



City of Richmond
 Planning and Development Department


Report to Committee

To: Planning Committee
From: Jean Lamontagne
 Director of Development
Re: Application by MacLean Homes Ltd. for Rezoning at 6600, 6620 Cooney Road and 6591, 6611 Eckersley Road from Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E) to Comprehensive Development District (CD/180)

To Council - Mar 26, 2007
To Planning - March 20, 2007
Date: February 27, 2007
 RZ 06-339190
 File: 8060-20-8207


Staff Recommendation

That Bylaw No. 8207, to create "Comprehensive Development District (CD/180)" and for the rezoning of 6600, 6620 Cooney Road, and 6591, 6611 Eckersley Road from "Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E)" to "Comprehensive Development District (CD/180)", be introduced and given first reading.



Jean Lamontagne
 Director of Development

DN:blg
 Att.

FOR ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT USE ONLY
CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER


Staff Report

Origin

Burrowes Huggins Architects, on behalf of MacLean Homes Ltd., has applied to the City of Richmond to rezone 6600, 6620 Cooney Road, and 6591, 6611 Eckersley Road (**Attachment 1**) from “Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E)” to “Comprehensive Development District (CD/180)” to permit development of 13 three-storey townhouse units and 37 units in a four-storey apartment building over a parking level (**Attachment 2**).

Findings of Fact

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is attached (**Attachment 3**).

Surrounding Development

To the North: An active rezoning application (RZ 04-267994) on the adjacent northern lot fronting Eckersley Road (6571 Eckersley Road) and two (2) lots fronting Cook Road (8400, 8440 Cook Road), which proposes rezoning from R1/E to CD/178 to permit development of eight (8) single-storey units and 20 2 ½-storey units over a parking level. The northern adjacent lot that fronts Cooney Road (6580 Cooney Road) is zoned “Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E)” and there is an existing single-family dwelling on the site;

To the East: Single-family dwelling on the east side of Eckersley Road zoned “Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E)”;

To the South: Single-family dwellings zoned “Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E)”;

To the West: Existing multiple-family development zoned “High-Density Residential District (R4)”.

Related Policies & Studies

Official Community Plan (OCP)

The subject site is designated “Neighbourhood Residential” in the Official Community Plan (OCP). The proposed land use and density are consistent with the plan.

City Centre Area Plan (CCAP)

The subject site is designated “Residential” in the City Centre Area Plan (CCAP). The CCAP Update Study is currently underway. Although the application was initiated in advance of the CCAP Update review process, the proposal complies with the objectives of the CCAP Update Study.

The subject site is located within an area identified as Medium Density (T4 General Urban Zone) in the updated Land Use and Density Plan, which permits a mixed-use primarily residential urban fabric with a wide range of building types that provide a transition between the City Centre's lower and higher density zones (between 1.2 – 2.0 Floor Area Ratio) (**Attachment 4**).

The use and density proposed are consistent with the parameters outlined in the OCP and the CCAP Update Study.

The building typology proposed, which is a combination of three-storey townhouse units along the street frontage and a four-storey apartment centrally located on the site, contributes to the development of an urban village. Although the CCAP Update Study references a maximum building height of 15 m, there are provisions to increase the maximum height to 30 m. The proposed height of the apartment building is 17.5 m, which is supported based on site design that locates the building internally on the site, away from the street frontage.

OCP Aircraft Noise Sensitive Development (ANSD) Policy

The subject site is located south of Westminster Highway in an area that permits consideration of all aircraft noise sensitive land use types. However, as the site is affected by Airport Noise Contours, the development is required to register a covenant prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

Affordable Housing Strategy – Interim Strategy

In response to the contents of the Draft Affordable Housing Strategy (**Attachment 5**), the applicant proposes to provide 15 units that are no greater than 54 m² (580 ft²) in area within the development to address the provision of affordable housing.

The applicant has substantiated that the projected sale price of these units responds to the Interim Affordable Housing Strategy's identification of the need for entry level ownership for households with an annual income of \$60,000 or less. Based on the applicant's projection of the purchase price of these units, which is based on a price per square foot of approximately \$415, with a 10% down payment, the total monthly payment (including maintenance fee, hydro fee and property taxes) is \$1,387.98 per month. The applicant undertakes to provide 15 units, the equivalent of 30% of the total number of units as an opportunity for entry-level ownership based on the size of the units.

The applicant is required to register a housing agreement or substantiate an alternate arrangement securing the provision of on-site affordable housing. Further, on-site affordable units are ensured through the proposed Comprehensive Development District (CD/180) by securing an additional Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.28, provided it is used entirely to accommodate dwelling units that have an individual gross floor area no greater than 54 m² (580 ft²).

Floodplain Management Implementation Strategy

In accordance with the City's Flood Management Strategy, the applicant is required to register a Flood Indemnification Covenant on title referencing the minimum habitable elevation for the area, which is 0.9 m (geodetic).

Consultation

This rezoning application complies with the Official Community Plan (OCP). The statutory Public Hearing will provide area residents, businesses and property owners with opportunity to comment on the application. No additional consultation with external agencies, organizations and authorities, including School District No. 38 is required specific to development of the subject site.

Public Input

Staff have not received any telephone calls or written submissions expressing concerns associated with the proposed development.

Staff Comments

No significant concerns have been identified through the technical review.

Analysis

Background

- The applicant proposes to develop 13 three-storey townhouse units and 37 units in a four-storey apartment building over a parking level (**Attachment 2**).
- The development typology proposed complies with the site's land use designation in the Official Community Plan, the existing City Centre Area Plan, and the City Centre Area Plan Update Study.
- The proposed development includes on-site provision of outdoor amenity space, affordable housing units, a mixture of unit types, and a designated accessible unit floor plan. Cash-in-lieu of the provision of on-site indoor amenity space will be provided by the applicant in accordance with Policy 5041 (\$87,000).
- The subject site is within the City Centre and is within close proximity of the High-Density designated area. The site-specific context is reflected in the design of the proposed development, which reflects its urban context while maintaining a strong street presence. Two (2) building typologies are proposed on the site. The townhouse units along the street frontages have individual unit accesses and gates, articulated building facades, use a variety of building materials and treatments, and vary the building setback along the street frontage. The impact of the four-storey apartment building is minimized by locating it behind the townhouse units, breaking up the massing of the building by recessing the balconies, varying the building materials, and locating the building with consideration of both the functionality of the outdoor amenity space and the development pattern that is both proposed and anticipated respectively on the north and south side of the subject site.

