

Report to Committee Fast Track Application

To Council-Apr 10,2006

To Planning Apr 4,2006

Date:

March 14, 2006

Jean Lamontagne

Planning Committee

RZ 06-328448

Director of Development

File: 12.8066.20-8054

Re:

To:

From:

Application by Rav Bains for Rezoning at 8400 Heather Street from

Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area B (R1/B) to Single-Family

Housing District, Subdivision Area A (R1/A)

Staff Recommendation

That Bylaw No. 8054, for the rezoning of 8400 Heather Street from "Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area B (R1/B)" to "Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area A (R1/A)", be introduced and given first reading.

Jean Lamontagne

Director of Development

EL:blg Att.

FOR ORIGINATING DIVISION USE ONLY

CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER

There are requirements to be dealt with prior to final adoption: Development requirements, specifically:

- Submission of a Landscaping Security in the amount of \$1,000 for the planting of two (2) replacement trees (minimum 10 cm Diameter Breast Height) on site.
- Installation of tree protection barriers around all protected trees prior to final adoption or demolition of the existing structures on site

[signed copy on file]

Agreement by Applicant

| ltem | Details | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Application | RZ 06-328448 | |
| Location | 8400 Heather Street (Attachment 1) | |
| Owner | Peter & Huai-Ling Saschenbrecker | |
| Applicant | Rav Bains | |

| Date Received | February 20, 2006 |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Acknowledgement Letter | February 22, 2006 |
| Fast Track Compliance | February 27, 2006 |
| Staff Report | March 14, 2006 |
| Planning Committee | April 4, 2006 |


| Site Size | 855 m ² (9,203 ft ²) |
|-------------------------|---|
| | Existing – Single-family residential dwelling |
| Land Uses | Proposed – Two (2) single-family residential lots (428 m ² or 4,602 ft ²) |
| - | Existing – Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area B (R1/B) |
| Zoning | Proposed - Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area A (R1/A) |
| Planning Designations | OCP General Land Use Map – Neighbourhood Residential |
| | Ash Street Sub-Area Plan – Low Density Residential |
| | Complies with land use designation |
| Surrounding Development | The Ash Street area is a mix of small and medium sized single-family lots and townhouses. The housing stock is a mix of newer and older homes. The homes surrounding the subject properties are generally older homes and some lots have similar development potential to the subject application. |
| Staff Comments | Four (4) similar applications to rezone and subdivide four (4) nearby properties to Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area K (R1/K) have been approved along this section of Heather Street between Dixon Avenue and Dayton Avenue (reference file RZ 02-205822, RZ 02-212633, RZ 02-219164 & RZ 02-220252). There are five (5) trees on site and two (2) boulevard trees located on in front of the subject site (Attachment 2). The applicant is proposing to remove two (2) trees to |
| | The applicant is proposing to remove two (2) frees to accommodate future building footprints and driveways. The applicant will plant and maintain one new tree (minimum 10 cm Diameter Breast Height) on each of the new lots (two trees in total). |


