## City of Richmond

## Report to Development Permit Panel

To: Development Permit Panel
From: Wayne Craig
Date: December 18, 2018

Director, Development
File: DP 16-754761

Re: Application by Bens (Richmond) Development Ltd. for a Development Permit at 6560, 6600,6640 and 6700 No. 3 Road

## Staff Recommendation

That a Development Permit be issued which would:

1. Permit the construction of a high-density, mixed-use development consisting of approximately $4,393 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(47,286 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of commercial and office floor area and approximately 166 residential units, including nine low-end market rental units, at 6560, 6600, 6640 and 6700 No. 3 Road on a site zoned "High Density Mixed Use (ZMU36) - Brighouse Village (City Centre)"; and
2. Vary the provisions of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 to reduce the front yard setback to No. 3 Road for portions of the building above 12.0 m from 0.5 m to 0.385 m .
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## Staff Report

## Origin

Bene (Richmond) Development Ltd. has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to develop a high-density, mixed-use development consisting of approximately $4,393 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $47,286 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) of commercial and office floor area and approximately 166 residential units, including nine low-end market rental units, at 6560, 6600, 6640 and 6700 No. 3 Road.
The proposed podium and tower form of development includes:

- A total floor area of approximately $17,572 \mathrm{~m} 2$ ( $189,142 \mathrm{ft} 2$ ).
- Four levels of retail, restaurant and office uses combined with four levels of above-grade parking.
- One eleven-storey and one eight-storey residential tower.

The site is being rezoned from "Downtown Commercial (CDT1)" to "High Density Mixed Use (ZMU36) - Brighouse Village (City Centre)", under Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9855 (RZ 15-694855). Key considerations of rezoning include:

- Design and construction of infrastructure improvements through a Servicing Agreement (SA) including:
- Upgraded City utilities.
- Preducting for and/or undergrounding of private utility lines and relocation of all private utility equipment on-site.
- Road dedications and frontage improvements to provide for an enhanced back-of-curb public realm on No. 3 Road.
- Road dedications and frontage improvements to provide for extension and widening of the existing north-south lane.
- Retention of three existing street trees on No. 3 Road and addition of new street trees as determined through the SA process.
- Design, construction and transfer ownership of the on-site energy plant to the City.
- Provision of cash-in-lieu contributions for childcare and community amenity space.
- Provision of a cash contribution to the City's Public Art Program.


## Development Information

Please refer to the Development Application Data Sheet (Attachment 1) for a comparison of the proposed development data with the relevant bylaw requirements. Please refer to the Development Permit Conditions of Approval (Attachment 2) for additional Development Permit requirements.

## Site and Context

Existing Site and Development: The subject site is located within the City Centre's Brighouse Village. It sits on the east side of No. 3 Road between Cook Road and Park Road and is comprised of four lots. The existing buildings have been demolished.
Surrounding Development: Development surrounding the subject site is as follows:

To the North: Adjacent, an existing low-scale commercial building with surface parking.
To the East: Across the north-south City lane, existing, low-scale commercial buildings on sites fronting both Cook Road and Park Road. The site at 8071 and 8091 Park Road is subject to a Rezoning Application [RZ 17-779299], which has received Third Reading, and a Development Permit Application [DP 18-831623], which will be subject to a separate report. The application proposes an approximately 4.0 FAR, 47.0 m high, podium and tower, commercial, office and residential use development.
To the South: Adjacent, existing low-scale commercial buildings with surface parking.
To the West: Across No. 3 Road, the Richmond Centre Mall site, which is zoned "Downtown Commercial (CDT1)". The southern portion of this site is subject to an Official Community Plan (OCP) Amendment Application [CP 16-752923], which received Third Reading on December, 17, 2018. The western portion of the Amendment area is subject to a Development Permit Application [DP 17768248], which will be subject to a separate report. The application proposes a combined FAR of 3.0 and a maximum height of 47.0 m and includes redevelopment of the mall and development of two blocks of mixed commercial and residential use podium and tower developments with a total of seven towers.

## Rezoning and Public Hearing Results

The Public Hearing for the rezoning of this site was held on June 18, 2018. No concerns were expressed about the development.

## Staff Comments

The proposed scheme attached to this report has satisfactorily addressed the urban and functional design issues identified as part of the review of the subject Development Permit application. In addition, it complies with the intent of the applicable sections of the Official Community Plan (OCP) and is generally in compliance with the "High Density Mixed Use (ZMU36) - Brighouse Village (City Centre)" zone except for the zoning variances noted below.

## Zoning Compliance/Variances

The applicant requests to vary the provisions of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 9855, to:

1) Reduce the front yard setback to No. 3 Road for portions of the building above 12.0 m from 0.5 m to 0.385 m .
(The proposed variance results in a 0.115 m (4.5 in.) reduction in the front yard for a small portion of the No. 3 Road frontage above 12.0 m . Staff supports the proposed variance as the reduction is not substantive and accommodates increased insulation depth in the façade, contributing to greater energy efficiency.

