



City of Richmond

Report to Committee Planning and Development Division


To: Planning Committee
From: Wayne Craig
Director, Development


Date: March 10, 2017
File: RZ 16-726337

Re: Application by Anthem Properties Group Ltd. for Rezoning at 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" Zone to "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)"

Staff Recommendation

That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687, for the rezoning of 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)" zone, be introduced and given first reading.


Wayne Craig
Director, Development
EL:blg
Att. 8

REPORT CONCURRENCE		
ROUTED TO:	CONCURRENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER
Affordable Housing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	 FOR JOE ERCEGA

Staff Report

Origin

Anthem Properties Group Ltd. has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to rezone 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road (Attachment 1) from “Single Detached (RS1/E)” zone to “Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)” zone in order to permit the development of 47 townhouse units.

Project Description

The eight properties under this application have a total combined frontage of 174 m, and are proposed to be consolidated into one development parcel. The proposed density is 0.7 FAR. The site layout includes 19 two-storey units and 28 three-storey units in 15 townhouse clusters. Vehicle access is provided by a single driveway access to No. 5 Road and four separate pedestrian accesses will be provided. The required outdoor amenity area is situated at the southeast corner of the site.

A preliminary site plan, building elevations, and landscape plan are contained in Attachment 2.

Findings of Fact

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is attached (Attachment 3).

Surrounding Development

To the North: Single-family homes zoned “Single Detached (RS1/E)”, which are identified for townhouse development under the Arterial Road Land Use Policy.

To the South: A 21-unit townhouse complex on a lot zoned “Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)”.

To the East: Across No. 5 Road, a City-owned property located in the Agriculture Land Reserve (ALR) and zoned “Assembly (ASY)” and “Agriculture and Botanical Show Garden (ZA3) – Fantasy Gardens” for future day care centre and park uses.

To the West: Across a lane, single-family homes on large lots zoned “Single Detached (RS1/E)”, fronting on to Seamount Road.

Related Policies & Studies**Official Community Plan**

The 2041 Official Community Plan (OCP) Land Use Map designation for the subject site is “Neighbourhood Residential”. This redevelopment proposal is consistent with this designation.

Arterial Road Policy

The Arterial Road Land Use Policy in the City’s 2041 OCP (Bylaw 9000), directs appropriate townhouse development onto certain arterial roads outside the City Centre. The subject site is identified for “Arterial Road Townhouse” on the Arterial Road Housing Development Map and the proposal is in compliance with the Townhouse Development Requirements under the Arterial Road Policy except for the minimum 50 m width for residual site requirement. The proposal will leave a residual site to the north with a frontage of approximately 26.8 m at 10451 and 10471 No. 5 Road.

The applicant has been advised of the Townhouse Development Requirements and has been requested to acquire the two adjacent properties to the north. The applicant advised staff in writing that they have made attempts to acquire adjacent properties, but cannot reach an agreement with the owners.

To verify the viable future redevelopment of the residual site to the north, the applicant has provided a development concept plan for the site (on file). Also, registration of a statutory right-of-way (SRW) over the internal driveway on the development site will be required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw in order to facilitate access to future development to the north.

Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) Buffer Zone

A landscape buffer is required along the No. 5 Road frontage of this site. The buffer is intended to mitigate land use conflicts between the residential uses on the subject site and any agricultural land uses on the east side of No. 5 Road. The applicant is proposing a 4.0 m wide ALR buffer on site along the entire east property line. The proposal, including planting details, will be referred to the Agricultural Advisory Committee (AAC) for their review and comments. Staff will work with the applicant to amend the proposed planting plan based on AAC’s comments, if any, through the Development Permit stage.

In addition to the landscaping requirements of the buffer, a restrictive covenant will be registered on Title, indicating that the landscaping within the ALR buffer cannot be removed or modified without the City’s approval. The covenant would also identify that the landscape planting is intended to be a buffer to mitigate the impacts of noise, dust and odour generated from typical farm activities.

Floodplain Management Implementation Strategy

The proposed redevelopment must meet the requirements of the Richmond Flood Plain Designation and Protection Bylaw 8204. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on Title is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

Public Art

In response to the City's Public Art Program (Policy 8703), the applicant will provide a voluntary contribution at a rate of \$0.81 per buildable square foot (2016 rate) to the City's Public Art Reserve fund; for a total contribution in the amount of \$59,369.35.

Public Consultation

A rezoning sign has been installed on the subject property. Staff have not received any written comments from the public about the rezoning application in response to the placement of the rezoning sign on the property.

The applicant conducted a public Open House for the rezoning application on June 22, 2016. The Open House was held at Daniel Woodward Elementary School, which is located within walking distance of the development site. An Open House flyer was delivered by the applicant to approximately 100 households (see Attachment 4 for the Notification Area). Staff attended the Open House to observe the meeting and answer policy or process-related questions. 23 people attended the event, and 10 of them were from six households located within the notification area. Comment sheets were provided to all the attendees and five completed comment sheets were received (Attachment 5) at the end of the meeting. A copy of the Open House Summary prepared by the applicant is included in Attachment 6.

Major concerns from the neighbourhood on the proposed townhouse development are summarized below; with responses to each of the concerns identified in ***bold italics***.

1. Fence height along the west property line should be raised to 1.5 m (5 ft.) to avoid trespassing.

A line of 1.2 m (4 ft.) tall wood fence is proposed on top of a new retaining wall (ranging from 0.7 m to 0.9 m high) along the west property line. The overall height of this solid screen along the rear lane would be approximately 1.9 m (6.2 ft.) to 2.1 m (6.9 ft.).

2. Removal of 90% of the trees on site and removal of large trees on site should be avoided.

The applicant is proposing to retain seven of the nine bylaw-sized trees on site that are in good condition. This includes five Douglas Fir trees located at the southern edge of the site, which are in excellent condition. The retention of this grove of five Douglas Fir trees precludes any construction on the southeast portion of the site. The applicant has revised the site plan to locate the outdoor amenity area from a more centralized location to the southeast corner of the site and reduced the number of units proposed.

The applicant is proposing to remove two bylaw-sized trees on site that are in good condition due to their conflicts with site grading. The applicant is proposing to remove another 49 bylaw-sized trees due to their poor condition. While 88% of the bylaw-sized on site are proposed to be removed, 78% of healthy trees on site are being retained.