Proposed Comprehensive Development (CD) Bylaw

- The proposed Comprehensive Development (CD/180) Bylaw is a substantially tailored hybrid of the High-Density Residential District (R4) zone and the Townhouse District (R2) zone.
- The permitted uses and height are more restrictive than in the High-Density Residential District (R4) zone. The permitted density, lot coverage, setbacks, and off-street parking requirements as required by Division 400 have been written to reflect the resolution of site-specific constraints and context.
- The density permitted on the site has been amended to permit a maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 1.4.
- The provision of on-site affordable units is secured by permitting an additional FAR of 0.28, provided that it is entirely used to accommodate dwelling units that are no greater than 54 m² (580 ft²) in area. The applicant has substantiated that restricting the size of 15 units maintains their affordability in accordance with the Affordable Housing Strategy – Interim Strategy. Further, provision of 15 units of restricted size is the equivalent of 30% of the total number of units, which exceeds the required 14% referenced in the Interim Strategy.
- The CCAP Update Study stipulates a predominant height of 15 m (50 ft.) but includes provisions for a maximum height of 30 m (98 ft.). The 17.5 m (57 ft.) height proposed for the apartment building is within the permitted range and the impact of the proposed height is mitigated through conscientious urban design.
- Setbacks have been specified to respond to the site-specific context and to ensure articulated, pedestrian oriented street façades along Cooney Road and Eckersley Road.

Tree Preservation

- Thirteen (13) bylaw trees have been identified in the Arborist's assessment; four (4) of which are within proximity of the site but not on the subject site. With the exception of one (1) off-site tree, the trees are affected by development on the site. One (1) off-site tree is to be retained and the applicant's Arborist must substantiate measures for tree retention. One of the off-site trees identified for removal is within the City boulevard south of the site adjacent to Cooney Road and will be impacted by required road improvements. Two trees located within proximity of the north east corner of the site on the adjacent property have been identified for removal in association with construction proposed on that site (RZ 04-267994, DP 07-360243).
- The applicant is required to provide a landscaping plan that verifies the provision of replacement planting at a ratio of 2:1 in accordance with the Official Community Plan (OCP). If the required number of replacement trees cannot be accommodated on the site, the applicant will provide a cash-in-lieu contribution or be required to plan replacement trees on City-owned property in an alternate location.

Parking

- The subject site is located within 800 m (2,625 ft.) or within a 10-minute walk of the downtown core. It is within close proximity of the future Canada Line Station (Saba Station), existing transit service, and amenities, which support increased use of transit, walking and cycling. To further promote the use of alternative modes of transportation, more than one (1) secured bicycling parking stall per unit is provided.
- As a result of the cumulative impact of the site's proximity to transit service and amenities, the City supports the introduction of a co-op car that can be accessed by all members of the Co-operative Auto Network (CAN), which is a non-profit co-operative venture that facilitates car sharing as an alternative to privately owning an automobile. City support is contingent upon the project proponent entering into an agreement with CAN to guarantee funds that will be used to both purchase the car and insurance for a specified period of time. The designated parking stall, which will be secured by a registered legal agreement on title, is to be located amongst the visitor parking stalls, which are separated from the assigned residential stalls by a gate. The details of the arrangement are to be articulated in association with the Development Permit (DP 06-361966) for the subject site.
- Similar to other development within the City Centre, the inclusion of a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategy on site, which in this case is the provision of a co-op car, facilitates variance of the required off-street parking ratio. Contingent upon the conditions listed above, a Development Variance Permit would establish on-site provision of a co-op car as the equivalent of three (3) regular off-street parking stalls; thereby reducing the overall number of parking stalls required by two (2) stalls.
- Provision of off-street parking at a rate of 1.0 stall per affordable housing unit, which is defined as a dwelling unit having an individual gross floor area that is no greater than 54m²(580 ft²), is supported.
- Further, tandem parking is supported conditional to the assignment of both spaces to a single dwelling unit.
- A total of 78 off-street parking stalls are required to be provided in association with the proposed development. This total includes a reduced parking requirement in association with the affordable units as outlined in CD/180. The attached plans indicate the provision of 76 off-street parking stalls, which is based on an additional parking reduction of two stalls for the inclusion of a co-op car, which is to be undertaken through a Development Variance Permit. The City's Transportation Division has reviewed the proposed parking plan, which includes variance provisions for the inclusion of on-site TDM measures, and supports the proposal.

Road Dedications, Transportation and Upgrades

- At the time the site is consolidated, the applicant is required to dedicate 3.41 m (11 ft.) at the north edge of the development along Cooney Road, which tapers to 2.88 m (9 ft.) at 12.28 m (40 ft.) south, with a continuous 2.88 m (9 ft.) dedication to the south edge of the site.
- A 1.5 m (5 ft.) wide right-of-way (ROW) is required along the northern edge of the site. This right-of-way (ROW), in association with a 6 m (19 ft.) wide right-of-way, which is a requirement of the adjacent northern development, will provide access to the site via Eckersley Road and will continue to Cooney Road as an illuminated sidewalk. Maintenance and liability will be the strata's responsibility.
- The Cooney Road and Eckersley Road frontages will be designed and constructed according to City Centre standards. A Servicing Agreement is required for these frontage improvements prior to final adoption (**Attachment 6**).
- Discharge of the existing 6 m (19 ft.) wide utilities (sanitary sewer) Rights of Way (Plan 44271) running North/South through the middle of the site is required. This Rights of Way cannot be discharged until the sanitary sewer main has been relocated and made operational via the Servicing Agreement process. All costs and responsibilities associated with discharge are the responsibility of the developer.

Servicing Capacity

- The applicant has agreed to contribute a proportionate amount equivalent to \$6,084.02 for storm sewer, \$8,218.76 for gravity sanitary sewer, and \$4,882.98 for sanitary force main toward the Downstream Consortium Upgrades.
- Further, the applicant has similarly agreed to contribute \$23,721.00 for water, \$64,678.50 for storm, and \$71,555.50 for sanitary for City Identified Catchment Upgrades.

Amenity Space

- The developer proposes to provide cash-in-lieu of indoor amenity space (\$87,000) and on-site outdoor amenity space in compliance with the Official Community Plan (OCP).

Proposed Development Permit (DP 06-361966)

- The proposed form of development both complies with the City Centre Area Update Study and responds to the residential context.
- The development has responded to comments from both the Advisory Design Panel and staff related to form, character and massing.
- At the Development Permit stage, the applicant is required to provide a copy of the agreement between the applicant and the Co-operative Auto Network that outlines the terms and conditions of the arrangement related to the on-site provision of a co-op car, which is required to be attached as a schedule to the required legal agreement.

Financial Impact or Economic Impact

No financial or economic impact is anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

Conclusion

Rezoning of the site complies with both the intention of the existing City Centre Area Plan and the recommendations of the City Centre Area Plan Update process. On this basis, the proposed density and land use is supportable.