| undertaken, the City would require a Landscaping Seculin the amount of \$1,000 for the two (2) replacement tree on site prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw. The applicant has agreed to retain three(3) trees in the rear yard. Tree protection barriers will be installed prior final adoption of the zoning amendment bylaw or demolition of the existing house on site. The applicant is also proposing to remove two (2) boulevard trees in front of the site to allow the construct of future driveways. The two boulevard trees were previously topped and both Parks Department and the (Arborist agreed that there is limited value to these boulevard trees to the environment and surrounding community. The applicant will need permission from Parks Department to remove the boulevard trees and compensate the urbit forest by replacing each of these trees with two trees in sizes and species agreeable to the Parks Department. Removal of the boulevard trees will be at the owner's expense. If there is no room to plant new boulevard trein this location, the applicant will to contribute to the Tre Planting Fund (\$500 per tree) to plant trees elsewhere. At future Subdivision stage, the developer will be required to pay Development Cost Charges, Neighbourhood Improvement Charges for future road improvements, School Site Acquisition Charge, Address Assignment Fe and Servicing Costs. Developer will also be required to pay outstanding balance owing on the Local Improveme Program which paid for ditch infill. Analysis The proposal to rezone and subdivide the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with two two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with two two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with two two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with two two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with two the construction and the care in the case of | 0.00 | |
|--|------------------------|---|
| rear yard. Tree protection barriers will be installed prior final adoption of the zoning amendment bylaw or demolition of the existing house on site. • The applicant is also proposing to remove two (2) boulevard trees in front of the site to allow the construct of future driveways. The two boulevard trees were previously topped and both Parks Department and the Arborist agreed that there is limited value to these boulevard trees to the environment and surrounding community. • The applicant will need permission from Parks Department to remove the boulevard trees and compensate the urbiforest by replacing each of these trees with two trees in sizes and species agreeable to the Parks Department. • Removal of the boulevard trees will be at the owner's expense. If there is no room to plant new boulevard tree in this location, the applicant will to contribute to the Tree Planting Fund (\$500 per tree) to plant trees elsewhere. • At future Subdivision stage, the developer will be required to pay Development Cost Charges, Neighbourhood improvement Charges for future road improvements, School Site Acquisition Charge, Address Assignment Fe and Servicing Costs. Developer will also be required to pay outstanding balance owing on the Local Improveme Program which paid for ditch infill. Analysis • The proposal to rezone and subdivide the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the site of the si | Staff Comments (Cont.) | In order to ensure that the landscaping works are undertaken, the City would require a Landscaping Security in the amount of \$1,000 for the two (2) replacement trees on site prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw. |
| boulevard trees in front of the site to allow the construct of future driveways. The two boulevard trees were previously topped and both Parks Department and the C Arborist agreed that there is limited value to these boulevard trees to the environment and surrounding community. • The applicant will need permission from Parks Departm to remove the boulevard trees and compensate the urbor forest by replacing each of these trees with two trees in sizes and species agreeable to the Parks Department. • Removal of the boulevard trees will be at the owner's expense. If there is no room to plant new boulevard tree in this location, the applicant will to contribute to the Tre Planting Fund (\$500 per tree) to plant trees elsewhere. • At future Subdivision stage, the developer will be required to pay Development Cost Charges, Neighbourhood Improvement Charges for future road improvements, School Site Acquisition Charge, Address Assignment Feand Servicing Costs. Developer will also be required to pay outstanding balance owing on the Local Improvement Program which paid for ditch infill. Analysis • The proposal to rezone and subdivide the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with the subjec | | rear yard. Tree protection barriers will be installed prior to final adoption of the zoning amendment bylaw or |
| to remove the boulevard trees and compensate the urbal forest by replacing each of these trees with two trees in sizes and species agreeable to the Parks Department. Removal of the boulevard trees will be at the owner's expense. If there is no room to plant new boulevard tree in this location, the applicant will to contribute to the Tre Planting Fund (\$500 per tree) to plant trees elsewhere. At future Subdivision stage, the developer will be require to pay Development Cost Charges, Neighbourhood Improvement Charges for future road improvements, School Site Acquisition Charge, Address Assignment Fe and Servicing Costs. Developer will also be required to pay outstanding balance owing on the Local Improveme Program which paid for ditch infill. Analysis The proposal to rezone and subdivide the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with | | boulevard trees in front of the site to allow the construction of future driveways. The two boulevard trees were previously topped and both Parks Department and the City Arborist agreed that there is limited value to these boulevard trees to the environment and surrounding |
| expense. If there is no room to plant new boulevard tree in this location, the applicant will to contribute to the Tre Planting Fund (\$500 per tree) to plant trees elsewhere. • At future Subdivision stage, the developer will be require to pay Development Cost Charges, Neighbourhood Improvement Charges for future road improvements, School Site Acquisition Charge, Address Assignment Fe and Servicing Costs. Developer will also be required to pay outstanding balance owing on the Local Improveme Program which paid for ditch infill. Analysis • The proposal to rezone and subdivide the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with | | |
| to pay Development Cost Charges, Neighbourhood Improvement Charges for future road improvements, School Site Acquisition Charge, Address Assignment Fe and Servicing Costs. Developer will also be required to pay outstanding balance owing on the Local Improveme Program which paid for ditch infill. Analysis The proposal to rezone and subdivide the subject prope into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent wit | | expense. If there is no room to plant new boulevard trees in this location, the applicant will to contribute to the Tree |
| into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent wit | | to pay Development Cost Charges, Neighbourhood Improvement Charges for future road improvements, School Site Acquisition Charge, Address Assignment Fee, and Servicing Costs. Developer will also be required to pay outstanding balance owing on the Local Improvement |
| this block. It is similar to developments already undertaken in the immediate vicinity of the site. | Analysis | The proposal to rezone and subdivide the subject property into two (2) single-family residential lots is consistent with all applicable land use designation guiding development in this block. It is similar to developments already |
| potential to rezone and subdivide. Given that some of the lots in the area are small already and/or have relatively | | new housing, the character of the neighbourhood should |
| Attachment 1 – Location Map; Attachment 2 – Proposed Subdivision Plan/Tree Survey. | Attachments | |


| Recommendation | The rezoning application complies with all land use designations contained within the OCP and is consistent with | |
|----------------|--|--|
| | the direction of redevelopment currently ongoing in the | |
| | surrounding area. On this basis, staff support the application. | |

Edwin Lee Planning Technician – Design (Local 4121)

EL:blg







Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300 Amendment Bylaw 8054 (RZ 06-328448) 8400 HEATHER STREET

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Zoning Map of the City of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300, is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING DISTRICT A (R1/A).

P.I.D. 005-375-461 Lot 156 Section 22 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 40639

2. This Bylaw may be cited as "Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300, Amendment Bylaw 8054".

| FIRST READING | APR 1 0 2006 | CITY OF RICHMOND |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON | | APPROVED by |
| SECOND READING | | APPROVED by Director or Solicitor |
| THIRD READING | | - Joseph |
| DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS SATISFIED | | _ |
| ADOPTED | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| MAYOR | CORPORATE OFFICER | |