3. Rear Yard Setback from the City lane should be increased from the proposed 4.5 m to 6.0 m.

The Arterial Road Guidelines for Townhouses in the OCP suggests that townhouse clusters be set back 6.0 m from the rear property line along the rear yard interface with single-family housing. There is a 6.0 m wide lane located between the adjacent single-family homes and the subject townhouse site; with the proposed 4.5 m rear yard setback, the proposed two-storey townhouse units will be located at least 10.5 m from the rear property line of the adjacent single-family lots to the east. Staff feel that this distance would pose minimal impact to the neighbouring residents. The approximately 0.5 m road dedication required along No. 5 Road also limits the opportunity to provide a larger rear yard setback. Appropriate landscaping along the rear yards of the proposed development should address any further adjacency concerns. Staff will work with the developer to ensure natural screening will be included in the proposal at the Development Permit stage.

Should the Planning Committee endorse this application and Council grant first reading to the rezoning bylaw, the bylaw will be forwarded to a Public Hearing; where any area resident or interested party will have an opportunity to comment.

Public notification for the Public Hearing will be provided as per the *Local Government Act*.

Analysis

Built Form and Architectural Character

The applicant proposes to consolidate the eight properties into one development parcel with a total area of 9,727.3 m², and construct 47 townhouse units. The proposal consists of a mix of two-storey and three-storey townhouse units, all with side-by-side double car garages. The three-storey units have been arranged in clusters of four units, with the ends of the blocks facing No. 5 Road. The two-storey units are arranged as duplexes and triplexes along the western edge of the site to serve as a transition to the single-family neighbourhood across from the back lane. The outdoor amenity area will be situated at the southeast corner of the site, surrounding the five protected Douglas Fir trees on site.

A Development Permit processed to a satisfactory level is a requirement of zoning approval. Through the Development Permit, the following issues are to be further examined:

- Compliance with Development Permit Guidelines for multiple-family projects in the 2041 Official Community Plan.
- Refinement of the proposed building form to achieve sufficient variety in design and setbacks to create a desirable and interesting streetscape along No. 5 Road and along the internal drive aisles; to reduce visual massing of the three-storey units along, and to address potential adjacency issues.
- Refinement of the proposed site grading to ensure survival of all proposed protected trees and appropriate transition between the proposed development to the public sidewalk on No. 5 Road, and to the adjacent existing developments.

- Review of size and species of replacement trees to ensure bylaw compliance and to achieve an acceptable mix of conifer and deciduous trees on site.
- Refinement of ALR buffer design in response to Agricultural Advisory Committee's comments.
- Refinement of landscape design, including screening of headlight glare onto No. 5 Road.
- Refinement of the outdoor amenity area design, including the choice of play equipment, to create a safe and vibrant environment for children's play and social interaction.
- Opportunities to maximize planting areas along internal drive aisles, to maximize permeable surface areas, and to better articulate hard surface treatments on site.
- Review of aging-in-place features in all units and the provision of convertible units.
- Review of a sustainability strategy for the development proposal, including measures to achieve an EnerGuide Rating System (ERS) score of 82.

Additional issues may be identified as part of the Development Permit application review process.

Transportation and Site Access

One vehicular access from No. 5 Road is permitted on this proposed development; limited to a right-in/right-out traffic movement. No access via the back lane is proposed due to the potential intrusion of traffic into the existing single family neighbourhood. This vehicular access will be utilized by adjacent properties to the north if they apply to redevelop; and be utilized by the adjacent property to the south when required. A Public Right-of-Passage (PROP) Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) over the entire area of the proposed entry driveway from No. 5 Road and the internal north-south manoeuvring aisle will be secured as a condition of rezoning.

Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, the developer is also required to dedicate approximately 0.5 m across the entire No. 5 Road frontage for road, and to accommodate frontage improvements, including, but not limited to: a new 1.5 m wide treed/grassed boulevard and a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk. Exact width is to be confirmed with survey information to be submitted by the applicant before final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

In addition, the developer is required to revise the orientation of the existing crosswalk on the north leg of the intersection at No. 5 Road and the access road to the Gardens development so that the crosswalk would be perpendicular to the travelled portion of the roadway. This will include, but not be limited to, the relocation of the existing traffic signal equipment, relocation of existing traffic signal loops, and others as necessary.

British Columbia Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) Referral

The subject site is located within 800 m of a controlled access highway (i.e., Highway 99), and the rezoning application was referred to the BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI). Preliminary approval of the subject rezoning was granted on August 30, 2016 for a period of one year pursuant to Section 52(3)(a) of the Transportation Act. Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, final approval from MOTI is required.

Tree Retention and Replacement

The applicant has submitted a Certified Arborist's Report; which assesses the structure and condition of on-site tree species, and provides recommendations on tree retention and removal. There is no tree on the neighbouring properties within 2 m of the property line of the subject site and no street trees on City property in front of the site.

The Report assesses 58 bylaw-sized trees on the subject site. The City's Tree Preservation Coordinator has reviewed the Arborist's Report and accepted the proposed tree retention scheme (Attachment 7):

- Five Douglas Fir trees located (in a small grove) at the southern edge of the development site are all in excellent condition and will be retained and protected a minimum 5.0 m out from the bases of the trees.
- One 75cm caliper Sitka Spruce tree is in good condition and will be retained and protected a minimum 4.5 m out from the base of the tree.
- One 86 cm caliper Red Cedar tree located along the No. 5 Road street frontage is in very good condition and will be retained and protected a minimum 5.0 m out from the base of the tree.
- One 35 cm caliper Japanese Maple tree located along the No. 5 Road street frontage is in very good condition, but the retention of this Japanese Maple tree would further restrict the developable area of this site. Considering that the applicant has made efforts to retain a grove of five Douglas Fir trees at the southern edge of the site by removing three proposed units, staff agreed to the removal of this Japanese Maple tree.
- One 51 cm caliper Variegated Tulip tree is in excellent condition; however, it is located in the middle of the north-south drive aisle. Staff have agreed to the removal of this tree with the understanding that two new Variegated Tulip trees (at a minimum size of 8 cm caliper) will be planted along the No. 5 Road street frontage to compensate for the loss of this tree.
- 49 trees on site will be removed due to poor structural condition; 98 replacement trees are required. According to the Preliminary Landscape Plan (Attachment 2), the developer is proposing to plant 111 new trees on site. The size and species of replacement trees will be reviewed in detail through the Development Permit and overall landscape design.
- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission to the City of a contract with a Certified Arborist for the supervision of all works conducted within or in close proximity to tree protection zones is required.
- Prior to Development Permit Issuance, submission to the City of a Tree Survival Security as part of the Landscape Letter of Credit. No Landscape Letter of Credit will be returned until the post-construction assessment report, prepared by the Arborist, confirming the protected trees survived the construction, is reviewed by staff.