Diana Nikolic, MCIP
Planner II (Urban Design)
(Local 4040)

DN:blg

- Attachment 1: Location Map
- Attachment 2: Conceptual Development Plans
- Attachment 3: Development Application Data Sheet
- Attachment 4: City Centre Area Plan Update Land Use and Density Plan
- Attachment 5: Affordable Housing Strategy – Interim Strategy
- Attachment 6: Arborist Report
- Attachment 7: Conditional Rezoning Requirements Concurrence




City of Richmond



ATTACHMENT 1
 Original Date: 06/19/06
 Revision Date:
 Note: Dimensions are in METRES

RZ 06-339190



**SUBJECT
PROPERTY**

NO. 3 RD

COOK RD

COONEY RD

ECKERSLEY RD

PARK RD

ANDERSON RD

GRANVILLE AVE



RZ 06-339190


Original Date: 06/22/06

Amended Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES

MANUFACTURING

UNIT NO.	SF	NO. OF UNITS	MANUFACTURING
11	1	1	11.000
12	1	1	12.000
13	1	1	13.000
14	1	1	14.000
15	1	1	15.000
16	1	1	16.000
17	1	1	17.000
18	1	1	18.000
19	1	1	19.000
20	1	1	20.000
21	1	1	21.000
22	1	1	22.000
23	1	1	23.000
24	1	1	24.000
25	1	1	25.000
26	1	1	26.000
27	1	1	27.000
28	1	1	28.000
29	1	1	29.000
30	1	1	30.000
31	1	1	31.000
32	1	1	32.000
33	1	1	33.000
34	1	1	34.000
35	1	1	35.000
36	1	1	36.000
37	1	1	37.000
38	1	1	38.000
39	1	1	39.000
40	1	1	40.000
41	1	1	41.000
42	1	1	42.000
43	1	1	43.000
44	1	1	44.000
45	1	1	45.000
46	1	1	46.000
47	1	1	47.000
48	1	1	48.000
49	1	1	49.000
50	1	1	50.000
51	1	1	51.000
52	1	1	52.000
53	1	1	53.000
54	1	1	54.000
55	1	1	55.000
56	1	1	56.000
57	1	1	57.000
58	1	1	58.000
59	1	1	59.000
60	1	1	60.000
61	1	1	61.000
62	1	1	62.000
63	1	1	63.000
64	1	1	64.000
65	1	1	65.000
66	1	1	66.000
67	1	1	67.000
68	1	1	68.000
69	1	1	69.000
70	1	1	70.000



CONTEXT PLAN



Bay Area Planning Solutions

ATTACHMENT 2	
A1.01	

AREA SUMMARY

PROJECT AREA SUMMARY

REGULATORY SUMMARY

UNIT NO.	SF	NO. OF UNITS	MANUFACTURING
11	1	1	11.000
12	1	1	12.000
13	1	1	13.000
14	1	1	14.000
15	1	1	15.000
16	1	1	16.000
17	1	1	17.000
18	1	1	18.000
19	1	1	19.000
20	1	1	20.000
21	1	1	21.000
22	1	1	22.000
23	1	1	23.000
24	1	1	24.000
25	1	1	25.000
26	1	1	26.000
27	1	1	27.000
28	1	1	28.000
29	1	1	29.000
30	1	1	30.000
31	1	1	31.000
32	1	1	32.000
33	1	1	33.000
34	1	1	34.000
35	1	1	35.000
36	1	1	36.000
37	1	1	37.000
38	1	1	38.000
39	1	1	39.000
40	1	1	40.000
41	1	1	41.000
42	1	1	42.000
43	1	1	43.000
44	1	1	44.000
45	1	1	45.000
46	1	1	46.000
47	1	1	47.000
48	1	1	48.000
49	1	1	49.000
50	1	1	50.000
51	1	1	51.000
52	1	1	52.000
53	1	1	53.000
54	1	1	54.000
55	1	1	55.000
56	1	1	56.000
57	1	1	57.000
58	1	1	58.000
59	1	1	59.000
60	1	1	60.000
61	1	1	61.000
62	1	1	62.000
63	1	1	63.000
64	1	1	64.000
65	1	1	65.000
66	1	1	66.000
67	1	1	67.000
68	1	1	68.000
69	1	1	69.000
70	1	1	70.000

Approved by: [Signature]

Date: [Date]

PROJECT AREAS

PREPARED BY: [Name]
 DATE: [Date]
 SCALE: [Scale]

BIRMINGHAM HUGHES ARCHITECTS
 ARCHITECTS

PROJECT: [Name]
 CLIENT: [Name]
 ADDRESS: [Address]


DATE: [Date]
 SCALE: [Scale]

PROJECT NO.: [Number]
 SHEET NO.: [Number]

DATE: [Date]

PROJECT NO.: [Number]

DATE: [Date]



PERMITS

DATE

SCALE

DRAWN

Burrows Higgins Architects
 ARCHITECTS

PROJECT: **CLINTON UNIVERSITY, 2011**
 CLIENT: **CLINTON UNIVERSITY, 2011**
 LOCATION: **CLINTON UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, CLINTON, ONTARIO**



CONSULTANT

DATE	DATE
DATE	DATE


NO. 101	DATE: 1/22/11
NO. 102	DATE: 1/22/11
NO. 103	DATE: 1/22/11
NO. 104	DATE: 1/22/11

NO. 101	NO. 102
NO. 103	NO. 104

A1.04




SITE PLAN-ENLARGED



P1 LEVEL PLAN
 0 20'-0"

REVISED: 01/08/09



DATE: 01/08/09
 DRAWN BY: [illegible]
 CHECKED BY: [illegible]



Raymond Suggs Architects
 1000 12th Avenue South, Suite 300
 Atlanta, Georgia 30332
 Phone: 404.525.1341
 Fax: 404.525.1342
 Website: www.rsaj.com


Project: [illegible]
 Location: [illegible]
 Scale: [illegible]

Consultant: [illegible]


Drawn: [illegible]
 Date: [illegible]

Discipline	Author	Reviewer	Date
Architecture	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Mechanical	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Electrical	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Structural	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]


Project: [illegible]
 Drawing Title: [illegible]
 Drawing No.: [illegible]
 Date: [illegible]



LEVEL ONE PLAN



Scale: [illegible]
 Date: [illegible]



Burrows Linggren Architects
 1000 15th Street, Suite 1000
 San Francisco, CA 94103
 Tel: 415.774.1000
 Fax: 415.774.1001
 www.burrowslinggren.com

PROJECT:
 CLIENT:
 ARCHITECT:
 CONSULTANT:


CONSULTANT:

SHEET:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:

SHEET:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:


SHEET:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:

LEVEL TWO PLAN



A3.02

PROJECT:
 SHEET:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:




PROJECT:	_____
ARCHITECT:	BURROWS GUGGINS ARCHITECTS
DATE:	_____
SCALE:	_____
PROJECT NO.:	_____
DATE:	_____

DATE:	_____
SCALE:	_____

DATE:	_____
SCALE:	_____
PROJECT NO.:	_____
DATE:	_____

DATE:	_____
SCALE:	_____
PROJECT NO.:	_____
DATE:	_____



LEVEL THREE PLAN



A3.03

PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:

PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:

PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:



PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:

PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:


PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:

PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:


PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:

PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:

PROJECT:
 TITLE:
 DATE:
 DRAWN BY:
 CHECKED BY:
 APPROVED BY:



LEVEL FOUR PLAN



A3.04

PROJECT: [Blank]
 DATE: [Blank]
 DRAWN BY: [Blank]
 CHECKED BY: [Blank]
 SCALE: [Blank]

SHEET NO.: [Blank]
 TOTAL SHEETS: [Blank]

PROJECT: [Blank]
 DATE: [Blank]



ARCHITECTURAL FIRM
 NAME: [Blank]
 ADDRESS: [Blank]

PROJECT: [Blank]
 DATE: [Blank]

SHEET NO.: [Blank]
 TOTAL SHEETS: [Blank]


DRAWN BY: [Blank]
 CHECKED BY: [Blank]

PROJECT: [Blank]
 DATE: [Blank]

SHEET NO.: [Blank]
 TOTAL SHEETS: [Blank]

PROJECT: [Blank]
 DATE: [Blank]


SHEET NO.: [Blank]
 TOTAL SHEETS: [Blank]




ROOF PLAN

0 20'-0"

A3.06



WEST (street) ELEVATION




EAST (street) ELEVATION


SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
01	EXTERIOR WALLS
02	EXTERIOR FINISHES
03	EXTERIOR DOORS
04	EXTERIOR WINDOWS
05	EXTERIOR BALCONIES
06	EXTERIOR STAIRS
07	EXTERIOR LIGHTING
08	EXTERIOR PLANTING
09	EXTERIOR SIGNAGE
10	EXTERIOR ACCESSORIES
11	EXTERIOR MATERIALS
12	EXTERIOR COLOURS
13	EXTERIOR DETAILING
14	EXTERIOR PROTECTIVE
15	EXTERIOR SAFETY
16	EXTERIOR MAINTENANCE
17	EXTERIOR CLEANING
18	EXTERIOR REPAIRS
19	EXTERIOR REPLACEMENTS
20	EXTERIOR UPGRADES

NOTES: THIS DRAWING IS A PART OF A PROJECT AND SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE ARCHITECT.

REVISIONS	DATE	DESCRIPTION



SOUTH ELEVATION



NORTH ELEVATION

EXTERIOR MATERIAL COLOR SCHEDULE

NO.	DESCRIPTION	FINISH	COLOR
001	CONCRETE	SMOOTH	
002	PAINT		
003	PAINT		
004	PAINT		
005	PAINT		
006	PAINT		
007	PAINT		
008	PAINT		
009	PAINT		
010	PAINT		
011	PAINT		
012	PAINT		
013	PAINT		
014	PAINT		
015	PAINT		
016	PAINT		
017	PAINT		
018	PAINT		
019	PAINT		
020	PAINT		
021	PAINT		
022	PAINT		
023	PAINT		
024	PAINT		
025	PAINT		
026	PAINT		
027	PAINT		
028	PAINT		
029	PAINT		
030	PAINT		
031	PAINT		
032	PAINT		
033	PAINT		
034	PAINT		
035	PAINT		
036	PAINT		
037	PAINT		
038	PAINT		
039	PAINT		
040	PAINT		
041	PAINT		
042	PAINT		
043	PAINT		
044	PAINT		
045	PAINT		
046	PAINT		
047	PAINT		
048	PAINT		
049	PAINT		
050	PAINT		
051	PAINT		
052	PAINT		
053	PAINT		
054	PAINT		
055	PAINT		
056	PAINT		
057	PAINT		
058	PAINT		
059	PAINT		
060	PAINT		
061	PAINT		
062	PAINT		
063	PAINT		
064	PAINT		
065	PAINT		
066	PAINT		
067	PAINT		
068	PAINT		
069	PAINT		
070	PAINT		
071	PAINT		
072	PAINT		
073	PAINT		
074	PAINT		
075	PAINT		
076	PAINT		
077	PAINT		
078	PAINT		
079	PAINT		
080	PAINT		
081	PAINT		
082	PAINT		
083	PAINT		
084	PAINT		
085	PAINT		
086	PAINT		
087	PAINT		
088	PAINT		
089	PAINT		
090	PAINT		
091	PAINT		
092	PAINT		
093	PAINT		
094	PAINT		
095	PAINT		
096	PAINT		
097	PAINT		
098	PAINT		
099	PAINT		
100	PAINT		

PROJECT

Architect: [Name]

Client: [Name]

Address: [Address]

DATE


SCALE

PROJECT NO.


DATE

PROJECT NO.

A5.02



EAST (internal) ELEVATION



WEST (internal) ELEVATION

PROJECT	15-10000000
DATE	15/01/2015
DRAWN BY	15-10000000
CHECKED BY	15-10000000
SCALE	1:100


15-10000000

15-10000000

15-10000000

15-10000000

15-10000000



15-10000000

15-10000000

15-10000000

15-10000000

PROJECT	15-10000000
DATE	15/01/2015
DRAWN BY	15-10000000
CHECKED BY	15-10000000
SCALE	1:100

PROJECT	15-10000000
DATE	15/01/2015
DRAWN BY	15-10000000
CHECKED BY	15-10000000
SCALE	1:100

PROJECT	15-10000000
DATE	15/01/2015
DRAWN BY	15-10000000
CHECKED BY	15-10000000
SCALE	1:100

PROJECT	DATE	DESCRIPTION

Barrow & Huggins Architects
 1000 14th Street, Suite 100
 Anchorage, Alaska 99501
 Phone: (907) 562-1111
 Fax: (907) 562-1112
 www.barrowhuggins.com



PROJECT
 ARCHITECT
 DATE

CONSULTANT
 DATE

DATE


DATE

DATE


DATE

DATE

DATE



SECTION BB




SECTION CC

PROJECT
 ARCHITECT
 DATE



CONSULTANT
 DATE

DATE

A5.04



DATE: 11/14/2014



BURROWS HUGHES ARCHITECTS
 ARCHITECTS
 100-100-100-100
 100-100-100-100
 100-100-100-100



PROJECT
 ARCHITECT
 ARCHITECTS
 PROJECT NO.
 DRAWING NO.

