street-oriented townhouses units with individual entrances, raised patios with terraced/landscaped fronts (no more than $0.8 \mathrm{~m} / 2 \mathrm{ft} 9$ in above the grade of the fronting sidewalk), entry gates with address features, window bays, and private rooftop decks;
- A landscape buffer, including decorative planting at grade and a vine covered wall, between the townhouses and the point where new River Road's commercial units tum the comer onto Pearson Road;
- A rain garden feature and small seating area with a timber deck between the townhouses and the service lane;
- Special architectural and landscape features and uses incorporated into the design of the service lane area to make it an attractive, pedestrian-friendly space; and
- Traffic calming and landscape features incorporated into the street design (e.g., raised intersection at the service lane, decorative treatments at pedestrian crossings).
d) Services Lane: This frontage, as noted above, is intended to accommodate access to Phase l's parking, loading, and garbage/recycling areas, together with shared vehicle access in the event the Winter Club site (south of Phase 1) is redeveloped. In addition, the lane is the proposed location of Phase l's above-grade electric equipment cabinets, provides for a mid-block pedestrian walkway between Pearson Way and Gilbert Road, and is aligned with the east-west leg of Pearson Way, which makes its appearance important to the quality of the adjacent streetscape. To address the lane's competing objectives for service-type facilities and a street-friendly appearance, the development proposes the following:
- Phase l's lowest parking level is submerged fully below the grade of the service lane in order that the lane can be open to the sky and tied seamlessly into the fronting street;
- The building's garage and loading entrances are all oriented to the south to minimize views into the parkade and indoor service areas from Pearson Way;
- A four-storey building bay projects into the east end of the lane, accented by a brightly coloured vertical stripe, to provide a visual "street-end" focus;
- A bold, grey-on-grey checkered pattern is incorporated into the paving and façade treatments along the lane (e.g., 4-tone painted architectural concrete, 2-tone perforated metal panels);
- Greening of the area is provided with a hedge and row of trees along the lane's south side and planting areas at its east and west ends;
- Pedestrian lighting is provided, including wall mounted fixtures and ground embedded lighting along the edge of the lane's designated pedestrian walkway; and
- Special uses are provided to help activate the lane, increase casual surveillance, and provide an amenity for the building's tenants, including a car/bike/dog wash and workshop for the use of residents and end-of-trip facilities for commercial tenants.
2.2. Tower Guideline Non-Compliance: The proposed Phase 1 tower departs from CCAP policy regarding maximum tower height within 60 m (197 ft) of Gilbert Road and maximum floorplate size. Nevertheless, staff are supportive of the proposed form of development as follows:
a) Tower Height: The development exceeds the CCAP policy encouraging a maximum typical building height of $25 \mathrm{~m}(82 \mathrm{ft})$ within $60 \mathrm{~m}(197 \mathrm{ft})$ of Gilbert Road. The intent of the policy is to encourage consistent massing along the east and west sides of the street to enhance Gilbert Road's image as a formal processional route into Richmond's downtown. In compliance with the CCAP policy, the subject development proposes a 5 -storey streetwall along Gilbert Road; however, at the north end of the development (i.e. at new River Road) the continuity of the streetwall is
interrupted by the development's proposed tower. Staff support this approach on the basis that:
- The scale and form of the proposed tower is an appropriate design response to the importance of the City Centre's Dinsmore Bridge "gateway";
- The tower will provide a dynamic urban counterpoint to the large trees at the City's adjacent "heritage woodlot"; and
- The proposed massing will not impact neighbouring existing/future developments.
b) Tower Floorplate Size: The development exceeds the CCAP policy encouraging a maximum tower floorplate size of $650 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(6,997 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ for portions of the building greater than $25 \mathrm{~m}(82 \mathrm{ft})$ in height. In contrast, the subject development's proposed tower floorplates are approximately $880 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(9,475 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$. Staff are supportive of the developer's proposal on the basis that:
- Larger tower floorplates allow for a reduced number of towers across Phases 1-3, which in turn will allow for increased tower separation, greater variation in tower height, larger areas of sunny, uninterrupted rooftop amenity space, and less shadowing of new River Road;
- The Phase 1 tower, as a "gateway" feature, should have a distinctive form; and
- The tower's slab form (with its narrow profiles facing north and south) and wide tower spacing increases the extent of uninterrupted views across the subject site (to the mountains) that will be enjoyed by future towers to the south.
2.3. Parking: To ensure that parking is convenient for users while minimizing the impact that parking may have on the appearance of the streetscape and supporting City objectives for alternate travel modes, the developer proposes the following:
a) To minimize the number of required parking spaces by employing Zoning Bylaw parking relaxations in respect to Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures, including the provision of:
- End-of-trip (bike) facilities for the use of commercial tenants;
- Electric vehicle charging stations for $20 \%$ of resident vehicles (i.e. 46 stations), $10 \%$ of commercial tenant vehicles (i.e. 7 stations), and $5 \%$ of bike racks or 1 per compound, whichever is greater (i.e. 16 stations); and
- Temporary sidewalk improvements along the Gilbert Road frontage of the Winter Club (i.e. cash-in-lieu contributed prior to rezoning adoption);
b) To provide three levels of parking that are well designed to meet the needs of users by:
- Providing for the development's commercial and residential visitor parking:
- In one consolidated location on the parkade's mid-level, which is at the grade of the fronting street;
- To have direct pedestrian access to new River Road's shops and services via a mid-block walkway; and
- Set aside a minimum of $50 \%$ of spaces for general public use (secured via legal agreement on title so that they cannot be sold, leased, or otherwise assigned for the sole use of an individual person or business); and
- Designating the top and bottom parking levels solely for the use of residents, accessed via security gates (including a number of private garages that offer direct access to individual townhouse units above); and
c) To minimize the visual impact of the parking by:
- Fully concealing the lowest parking level below the grade of the fronting streets;
- Orienting the parking garage entrance perpendicular to the street and screening it with planting; and
- Sharing the south service lane with future development at the Winter Club site so that it too may be designed to minimize the impact of its parking on the public realm.
2.4. Flood Construction Level: The CCAP encourages forms of development that respond to issues of sea level rise without compromising streetscape amenity, appearance, or accessibility. In light of this, the finished grade of new River Road is planned to be, wherever possible, a minimum elevation of $2.6 \mathrm{~m}(8.5 \mathrm{ft})$ geodetic to make it easier for fronting development to achieve the Ciry Centre's recommended minimum habitable floor level of $2.9 \mathrm{~m}(9.5 \mathrm{ft})$ geodetic. On this basis, the proposed development has been designed to fully comply with the City's Flood Construction Level bylaw and provides for the following:
a) All dwelling units have a minimum habitable floor level of 2.9 m ( 9 ft 6 in ) geodetic and townhouse units are set a maximum of $1.0 \mathrm{~m}(3 \mathrm{ft} 3 \mathrm{in})$ above the grade of the fronting sidewalk;
b) The residential tower lobby and all retail units have a minimum habitable floor level of $0.3 \mathrm{~m}(1 \mathrm{ft})$ above the crown of the fronting street; and
c) All public sidewalks and walkways have a maximum slope of $5 \%$ or less to accommodate wheelchair use.