Prior to rezoning, the tower location was reviewed with TransLink in the context of a possible future extension of the Canada Line. TransLink supported the proposal subject to the lower four stories of the development meeting the Canada Line setback requirement of 6.0 m from the guideway drip line as hypothetically extended through this site. The lower four storeys are consistent with or greater than this requirement. The variance does not impact ground level circulation.)

## Advisory Design Panel Comments

The Advisory Design Panel (ADP) reviewed the proposal and was supportive of the proposed form and character subject to Panel comments. The applicant has addressed significant comments including:

- Design development of the central plaza and stair to increase open space and add legibility to the various building accesses.
- Design development of the landscape plans to improve wheelchair access and to better programme the common outdoor amenity area.
A copy of the relevant excerpt from the Advisory Design Panel Minutes from July 18, 2018 is attached for reference (Attachment 3). The applicant's detailed design responses are provided in 'bold italics' immediately following each Design Panel comment.


## Analysis

## Urban Design

Public Realm: The proposed development contributes to urban connectivity and public open space through a combination of off-site and on-site strategies. Included are:

- For No. 3 Road, a 9.0 m wide back-of-curb cross-section with a treed and planted boulevard, an off-street bike lane, a buffer strip and a 3 m wide sidewalk. This wider than typical cross-section, which applies to the east side of No. 3 Road between Granville Avenue and Brighouse Station, has been identified as a "culture/art stroll" in the City Centre Area Plan.
- For the north-south lane, extension of the lane to the north and widening of the lane to provide for a sidewalk and lighting along the property's new east property line.
- On the No. 3 Road frontage, at the ground level, a deeper building setback ( $4.0 \mathrm{~m}+/-$ ). The extra depth adds to the potential for street animation via outdoor display and seating.
- Approximately mid-site on No. 3 Road, a central plaza leading to lobbies for multiple functions on the site, as well as into to the parkade.

A preliminary concept plan for the No. 3 Road back-of-curb improvements has been provided for context (Attachment 4) and shows a paving pattern concept that integrates the back-of-curb improvements with the on-site landscape design. In addition to smoothing on- and off-site transitions, the proposed concept will assist with wayfinding. Further development of the offsite design for the subject site will occur through the Servicing Agreement process and minor adjustments of the approved Development Permit landscape plan may be warranted as a result. Any significant changes to on-site works will be subject to a General Compliance application.

Public Art: As a consideration of rezoning, the applicant has offered to make a contribution of $\$ 135,463$ to the City's Public Art Program. Public Art staff will act as the art consultant for the project and are exploring using the contribution to artistically enhance the pedestrian lighting that will be provided in the buffer strip between the No. 3 Road bike lane and sidewalk. This strategy is consistent with the City Centre Public Art Plan which includes policies related to enhancement of the No. 3 road corridor, including its street furnishings, with art. The lighting concept, if applied to other development sites between Granville Avenue and Brighouse Station, will contribute to the creation of an overall identity for this section of No. 3 Road. The proposed light enhancements will be managed through the Public Art process.
Public Adjacencies: The development concept proposes street-animating commercial uses at the ground level on No. 3 Road. Further, the development has been massed and stepped at the lower levels to physically and visually expand the public realm up and into the site. This stepping, combined with the location of the tower masses, supports good light access for both the site and the No. 3 Road public realm.

Private Adjacencies: The subject site sits mid-block and creates residual lots to its north and south. The applicant was unable to acquire these properties to add to the development site. Viability studies have been provided for these sites ( 6400 No. 3 Road and 6740 and 6760 No. 3 Road) to demonstrate the properties may be developed in accordance with the Official Community Plan (OCP).
Grade Relationships and Flood Construction Level: The applicant has assessed the requirements of the Flood Plain Designation and Protection Bylaw and has reviewed the circumstances with Building Approvals staff.

## Site and Functional Planning

Site Access: Proposed pedestrian access to the site includes storefront entries along No. 3 Road and ground level office and residential lobbies off the central plaza on No. 3 Road. An outdoor stair and elevator leads from the plaza to a publically accessible upper patio area and additional office, restaurant and residential lobbies.

Vehicle and truck access is proposed from the north-south lane with truck spaces located directly off the lane.