PROJECT NO.
 DRAWING NO.

PROJECT NO.
 DRAWING NO.

PROJECT NO.
 DRAWING NO.





PROJECT NO.
 DRAWING NO.


PROJECT NO.
 DRAWING NO.

PROJECT NO.
 DRAWING NO.

A5.05






Herringbone Architects, Inc.
 1100 West 10th Street, Suite 100
 Anchorage, Alaska 99501
 Phone: (907) 562-1100
 Fax: (907) 562-1101
 Email: info@herringbonearchitects.com

Project: [Project Name]
 Architect: [Firm Name]
 Date: [Date]

Sheet Title: [Sheet Title]
 Sheet Number: [Sheet Number]

A6.01

REVISIONS	NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION

PROJECT: 10/10/10
 DRAWN BY: [Name]
 CHECKED BY: [Name]

Burrows Higgins Architects
 ARCHITECTS
 1000 BROADWAY, SUITE 2000
 NEW YORK, NY 10018
 TEL: 212 693 9000
 FAX: 212 693 9001
 WWW: burrows-higgins.com

PROJECT: [Name]
 CLIENT: [Name]
 DATE: [Date]
 DRAWING NO: [Number]


DATE: [Date]
 SCALE: [Scale]

NO. [Number]
 OF [Number]


DATE: [Date]
 SCALE: [Scale]

PROJECT: [Name]
 CLIENT: [Name]
 DATE: [Date]
 DRAWING NO: [Number]


UNIT TYPE: T1
 NET AREA: 1457




UNIT TYPE: ROOF TERRACE
 NET AREA: 62



UNIT TYPE: UPPER FLOOR
 NET AREA: 528



UNIT TYPE: MAIN FLOOR
 NET AREA: 538



UNIT TYPE: LOWER FLOOR
 NET AREA: 330

A6.02



City of Richmond

6911 No. 3 Road
 Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1
 www.richmond.ca
 604-276-4000

**Development Application
 Data Sheet**

RZ 06-339190

Attachment 3

Address: 6600, 6620 Cooney Road and, 6591, 6611 Eckersley Road

Applicant: MacLean Homes Ltd.

Planning Area(s): City Centre Area Plan

	Existing	Proposed
Owner:	MacLean Homes Ltd.	MacLean Homes Ltd.
Site Size (m ²):	Gross: 3,237 m ² (34,850 ft ²) Net: 3,118 m ² (33,568 ft ²)	Gross: 3,237 m ² (34,850 ft ²) Net: 3,118 m ² (33,568 ft ²)
Land Uses:	Residential	Residential townhouses and apartment
OCP Designation:	Neighbourhood Residential	Neighbourhood Residential
Area Plan Designation:	Residential	Residential
Zoning:	Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E)	Comprehensive Development District (CD/180)
Number of Units:	4	13 townhouses, 37 apartments
Other Designations:	Neighbourhood Residential (in the OCP), Residential (in the City Centre Area Plan)	Medium Density (in the City Centre Area Update Plan)

On Future Subdivided Lots	C/D 180 Bylaw Requirement	Proposed	Variance
Floor Area Ratio:	1.4 plus an additional 0.28 FAR provided that it is entirely used to accommodate dwelling unit(s) each having an individual gross floor area less than 54 m ² (Affordable Housing)	1.4 plus an additional 0.28 FAR provided that it is entirely used to accommodate dwelling unit(s) each having an individual gross floor area less than 54 m ² (Affordable Housing)	none permitted
Lot Coverage – Building:	Max. 50%	50%	none
Lot Size (min. dimensions):	3,000 m ² (32,290 ft ²)	3,000 m ² (32,290 ft ²)	none
Setback – Public Roads (m):	Cooney Road: 2.5 m Eckersley Road: 3 m (a maximum 0.7m projection for bay windows is permitted)	Cooney Road: 2.5 m Eckersley Road: 3 m (a maximum 0.7m projection for bay windows is permitted)	none

On Future Subdivided Lots	C/D 180 Bylaw Requirement	Proposed	Variance
Setback – Side Yards (m):	Side yard: 1.4 m; the parking structure may be setback 0 m from the south property line	Side yard: 1.4 m; the parking structure may be setback 0 m from the south property line	none
Height (m):	17.5 m	17.5 m	none
Off-street Parking Spaces – Regular (R) / Visitor (V):	35 units at 1.5 (R) and 0.2 (V) per unit = 60 15 (affordable) units at 1.0 (R) and 0.2 (V) per unit = 18	35 units at 1.5 (R) and 0.2 (V) per unit = 60 15 (affordable) units at 1.0 (R) and 0.2 (V) per unit = 18	Variance required
Off-street Parking Spaces – Total:	78	76 (Minus 2 stalls based on the provision of a co-op car = 76)	Variance required
Tandem Parking Spaces:	permitted	permitted	none
Amenity Space – Indoor:	Cash-in-lieu	Cash-in-lieu	none
Amenity Space – Outdoor:	300m ² (3,229 ft ²)	330 m ² (3,590 ft ²)	none


Other: Tree replacement compensation required for loss of significant trees.

A. Land Use & Density

The City Centre Area Plan Update Study is a comprehensive study of the City Centre area, including the City Centre, the City Centre Extension, and the City Centre Extension Extension. The study is a comprehensive study of the City Centre area, including the City Centre, the City Centre Extension, and the City Centre Extension Extension. The study is a comprehensive study of the City Centre area, including the City Centre, the City Centre Extension, and the City Centre Extension Extension.

Further Investigation

1. Refine employment targets and related land use and density requirements for the downtown's mixed-use and business districts
2. Identify strategies aimed at coordinating the City Centre with objectives for the airport, port, and agricultural lands
3. Refine density targets for residential development and how that relates to trends in dwelling unit and household size



City Centre Area Plan Update Study
 Land Use and Density
 RZ 06-339190

Original Date: 02/28/07

Amended Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES



Page 1 of 1

Adopted by Council: July 24, 2006

Policy 5006

File Ref: 08-4057-05

Affordable Housing Strategy – Interim Strategy

Policy 5006:

The following policies apply to in-stream development applications until such time as the final Affordable Housing Strategy is approved (e.g., at the end of 2006):

City Wide Policy:

- (a) *that affordable housing be defined by the following three (3) housing forms and annual income thresholds, which are to be reviewed from time to time:*
 - (i) *entry level ownership (households earning \$60,000 or less assuming a 10% down payment);*
 - (ii) *low end of market rental (less than \$37,700); and*
 - (iii) *subsidized housing (less than \$20,000);*

City Wide Policy – Excluding The West Cambie Alexandra Area:

- (b) *the provision of affordable housing or the contribution in lieu, be requested for all in stream multiple-family development applications;*
- (c) *where affordable housing is provided in multiple-family development applications, that it constitute at least 14% entry level ownership housing units, or 6% of the units if they are subsidized housing;*
- (d) *where a contribution in lieu of affordable housing is made, that it be based on the current minimum of \$0.60 per buildable square foot, which is to be reviewed from time to time;*
- (e) *a moratorium be put on development applications (e.g., rezoning; subdivision; strata title conversion; development permit) involving the demolition or conversion of the existing multiple-family rental housing stock, except in cases where there is 1:1 replacement; and*
- (f) *that convertible or flex housing be permitted in single-family areas (subject to applicable Official Community Plan, Area Plan and City planning policies, the Zoning and Development Bylaw, and the normal Public Hearing process) and not be subsidized by the City of Richmond.*

1. INTRODUCTION

We attended the site in the spring of 2006 and January 2007 for the purpose of making recommendations for tree removal and retention for the development site located between Eckersley Road and Cooney Road, Richmond, BC.

The site consists of four detached houses and three garages on a relatively flat site with a southern aspect. The proposed development site borders Eckersley Road to the east and Cooney Road to the west. The development plans include a 37 unit 4 story apartment complex and 13 townhouse dwelling units, which will require significant site disturbance and will directly impact trees within the area of construction and building envelopes, and indirectly through root loss to trees directly outside building envelopes. No opportunities for tree preservation exist onsite due to the scope of construction requirements.

Thirteen trees were identified on and offsite and numbered to correspond with the tree locations on the topographical survey provided. The topographical survey and site layout plan have been prepared and provided by others. These have been merged to form the basis of the Tree Preservation and Removal Plans as attached hereto.

2. FINDINGS

Specific information collected includes tree type, form and condition rating, live crown ratio and structural observations. Condition refers to the tree's overall health and vigor, while form is a qualitative rating of a tree's shape and structure when compared to ideal trees of the same species and age class. The following table outlines the tree assessment and recommendations for removal or retention:

Table 1. Cooney Road to Eckersley Road - Tree Inventory

Tree #	Type	DBH (cm)	Form	Condition	LCR (%)	Observations	Recommendation
1	Austrian Pine	32	M	M	60	Codominant from the base producing an asymmetrical canopy to the west.	Remove tree to accommodate construction.
2	Deodar Cedar	32, 67	M	G	80	Previously topped for overhead utility line clearance producing a candelabra regrowth.	Remove tree to accommodate construction.



Tree #	Type	DBH (cm)	Form	Condition	LCR (%)	Observations	Recommendation
3	Paper Birch	40,27, 42,35	P	P	NA	The top of this tree is dead with multiple failed stems. It will be adversely impacted by construction.	Remove tree pending permission from registered owner.
4	Colorado Spruce	22	G	G	90	No observed defects.	Retain tree with 2m radius no disturbance zone.
5	Cherry	24-36	MP	M	NA	Three stemmed tree with all stems originating from the base. Previously topped. Open grown with full canopy. Located within building envelope.	Remove tree to accommodate construction.
6	Weeping Willow	65	P	M	NA	Topped at 7m. Heart rot and cavities of decay in main stem.	Remove poor quality tree.
7	Deodar Cedar	62, 66	M	MG	85	Codominant from the base with a narrow angle of attachment.	Remove tree.
8	Chestnut	27, 16	M	G	NA	Open grown with a full canopy. Topped at 6m.	Remove tree pending approval from Richmond.
9	Mountain Ash	16 X 5	M	M	NA	Multistemmed tree topped at ~ 5m. Presence of decay columns. Located within proposed building envelope.	Remove tree to accommodate construction.
10	Maple	62	M	M	NA	Two stemmed tree topped at ~ 7m for overhead utility line clearance.	Remove tree pending approval from Richmond.
11	Cherry	31	M	P	NA	Multistemmed tree of little retentive value. Topped at ~ 6m.	Remove tree pending approval from Richmond.
12	Cherry	15 & 15	P	P	NA	One of two stems has failed recently. Offers little aesthetic and retentive value.	Remove tree pending approval from Richmond.
13	Sawara False Cypress	35 X 3	G	G	80	Not located at time of survey and location is approximate. Three stemmed originating from its base.	Retain tree with 2.5m radius no disturbance zone.

3. TREE PRESERVATION SUMMARY

The two trees identified for preservation, as shown on the plans attached, have been given this recommendation on a preliminary basis. Both trees are located offsite and are under separate ownership. Final recommendations shall be based



on grading and construction details. Mechanical injuries caused to trees below or above ground cannot be repaired. All parties must be aware that long-term success in tree preservation efforts depends greatly on minimizing the impact caused during and post construction. Best efforts must be made to ensure that soils remain undisturbed within the tree protection zones. Ongoing monitoring and implementation of mitigating works, such as watering, mulching, etc, is essential for success.

4. LIMITATIONS

This Arboricultural field review report is based on site observations on the dates noted. Effort has been made to ensure that the opinions expressed are a reasonable and accurate representation of the condition of the trees reviewed. All trees or groups of trees have the potential to fail. No guarantees are offered or implied by MGF Horticultural Inc. or its employees that the trees are safe given all conditions. The inspection is limited to visual examination of accessible items without dissection, excavation, probing, coring or climbing. Trees can be managed, but they cannot be controlled. To live, work or play near trees is to accept some degree of risk. The only way to eliminate all risk associated with trees is to eliminate all trees.

The findings and opinions expressed in this report are representative of the conditions found on the day of the review only. Any trees retained should be reviewed on a regular basis. The root crowns, and overall structure, of all of the trees to be retained must be reviewed immediately following land clearing, grade disturbance, significant weather events and prior to site usage changes.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions or concerns regarding this report.


MGF Horticultural Inc.

Peter Mennel
BSc, ISA Certified Arborist: PN-5611A

Attachments: Tree Preservation and Removal Plan



SCALE: NTS



T. HICKS

NOTES

- All trees located within site are in direct conflict with construction and are recommended for removal.
- See Landscape Plan for replacement trees.

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY

MGF HORTICULTURAL INC.
VEGETATION CONSULTANTS

5730 178A St.
Surrey, BC
V3T 3G3

Ph: (604) 740-0309
Fax: (604) 740-2448

Email: mgfhort@telusnet.net

CLIENT: Macdonald-Hornby Community Road Ltd

PROJECT: Not Yet Assigned

PROPERTY: Residential Development

ADDRESS: Cooney Rd to Eckersley Rd
Richmond

DATE: February 7, 2006

SCALE: 1:1

DRAWING TITLE: Tree Preservation and Removal Plan

LEGEND



Trees to be removed



Trees to be protected and protected during construction

© Copyright Reserved This drawing and design is the property of MGF Horticultural Inc. and may not be reproduced or used for other projects without their permission.