3. Architectural Form and Character
3.1.Character: The CCAP encourages the City Centre to be developed as a mosaic of distinctive, transit-oriented, urban villages and vibrant public spaces. Moreover, for the Oval Village, the CCAP encourages a character that is contemporary, urban, and complementary to the Richmond Oval. In light of this, the area surrounding the subject site is rapidly developing with large-scale, street-oriented, mixed use and multi-family buildings typically characterized by substantial streetwalls, glassy towers above, and a high amenity, pedestrian-friendly, public realm designed for public art, bikes, transit, walking, and lingering. The developer has responded to this with a design approach that aims for a clean, modern look that is able to retain its relevance and contemporary appeal through attention to detail and a simple, yet sophisticated, composition, including:
a) Simple massing incorporating a large landscaped podium and a single tower without intermediate stepping or terraces, which helps to focus attention on and elongate the appearance of the development's "gateway" tower, while maintaining an overall massing complementary to its neighbours;
b) A restrained palette of colours and materials that uses translucent layers to bring a sense of depth and lightness to the building's appearance; and
c) An expansive landscaped roofscape covering over $75 \%$ of the building's footprint (including landscaped amenity space and intensive green roof areas).
3.2. Materials \& Colour: The subject development uses materials and colour to present a strong, cobesive image that focuses attention on the public realm. Features include:
a) A silver grey palette of painted architectural concrete, vision glass, and spandrel glass layered across the building facades, with darker tones more prevalent at lower levels and lighter tones above;
b) A canted blue-grey curtain wall, designed to contrast with the silver-grey colours used elsewhere, leans out towards the street at the Gilbert/new River Road corner to reinforce the building's "gateway" image;
c) Punches of white and recessed and projecting balconies, including some with "floating" fritted glass guards, add texture and lightness to the upper storeys of the facades;
d) Dark stone and masonry define the retail unit bays, punctuated with brightly coloured frames around the shop entrances, special signage features, and varied setbacks that provide for interesting comer display windows and, al the Pearson Way corner, folding glass doors that allow the retail unil to be opened up to the street for outdoor dining or other uses;
e) Perforated steel cladding on the south façade provides visual interest at the service lane; and
f) Wood and wood-look features are incorporated throughout to provide a sense of warmth, texture, and a link with the development's natural setting, including woodlook entry doors at the townhouse units, wood-look soffits under the projecting balconies, wood-look panels (combined with glass) in the pedestrian weather protection, heavy timber features in the rain garden, and bold wood pulls on the tall glass doors to the residential tower.
4. Landscape Design and Open Space Design
4.1. Tree Replacement: The subject development, as proposed, exceeds the City's $2: 1$ tree replacement requirements set out in the tree replacement plan for Phase 1.
(Attachment 4) The developer has agreed to provide $\$ 1,300$ compensation to the Tree Compensation Fund for the replacement (off-site) of one tree proposed for removal from the Gilbert Road right-of-way.
4.2. Public Streetscape Design: The CCAP encourages the establishment of high-amenity streetscape designs that are pedestrian-friendly, support alternative travel modes, incorporate innovative stormwater management measures, and enhance connections between the emerging urban area and the riverfront.
a) New River Road \& Pearson Way: The proposed development will extend sections of these two streets, both of which have abready been the subject of detailed design via previous Oval Village development applications. in brief, the subject development will provide for the design and construction, at the developer's sole cost, of the following:

- Along the south side of new River Road, a broad sidewalk, an off-street bike path, dual tree-lined boulevards, decorative pedestrian lighting, and feature areas of permeable paving and rain garden-type planting; and
- The full width of Pearson Way including two driving lanes, two parking lanes, a raised intersection at the south service lane, special pavement markings at crosswalks, decorative street lights, $2 \mathrm{~m}\left(6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}\right)$ wide sidewalks, 1.5 m ( 5 fl ) wide boulevards, street trees, and feature areas of permeable paving and rain gardentype planting.
NOTE: As the grade of new River Road is being raised to 2.6 m ( 8 ft 6 in ) geodetic or greater, Pearson Way must slope gently down (at $5 \%$ or less) to meet existing grade at Hollybridge Way. As a result, Phase 1's townhouse patios along Pearson Way, which have a proposed elevation of 2.9 m ( 9 ft 6 in ) geodetic, will be no more than 0.84 m ( 2 ft 9 in ) above the grade of the fronting sidewalk.
b) Gilbert Road Rain Garden: Phase 1's Gilbert Road frontage is proposed to be developed as a linear rain garden incorporating a uniquely Richmond style of riparian landscape. The frontage works will be designed and constructed via the City's Servicing Agreement process (as per RZ 09-506904) to enhance the "gateway" role of Gilbert Road, manage stormwater run-off from the City sidewalk, and contribute towards the establishment of a greenway route between the Middle Arm and the proposed Lansdowne linear park and "art walk". Proposed features along the development's Gilbert Road frontage include:
- A wide walkway measuring $3 \mathrm{~m}(10 \mathrm{ft})$ wide;
- A broad boulevard measuring $4.5 \mathrm{~m}-6.4 \mathrm{~m}(15 \mathrm{ft}-21 \mathrm{ft})$ wide;
- A rain garden (i.e. landscaped swale) within the boulevard, which will be graded as to not exceed the maximum allowed fall height of $0.6 \mathrm{~m}(2 \mathrm{ft})$ and landscaped to provide for an attractive interface with the sidewalk and fronting street incorporating a mix of riparian grasses and shrubs, seating, and related features;
- A curb-height rail, tactile strip, and pedestrian lighting along the edge of the sidewalk, adjacent to the rain garden, for the safety and comfort of the visually impasired and other pedestrians;
- A row of large-growing street trees formally lining the street edge; and
- Within the private realm, fronting townhouse units, a water feature at the residential tower entry (in association with a portion of the development's indoor amenity space), and a complementary palette of planting and related features designed to make the private realm appears as a seamless extension of the public rain garden landscape.
NOTE: Phase l's townhouse patios along Gilbert Road, which have a proposed elevation of $2.9 \mathrm{~m}(9 \mathrm{ft} 6 \mathrm{in})$ geodetic, will be no more than $1.0 \mathrm{~m}(3 \mathrm{ft} 3 \mathrm{in})$ above the grade of the fronting public walkway.
c) Public Art: Preliminary consultation with the City's Public Art Committee confurmed that the subject site occupies a strategic public art location, bookended by an important City Centre "gateway" at its northeast corner and the City Centre Public Art Plan's proposed "art walk" along Lansdowne Road at its southwest. The development's Project-Wide Public Art Plan and draft Phase I Detailed Public Art Plan were reviewed and supported by the Public Art Committee. Prior to Building Permit issuance for Phase 1, the developer will enter into a legal agreement for the development's first public art project, which will be located at the prominent new River Road/Gilbert Road "gateway" corner, secured by a Letter of Credit (the value of which security shall be a minimum of $\$ 133,514$ ).