Variance Requested

The proposed development is generally in compliance with the “Medium Density Townhouses (RTM3)” zone; with one proposed variance to reduce the front yard setback from 6.0 m to 4.5 m for proposed buildings # 9 to #13, and from 6.0 m to 5.5 m for buildings # 14 and 15. Staff support the requested variance recognizing that an approximately 0.5 m wide road dedication is required along the entire No. 5 Road frontage, no unit could be built on the southeast portion of the site due to the retention of a grove of five large Douglas Fir trees, and a large outdoor amenity will be provided. This variance will be reviewed in the context of the overall detailed design of the project; including architectural form, site design and landscaping at the Development Permit stage.

Affordable Housing Strategy

The applicant proposes to make a cash contribution to the affordable housing reserve fund in accordance to the City’s Affordable Housing Strategy. As the proposal is for townhouses, the applicant will make a cash contribution of \$4.00 per buildable square foot as per the Strategy; for a contribution of \$293,181.97.

Townhouse Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

The applicant has committed to achieving an EnerGuide Rating System (ERS) score of 82 and all units will be pre-ducted for solar hot water for the proposed development. A Restrictive Covenant to ensure that all units are built and maintained to this commitment is required prior to rezoning bylaw adoption. As part of the Development Permit Application review process, the developer will be required to retain a certified energy advisor (CEA) to complete an Evaluation Report to confirm details of construction requirements needed to achieve the rating.

Amenity Space

The applicant is proposing a cash contribution in-lieu of providing the required indoor amenity space on site. Council’s Policy 5041 (Cash in Lieu of Indoor Amenity Space) requires that a cash contribution of \$1,000 per unit up to 19 units, plus \$2,000 per unit over 19 units, plus \$3,000 per unit over 39 units be provided in lieu of indoor amenity space. The total cash contribution required for this 47 unit townhouse development is \$83,000.00.

Outdoor amenity space will be provided on site. Based on the preliminary design, the size of the proposed outdoor amenity space complies with the Official Community Plan (OCP) requirements of 6 m² per unit. Staff will work with the applicant at the Development Permit stage to ensure the configuration and design of the outdoor amenity space meets the Development Permit Guidelines in the OCP.

Site Servicing and Frontage Improvements

Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, the client is required to enter into the City's standard Servicing Agreement to design and construct frontage beautification and re-orientation of the crosswalk at the intersection of No. 5 Road and the access road to the Gardens development, as well as to install of a new fire hydrant on the west side of No. 5 Road and upgrade the storm sewer. All works are at the client's sole cost (i.e., no credits apply). The developer is also required to pay DCC's (City & GVS & DD), School Site Acquisition Charge and Address Assignment Fee. A list of rezoning considerations is included as Attachment 8, which has been agreed to by the applicants (signed concurrence on file).

Financial Impact or Economic Impact

The rezoning application results in an insignificant Operational Budget Impact (OBI) for off-site City infrastructure (such as roadworks, waterworks, storm sewers, sanitary sewers, street lights, street trees and traffic signals).

Conclusion

The proposed 47-unit townhouse development is consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) and the Arterial Road Policy in the OCP. Further review of the project design is required to ensure a high quality project and design consistency with the existing neighbourhood context, and this will be completed as part of the Development Permit application review process. The list of rezoning considerations is included as Attachment 8, which has been agreed to by the applicants (signed concurrence on file). On this basis, staff recommend support of the application.

It is recommended that Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687 be introduced and given first reading.





Edwin Lee
Planner 1
(604-276-4121)

EL:blg

- Attachment 1: Location Map
- Attachment 2: Conceptual Development Plans
- Attachment 3: Development Application Data Sheet
- Attachment 4: Open House Notification Area
- Attachment 5: Completed Comment Sheets Received at the Open House
- Attachment 6: Open House Summary
- Attachment 7: Tree Management Plan
- Attachment 8: Rezoning Considerations



City of
Richmond



RZ 16-726337

Original Date: 04/12/16

Revision Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES

CNCL - 405



City of Richmond




RZ 16-726337

Original Date: 04/12/16

Revision Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES

INTEGRA ARCHITECTURE INC.
416 WEST PENDER STREET
VANCOUVER, BC V6B 1T5
T 604.688.4220 F 604.688.4370
info@integra-arch.com
www.integra-arch.com
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Copyright reserved. The printed and digital versions of this document are the property of INTEGRA ARCHITECTURE INC. and may not be reproduced without written permission.



CNCL - 407

ANTHEM PROPERTIES

**TOWNHOUSE
DEVELOPMENT**
10475-10631 No. 5 ROAD
RICHMOND, BC
RZ16-728337

SITE PLAN

16381	[PROJECT]
1" = 20'	[SCALE]
March 02, 2017	[DATE]
CITY REVIEW	[REVIEW]

A-1.000



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530	531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640	641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650	651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660	661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670	671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680	681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700	701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710	711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720	721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730	731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740	741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750	751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760	761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770	771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780	781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790	791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800	801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810	811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820	821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830	831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840	841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850	851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860	861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870	871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880	881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890	891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900	901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910	911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920	921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930	931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940	941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950	951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960	961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970	971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980	981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990	991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000

on leaves.

ANTHEM PROPERTIES

[illegible]TOWN HOUSE
DEVELOPMENT

.....