Considerations for Rezoning

6600, 6620 Cooney Road and 6591, 6611 Eckersley Road
RZ 06-339190

Prior to final adoption of Zoning Amendment Bylaw 8207, the developer is required to complete the following requirements:

- Provision of cash-in-lieu for the provision of indoor amenity space in compliance with Policy 5041 (\$87,000).
- Consolidation of the four (4) subject properties.
- At the time the site is consolidated, the applicant is required to dedicate 3.41 m (11 ft.) at the north edge of the development along Cooney Road, which tapers to 2.88 m (9 ft.) at 12.28 m (40 ft.) south, with a continuous 2.88 m (9 ft.) dedication to the south edge of the site.
- Discharge the existing 6 m wide utilities (sanitary sewer) right-of-way (ROW) running north-south through the middle of the site (Plan 44271). (This ROW cannot be discharged until the sanitary sewer main using the ROW has been relocated and is operational via the Servicing Agreement process. The City cannot support the sewer to run through the site without a ROW, hence the relocation requirement as a condition of the rezoning.). All costs and responsibilities associated with discharge are the responsibility of the developer.
- The site is affected by Airport Noise Contours; the development is required to register a covenant to disclose noise restrictions and to engage an acoustical consultant.
- In accordance with the City's Flood Management Strategy, the applicant is required to register a Flood Indemnification Covenant on title referencing the minimum habitable elevation for the area, which is 0.9 m (geodetic).
- Registration of a housing agreement or alternate arrangement, to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, securing the provision of on-site affordable housing units that comply with the Interim Affordable Housing Strategy's identification of the need for entry level ownership
- Substantiation of concurrence for the removal of off-site trees and specifications for tree protection fencing to a satisfactory level as determined by the City Arborist.
- Process a Development Permit application to a satisfactory level as determined by the Director of Development, which includes substantiating tree replacement at a 2:1 ratio or otherwise complying with the requirements of tree replacement as required by the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- Registration of a legal agreement on title requiring that tandem stalls are assigned to a single dwelling unit.
- A 1.5 m (5 ft.) wide Public Rights of Passage is required along the entire north property line for sidewalk and to provide completion of the 7.5 m lane (as sidewalk edge) for the

development to the north at 8400:8440 Cook and 6571 Eckersley (RZ 04-267994). The Strata shall be responsible for maintenance and liability associated with this Public Rights of Passage.

- Enter into the City's standard Servicing Agreement*. Works include but are not limited to:
 - i. **Eckersley Road:** Full frontage half road upgrades on Eckersley Road. Works include, but are not limited to, a Benkelman beam test or other method approved by Engineering Department to determine the strength of the existing roads. Should the existing road "fail", then full half road construction is required, but likewise, if the road is adequate, only road widening with appropriate overlays as determined by the developer's Engineer is required. Other works include curb and gutter, creation of a grass and treed boulevard (trees on Eckersley Road to be Honey Locust), including City Centre Type I luminaire poles (L12.5), painted black, with a 2 m concrete sidewalk at the property line. The existing ditch must be replaced by installation of a functional storm sewer system. Ultimate cross section has Eckersley Road as a 11.2 m wide road, curb to curb. Boulevard widths must support the hydro/telephone under grounding, on Eckersley Road. Finally, the hydro pole line across the frontage is to be under grounded, with a distribution conduit system, as required by BC Hydro. **NOTE:** There is a development application immediately north of this proposal along Eckersley Road (RZ 04-267994). Frontage works are required of that application, so the two Engineering consultants should compare information.
 - ii. **Cooney Road:** Frontage improvements on Cooney Road. Works include, but are not limited to, pouring 2 m wide concrete sidewalk at new property line, with the balance of the of the space between the existing curb and the new sidewalk (about 5 m) to be a grass and treed boulevard. Use asphalt flares to connect the new and existing sidewalks. Trees on Cooney Road to be Magnolia, planted 0.75 m from the sidewalk (ultimate boulevard = 1.5 m). Streetlights are to be City Centre Davit Luminaire Poles, L12.9, **without** pedestrian luminaires, flowerpot holders or receptacles. The current 375 mm diameter storm sewer is to be upgraded "manhole to manhole" to minimum 600 mm diameter system or larger as per developer's consultants' calculations.
 - iii. **Walkway and Lane Completion:** in the 1.5 m wide Public Right of Passage to be provided along the north property line, construct a 1.5 m concrete sidewalk from Cooney to Eckersley. For the easterly half (Eckersley side), the sidewalk is the completion of the laneworks to be done via SA 07-355785, so will include roll curb and a small band of asphalt to complete the lane edge. Lane and walkway lighting are required along this new sidewalk. Preferred standard is City spec L12.5, but L11.5 could be considered with appropriate rationale presented to the City's Street Lighting Technician. The lights must *not* obstruct or back splash light into the immediately adjacent units/properties. Also, the lighting ideally should be placed behind the sidewalk, but as the proposed building edge is abutting it, that can't be done; therefore, the lights will be in the middle of the sidewalk.

- iv. **Sanitary sewer:** Upgrade the gravity sanitary sewer system to 300 mm diameter along Eckersley Road on a “manhole to manhole” basis; i.e. from Cook Road, south to the first designed manhole (around the south property line of the development site). That will include frontage being upgraded via RZ 04-267994; the two developers’ should coordinate their designs and construction, or the Engineering Department would have to agree to the new sewer on the east side of Eckersley Road. **NOTE:** The McLean Homes application/proposal effectively cuts off the gravity sanitary sewer to the existing five (5) properties to the north. McLean is 100% responsible to ensure service is maintained from the five (5) lots plus it’s own site. That could mean either a new “temporary” ROW to reconnect to the existing sanitary in the rear of the lots between Eckersley Road and Cooney Road *or* if that’s not feasible, doing the upgrades south along Eckersley Road until it becomes feasible.
- v. **Water:** Upgrade/renewal of the old AC watermain on Eckersley Road. **NOTE:** per the capacity analysis comments from Engineering above, the current pressure for the water is 128 l/s on Eckersley Road and 159 l/s on Cooney Road, whereas the requirement for townhouses is 200 l/s. No upgrades are technically required on Cooney Road because the watermain is on the west side. The developer’s Engineer needs to achieve the 200 l/s, which *may* require constructing upgrades beyond their frontage.

All works are at the developer’s sole cost – no credits apply.