The developer's second public art project, which will be located along the Lansdowne "art walk" at the southwest comer of the development's third phase, will be implemented with Phases $2 / 3$. The total value of public art proposed for Phases 1 3 will be $\$ 340,891$ or as determined based on the City-approved rates and policy in effect at the time of Building Permit approval, whichever is greater.
4.3. Amenity Space: The subject development proposes a large outdoor amenity space at its podium level, framed on its north and east sides by residential units and, at the tower in its northeast comer, three storeys of indoor amenify space with direct access and/or views out over the landscaped rooftop space. More specifically, the developer proposes:
a) A fully accessible, $2,358 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(25,382 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ outdoor rooftop amenity space, laid out to create a pattern of distinct, but connected, "outdoor rooms" that are well suited to allowing for a variety of active and passive uses to coexist comfortably including:

- Raised garden plots with seating edges, hose bibs, a potting bench, a compost area, and tool storage;
- An open central lawn;
- A children's play area, $186 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,000 \mathrm{ff}^{2}\right)$ in size, complete with climbing equipment on a resilient play surface, a sand play area with an overhead shelter, a hose bib for water play, seating, shade trees, and direct access to the amenity space's central lawn and garden plots;
- Areas for outdoor dining and lounging, barbeque, fire pit, and tai chi; and
- Decorative planting, seating, walkways; and
b) Adjacent to the outdoor amenity area, a $195 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,072 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ indoor amenity space including a banquet/party room with a kitchen, children's indoor playroom, doubleheight sports court area, and change rooms. Note that in addition to this indoor amenity space, which is located on Level 3, the development proposes other indoor amenity spaces and uses including at:
- Level 1 - a meeting area/lounge adjacent to the tower entrance and workshop adjacent to the service lane;
- Level 4 - a fitness/equipment mezzanine overlooking the sports court;
- Level 5 - a multi-purpose family/study/music/games room overlooking the outdoor amenity space.
4.4. Mid-Rise Rooftops: The roofs of the development's two mid-rise wings are treated as extensive green roofs (i.e. not accessible to residents). Stairs are provided to these areas for maintenance purposes only. Given that the size of the outdoor amenity space proposed for the development's podium level satisfies both OCP and CCAP requirements (i.e. an additional $10 \%$ of net site area), staff are agreeable to the developer's proposal that the mid-rise rooftops are not developed as accessible areas.


## 5. Accessible Housing:

Richmond's OCP seeks to meet the needs of the city's aging population and people facing mobility and related challenges by encouraging the development of accessible housing that can be approached, entered, used, and occupied by persons with physical or sensory disabilities. To address the City's policy, the developer proposes barrier-free access to Phase I's commercial units and associated ground-floor public parking, the residential tower lobby,
and all indoor and outdoor amenity spaces. In addition, the developer proposes, and staff support, the following:
5.1. Basic Universal Housing Units: $8 \%$ of units (17) shall be designed and constructed to satisfy Richmond Zoning Bylaw provisions for Basic Universal Housing (which units are indicated on the DP drawings). On this basis, as per section 4.6 of the Zoning Bylaw, a total of $1.86 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(20 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per Basic Universal Housing unit shall be eligible to be exempted from the development's maximum floor area ratio calculation (i.e. combined total of $31.62 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 340.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ).
5.2. Aging in Place: $100 \%$ of units will be designed and built so that some accessibility features are provided now and others can be added easily and inexpensively after construction. These aging in place features include stairwell handrails, lever-type handles for all plumbing fixtures and door handles, and solid blocking in washroom walls for future grab bars beside toilets, bathtubs, and showers.
5.3. Future Phases 2-3: All future phases of "River Park Place" will be designed and constructed to the OCP standards in effect at the time of DP approval.
6. Crime Prevention through Environmental Desion (CPTED)

A variety of measures are proposed to minimize safety and security issues including, but not limited to, the following:
6.1. The development's site planning and design incorporates opportunities for passive surveillance of street frontages, including the south service lane/walkway;
6.2. Outdoor amenity spaces will be visually open, well illuminated, offer multiple access/egress options;
6.3. The designs of the parking structure and residential lobby minimize alcoves and hidden comers and provide for open views to the building lobby, mailroom access, and elevator core from fronting streets and internal pedestrian areas;
6.4. Within the parkade:
a) All spaces will be well illuminated;
b) A white band, at least $1.2 \mathrm{~m}(4 \mathrm{ft})$ bigh, will be painted mid-way up all walls and columns to ensure high visibility and light reflectance;
c) Yellow painted stripes will separate vehicle travel lanes from pedestrians areas and identify pedestrian routes to the elevator core;
d) Overhead security gates will separate resident parking from visitor/public parking; and
e) Glazing will be provided in the parkade elevator lobby and vision panels will be provided in doors leading to publicly accessible areas;
6.5. Pedestrian scale lighting will be provided along main pedestrian routes around the site, including at townhouse entries and the south service lane/walkway.

## 7. Sustainability Measures:

The subject development is being planned and designed to provide for a cost-effective, highvalue development that meets or exceeds City standards (i.e. LEED Silver "equivalency"). (Attachment 5) The strategies being pursued have been developed via an integrated design approach, including the development of a conceptual energy model to determine site-specific 'architectural, electrical, and mechanical energy conservation features. Measures proposed include, but are not limited to, the following:
7.1. The building will be District Energy Utility (DEU) "ready", such that the development will be capable of connecting to a City DEU system when one comes available;
7.2. A large rain garden and related rainwater management features will be installed along the site's entire Gilbert Road frontage and other innovative stormwater management measures (e.g., permeable paving ) will be installed along new River Road and Pearson Way;
7.3. Transportation features are proposed to encourage the use of alternate travel modes, including:

- Local transit, cycling, and pedestrian area upgrades designed to increase network connectivity (e.g., bike paths, greenways, street furnishings, transit shelter funding);
- Bike storage for residents, visitors, and commercial tenants and end-of-trip facilities;
- Convenient on-site delivery/passenger loading in the parkade; and
- Electric vehicle charging stations for cars and bikes for residents and commercial tenants;
7.4. Water-efficient design aimed at minimizing the use of potable water through the use of low-flow plumbing fixtures and water efficient irrigation;
7.5. $36 \%$ of the net site is proposed to be a combination of intensive and extensive green roofs, vegetated outdoor areas, and urban agriculture plots; and
7.6. Various energy efficient and responsibly-sourced items (e.g., Energy Star appliances, low VOC materials).


## Conclusions

The subject development is consistent with Richmond's objectives for the subject property and the Oval Village, as set out in the City Centre Area Plan (CCAP). The proposed project's massing, coordinated architectural and landscape design approaches, and attention to detail will contribute to its livability and the emergence of the surrounding area as a high-amenity, high-quality urban community. On this basis, staff support the proposed development and recommend approval of a Development Permit.