NO. 5 ROAD

RICHMOND

RZ 16-72

DRAWING THE

LAND

Country	Year	GDP per capita (USD)
USA	1980	10,000
USA	1990	15,000
USA	2000	25,000
USA	2010	40,000
USA	2015	50,000
UK	1980	12,000
UK	1990	18,000
UK	2000	28,000
UK	2010	42,000
UK	2015	52,000
France	1980	14,000
France	1990	22,000
France	2000	32,000
France	2010	45,000
France	2015	55,000
Germany	1980	16,000
Germany	1990	25,000
Germany	2000	35,000
Germany	2010	48,000
Germany	2015	58,000
Japan	1980	18,000
Japan	1990	28,000
Japan	2000	38,000
Japan	2010	50,000
Japan	2015	55,000
China	1980	2,000
China	1990	3,000
China	2000	8,000
China	2010	15,000
China	2015	20,000
India	1980	1,000
India	1990	1,500
India	2000	3,000
India	2010	7,000
India	2015	10,000

SCALE: 100-200,000

DRAWING: MM

DESIGN: MM



CHKD: MCV OF 6

PMO PROJECT NUMBER: 16-019

[illegible][illegible]

01
 02
 03
 04

GAME TALK TUBE
 VERTICAL TROPICAL JUNGLE
 CRAB LINE FACE EXPO TO BINE CAPACITY WITH!
 BENCH WITH CEDAR SLATS



626-61091

CNCL - 408

© Copyright reserved. This drawing and design is the property of PML Landscape Architects and may not be reproduced or used for other projects without their permission.

pmlg
LANDSCAPE
ARCHITECTS
10475-10631
NO. 5 ROAD
RICHMOND, B.C.
P. 604-264-0011 F. 604-264-0022

SEAL



NO.	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY	CHKD
1	10/11/18	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MM	
2	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
3	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
4	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
5	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
6	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
7	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
8	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
9	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
10	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
11	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
12	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
13	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
14	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
15	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
16	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
17	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
18	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
19	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
20	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
21	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
22	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
23	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
24	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
25	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
26	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
27	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
28	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
29	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
30	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
31	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
32	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
33	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
34	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
35	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
36	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
37	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
38	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
39	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
40	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
41	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
42	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
43	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
44	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
45	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
46	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
47	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
48	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
49	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
50	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
51	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
52	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
53	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
54	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
55	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
56	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
57	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
58	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
59	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
60	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
61	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
62	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
63	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
64	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
65	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
66	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
67	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
68	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
69	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
70	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
71	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
72	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
73	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
74	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
75	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
76	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
77	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
78	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
79	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
80	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
81	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
82	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
83	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
84	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
85	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
86	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
87	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
88	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
89	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
90	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
91	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
92	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
93	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
94	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
95	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
96	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
97	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
98	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
99	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	
100	10/11/18	REVISED FOR COMMENTS	MM	

CLIENT

ANTHEM PROPERTIES

PROJECT

TOWN HOUSE
DEVELOPMENT

10475-10631

NO. 5 ROAD

RICHMOND, B.C.

RZ 16-728337

DRAWING TITLE

PRELIMINARY GRADING
PLAN

DATE: 15 MAR 03

SCALE: 1"=20'-0"


DRAWN: MM

DESIGN: MM


CHKD: MM

FIG PROJECT NUMBER: 16-019


16039-2-2P




3-3 SECTION 1/2"=1'-0"



4-4 SECTION 1/2"=1'-0"





1-1 SECTION 1/2"=1'-0"



2-2 SECTION 1/2"=1'-0"

CNCL - 409





ARCHITECT RECALL

INTRINSEC PROPERTIES

PROJECT

www.elsevier.com

OWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT

0475-10631 No. 5 ROAD

RICHMOND, BC

Z16-726337

1771

STREETSCAPE

EVALUATIONS

0011174 5555

100

10281
[continued] $116^{10} = 1.0^{\circ}$ [SCALE]February 20, 2017
[DATE]

1000000

NOTIFICATION

3
3
3
3
3
3
3

A-0 310

010-55-1111



BUILDING 1 - EAST ELEVATION



BUILDING 1 - WEST ELEVATION

TOWNHOUSE
RENTAL OPPORTUNITY

Block	Global Markets Ltd.	PVDF fabric sheet	Pre-stressed steel Reinforcing and cladding
Order	Other cement (about 50%) Flyash 50% Flyash 50% Flyash 50%	Non-soluble Cold Emulsion	Heat exchanger & Radiator unit
Block	Thermal 10% Metals	Resistant to Bleach (up to 10-15)	Machinery & Vessel Products
Order	Industrial in concrete	Grey	Exposed concrete

A-2.012



RZ 726337

Attachment 3

Address: 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road

Applicant: Anthem Properties Group Ltd.

Planning Area(s): Shellmont

	Existing	Proposed
Owner:	Anthem 5 Road Developments Ltd.	No Change
Site Size (m²):	9,814.51 m ²	9,727.36 m ² (after road dedication)
Land Uses:	Single-Family Residential	Multiple-Family Residential
OCP Designation:	Low-Density Residential	No Change
Area Plan Designation:	N/A	No Change
702 Policy Designation:	Single-Family Lot Size Policy 5434	No Change
Zoning:	Single Detached (RS1/E)	Low Density Townhouses (RTM3)
Number of Units:	8	47
Other Designations:	N/A	No Change


On Future Subdivided Lots	Bylaw Requirement	Proposed	Variance
Floor Area Ratio:	Max. 0.70	0.70 Max.	none permitted
Lot Coverage – Building:	Max. 40%	40% Max.	none
Lot Coverage – Non-porous Surfaces:	Max. 65%	65% Max.	none
Lot Coverage – Landscaping:	Min. 25%	25% Min.	none
Setback – Front Yard (m):	Min. 6.0 m	4.5 m to Bldgs #9-13 5.5 m to Bldgs #14-15	variance required
Setback – North Side Yard (m):	Min. 3.0 m	3.0 m Min.	none
Setback – South Side Yard (m):	Min. 3.0 m	3.0 m Min.	none
Setback – Rear Yard (m):	Min. 3.0 m	4.5 m	none
Height (m):	Max. 12.0 m (3 storeys)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 12.0 m (3 storeys) Max. along No. 5 Road 7.5 m (2 storeys) Max. along west property line 	none
Lot Width:	Min. 50.0 m	174.32 m	none

On Future Subdivided Lots	Bylaw Requirement	Proposed	Variance
Lot Depth:	Min. 30.0 m	56.34 m	none
Site Area:	Min. 1,800 m ²	9,727.36 m ²	none
Off-street Parking Spaces – Regular (R) / Visitor (V):	2 (R) and 0.2 (V) per unit	2 (R) and 0.21 (V) per unit	none
Off-street Parking Spaces – Total:	94 (R) and 10 (V)	94 (R) and 10 (V)	none
Tandem Parking Spaces:	Max. 50% of proposed residential spaces in enclosed garages (94 x Max. 50% = 47)	0	none
Small Car Parking Spaces	Max. 50% when 31 or more spaces are provided on site (104 x Max. 50% = 52)	47	none
Handicap Parking Spaces:	Min. 2% when 11 or more spaces are required (104 x 2% = 3 spaces)	3 spaces Min.	none
Bicycle Parking Spaces – Class 1 / Class 2:	1.25 (Class 1) and 0.2 (Class 2) per unit	1.4 (Class 1) and 0.21 (Class 2) per unit	none
Off-street Parking Spaces – Total:	59 (Class 1) and 10 (Class 2)	66 (Class 1) and 10 (Class 2)	none
Amenity Space – Indoor:	Min. 70 m ² or Cash-in-lieu	Cash-in-lieu	none
Amenity Space – Outdoor:	Min. 6 m ² x 47 units = 282 m ²	495.7 m ²	none

Other: Tree replacement compensation required for removal of bylaw-sized trees.