Fire Access: Fire access has been accepted by Fire Department and Building Approvals staff based on the fire access plan and code analysis provided by the applicant.
Parking and Loading: The proposed provision of bicycle, vehicle and truck spaces is consistent with the site-specific bylaw (ZMU36) provisions. Class 1 bicycle parking is provided on the first and second storeys of the parkade. Class 2 bicycle spaces are located along the No. 3 Road frontage and within the parkade. Proposed vehicle parking for the residential uses meets the bylaw requirements. Proposed vehicle parking for the commercial and office uses also meets the bylaw requirements. The loading and waste management spaces meet the bylaw: the loading area accommodates three medium-size trucks that will be shared between non-residential, residential and waste management trucks.

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Measures: As a consideration of rezoning, the parking rates were reduced based on a transportation consultant's assessment of the site specific needs and the provision of Transportation Demand Management measures. The measures include three car share spaces with cars, bicycle end-of-trip facilities for non-residential uses, bicycle repair facilities for residential uses, a Transit Pass program and a contribution for a bus shelter. A draft agreement with a car share company and a Letter of Credit for $\$ 75,000.00$ to secure the purchase of the car share cars is required prior to Development Permit approval. A Letter of Credit for $\$ 148,684.80$ is required prior to Development Permit approval to secure the Transit Pass Program.

Waste Management: The waste management facilities include separate non-residential and residential collection rooms that are immediately adjacent to the waste management loading area. A letter explaining how the facilities will function has been provided by the applicant's waste management consultant and the Waste Management Plan has been vetted by staff.
District Energy Utility: The central energy plant required as a consideration of rezoning includes equipment facilities located in the second level parkade and on the roof. The rooftop equipment is screened, positioned as remotely as possible from the adjacent tower and will be required to conform to the Noise Regulation Bylaw maximum noise levels. Sustainability staff have reviewed and accepted the proposed locations and areas reserved for the equipment. Should any changes affecting the form and character of the development be required as a result of more detailed engineering, a General Compliance application will be advanced to the Panel.
Third-party Utilities: All permanent third party utility equipment is required to be located on site. The applicant has stated that none is needed.
Common Indoor Amenity Space: A total of $332 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(3,574 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of residential common indoor amenity space is proposed. This meets the CCAP objective of $2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{unit}\left(22 \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{unit}\right)$. The area is distributed between a centralized two-story amenity space and a variety of passive communal spaces provided on multiple levels in conjunction with the vertical circulation for the rear building. The main amenity space includes an exercise area and a lounge with kitchen. The lower level of this space opens up to the adjacent residential common outdoor amenity space.
Common Outdoor Amenity Space: A total of approximately $1,975 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(21,259 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of common outdoor amenity space is proposed. This includes outdoor spaces provided for the public, non-residential users and residential users. It also includes areas of soft landscape used for buffering and environmental enhancement of the project.

- Public Space: Secured open space is provided on the ground level of the development in the form of a small central plaza. The area is approximately $204 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,896 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$. The public space leads to the office and residential lobbies, as well as to the car share spaces located just inside the parkade. The space also extends to the second level where access is provided for users of the restaurant, additional office and residential lobbies, the parkade and a number of small patio areas.
- Restaurant and Office: There is no specific requirement for non-residential outdoor space. However, approximately $303 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(3,362 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of outdoor amenity space is provided for the restaurant and offices on Level 2, Level 3 and Level 4. These spaces combine open air and covered patio areas.
- Residential: A total of approximately $1,468 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(15,801 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of residential common outdoor amenity space is provided on Levels 4 and 5 . This area slightly exceeds the minimum requirement of $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(65 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per unit plus $10 \%$ of the net development site area. The residential outdoor spaces combine multiple social and recreational functions including a children's play area, various seating areas, BBQ facilities, urban agriculture and east and west facing contemplation areas. The play space is approximately $505 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $5,436 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) and includes active and passive play areas. This slightly exceeds the minimum requirement of $3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit (included within outdoor amenity area). Please see the Landscape and Open Space section of this report for a discussion of the proposed play equipment and other landscape design strategies for the outdoor amenity areas.
- Natural Landscape: Calculated separately, the natural landscape component of the outdoor amenity space proposal is approximately $694 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(7,468 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$.
Private Outdoor Amenity Space: With the exception of the one-bedroom units overlooking No. 3 Road (which could be impacted by a future Canada Line extension), patios or balconies have been provided for all residential units. The combined area is approximately $1,346 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(14,488$ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ). This significantly exceeds the CCAP requirement $\left(996 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$. The patios and balconies include a variety of configurations (inset, partially inset, projecting and/or spanning the whole width of the unit) to address building articulation objectives.