## Suzanne Gater.tuffiman.

Suzanne Carter-Huffman
Senior Planner/Urban Design
SPC:cas
Attachments:

1. Development Application Data Sheet
2. Specific Land Use Map: Oval Village (2031)
3. Excerpt from The Minutes of the Advisory Design Panel Meeting, November 21, 2012
4. Tree Replacement Plan
5. LEED (Silver "Equivalency") Checklist
6. Conditional Development Permit Requirements (Signed copy on file)

## City of Richmond

## Development Application Data Sheet

Development Applications Division

## DP 12-617639

Address: 5440 Hollybridge Way for the first phase of a three (3) phase development
Applicant: Hollybridge Limited Partnership Owner: Hollybridge Limited Partnership
Planning Area(s): City Centre (Oval Village)
Floor Area Gross: $19,390 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(208,719 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ Floor Area Net: $16,536 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(178,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Area | $20,524.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, including future subdivided lots \& road as per RZ 09-506904: <br> - Phase 1 (Lot 1): 6,824.3 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ <br> - Phases $2 / 3$ (Lot 2): $9,837.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> - Pearson Way dedication: $3,862.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | - Phase 1 (Lot 1): $6,824.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(73,458 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ |
| Land Uses | - Warehouse \& office | - High-rise residential \& ground-floor commercial |
| OCP Designation | - Mixed Use | - No change |
| City Centre Area Plan Designation | - Urban Centre T5 (45 m) \& ( 25 m ) <br> - Village Centre Bonus | - No change |
| Zoning | - Residential/Limited Commercial (RCL3), including provisions for increased residential density in respect to the developer's dedication of a minimum specified area of non-DCC road | - No change |
| Net Floor Area $@$ Phase 1 | - N/A | - Residential: $15,384.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(165,600 \mathrm{tr}^{2}\right)$ <br> - Commercial: $1,152.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(12,400 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ <br> - Total: $16,536.20 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(178,000 \mathrm{tt}^{2}\right)$ |
| Number of Units <br> @ Phase 1 | - N/A | - 219 |


|  | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR) (Max): | For Lots $1 \& 2$ combined: <br> - Residential: 2.463 FAR <br> - Non-residential: $3,608.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | For Phase 1 on Lot 1 alone: <br> - Residential: 2.254 FAR <br> - Non-residential: $1,152.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | None permitted |
| Lot Size (Min): | - $4,000.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | - Phase 1 (Lot 1): 6,824.3 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | None |
| Lot Coverage (Max): | - Max. $90 \%$ | - 77\% | None |
| Setback @ Stree! (Min): | - Min. 6.0 m , EXCEPT may be reduced 403.0 m based on a proper interface with the street | - 3.0 mmin . | None |
| Setback @ Interior Side Yard (Min): | - Nil | - Below-grade parking: 5.2 m <br> - Elsewhere: 6.4 m | None |
| Height (Max): | - Max. 47.0 m geodetic | - Max. 47.0 m geodetic | None |

Bylaw Requirement
Proposed
Variance

|  | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flood Construction Level (FCL) | - 2.9 m geodetic, EXCEPT may be reduced to 0.3 m above the crown of the fronting street | - Dwellings: 2.9 m geodetic <br> - Residential lobby \& commercial uses: 0.3 m above the crown of the fronting street | None |
| Off-Street Parking <br> - Residential (Min): | - Residents: <br> 1.2/unit LESS 10\% TDM = 237 <br> - Commercial (shared w. visitors): $4.2 / 100 \mathrm{~m} 2$ LESS $10 \%$ TDM $=44$ <br> - TOTAL: 281 | - Residents: 245 <br> - 193 units ( $88 \%$ ) @1/unit <br> - 26 units ( $12 \%$ ) @ $2 /$ unit <br> - Commercial \& visitors: 65 <br> - 22 assigned spaces <br> - 43 "public" spaces secured via legal agreement on title, including: <br> - 24 regular ( $58 \%$ ) <br> - 17 small cars ( $40 \%$ ) <br> - 2 accessible ( $2 \%$ ) <br> - TOTAL: 310 | None |
| Off-Street Parking <br> - Small Cars: | - $50 \% \max$ (i.e. 155 max permitted based on 310 spaces provided) | - 68 proposed | None |
| Off-Street Parking <br> - Accessible: | - $2 \%$ of min required parking (i.e. 6 spaces) | - 6 proposed | None |
| Off-Street Parking <br> - Tandem Spaces | - Permitted for residential use provided that each tandem pair is assigned to a single unit (Legal agreement registered on title) | - 14 tandem pairs (28 spaces) | None |
| Bike Parking Class 1 (Min) | - Residential: 1.25 /unit $=274$ <br> - Commercial: $0.27 / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ over $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=3$ | - Residential: 290 (14 rooms) <br> - Commercial: 12 (1 compound) | None |
| Bike Parking Class 2 (Min) | - Residential: 0.2/unit $=44$ <br> - Commercial: $0.40 / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ over $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=5$ | - 10 bikes min near tower entry <br> - 39 bikes min grouped as a "corral" @ near the north end of Pearson Way | None |
| Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Facilities (Min) | Rates as per RZ 09-506904: <br> - Residents' cars: $20 \%$ (46) <br> - Commercial cars: $10 \%$ (7) <br> - Bikes (Class 1): $5 \%(16)$ | - Residents' cars: 46 <br> - Commercial cars: 7 <br> - Bikes (Class 1): 16 | None |
| Accessible Housing | - Measures are encouraged to address the needs of Richmond's aging population \& people with impaired mobility | - Basic Universal Housing: 8\% (17 units) <br> - Aging in Place Features:100\% | None |
| Amenity Space Indoor (Min): | - $2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{unit}=400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | - $456 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | None |
| Amenity Space Outdoor (Min): | - OCP @ $6 \mathrm{~m} 2 /$ unit: $1,314 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> - CCAP @ $10 \%$ net site: $682 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> - Total: $1,996 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | - $2,358 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | None |
| LEED | - CCAP: Silver "equivalency" | - Silver "equivalency" | None |
| District Energy Utility (DEU) | - Provision for DEU hook-up | - DEU-ready, secured via a legal agreement on title | None |
| Green Roofs | - Encouraged | - $36 \%$ of net site is green roofs \& rooftop garden plots \& planting | None |

## Specific Land Use Map: Oval Village (2031)



# Excerpt from the Minutes of the Advisory Design Panel Meeting 

November 21, 2012

# 2. DP 12-617639 - 16,577 M2 MIXED-USE, HIGH-RISE DEVELOPMENT INCLUDING 1,118 M² PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED COMMERCIAL AND 220 MARKET DWELLINGS 

APPLICANT: Hollybridge Limited Partnership (Intracorp)
PROPERTY LOCATION: 5440 Hollybridge Way

## Comments from the Panel were as follows:

- no concern from CPTED perspective;
- consider further development to the rain garden edges [along the Gilbery Road fromage 10] ensure safety of pedestrians walking on the sidewalk adjacent to the rain garden;

The City bonlevard along Gilbert Road will be landscaped with a combination of streer trees and "rain garden" (landscaped swale), which will be designed and constructed via the City's Servicing Agreement process to manage stormwater run-off from the public sidewalk. The edge of the sidewalk will incorporate a curb-height rail and tactile strip for the safety and comfort of the visually impaired and other pedestrians. The swale will be graded as to not exceed the maximum allowed fall height of 0.6 m and planted to provide for an attractive interface with the sidewalk and fronting street.