City of
Richmond



RZ 16-726337
June 22, 2016 Open House
Notification Area

Original Date: 02/21/17

Revision Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES

CNCL - 417

Development Information Session

Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.

DATE: June 22 TIME: 6:30

Name: <u>David + Elisabeth Langer</u>	
Address: <u>10720 Seamount Road</u>	
The Developer or City may contact me regarding these comments: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Preferred Method of Contact: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone: <u>604 275 5080</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail: <u>elanger@telus.net</u>	
1. Do you support the proposed project?	<u>Yes, 2 storey at the back, alley 3 at front ok.</u>
2. What do you like most about the proposed project?	<u>Trees at the back w/ fence.</u>
3. Do you have any concerns about the proposed project?	<u>TRAFFIC</u> <u>all come down Seamount, it turns Seaciff</u>
4. What would you suggest to improve or enhance the proposed project?	<u>Back fence height increase to 5 feet - stop people from jumping over</u> <u>Property line from back increase to 20 feet</u> <u>from 16 ft</u>
5. Please provide any additional comments.	<u>another open house</u> <u>more info as you progress</u>

Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.

CONTACTS:

Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis
City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee

Telephone: 604 689-3040
Telephone: 604 276-4121

E-Mail: nkasidoulis@AnthemProperties.com
E-Mail: elee@Richmond.ca

Development Information Session

Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.

DATE: TIME:

Name: <u>ANSA MARSHALL</u>	
Address: <u>10740 SEAMOUNT RD</u>	
The Developer or City may contact me regarding these comments: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Preferred Method of Contact: <input type="checkbox"/> Telephone: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail: <u>nsamars@38@yahoo.com</u>	
1. Do you support the proposed project?	<u>yes</u>
2. What do you like most about the proposed project?	<u>Flat Roofs, some large trees retained, landscaping, 3 ft loading low Picket fence with cedar hedge 6 ft. Low Picket</u>
3. Do you have any concerns about the proposed project?	<u>Some thought regarding impact on existing neighbours. entrance to development on 5 Road Really limited. foresee problems with traffic travelling north</u>
4. What would you suggest to improve or enhance the proposed project?	
5. Please provide any additional comments.	<u>Appreciated the thoughtful approach to fitting in with neighbourhood.</u>

Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.

CONTACTS:

Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis
City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee

Telephone: 604 689-3040
Telephone: 604 276-4121

E-Mail: nkasidoulis@AnthemProperties.com
E-Mail: elee@Richmond.ca

Development Information Session

Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publicly available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.

DATE: TIME:

Name: KEN MARGRAU	
Address: 10740 SEAMOUNT ROAD	
The Developer or City may contact me regarding these comments: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Preferred Method of Contact: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone: 604-272-3734 <input type="checkbox"/> E-Mail: KEN.MARGRAU@TELUS.NET	
1. Do you support the proposed project?	NO. BUT I DO REALIZE THE SITUATION DURING REDEVELOPMENT OF RICHMOND
2. What do you like most about the proposed project?	THE FLAT ROOFS & 2 STOREYS OF THE BACK UNITS THE MAXIMUM 3 FOOT FIRE LINE USE OF TREES. NO ACCESS TO SEAVUE ROAD.
3. Do you have any concerns about the proposed project?	REMOVING 90% OF THE PRESENT TREES THESE ARE IN THE MAIN HEAVY MATURE TREES. WHICH HOUSE A WEALTH OF WILD LIFE
4. What would you suggest to improve or enhance the proposed project?	EMPHASIS TO BE PLACED ON MINIMISING THE VISUAL IMPACT FROM OUR HOME ON SEAMOUNT RD.
5. Please provide any additional comments.	I AM IMPRESSED THAT THE BUILDER HAS ATTEMPTED TO INTEGRATE THIS DEVELOPMENT WITH THE RESIDENT NEIGHBOURHOOD. MINIMISING THE IMPACT OF THIS DEVELOPMENT IS A MUST.

Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.

CONTACTS:

Applicant: **Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis**
City of Richmond, Planner: **Edwin Lee**

Telephone: **604 689-3040**
Telephone: **604 276-4121**

E-Mail: **nkasidoulis@AnthemProperties.com**
E-Mail: **elee@Richmond.ca**

10475 - 10631 No. 5 Road - Proposed Townhome Development

Development Information Session

Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.

DATE: TIME:

Name:	Peter Katke
Address:	10780 Seamount Rd.
The Developer or City may contact me regarding these comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Preferred Method of Contact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone: 604-880-3834 <input type="checkbox"/> E-Mail:
1. Do you support the proposed project?	YES
2. What do you like most about the proposed project?	
3. Do you have any concerns about the proposed project?	(1) fence along laneway should be 5' rather than 3 feet
4. What would you suggest to improve or enhance the proposed project?	(2) Set-back from laneway should be 20' instead of 16'
5. Please provide any additional comments.	

Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.

CONTACTS:

Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis
City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee

Telephone: 604 689-3040
Telephone: 604 276-4121

E-Mail: nkasidoulis@AnthemProperties.com
E-Mail: elee@Richmond.ca

10475 - 10631 No. 5 Road - Proposed Townhome Development

Development Information Session

Please Note: This form will become part of the staff report to City Council on this development proposal and will be publically available. If you do not wish to be identified, please do not include your name on the form, only your address.

DATE: _____ TIME: _____

Name: <u>Lynne Cornwall</u>	
Address: _____	
The Developer or City may contact me regarding these comments: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Preferred Method of Contact: <input type="checkbox"/> Telephone: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> E-Mail: _____	
1. Do you support the proposed project?	<u>yes.</u>
2. What do you like most about the proposed project?	<u>yes</u>
3. Do you have any concerns about the proposed project?	<u>No</u>
4. What would you suggest to improve or enhance the proposed project?	<u>More Rd's out. Acces to lake.</u>
5. Please provide any additional comments.	<u>Design fits. Neighbour hood.</u>

Comments will be delivered to the City of Richmond for consideration. Alternatively, you may mail or email your comments to either the City of Richmond or to the Developer. All comments will be forwarded to the City.