## Community Amenities

Affordable Housing: A total of nine Low End Market Rental Housing (LEMR) units are proposed. These are clustered on Levels 5, 6 and 7 of the rear building and include a range of unit types (studio through three bedrooms). Family-friendly units comprise $66 \%$ of the total number of units and the combined floor area is $698 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(7,510 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$, per the rezoning.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING SUMMARY

| Unit Type | Affordable Housing Strategy Requirements |  |  | Unit Mix |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min. Permitted Unit <br> Area | Max. Monthly <br> Unit Rent* | Total Max. Household <br> Income* | $\%$ of <br> Units | \# of <br> Units |
| Studio | $37 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(400 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $\$ 811$ | $\$ 34,650$ or less | $33 \%$ | 3 |
| 1-Bedroom | $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(535 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $\$ 975$ | $\$ 38,250$ or less | $0 \%$ | 0 |
| 2-Bedroom | $69 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(741 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $\$ 1,218$ | $\$ 46,800$ or less | $33 \%$ | 3 |
| 3-Bedroom | $91 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(980 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $\$ 1,480$ | $\$ 58,050$ or less | $33 \%$ | 3 |
| TOTAL | $698 \mathrm{~m}^{2(7,510 \mathrm{ft2})}$ | Varies | Varies | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |

The proposal is supported by Affordable Housing staff and will be subject to a standard Housing Agreement and Covenant, including provision for use of a non-profit housing management model.

## Architectural Form and Character

The design team for the proposed development established a number of objectives for the project in addition to addressing the City Centre Development Permit Guidelines. These include:

- Creating a form of development that enhances and extends the public realm into the project.
- Creating a socially cohesive development that supports public, non-residential and residential use interaction.
- Integrating living landscape to create a "natural oasis" within the city.

The proposed massing of the development includes a four-storey podium base containing the commercial and office uses as well as the parkade. The commercial uses include retail located at grade, restaurant located on Level 2 and Level 3 and office located on Level 2, Level 3 and Level 4. Together, they form a three to four-storey podium/streetwall along No. 3 Road that is carved away approximately mid-frontage/mid-block to create an entry plaza at grade and a series of stepped patios, balconies and landscape features above.

The residential uses are situated in two massing components above the podium. The front or streetside component is designed as a standalone vertically-oriented tower. It is square in plan, 11 storeys and cantilevers beyond the podium below. The rear or laneside component is designed as a horizontally-oriented slab building and includes a four-storey lower section, which spans much of the width of the site, and a four-storey upper section, which is setback from the side property lines at the tower level ( 25 m ).
Massing: The proposed massing and distribution of uses address various design objectives of the CCAP Development Permit Guidelines as follows.

- The commercial and office podium/streetwall defines the No. 3 Road street edge and supports continuity with the podia/streetwalls that can be anticipated with redevelopment of abutting sites.
- A deeper front yard setback has been provided along the No. 3 Road frontage which amplifies opportunities for street activation through outdoor seating and display.
- The shared multi-level plaza further activates the street and creates a more porous development at the ground and second levels. It will draw building users and the public into the site as well as draw tenants, residents and patrons out towards the street.
- "Varied and distinctive building forms" are achieved with the use of the two residential massing components. The vertical tower will add to the rhythmical line of towers that is developing up and down the east side of No. 3 Road. The slab will operate as a backdrop to the site's communal activity and provide a secondary enclosing edge for the street.
- With much of the upper level massing located toward the rear of the site, a more intimate, four-storey, "shopping" street scale is established along the No. 3 Road frontage (e.g. "taming tall buildings").
- The two component residential massing solution also supports good street outlook for more of the dwelling units than would occur with a more integrated tower and slab approach.
- The tower and slab components are both set back from the side property lines above 25 m and provide for massing and tower location flexibility on the sites to the north and south.
Articulation: The proposed development is highly articulated and addresses the objectives of the Development Permit Guidelines in a variety of ways.
- Overall strategies include the use of a combination of orthogonal and sinuous forms; large scale framing elements; an alternating grey and white colour scheme; varied use of facade materials; varied balcony styles; and, highly integrated horizontal and vertical soft landscape.
- Large areas of glass on the lower levels facing No. 3 Road extend articulation from the public realm into the commercial and office interiors.
- The contrasting punched window wall and curtain wall finishing of the tower façade marks the development's entrance plaza with a 'signature' building.
- Pop out (indoor amenity space) and punch out (covered outdoor amenity space) building massing helps break up the long facades of the slab component and celebrates the communal aspects of the development.
- A varied skyline is achieved through the significantly different heights of the tower and slab components, the angled plan of the slab building and the stepped massing of the slab building.
- Proposed decorative features supplied by the applicant include the printed graphic screen on the No. 3 Road office façade for both visual interest and heat gain reduction.
- The lane way parkade is enhanced with metal screening and its massing broken down with a planted setback along the lane on the first level. The exposed north and south podium walls are provided with whimsical painted murals for interim visual interest.
Character: The proposed development has incorporated a wide variety of the character features described in the CCAP Development Permit Guidelines.
- "Strong Horizontal Expression" predominates at both the ground level and in the rear slab component of the residential massing.
- "West Coast Lifestyle Expression", including active outdoor living, is supported with the multi-level landscaped open spaces.
- Significant planting on the upper level terraces, much of which is visible from the streets and public areas of the site, supports "Garden City Expression".
- "Green Building Expression" gives rise to the proposed 50/50 window/wall ratio, significant planting and green roofs for storm water management, a combination of deeply recessed and deep cantilevered balconies for solar shading and west-facing balcony screening.
Materials and Colour: The proposed building materials (glass, metal and concrete) and colours (variations on grey and white) are relatively muted and serve to balance the intensity of the highly articulated building forms proposed for the development. They also provide a simple backdrop to the natural colours of the soft landscape.
The curtain and window wall systems have clear glass and utilize different framing systems to express different uses at the development's lower levels as well as to provide contrasting vertical and horizontal façade emphasis. Metal detail elements are used in a variety of ways including: shading for a section of the west-facing office windows; screening for the parkade; guardrails for some of the balconies; and, supports for vertical soft landscape.
Special Design Features: The proposed mid-frontage/mid-block pedestrian plaza, with its roles in creating porosity and bringing tenants, residents, patrons and the public together, is a defining feature of this project. Access to and from the restaurant, office and residential lobbies and vertical circulation, as well as access to and from the various parkade levels, is concentrated through this space at grade and on the second, third and fourth levels of the development. The parkade is designed as an extension of this space so that it will remain easily accessible for all as well as to enhance lighting and safety.