- appreciate the changes made to the project which successfully addressed the concerns of the Panel in the previous presentation by the applicant;
- the glass tower feature at the comer of Gilbert Road and River Road makes a very strong statement and provides a strong identity to the project; the project will create a precedent in the urban neighbourhood;
- understand that the present treatment of the plaza is not intended for a large public gathering place;
- appreciate the work done on the retail side along River Road; appears to be more alive and has more waimth and texture than the version previously presented to the Panel;
- building appears to be very slick, classy and contemporary; however, consider using more wood elements, e.g. wood-looking soffit in the retail side;

In uddition to using a combination of glass and wood-look panels for new River Road's retuil canopies, the same wood-look material is proposed for the underside of projecting elements across the development, including the townhouses' bay windows along the Gilbert Road and Pearson Way frontages and projecting balconies on the Gilbert Road and new River Road facatles.

- retail and residential sides need to be treated differently; retail side needs to be more civic and should have more "texture" to make it feel like a public place; residential side needs further resolution to make it more private;

Along the retail frontages, "texture" will be provided via a combination of canopy detailing, hanging signage armatures and sign bands, stone piers that divide the display windows into regular bays, and colourful bands framing the shop entrances. These elements, together with new River Road's wide sidewalk, off-street bike path, dual tree-lined boulevards, and furnishings will make this important "high street" frontage welcoming, comfortable, and visually interesting.

Along the residential frontages, a sense of privacy and intimacy will be created through a combination of individual entry gates with stone address piers and accent panels, hedges and
decorative planting defining the units' raised terraces, and projecting window bays and wood-look front doors at the unit entries. The proposed change in grade, layering of elentents, and natural materials will provide for an attractive, residential-scale environment that complements, yet appears distinct from, the character of the development's retail frontage.

- project is well resolved; applicant has given a lot of attention to the retail side on River Road; River Road frontage has its own distinct identity while complementing the identity of the overall project;
- podium rooftop is well resolved, functional and simple; the space has good sun exposure; there is good indoor-outdoor relationship on the podium roof;
- understand that the Gilbert Road frontage (i.e., the plaza, public art and location of the rain garden) is still evolving; there is opportunity to incorporate a finer scale materiality or public art into the rain garden; consider also introducing some narrative in the rain garden;
The Gilbert Road frontage is part of an important greenway linking the Middle Arm and proposed Lansdowne linear park and, among other things, is intended to provide for innovative stormwater management measures and public art celebrating the City Centre's prominent Dinsmore Bridge "gatew'ay". In light of this:
i. The rain garden design proposes a mix of indigenous vegetation reflective of the area's riparian location, complemented by furnishing and features of weathered steel, heavy timber, and shotblasted concrete - complementary to the "heritage landscape" and related park improvements being implemented (by ASPAC) north of the subject site;
ii. Private frontage elements are proposed to complement the rain garten, including a dramatic indoor/outdoor water feature at the residential tower lobby and riparian planting along the townhouse frontages;
iii. Artist(s) involved in the development's public art will be encouraged to incorporate a "narrative" quality in their work to engage the public in the space, the architecture, and the area; and
iv. Opportunities to incorporate a "finer scale materiality" will be further investigated through the detailed design of the rain garden streetscape and pablic art, which will be addressed to the satisfaction of the City via Richmoutl's standard Servicing Agreement and Public Art processes.
- model shows that the rain garden is a prominent feature along the Gilbert Road frontage and is enhanced by the twin walkways framing it; if the rain garden is moved closer to the street edge so that it is no longer framed, the streetscape design must become more rigorous; to enhance the special riparian qualities of the rain garden, consider relaxing the City's regular street tree planting pattern along Gilbert Road (e.g. vary tree species; group trees in clumps) and making the rain garden bigger;

The Gilbert Road landscape has been designed to celebrate the street's special roles as both a City Centre "gateway" and greenway linking the river and future Lansdowne lincar park. As such, rather than the city's typical 1.5 m ( 5 ft) wide grass boulevard and 2 m ( 6 ft) wide sidewalk, the proposed design for Gilbert Road includes a:
i. Broad walkway measuring 3 m (10 fi) wide;
ii. Broud boulevard measuring $4.5 m-6.4 m(15 f t-21$ ft) wide;
iii. A rain garden within the boulevard, including riparian grasses and shrubs, seating, and related features; and
iv. A row of large-growing street trees that will formally line the street.

Together, these features will provide for a unique and attractive streetscape that speaks to Richmond's distinct herituge and emerging urban character.

- appreciate the recent improvements in the public cealm and the streetscape;
- the project is setting a standard for other developments along Lansdowne; the prominent location of the project in the Oval Village requires serious and careful treatment of the public realm and streetscape;
The public realm and streetscape hrove been carefully considered in regard to materiality, scale, and character to ensure a rich and comfortable experience for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. Key features include the development's:
i. Tower, which is situated and sculpted to provide a prominent "gateway" feature at the intersection of new River Road and Gilbert Road, complemented by the design of the latter's "rain garden" landscape;
ii. Massing, which is designed to maximize sun to public space along the new River Road" "high street" and on-site, rooftop open spaces;
iii. Residential and retail frontages that provide for visual interest and a high-quality, high-antenity pedestrian environment; ast'
iv. Palette of natural moterials and muted, natural colours, comprised of native planting, heavy timber, steel, and sand blasted concrete, that complement its location near the river and the adjacent "heritage Iandscape" (at the City-ownetl park al 6900 River Road).
- previous drawings show very little change in the building but a lot more details have been added; the character of the building came out with the details which was not present in the previous presentation to the Panel;
- the revised treatment at the corner of Gilbert Road and River Road is successful;
- the glass tower feature at the corner of Gilbert Road and River Road announcing the entry to Richmond works well;
- exterior finishes are quite good with an overall sophisticated and urban feel; however, they seem to lack tactility; consider introducing wood elements to reflect the Richmond character;
As described above, wood-look soffits and canopy details are incorporated across the development's street facades, townhouses will feature woollook entry doors, and heavy timbers have been incorporated into the proposed design of the rain garden. In addition, the entry to the residential tower will feature tall glass panels with bold wood door pulls and wood will feature int the development's on-site landscape furnishings and structures.
- finishes are a bit drab; need to be differentiated from neighbouring developments.