CONTACTS:

Applicant: Anthem 5 Road Developments LP - Nick Kasidoulis
City of Richmond, Planner: Edwin Lee

Telephone: 604 689-3040
Telephone: 604 276-4121

E-Mail: nkasidoulis@AnthemProperties.com
E-Mail: elee@Richmond.ca



July 6th, 2016

City of Richmond
Planning Department
Attn: Edwin Lee, Planner
6911 No. 3 Road
Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1

10475 – 10631 No. 5 Road, Richmond, B.C. - Anthem 5 Road Developments LP

Developer Information Session Report – Wednesday, June 22nd 2016

As a local real estate development company with projects located across the Lower Mainland, Anthem Properties acknowledges and values the importance of engaging with the communities they develop within. Anthem makes it a top priority to connect with all potential stakeholders for every proposed project and diligently follows an extensive community outreach process from the point of submitting a development permit application, all the way through to the completion of a project. The company is committed to being consistent, accessible and receptive to all neighbours and project stakeholders.

Despite not being required to host a Developer Information Session for the public by the City of Richmond, Anthem opted to do so in order to give community stakeholders an opportunity to review and provide feedback on their initial plans for their No. 5 Road property. After identifying key stakeholder parties and potential sensitivities within the community, Anthem scheduled a Developer Information Session for the project on Wednesday, June 22nd 2016, between the hours of 5:30 pm – 8:00 pm. The event details were confirmed in advance with City of Richmond Planner, Edwin Lee. After being unable to book a meeting venue in the nearby Richmond Christian School campuses and church on No. 5 Road, Anthem decided to host the event in the gymnasium of Daniel Woodward Elementary School (owned by the Richmond School District). This venue was selected on the basis that it was located within walking distance from the development site, was handicap accessible and would be familiar to invested neighbours.

Anthem diligently followed the criteria provided by the City of Richmond for their Developer Information Session. This criteria included sending out approximately 100 notices to all residences located within the required area a minimum of 10 days prior to the meeting, in addition to sending email notifications to strata property managers for nearby all multi-family developments and running two consecutive ads in the Richmond News on Wednesday, June 15th and Friday, June 17th 2016.

On June 22nd 2016, clear signs for the event were posted on the venue doors, tables were set-up for attendees to use for registrations and to fill-out comment sheets, catering was provided and presentation boards were displayed providing extensive information on the proposed project

which included, but was not limited to: landscape details, context images and building elevations, conceptual images (hand-sketched renders), a traffic impact analysis, background on Anthem Properties as the developer, etc. Attendees included a number of Anthem staff members, the project's architect and landscape architect and City of Richmond Planner, Edwin Lee.

Over the course of the meeting, 22 individuals formally signed-in at the registration table with approximately 30 people in attendance in total. Anthem collected 5 comment sheets from attendees who were willing to provide their initial feedback on the proposed development, with 1 sheet being taken away by an attendee for submission after the fact. The main questions that were asked were centered on: the height of the fence or wall and the set-back between the East laneway and the project (based on concerns relating to parking/traffic and privacy for the neighbouring homeowners) and tree retention. Overall, Anthem received support for the proposed development with compliments being paid to the design, which many attendees noted as fitting nicely with the neighbourhood, the decision to have the site access be off of No. 5 Road and the efforts being put into retaining existing trees on the site.

Anthem looks forward to moving ahead with the proposed development and will continue all efforts to maintain positive relationships with all project stakeholders.

Sincerely,

Nick Kasidoulis
Development Manager, Anthem Properties
Email: nkasidoulis@anthemproperties.com
Direct: (604) 638-4401

cc:

Steve Forrest
Vice President of Development, Anthem Properties
Email: sforrest@anthemproperties.com
Direct: (604) 488-3632

**MIKE FADUM AND
ASSOCIATES LTD.
VEGETATION
CONSULTANTS**

#105, 8277 129 St.
Surrey, British Columbia
V3W 0A6
Ph: (778) 593-0300
Fax: (778) 593-0302
Mobile: (604) 240-0309
Email: mfadum@fadum.ca

CLIENT

FILE NO.

PROJECT TITLE
**TREE PRESERVATION
AND PROTECTION PLAN**

10475 - 10631 #5 RD.
RICHMOND, B.C.

REVISIONS:

NO.	DATE	BY	REVISION
1	FEB2016	MK	LOT LAYOUT
2	MAY2016	MK	REVISED LAYOUT
3	SEPT0816	MK	REVISED LAYOUT
4	FEB1017	MK	REVISED LAYOUT

SHEET TITLE

**T1 - TREE REMOVAL AND
PRESERVATION PLAN**

© Copyright Reserved. This
drawing and design is the property
of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
and may not be reproduced or
used for other projects without their
permission.

DRAWN

MK

SCALE

AS SHOWN

DATE

FEBRUARY 26, 2016

T-1

SHEET 1 OF 2

**LEGEND**

- TREE TO BE RETAINED
⊗ TREE TO BE REMOVED

○ MINIMUM NO DISTURBANCE ZONE

CNCL - 425

**MIKE FADUM AND
ASSOCIATES LTD.
VEGETATION
CONSULTANTS**

#105, 8277 129 St.
Surrey, British Columbia
V3W 0A6

Ph: (778) 593-0300

Fax: (778) 593-0302

Mobile: (604) 240-0309

Email: mfadum@fadum.ca

CLIENT

FILE NO.

PROJECT TITLE

**TREE PRESERVATION
AND PROTECTION PLAN**

10475 - 10631 #5 RD.
RICHMOND, B.C.

REVISIONS:

NO.	DATE	BY	REVISION
1	FEB2916	MK	LOT LAYOUT
2	MAY2516	MK	REVISED LAYOUT
3	SEPT0816	MK	REVISED LAYOUT
4	FEB1017	MK	REVISED LAYOUT

NOTE: TREE PROTECTION FENCING SHALL BE
CONSTRUCTED TO MUNICIPAL STANDARDS,
REASSESS TREES WITH LOT SHADING PLANS.

NOTE: FOUNDATION TO BE INSTALLED AT OR
BEYOND 4.5M FROM EDGE OF TREE TRUNK (3
TREES TYP.) FLOOR TO CANTILEVER. NO
EXCAVATION WITHIN HATCHED AREA.
ROAD TO BE CONSTRUCTED ABOVE GRADE
WITHOUT EXCAVATION.