Signage: A signage and wayfinding package has been provided. The package was created to establish a contemporary urban identity for the development in addition to addressing the multiple functional objectives necessitated by a development of this scale. A separate Sign Permit Application is required for the proposed commercial signage.

## Landscape and Open Space Design

Ground and Second Level: The proposed on-site ground plane design along the No. 3 Road frontage combines hard and soft landscaping to create the plaza, commercial patios, areas for Class 2 bike parking and a third row of "street" trees. The paving patterns on this frontage are used to highlight the plaza and accesses to retail entries, lobbies and the parkade. The commercial patios are edged with planters to create a comfortable and demarcated relationship with the more active City sidewalk. A sculptural outdoor stairway draws the public up to the second level from the plaza where a dramatic entry to the restaurant is provided through a proposed three storey green wall. Grading has been designed to ensure disabled access to all lobbies, to all commercial units and to and from the parkade.
On the lane frontage, the proposal includes bamboo planted between the new sidewalk and the Level 1 parkade wall in order to enhance the environment for pedestrians and cyclists. At night, light will filter through from the parkade to assist with pedestrian safety.
Upper Level Commercial and Office Open Space: The various open spaces associated with Levels 2 and 4 are finished with unit paving, soft landscape and movable patio furnishing. Beyond the lobby/parkade circulation areas, the degree to which the open spaces will be shared by the tenants in the building will depend on future non-residential tenancies and agreements.
Residential Open Space: The common residential open space is focused on Level 5. It combines child, adult and intergenerational programming as well as more individual and more group oriented spaces. Landscape mounds with trees are used to define the different programmatic areas as well as to screen adjacent private patios. A cantilevered deck is proposed on the fifth level that will project into the trees growing up from the fourth level roof. This will provide an alternate and intimate experience of the natural landscape for both children and adults. A patio directly off the indoor amenity space is sheltered by the cantilevered indoor amenity space on Level 6 and is located adjacent to the child's play area so that weather protection can be provided for watching parents.
Child's Play: The child spaces have been organized into three general categories to meet a variety of developmental stages. The first is an active play area which will include both a larger play structure aimed at children 5-12 years, as well as smaller features to accommodate ages 2-5 years. Having these adjacent to one another allows younger children to learn by watching the activities of their older peers. In close proximity is a second category of child space - an outdoor family oriented space, partially under cover and partially in the open, that is designed to allow for quieter activities such as colouring, reading, and the sharing of meals. The final children's area is located on the north end of Level 5 and has been designed as a passive nature area, set within the natural plantings. This area, along with the cantilever deck, will allow children into close proximity with vegetated landscape, which is especially crucial for children in the city. The conventional play equipment includes a climbing structure that supports different kinds of crawling, climbing and sliding, springers, play tables and varied seating forms. The play structures are set on safety surfacing. These include ground level and elevated activities.

Green Roof: Extensive irrigated green roofs are proposed on Level 9 of the east tower. In addition to contributing to sustainability, the green roofs will enhance the view from future surrounding development.

Tree Retention and Replacement: There were no on-site bylaw-size trees. Off-site trees will be retained or replaced with compensation consistent with the considerations of rezoning, except as further noted. A Tree Protection Plan is provided (Attachment 4). The Plan reflects the current opinion of the arborist, landscape architect and civil engineer that the fourth tree may also be retained. The final circumstances will be established through the Servicing Agreement process.
Landscape Letter of Credit: Completion of the on-site landscaping will be secured with a Letter of Credit for $\$ 800,000.00$.