The development's finishes ard colours have been chosen to give the building a clean, contemporary appearance and timeless duality. The proposed silver-grey metal and glass panel cladding have been selected to contrast with the building's canted blue-grey curtain wall - its "signature" feature - and the dark stone and masonry at its base; while perforated steel cladding, fritted floating glass balcony panels, and punches of white are used to add lightness, texture, and rhythm across the facades. Overall, the development's stylistic approach is restrairted, but well considered, and successfully uses malerial, colour, and layering 10 make a bold, sophisticated archifectural stalement.

## Panel Decision

It was moved and seconded
That DP 12-617639 be supported to move forward to the Development Permit Panel subject to the applicant giving consideration to the comments of the Panel.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Bylaw-Size } \\ \text { Trees } \\ 20 \mathrm{~cm} \text { DBH min } \end{gathered}$ | Existing <br> Trees | \# Trees Removed | Replacement Trees |  | Implementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - On-Site (Deciduous) | 11 | 11 | 22 | Min caliper: <br> 4 @ 6 cm <br> 14 @ 9 cm <br> 4 @ 10 cm | Replacement: <br> - $100 \%$ of replacement trees will be planted on Lot $1 /$ Phase 1 \& secured via the landscape bond for DP 12-617639 <br> Tree Protection: <br> - Lot 1 None required <br> - Lot 2 @ Parking Lot \& Side Yard: None required <br> - Lot 2 @ Hollybridqe Way frontaqe: Tree protection must: <br> - Be installed for all trees in the existing landscape strip <br> - Remain until the developer enters into a Servicing Agreement for construction of hollybridge Way (i.e. SA \#2) |
| - On-Site (Coniferous) | 12 | 12 | 24 | Min, height: <br> 2 @ 4 m <br> 8 (a) 5 m <br> 6 @ 5.5 m <br> 8 @ 6 m |  |
| - On-Site (Cedar hedge) | +/-57 | +/-57 | 57 | Evergreen hedge (1.2 m max height) | Replacement: <br> - Lol 1: The portion of the hedge along the south property line of Lot 1 will be replaced on Lot 1/Phase 1 (along the same property line), secured via the landscape bond for DP 12-617639 <br> - Pearson Road: The portion of the hedge along the south property line of Pearson Road will be replaced along the same property line via the Servicing Agreement for construction of Pearson Way (i.e. SA \#2) <br> Tree Protection: <br> - Lot 1 None required <br> - Pearson Way: Tree protection must: <br> - Be installed for all trees along the south property line of proposed Pearson Way <br> - Remain until the developer enters into a Servicing Agreement for construction of Pearson Way (i.e. SA \#2) |
| - Off-Site (Gilbert Road) | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\$ 650$ per replacement tree | Compensation: <br> - Tree Compensation Fund: Prior to DP 12-617639 issuance, the developer will make a voluntary contribution of $\$ 1,300$ |
| Total | 81 | 80 | $\begin{gathered} 103 \text { PLUS } \\ \text { cash-in-lieu for } 2 \text { trees } \end{gathered}$ |  | - |

Attachment 4
Tree Replacement Plan

## ENERMODAL

## ENGINEERING

A member of
AN. MMMGJOUP


| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | CuSTASAELE SIIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . |  |  |  | Ssp1 Construction Activity Pollution Prevention |
| 1 |  |  |  | SScl Site Selaction |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | SSc4.1 Alteroative Transportotion: Public Transportation Access |
| 4 |  |  |  | SSc4.2 Afemative Transponation: 8lcycto 5corign \& Changing Roours |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  | SSc4.4 Allemative Transportation: Parking Capoctity |
|  | 1 |  |  | S5c5.1 Site Development: Protect or Restore Habilat |
|  | 1 |  |  | 55c5-2 Sile Develodment Maximize Open Space |
|  |  | 1 |  | SSc6.1 Stormwater Design: Quantity Comtrol |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | SSc7.1 Hent isiand Efrect Hom-Roof |
| - |  |  |  | Ssc7, 2 Heal istand Elfecr, Roos |
| 1 |  |  |  | Ssce Ligin Pollurion Roduction |





## ENERMODAL

ENGINEERING
A nuember of
Ahll mmanceoup




| 4 | 2. | 1 | PRCIOMAL TiPOMP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | RPc1 Durable Building |
| 1 |  |  | RPC2 Regional Priorty |
|  | 1 |  | RPc2 Renional Pilority |
|  | 1 |  | RPC2 Regolonal Prority |
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Development Permit Considerations<br>Development Applications Division B911 lifo. 3 Roas. Richmonol, BC VGY 201

Address: 5440 Hollybridge Way
File No.: DP 12-617639
Prior to approral of the Developraent Permit, the developer is required to complete dre folloming:

1. Rezoning. Final adoption of the Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 8879 (RZ 09-506904), including the developer entering into Servicing Agreement 点 1 for 5440 Hollybridge Way (SA 12-622948) , secured by a Letter of Credit to the satisfaction of the City.
2. 'No Development": Compliance with the terms of the restrictive covenant(s) registered on title prior to sezoning adoption securing that "no development" with be pernitted and restricting Development Permit* issuance in respect to any portion of the snbject development until conditions are satisfied for the folloviug: as detemined to the satisfaction of the City:
a. Pearson Frap, requiring that the developer enters into a restrictive covensat, registered on title, securing that "no brildiag" will be pernitted ou any portion of 5440 Hollybridge Way and restricting Building Permit* issuance until the developer dedicates Pearson Way, subdivides 5440 Hollybridge Way to create Lots 1 and 2, and registers restrictive covenant(s) lioniting driveway crossings along Pearson Way,
b. District Energy. Witity ( $D E(7$ ), requiriog that the developer enters into a restrictive covenant in respect to Lot 1/Pbase 1, registered on title, securing that "no building" will be perazitted on Lat 1 and restricting Building Peravif* issuance for Phase 1 until the developer enters into a DEU agreement for on a DP-by-DP basis (the form of which agneement is to be agreed to prior to rezoning adoption) as determined to the satisfaction of the City,
c. Herifage Landscape \& Park, xequing that ibe developer submits a development impact assessoment report on a DP-by-DP basis in respect to the City-swned park and heritagedesignated property at 6900 River Road prepared by a registered professional, together with proof of contractual arrangements for ongoing monitoring and preparation of a reassessment report at the conclusion of construction, and the developer's conmatment to the implementation of amy necessary mitigation'compensation, to the satisfaction of the City.
d. Vews Blocknge \& Other Potential Development Impacts, requiring that the developer anbmits a development assessment report on a DP-by-DP basis, prepared by a registered professional, to the satisfaction of the City,
e. Aircmef Noise Sensinive Use, requiring among other things that the developer submits a development assessment report on a DP-by-DP basis, prepared by a registered professional, to the satisfaction of the City,
f. Industrial Connmercial Noise Sensitive Use, requiring among other things that the developer submits a development assessmenz report on a DP-by-DP basis, prepared by a registered professional, to the satisfaction of the City;
g. Commercinl "Public" Parlaing, requiring that the developer indicates the required parking on the DP draivings on a DP-by-DP basis, incleding the registration of final plans on fitle, to the satisfaction of the City, prior to Final Building Permit* Lssuance Grating Occupancy.
b. Plating, requiring that Lot 1 (i.e. the subject Pbase 1 development) proceeds first; and
i. Affordable Honsing (no requirements are applicable at Phase 1).
3. "No BuildNa Occurancy": Registration of a restrictive covenant(s) on tiile securing that "no building" will be permited and restricting Building Perosit* issuance in respect to ally portion of 5440 Hollybridge Way uatil the following is coniplete, as determined to the satisfaction of the City:
a. Site Conditions: Registration of restrictive covenant $(s)$ andior alternative legal agrements as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s)*: Development Permit*, andior Building Pemitt(s)* to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering, Director of Dewelopment, and Director of Transportation including, but noi limited to. site investigatiol testing monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, dilling, tuderpinning, anchoring shoring, piling, pre-loading ground densification and/or other activities that may result in settement, displacements subsidence, damage andior nuisance to City andior pryvate utility infrastrucrure.
b. Electric Vehicle (EV) Car \& Bike Charging Stations: Registration of a restrictive covenant(s) andior altemative legal agreement(s), to the satisfaction of the City, securing the number and location of electric rebicle (EV) car and bike charging statious that shall be provided by the developer for the use of the tedants of Phase 1 , to the satisfaction of the City: including, but not limited to, equipping a minimum of Phase 1 's total parking as follows:
i For residential terans: 20\% of residential parking spaces shall be equipped with electrical service, at 120 V and or 240 V as determined by the developer:
ii. For nom-residentinl tenants: $10 \%$ of non-residential parking spaces shall be equipped with 240 V service; and
iii. Sikes: Each bike compond (each of which chall proride storage for a maxinum of 40 bikes as per the Zoning Bylaw) silall be equipped with one (1) 120V electric plug-in for the shared use of tenants.