- Contribution towards the following Downstream Consortium Upgrades:
 - \$ 6,084.02 for storm sewer; and
 - \$ 8,218.76 for gravity sanitary sewer; and
 - \$ 4,882.98 for sanitary forcemain
 - \$19,185.76 Total

- Contribution for the following City Identified Catchment Upgrades:
 - \$ 23,721.00 for water; and
 - \$ 64,678.50 for storm; and
 - \$ 71,555.50 for sanitary
 - \$159,955.00 Total

This developer’s civil Engineer will have to show complete analysis regarding this development site, as part of the forthcoming Servicing Agreement design package.

Prior to issuance of a Development Permit*, which requires a separate application to the City, the developer is required to complete the following requirements:

- Compliance with parking requirements is a condition of rezoning and is to be substantiated in association with DP 06-361966, and issuance of a Development Variance Permit if required.

- Registration of a covenant on title identifying a parking stall amongst the visitor parking stalls as assigned to a car that will be co-operatively shared by members of an automobile co-operative association.
- Submission of a copy of the agreement between the applicant and the Co-operative Auto Network that outlines the terms and conditions of the arrangement related to the on-site provision of a co-op car is required to be attached as a schedule to the required covenant.

Prior to issuance of a Building Permit*, the developer is required to complete the following requirements:

- Submission of a construction parking and traffic management plan to be provided to the Transportation Department.

* denotes that a separate application to the City is required




[Signed original on file]

Signed

Date



City of Richmond



RZ 06-339190

Original Date: 06/19/06

Revision Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES



Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300
Amendment Bylaw 8207 (RZ 06-339190)
6600, 6620 COONEY ROAD, 6591, 6611 ECKERSLEY ROAD

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300 is amended by inserting as Section 291.180 thereof the following:

“COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (CD/180)

The intent of this zoning district is to accommodate multiple-family dwellings.

291.180.1 PERMITTED USES

The following uses are permitted:

RESIDENTIAL, limited to **Townhouses** and **Multiple-Family Dwellings**;
BOARDING & LODGING, limited to two persons per **dwelling unit**;
HOME OCCUPATION;
COMMUNITY USE;
ACCESSORY USES, BUILDINGS & STRUCTURES.

291.180.2 PERMITTED DENSITY

.01 Subject to subsection .02, herein, the maximum **Floor Area Ratio** shall be: 1.4; plus

- (a) an additional 0.28 **Floor Area Ratio** is permitted provided that it is entirely **used** to accommodate **dwelling unit(s)** each having an individual gross floor area less than 54 m² (580 ft²); and
- (b) an additional 0.1 **Floor Area Ratio** is permitted provided that it is entirely **used** to accommodate **Amenity Space**.

.02 **Floor Area Ratio** excludes the following:

- (a) **buildings** or portions of a **building** that are **used** exclusively for off-street parking & loading, bicycle storage, or garbage & recycling facilities;

- (b) common mechanical and electrical storage rooms, provided that the total floor area of these facilities does not exceed 100 m² (1,075 ft²); and
- (c) unenclosed balconies.

291.180.3 MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE

- .01 Maximum Lot Coverage: 50%

291.180.4 MINIMUM SETBACKS FROM PROPERTY LINES

- .01 Public Road Setback:

- (a) Cooney Road: 2.5 m (8 ft);
- (b) Eckersley Road: 3.0 m (10 ft); and
- (c) bay windows may project into the **public road** setback for a maximum distance of 0.7 m (2.3 ft.).

- .02 Side Yard Setback: 1.4 m (4.5 ft), except that the parking **structure** setback to the south **side property line** shall be 0 m (0 ft.).

291.180.5 MAXIMUM HEIGHTS

- .01 Buildings: 17.5 m (57 ft).
- .02 Accessory Buildings & Structures: 5 m (16 ft).

291.180.6 MINIMUM LOT SIZE

- .01 A **building** shall not be constructed on a lot of less than 3,000 m² (32,290 ft²).

291.180.7 OFF-STREET PARKING AND LOADING

- .01 Off street parking shall be provided in accordance with Division 400 of the Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300, except that:
 - (a) Off-street parking shall be provided at the rate of:
 - i. 1.0 space per **dwelling unit** having an individual gross floor area no greater than 54 m² (580 ft²).
 - (b) where two parking spaces are intended to be **used** by the residents of a single **dwelling unit** they may be provided in a tandem arrangement with one parking space located behind the other and, typically, both spaces set perpendicular to the adjacent manoeuvring aisle.

291.180.8 SIGNAGE

.01 Signage must comply with the City of Richmond's Sign Bylaw No. 5560, as amended, as it applies to development in the "Townhouse District (R2)".

2. The Zoning Map of the city of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300, is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following areas and by designating it **COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (CD/180)**.

P.I.D. 010-181-415

Lot 34 section 9 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 16523

P.I.D. 010-181-431

Lot 35 Section 9 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 16523

P.I.D. 010-181-482

Lot 42 Section 9 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 16523

P.I.D 004-043-308

Lot 41 Section 9 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 16523

3. This Bylaw may be cited as "Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300, Amendment Bylaw 8207".

FIRST READING

A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON

SECOND READING

THIRD READING

OTHER REQUIREMENTS SATISFIED

ADOPTED

MAR 26 2007

CITY OF RICHMOND
APPROVED for content by originating dep.
<i>[Signature]</i>
APPROVED for legality by Solicitor
<i>[Signature]</i>

MAYOR

CORPORATE OFFICER

To Public Hearing
Date: April 16, 2007
Item # 8
Re: Bylaw 8207

MayorandCouncillors

From: Webgraphics [webgraphics@richmond.ca]
 Sent: Wednesday, 11 April 2007 5:40 PM
 To: MayorandCouncillors
 Subject: Send a Submission Online (response #124)

Send a Submission Online (response #124)

Survey Information

Site: City Website
 Page Title: Send a Submission Online
 URL: <http://cms.city.richmond.bc.ca/CM/WebUI/PageTypes/Survey/Survey.aspx?PageID=1793&PageMode=Hybrid>
 Submission Time/Date: 2007-04-11 5:39:23 PM

Survey Response

Your Name: liana biasutti
 Your Address: 6631 Eckersley Road, Richmond
 Subject Property Address OR Bylaw Number: Bylaw 8207 (RZ 06-339190)

Comments: Again I remain steadfastly against the insane amount of high density construction that is being proposed in Richmond, particularly next to me and in my "back yard". There seems to be no consideration being given to the adverse impacts, which are many, will have upon present residents. I hate to see that there is no consideration being given to current owners and residents in single family homes. With this and a host of other submissions I have given on behalf of myself and my mother Anne Biasutti (owner of the home), I can only reiterate how completely opposed we are to this development application and the rest of them that are directly impacting Eckersley Road!!!!