## Sustainability

LEED: As considerations of rezoning, the development will target LEED Silver. The preliminary scorecard is provided (Attachment 6).
$D E U$ : As a consideration of rezoning the applicant will Design, construction and transfer ownership of the on-site energy plant to the City.

## Livability

Accessibility: All units will be provided with Aging-in-Place features (stairwell hand rails; lever-type handles for plumbing fixtures and door handles; and solid blocking in washroom walls to facilitate future grab bar installation beside toilets, bathtubs and showers). The proposal also includes 24 units that are further enhanced with Basic Universal/Adaptable housing features (per Section 4.16 of the Richmond Zoning Bylaw). These units are permitted a density exclusion of $1.86 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(20 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per unit.
Basic Universal Housing

| Unit Tenure | Unit type | Total Units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low End Market Rental | ST through 3B+D | 9 |
| Market Strata | ST through 3B+D | 15 |

The applicant has also included a description of other accessibility features in the drawing package.
Noise Mitigation: The applicant has provided an acoustic consultant report indicating that the residential component of the development will be designed to incorporate sound mitigation measures as required per the rezoning considerations. The possible need for additional noise mitigation for DEU roof top equipment has also been acknowledged and is expected to be assessed in the Building Approval process, at which time the DEU equipment will be fully specified and sized. Should changes affecting the form and character of the development be required, a General Compliance application will be advanced to the Panel.

Crime Prevention through Environmental Design: The applicant has provided a list of the CPTED features in the DP drawing package. These include: engagement and passive surveillance between the development and the public realm; mix of uses supports day and night activity; careful delineation of public, semi-public and private open space; design of hard and soft landscape to maintain strategic site lines; use of a glass elevator for access to upper level restaurant; design of parkade to avoid entrapment; integration of physical and visual permeability to the parkade to heighten security; and, parkade painting and lighting to assist with wayfinding for the various special areas such as bicycle facilities, car share and EV charging.

## Phasing and Subdivision

The proposed development is of a size and design that precludes phasing. A preliminary subdivision strategy has been provided by the applicant and includes the following:

- One air space parcel for commercial and office floor area that will be further stratified within the airspace parcel.
- One air space parcel for Affordable Housing with no further stratification.
- One air space parcel based on the remainder area that will be further stratified in the case of the market residential units within the airspace parcel.


## Conclusions

As the proposed development would meet applicable policies and Development Permit Guidelines, staff recommend that the Development Permit be endorsed, and issuance by Council be recommended.


Janet Digby
Planner 3
(705-247-4620)
JD: blg
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## Development Application Data Sheet

Development Applications Department

| DP 16-754761 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | $6560,6600,6640 \& 6700$ No. 3 Road |
| Applicant: | Bene (Richmond) Development Ltd. |
| Owner: | Bene (Richmond) Development Ltd. |
| Planning Area(s): | City Centre - Brighouse Village |


| DP 16-754761 | Required | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Site Area: | Min. 4,000 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $4,066 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Net Development Site Area: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $4,393 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Land Uses: | Mixed Use | Mixed Use |
| OCP Designation: | Downtown Mixed Use | Downtown Mixed Use |
| Area Plan Designation: | Urban Core T6 (45 m) | Urban Core T6 (45 m) |
| Zoning: | ZMU36 | ZMU36 |
| Number of Residential Units: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 166 |


| DP 16-754761 | Bylaw Req't | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR): | Max. 4.0 | 4.0 | n/a |
| \|Floor Area per FAR: | Max. 17,572 m ${ }^{2}$ | 17,572 m ${ }^{2}$ | n/a |
| Non-residential Floor Area: | N/A | 4,393 m ${ }^{2}$ | - |
| Residential Floor Area: | Max. $13,179 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 13,179 m ${ }^{2}$ | - |
| Lot Coverage: | Max. 90\% | 85\% | - |
| Lot Size: | Min. 4,000 m ${ }^{2}$ | 4,066 m ${ }^{2}$ | - |
| Setback - Front Yard (west): | Min. $0.5 / 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.5/4.0+ m | $\mathrm{Y}^{* *}$ |
| Setback - Side Yard (north): | Min. $0.0 / 4.0$ m | 0.0/4.0+m | - |
| Setback - Side Yard (south): | Min. $0.0 / 4.0$ m | 0.0/4.0+m | - |
| \|Setback - Lane (east): | Min. $0.0 / 4.0$ m | 0.0/4.0+m | - |
| Height Dimensional (geodetic): | Max. 47.0 m | 46.89 m | - |
| Off-Street Parking Spaces - Residential Unit:* | 128 | 137 | - |
| Off-Street Parking Spaces - Affordable Housing Unit:* | 7 | 8 | - |
| Off-Street Parking Spaces - Visitor (Shared):* | (25) | (25) | - |
| Off-Street Parking Spaces - L1 and L2:* | 40 | 41 | - |
| Off-Street Parking Spaces - L3+:* | 45 | 45 | - |
| Off-Street Parking Spaces - Car Share Spaces: | 3 | 3 | - |
| Off-Street Parking Spaces - Total: | 223 | 234 | - |
| Off-Street Parking Spaces - Disabled: | 5 | 5 | - |
| EV Charging Residential: | 100\% | 100\% | - |
| EV Charging Car Share: | 3 | 3 | - |
| Loading Space - Large Size: | n/a | n/a | - |
| Loading Spaces - Medium Size: | 3 | 3 | - |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - Class 1: | 218 | 222 | - |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - Class 2: | 48 | 48 | - |
| Indoor Residential Amenity: | 332 m ${ }^{2}$ | 332 m ${ }^{2}$ | - |
| Outdoor Residential Amenity: | 1,423 m ${ }^{2}$ | 1,468 m ${ }^{2}$ | - |
| Child's Play Area (included in outdoor amenity area): | $498 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $505 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | - |

*Includes TDM Reduction (10\%). $\quad{ }^{* *}$ Proposed variance to reduce FY from 0.5 to 0.385 where applicable.

## City of Richmond

## Development Permit Conditions of Approval

Development Applications Department

The following conditions are to be met prior to forwarding this application to Council for approval:

1. Receipt of a Letter-of-Credit for landscaping in the amount of $\$ 800,000.00$ which includes the cost of construction and a $10 \%$ contingency amount for landscape works shown in the Development Permit plans.
2. Provision of a final acoustic report and recommendations by a professional acoustic consultant with respect to sound mitigation measures required as a consideration of rezoning and including a commitment to assess and recommend mitigation measures at the Building Approval stage for any DEU mechanical equipment noise that exceeds the Noise Bylaw limitations.
3. Addition of DEU commentary to applicable Noise Covenants.
4. Provision of a copy of the draft contract between the owner and the car share operator describing the terms of the provision of car sharing services.
5. Receipt of a Letter of Credit in the amount of $\$ 148,684.80$ to secure the owner's commitment to provide transit passes based on $110 \%$ of transit pass costs (including $100 \%$ for transit pass purchases and $10 \%$ for future transit pass cost increases and administration).
6. Receipt of a Letter of Credit for car share vehicles in the amount of $\$ 75,000.00$.

Note: Prior to future Building Permit issuance, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. The applicant is required to obtain a Building Permit for any construction hoarding associated with the proposed development. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a street, or any part thereof, or occupy the air space above a street or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For further information on the Building Permit, please contact Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.
2. Submission of construction traffic and parking management plan to the satisfaction of the City's Transportation Department (http://www.richmond.ca/services/ttp/special.htm).
3. Provision of recommended noise mitigation measures to be provided for on-site District Energy Utility facilities, as needed.

# Annotated Excerpt from the Minutes of Advisory Design Panel Meeting 

Wednesday, July 18, 2018 - 4:00 p.m.

## Comments from Panel members were as follows:

- appreciate the comprehensive package and presentation provided by the applicant;
- the project is going in the right direction from a sustainability perspective; the LEED scorecard should have been included in the package provided to the Panel;

DIALOG (Arch): Noted. The LEED Scorecard was included with the submittal to the city but as identified it was not in the ADP booklet.

- the applicant should have included rooms for the District Energy System (DES) and mechanical units in the plans submitted to Panel; the applicant is also advised of the requirement for vestibules in the entrances of big CRUs;

DIALOG (Arch): The DEU Room is in the plans package and located in the SE corner of the project on Level 02 (Sheet A201). CRU Units over 3000 sq. ft. will have a vestibule at the entry.

- appreciate the proposed architectural shape and form of the building; however, the slab projections on Levels 5 and 9 where washrooms and bathrooms are located above would pose a challenge in terms of access for service purposes;

DIALOG (Arch): Noted

- plans on Level 9 roof show no increase in height of residential floor below;

DIALOG (Arch): Level 8 top of slab to top of slab is at 3230 mm which is an increase of 510 mm over the typical floor to accommodate structure, unit changes and rooftop insulation/slab steps. See Sheet A501 Section A-A.