SHEET TITLE

**T2 - TREE PROTECTION
AND PRESERVATION
PLAN**

© Copyright Reserved. This
drawing and design is the property
of Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
and may not be reproduced or
used for other projects without their
permission.

DRAWN

MK

SCALE

AS SHOWN

DATE

FEBRUARY 26, 2016

T-2

SHEET 2 OF 2



LEGEND

- TREE TO BE RETAINED
- TREE PROTECTION FENCING

- MINIMUM NO DISTURBANCE ZONE
- ROOT PROTECTION ZONE
NO EXCAVATION WITHIN HATCHED ZONE

CNCL - 426

Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
Vegetation Consultants

Date: March 2, 2017
Address: 10475-10631 No. 5 Road, Richmond, BC

Tree #	Type	DBH (cm)	Approximate Dripline
88	Fig (<i>Ficus sp</i>)	13/12 /12	4.22m
89	Pear (<i>Pyrus sp</i>)	13/8/ 8	1.69m
90	Cherry (<i>Prunus sp</i>)	~10/ 12/15 /10	2.26m
99	Cherry (<i>Prunus sp</i>)	~20/ 25	2.15m
301	Japanese Maple (<i>Acer palmatum</i>)	~15- 25 X 5	5.72m
302	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	66	5.24m
303	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	59	8.76m
304	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	41	8.76m
305	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	60	8.76m
306	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	74	8.76m
307	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	45	8.76m
308	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	55	8.76m
309	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	52	8.76m
310	Falsecypress (<i>Chamaecyparis sp</i>)	27	1.73m

Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
#105, 8277-129 Street, Surrey, BC, V3W 0A6
Phone 778-593-0300 Fax 778-593-0302



Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
Vegetation Consultants

Date: March 2, 2017
Address: 10475-10631 No. 5 Road, Richmond, BC

Tree #	Type	DBH (cm)	Approximate Dripline
311	Cherry (<i>Prunus sp</i>)	~20- 25 X5	5.82m
312	Apple (<i>Malus sp.</i>)	36	4.41m
313	Cherry (<i>Prunus sp</i>)	35	3.21m
314	European White Birch (<i>Betula pendula</i>)	37	6.07m
315	European White Birch (<i>Betula pendula</i>)	46	4.87m
316	European White Birch (<i>Betula pendula</i>)	35	4.91m
317	Sawara Falsecypress (<i>Chamaecyparis pisifera</i>)	27	3.33m
318	Sawara Falsecypress (<i>Chamaecyparis pisifera</i>)	~25/ 25	3.33m
319	Western Redcedar (<i>Thuja plicata</i>)	~35	3.33m
320	Western Redcedar (<i>Thuja plicata</i>)	35	3.33m
322	Western Redcedar (<i>Thuja plicata</i>)	86	5.4m
323	Portugal laurel (<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>)	~5-25 X 7	4.84m

Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
#105, 8277-129 Street, Surrey, BC, V3W 0A6
Phone 778-593-0300 Fax 778-593-0302



Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
Vegetation Consultants

Date: March 2, 2017
Address: 10475-10631 No. 5 Road, Richmond, BC

Tree #	Type	DBH (cm)	Approximate Dripline
324	Portugal laurel (<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>)	~25/ 30	4.55m
325	Portugal laurel (<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>)	~12/ 15/20	1.83m
326	Portugal laurel (<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>)	~25/ 15/15	4.8m
327	Portugal laurel (<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>)	~5-15 x 8	3.02m
328	Portugal laurel (<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>)	~20/2 0/20	4.05m
329	Tulip Tree (<i>Liriodendron</i> sp.)	51	6.5m
862	Western Redcedar (<i>Thuja plicata</i>)	~6-12 x8	~4m
863	Sitka spruce (<i>Picea sitchensis</i>)	75	6.77m
864	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	~72	5.83m
865	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	56	4.52m
866	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	52	5.80m
867	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	52	4.42m
868	Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	66	5.54m

Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
#105, 8277-129 Street, Surrey, BC, V3W 0A6
Phone 778-593-0300 Fax 778-593-0302



Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
Vegetation Consultants

Date: March 2, 2017
Address: 10475-10631 No. 5 Road, Richmond, BC

Tree #	Type	DBH (cm)	Approximate Dripline
869	Apple (<i>Malus</i> sp) Colorado Blue	25	stump
870	Spruce (<i>Picea pungens</i> "Glauc")	38	3.42m
871	Cherry (<i>Prunus</i> sp)	48	5.24m
872	Apple (<i>Malus</i> sp)	~25/ 35	3.65m
873	Pear (<i>Pyrus</i> sp)	17/14 /15	3.13m
874	Pear (<i>Pyrus</i> sp)	~12/ 10/6/ 7	2.51m
875	Apple (<i>Malus</i> sp)	22/24 /22	3.90m
876	Apple (<i>Malus</i> sp)	~20/ 10	2.61
877- 884	Lombardy Poplar (<i>Populus nigra</i> X8)	~45- 90	5.55m
885	Apple (<i>Malus</i> sp)	~35	4.34m
886	Paper Birch (<i>Betula papyrifera</i>)	42	5.22m
887	Falsecypress (<i>Chamaecypariss</i> sp)	~25	1.75m

Mike Fadum and Associates Ltd.
#105, 8277-129 Street, Surrey, BC, V3W 0A6
Phone 778-593-0300 Fax 778-593-0302





Address: 10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 **File No.:** RZ 16-726337
No. 5 Road