NOTE \#1: The developer's proxision of EV charging stations shall be taken into account as part of a suite of Transportation Demand Management (TDMI) measures for which the applicant shall be eligible for parking relaxations for Phase 1 to a maximutn of 10\%, as provided for ria the Zoning Bylaw.

NOTE E2: Prelimiuary plans indicating the intended location of EV clarging stations shall be inchuded in the Development Permit* drawings in respect to DP 12-617639. Fizal plans, to the satisfaction of the City, shall be registered on title prior to Final Building Penmit* Issuance Granting Occupancy.
4. Landscape Bond: Receipt of a Letter of Credit for landscaping of all on-site areas, the construction of which is not otheswise secured via a Servicing Agnezment (e.g., residential
amenity space, green roofe, yand setbacks), the value of which is based on $100 \%$ of the sealed cost estimate provided by the Laudscape Architect (including labour and $10 \%$ contingency).

NOTE +1: The value of the landscape boand will be specified in the Development Pemut.
5. Tree Replacement: City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluatarily contribute $\$ 1,300$ to the Cify's Tree Compensation Fund for the plantiag of replacement trees within the City.

NOTE A1: Replacemenf Trees: The tree replacement plam, as detemined wia RZ 09-506904, recioires 103 replacement trees for the removal of on-site trees (inchuding a mix of decichous, coniferous, and evergreen hedge). The portion of the hedge located along the proposed Pearson Wiay right-of-way bill be replaced by the developer as part of the Servicing Agreenent for the construction of that new road ( $\mathrm{SN}, \mathrm{H}$ ) ) The remainder of the bedge and all the other trees will be replaced on Lot 1 via DP 12-617639, secured via the DP landscape: boad. (Confirmation must be provided on the DP landscape drawings that the required replacement trees have been satisfactorily accommodated.)
NOTE 2: Cash-ir-Liew: At rezoning stage, the City tree in the Gilbent Rasd right-of-way was indentified for retention; however, wia the DP process it has beea deternined that this is not possible. Cish-in-heu compensation to the Tree Compensation Fund is, thes, applicable and has been estinated at $\$ 1,300$ based on a replacement tree ratio of $I=1$ and a replacentent tree walue of $\$ 650$ per tree.
6. Arborist Senvices: Subuission of a Contract entered into berween the applicant and a Certiffed Arbarist for supersision of any on-site works concucted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken. incloding: the proposed maber of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to sulmit a post-construction assessment report to the Ciry fer review.
7. Tree Protection: Installation of appropriate tree profection feacing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including buitding demolition, occurring on-site.

NOTE ${ }^{4} 1$ : Tree protection must be installed prior to the issuance of DP 12-617639. including:
3) Lot 2 (athollibridge Frar frontage: Tree protection mast be installed for all trees in the existing landscape strip west of the parking lot, and must remain in place until ture developer eaters into a Servicing Agreemear for the construction of Hollybridge Way (i.e. SA Hí); and
b) Pearson Tran: Tree protection must be installed for all trees (hedge) along the south property line of proposed Pearson Way, and must renain in place nutil the developer enters into a Servicing Agreement for the construction of Pearson Way (i.e. S.A \#2).
Elsewhere on 5440 Hollybridge Way, the developer is permitted to remove trees following the issuance of DP 12-617639.
8. Construction Parking and Trafuc Mansgement Plan: Submission of an Lipdated Construction Parking and Trafic Mamagenteat Plan to the Transportation Division, together with updated/revised information, as defennined wia the Pbase 1 DP review and approval processes. Management Plan shall include locations for parking for senvices, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manlal for prorks on Roadrays (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic

Regolation Section 01570, and must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the City that access to the Richmond Oval will be unintermpted.

Prior to Building Permit* Issuance, the developer sust complete the following requirements:

1. "No Build": Compliance wifh the terms of the restrictive covenant(s) registered on titte prior to DP* isiarace secariug that "no building" will be permitted and restricting Building Pemit" issuance in respect to acy portion of the subject developarent until conditions are satisfied for the following, as determined to the satisfaction of the City.
a. Distriat Energy Cthitity (DEL') - Pitase 1, reqriring that the developer enters into a. DEU agreement for Phase 1 (the form of which agreement was agreed to prior to rezoning adoption):
b. Public Art - Phase 1, requiring that the developer enters into a Public Art agreement, secured vis a Letter of Credit, for the implementation of the Pbase 1 Public Ast Plan; and
c. Senting , Agreement $(S, 1) * \#$, requiring that the developer enters into a $S A^{*}$, secured via Letter of Ciedit, for the design and construction of road and related improvements along Pearson Way, Hollybridge Way, River Road, and Gibert Road, as determined via RZ 09. 506904 and secured vis legal agreement(s) registered on fitle. Note that among other things, $\mathrm{SA}^{*}$ 社 2 mast include:
i. Hedge: The installation of an evergreen bedge along the south side of Pearson Way (along the property line of 5540 Hollybridge Way Wiater Club) from the east boundary of Lot 1 to Hollybridge. Way, to the satisfaction of the Senior Manager, Parks, which hedge shall:

- be coordinated with hedge planting along the south propenty line of Lor 1 , which stall be provided for wia DP 12-617639 and secured by the DP landscape bond;
- together with hedge planting on Lot 1, ixclude minimom of 57 trees or as otherwise determined to the satisfaction of the Senior Manager, Parks;
- not exceed 1.2 m in beight; and
- following the SA one-year naintensnce period, the City shall maintain the hedge in coordiaation with the operator(s) of the Winter Chub; and
ii. Rnim Garden: The installation of stormwater management measures within the boulevard aloug Lot I's entire Gilber Road frontage, to the satisfaction of the Senior Manager, Parks, Manager, Environmental Sustamabidity, Director of Development, and Director of Engineering.
NOTE: The developer must submit a report and recommendations prepared by a registered professional that, to the satisfaction of the Semior Manager, Parts, Managery Environmental Sustainability: Director of Development, and Director of Engineering: sets ont the measures that will be incorporated in the design and construction of Lot 1's Gitbert Road rain garden in order that it can effectively replaceiretain the stormwater mamagement vahue of the swales originally located along the subject site's River Road and Gifbert Road frontages that will be lost as a result of the proposed development. (Note that the City's Environmental Sustainability Division has determined, in consultation with the Deparment of

Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), that while the existing surales have recognized stomwater managenent value, the City shall not treat them as Riparian Managemeat Areas.)
d. Sits Conditions, requiring that the developer satisfies conditions, as required, as per the covenant registered prior to DP issmance;
e. Electric Velnicle (EV) Car \& Bike Charging Stations, requiring that the developer satisfies condirions, as per the corenane registered prior to DP isstance, inchuding the regiztration of final plans on title to the satisfaction of the City, prior to Final Building Perait* Isswance Granting Occupancy, and
f Commercial "Priblic" Parling, requining that the developer satisfies conditions, as per the cavenant registered prior to rezoning adoption. incheding the registration of final plans on tille, to the satisfaction of the City, prior to Final Building Pemoit* Issuance Grautiag. Occupancy.
2. Remuired Development Features: Incorporate measares in Building Permit* (BP) plans imeluding but not limited to, festures required to address the following to the satisfaction of the City as deferained vis the Rezoning* andior Development Penmit* processes and confinmed by Lenters of Assurance provided by Registered Professiouals indicating that the building has been designed to incorporate the proposed features (which Registered Professicnals shall submit additional Letters of Assurance prior to final Building Permit* inspection confimwing that the features bave been appropiately installed):
a. Accessibility;
b. Aircraft Noise Sensifive Uses;
c. Industrial Commercinl Noise Sensihive Lsess;
d. Fien: Blochage \& Other Potential Development Impacts;
g. Aircraft Noise Sensitive Use;
e. IndustrinuCommercial Noise Sensitive L'se:
$£$ Hertage Landscape \& Park;
g. Public Parking:

I Electric Fehicle (Ev) Car (Residential \& Commercinl) \& Bike Charging Stations;
i. End-of-Irip Facility;
i. Site-Specific Susiainability Measures, including but not limited to, District Energy Utility (DEU), LEED (silver "equivalency"). and green roof'wall features.
3. Latecomer Charges: If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges associated with eligible latecomer works.
4. Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan: Submit an Updated Construction Parbing and Traffic Mansgement Plam to the Transportation Division, together with updated/revised information as derermined via the Phase 1 DP review and approval processes. Management Plan shall include locations for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures. and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manwal for
works on Roadways (by Minisny of Transportation) and MEMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570 , and nust demonstrate to the sarisfaction of the City that access to the Richmond Oval will be nnintermpled.
5. Construction Hoarding: Obtain a Building Permit* (BP) for any construction hoarding. If constraction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees nay be required as part of the Building Permif*. For additional information, contact the Building Approcals Division at 604-276-4285.

## Note:

* Iiems marked with an asterisk (*) require a separate applicanion.
- Where the Director of Developneat deents appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner bur aiso as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
- All agremeuts to be registered in the Land Title Office sladl bave priority over all such liens, charges and excumbrances as is considered advisable by the Divector of Developmean. At agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, noless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Titile Office prior to ensctment of the appropriate bylaw.
- The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnifies, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withlolding permits, as deemed necessary or adrisable by the Director of Development. All agrements sball be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Devetopmeat.
- Additional legal agreements, as detennined ria the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), andior Building Peruait(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation. testiag, monitring site preparation de-watering drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring: piling, pre-loading, ground densification or ather activitres that may resnlt in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.

Signed copy ou fule

No. DP 12-617639

| To the Hoider: | Hollybridge Limited Partnership |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | 5440 Hollybridge Way |
| Address: | $900-666$ Burrard Street,  <br>  Vancouver, BC V6C 2X8 |

1. This Development Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the City applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Development Permit applies to and only to those lands shown cross-hatched on the attached Schedule "A" and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
3. Subject to Section 692 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C.: buildings and structures; off-street parking aud loading facilities; roads and parking areas; and landscaping and screening sha!l be constructed generally in accordance with Plans \#1 to \#16E attached hereto.
4. Sanitary sewers, water, drainage, highways, street lighting, underground wiring, and sidewalks, shall be provided as required.
5. As a condition of the issuance of this Permit, the City is holding the security in the amount of $\$ 828,357.38$ to ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the lerms and conditions of this Permit. Should any interest be earned upon the security, it shall accrue to the Holder if the security is returned. The condition of the posting of the security is that should the Holder fail to carry out the development hereby authorized, according to the terms and conditions of this Permit within the time provided, the City may use the security to carry out the work by its servants, agents or contractors, and any surplus shall be paid over to the Holder. Should the Holder carry out the development permitted by this permit within the time set out herein, the security shall be returned to the Holder. The City may retain the security for up to one year after inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that plant material has survived.
6. If the Holder does not conmence the construction pernitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse and the security shall be returned in full.
7. The land described herein shall be developed generally in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.

This Permit is not a Building Permit.

Development Permit
No. DP 12-617639

No. DP 12-617639

| To the Holder: | Hollybridge Limited Partnership |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | 5440 Hollybridge Way |
| Address: | $900-666$ Burrard Street,  <br>  Vancouver, BC V6C 2X8 |

AUTHORJZING RESOLUTION NO. ISSUED BY TFIE COUNCIL THE DAY OF

## DELIVERED THIS DAY OF

## MAYOR


AGE 1

$\frac{20,4256.3 \mathrm{~m} 2}{297.7 \mathrm{mz}}$

$$
(\langle, 061.6 \mathrm{~m} 2)
$$



$$
70.6 \%
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { Parking } \\
\hline \text { Cars } \\
\hline \text { Bikes (Class 1) } \\
\hline \text { Bikes (Class 2) } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- LOT UPHASE 1 (EAST OF PEARSON WAY) DP 12-617039
- LOT 2 IPhases 2.3 Mest of Pearson Way)

| Lot 1/Phaso 1 (0P 12-857639) |
| :--- |
| Net Site Area |
| Ginss Fionalea |


| Gross Floor Area |
| :--- |
| Not Floor Area (ex |
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SECTION A-A (PARALLEL TO GILBERT ROAD)
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