Prior to final adoption of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Consolidation of all the lots into one development parcel (which will require the demolition of all existing dwellings).
2. Approximately 0.5 m wide road dedication along the entire No. 5 Road frontage to accommodate a new 1.5 m wide treed/grassed boulevard and a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk; exact width is to be confirmed with survey information to be submitted by the applicant.
3. Registration of a legal agreement on Title to identify the Agriculture Land Reserve (ALR) buffer area (4.0 m wide, measured from the new property line along No. 5 Road), to ensure that landscaping planted within this buffer is maintained and will not be abandoned or removed, and to indicate that the subject property is located adjacent to active agricultural operations and subject to impacts of noise, dust and odour.
4. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on Title.
5. Registration of a cross-access easement, statutory right-of-way (SRW), and/or other legal agreements or measures; as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, over the full width and extent of the entry driveway from No. 5 Road and the main north-south internal drive aisle on site in favour of the existing and future residential development to the south, as well as the future residential developments to the north. Language should be included in the SRW document that the City will not be responsible for maintenance or liability within the SRW and that utility SRW under the drive aisle is not required.
6. Registration of a legal agreement on Title; identifying that the proposed development must be designed and constructed to meet or exceed EnerGuide 82 criteria for energy efficiency and that all dwellings are pre-ducted for solar hot water heating.
7. Provincial Ministry of Transportation & Infrastructure Approval.
8. Submission of a Contract entered into between the applicant and a Certified Arborist for supervision of any on-site works conducted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained on adjacent properties. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken, including: the proposed number of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction assessment report to the City for review.
9. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute \$0.81 per buildable square foot (e.g. \$59,369.35) to the City's Public Art fund.
10. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute \$4.00 per buildable square foot (e.g. \$293,181.97) to the City's affordable housing fund.
11. Contribution of \$83,000.00 in-lieu of on-site indoor amenity space.
12. Enter into a Servicing Agreement* for the design and construction of engineering infrastructure improvements. Works include, but may not be limited to:

Water Works

- a. Using the OCP Model, there is 646.0 L/s of water available at a 20 psi residual at the No. 5 Road frontage. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of 220 L/s.

- b. The Developer is required to:
 - Submit Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) or International Organization for Standardization (ISO) fire flow calculations to confirm the development has adequate fire flow for on site fire protection. Calculations must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer and be based on Building Permit Stage Building designs.
 - Install a new fire hydrant on the west side of No. 5 Road; to service the proposed development. Coordination with the City's Fire Department to confirm the location of the proposed hydrant is required.
- c. At the Developer's cost, the City will:
 - Cut and cap at main; the eight existing water service connections.
 - Install a new water service connection off of the 300 mm PVC watermain along No. 5 Road.

Storm Sewer Works

- a. The Developer is required to:
 - Upgrade approximately 180 m of the existing 600 mm storm sewer on the west side of No. 5 Road to 900 mm; complete with tie-in to existing manhole STMH114064 by southeast corner of Lot 10631, and two new manholes by the northeast corner of Lot 10475. The new drainage alignment should be moved into the road's travel lane; out of the sidewalk.
 - Cut, cap and remove/fill per MMCD the existing 600 mm storm sewer along the entire frontage of the development site.
 - Install a new storm service connection; complete with inspection chamber at the southeast corner of the development site and tie-in to the proposed 900 mm storm sewer.
- b. At the Developer's cost, the City is to cut, cap, and remove the existing storm service connections and inspection chambers along the frontage of the development site.

Sanitary Sewer Works

- a. The Developer is required to:
 - Install a new sanitary service connection to the existing manhole SMH999 at the southwest corner of Lot 10591. The manhole will serve as the inspection chamber for the development.
 - Remove all existing sanitary service connections and inspections chambers.

Frontage Improvements

- a. The Developer is required to:
 - Construct a new 1.5 m wide treed/grassed boulevard and a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk along the entire No. 5 Road frontage; behind the existing curb and gutter.
 - Revise the orientation of the existing crosswalk on the north leg of the No. 5 Road/access road intersection so that the crosswalk would be perpendicular to the travelled portion of the roadway. This will include, but not limited to, the relocation of the existing traffic signal equipment, relocation of existing traffic signal loops, and others as necessary.
 - Coordinate with BC Hydro, Telus and other private communication service providers:
 - i. To underground Hydro service lines.
 - ii. When relocating/modifying any of the existing power poles and/or guy wires within the property frontages.
 - iii. To determine if above ground structures are required and coordinate their locations on-site (e.g. Vista, PMT, LPT, Shaw cabinets, Telus Kiosks, etc.).

General Items

a. The Developer is required to:

- Enter into, if required, additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Provide, within the first Servicing Agreement submission, a geotechnical assessment of preload and soil preparation impacts on the existing utilities surrounding the development site and provide mitigation recommendations.

13. The submission and processing of a Development Permit* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.

Prior to a Development Permit* being forwarded to the Development Permit Panel for consideration, the developer is required to:

1. Complete a proposed townhouse energy efficiency report and recommendations prepared by a Certified Energy Advisor which demonstrates how the proposed construction will meet or exceed the required townhouse energy efficiency standards (EnerGuide 82 or better), in compliance with the City's Official Community Plan.

Prior to a Development Permit* issuance, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Submission of a Landscaping Security based on 100% of the cost estimate provided by the landscape architect.
2. Submission of a Tree Survival Security to the City as part of the Landscape Letter of Credit to ensure that all trees identified for retention will be protected. No Landscape Letter of Credit will be returned until the post-construction assessment report, confirming the protected trees survived the construction, prepared by the Arborist, is reviewed by staff.

Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all hedges to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
Should the applicant wish to begin site preparation work after third reading of the rezoning bylaw, but prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw and issuance of the Development Permit, the applicant will be required to obtain a Tree Permit and submit landscaping security (i.e. \$59,000 in total) to ensure the replacement planting will be provided.
2. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
3. Incorporation of energy efficiency, CPTED, sustainability, and accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
4. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges associated with eligible latecomer works.
5. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

Note:

- * This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.

All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.

The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.

- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial *Wildlife Act* and Federal *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, which contain prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.

Signed

Date



Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500
Amendment Bylaw 9687 (RZ 16-726337)
10475, 10491, 10511, 10531, 10551, 10571, 10591 and 10631 No. 5 Road

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Zoning Map of the City of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it "**MEDIUM DENSITY TOWNHOUSES (RTM3)**".

P.I.D. 007-732-554

Lot 3 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 74727

and

P.I.D. 003-896-285

Lot 467 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 59290

and

P.I.D. 003-930-220

Lot 468 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 59290

and

P.I.D. 003-558-975

Lot 431 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 48580

and

P.I.D. 003-506-738

Lot 430 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 48580

and

P.I.D. 004-216-661

Lot 320 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 38486

and

P.I.D. 008-509-948

Lot 321 Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 38486

and

P.I.D. 009-816-186

Lot 6 Except: Part Subdivided by Plan 56313; Section 36 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 13375

2. This Bylaw may be cited as **“Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9687”**.

FIRST READING

A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON

SECOND READING

THIRD READING

OTHER CONDITIONS SATISFIED

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE APPROVAL

ADOPTED

MAYOR

CORPORATE OFFICER

CITY OF RICHMOND
APPROVED by BK
APPROVED by Director or Solicitor <i>ul</i>