- appreciate the applicant's thoughts for public art;
- appreciate the City's plan for a public art walk along the No. 3 Road public realm, between Brighouse Canada Line Station and Granville Avenue; would help delineate and differentiate the area from the rest of the City; consider the impact on public art and the artist's expressive capabilities if it would be restricted by infrastructure elements on the public realm;
- appreciate the mid-block central outdoor courtyard/plaza which is the primary entry point to the project;
- consider differentiating the commercial spaces along No. 3 Road. e.g. different setbacks, material and colour palette and variation in canopy treatment, to enhance its public character as opposed to the residential and office spaces;

DIALOG (Arch): This was an intentional strategy and we resisted the temptation to overdesign the elevation for what amounts to only 4 small retail frontages ranging in size from 575 sq . ft. to $1,900 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.). We've tried to maximize the glazing exposure along the ground level retail units to improve visibility into/out of the individual storefronts. The ground level retail has been set back 1.5 m to permit small seating areas outside of the circulation areas. The high glazing exposure encourages the interior activity to animate the streetfront. A signage strategy will be further developed to permit tenants to express individuality as well.

- appreciate the language of the central outdoor courtyard which is different from the rest of the building façade on No. 3 Road; however, consider further differentiation in architectural treatment and/or material palette to further emphasize the area and its central connection to various uses in the building;


## DIALOG (Arch): Noted

- consider further breaking down the massing of the commercial and office podiums facing No. 3 Road through introducing different materials and patterns rather than a simple treatment of the façade, e.g. introducing diagonal spandrel glass treatment, in order to create a more pedestrian scale along the No. 3 Road frontage;
DIALOG (Arch): This was an intentional strategy. With a complex mixed-use building with so many components, we felt it was necessary to be selective with the amount of treatments so not to visually and physically overcomplicate the project. The trees and landscaping will provide dappled light across this elevation with changing effects throughout the day and over the year.
- consider a visible and prominent signage for the commercial spaces along No. 3 Road;

DIALOG (Arch): A signage strategy will be further developed to permit tenants to express individuality in a controlled manner.

- appreciate the proposed landscaping for the central courtyard; however, consider introducing breaks, e.g. pockets in the regular series of planters to create secondary spaces where people can gather, along the commercial frontage of the building aside from the major plaza; also consider further variation in paving textures to better emphasize the public interface;
DIALOG (Landscape): We have revised the ground plane to create more secondary spaces for gathering, both in the city boulevard and on the project site. These nodes are differentiated both through an updated sawcut pattern as well as additional unit paving.
- consider greater differentiation on the City's public realm cross section along No. 3 Road in terms of hierarchy of spaces, e.g. different types and widths of spaces;
- consider visually breaking down the massing of the parking garage through variation in colour, material and texture on the façade along the eastern laneway side of the site to provide a better pedestrian interface;
DIALOG (Arch): We have provided further information on the materiality and intended screening strategy for the lane façade. The interplay of lighting and colour within the parking garage with the screening material should create an interesting response to the lane elevation. Further development of the at-grade lane landscaping has been completed to provide a better pedestrian interface and a nice pedestrian scaled buffer between building and lane.
- appreciate the applicant's presentation of the project and the materials provided to the Panel;
- planting plans are difficult to read; applicant could have enlarged the key spaces in the plans;
DIALOG (Arch): Noted
- commend the applicant for the design of the building which is organized in an inventive way;
- a public art plan for the proposed public art walk along No. 3 Road between Cook Road and Granville Avenue would provide an opportunity for a cohesive and coherent public art approach along this stretch of No. 3 Road;
- the straight alignment of double row of street trees with trees on private property is not a good idea arboriculturally; consider a staggered placement of the trees to give individual tree canopies more space and provide more continuity to the canopies;
DIALOG (Landscape): The tree alignment has been revised to stagger the trees.
- does not support the proposed plant graphics/artificial planting; recommend that the applicant install something that is a counterpoint to planting in the stretch that brings the tower to the ground level; look at ASPAC development crosswalk in Oval Village for precedent;
DIALOG (Arch): We have revised the strategy here where we are proposing an abstracted "nature inspired image pattern" perforated metal screen element on the building. We are proposing an abstracted tree canopy; however the precise image is to be further developed. The logic is that the north tower block and the south 4 storey building need to share in the nature story that is so strongly expressed on the south with the Level 4 tree grove and the plaza recess vine strategy. The perforated metal panel screen is shaped to carry the tower-form and ground the tower and mark the site entry at the plaza. The perforated screen serves as an actual solar shade for the office spaces behind it during the day and in the evening, backlighting will give it a subtle presence on \#3 Rd.
- consider installing landings on the grand staircase to provide safety for users;

DIALOG (Arch): We reviewed the design to see if there was an opportunity to introduce a landing part way up the stair to address the concern for limited mobility access. As the stair shifted to address other comments, it became clear that the addition of a landing elongated the stair and encroached on both the plaza and the level 2 patio. This created a situation that the stair shift was attempting to rectify. We did want to point out that the stair provides access to the level 2 restaurant which is already served by a dedicated elevator to assist anyone with mobility challenges.

- reconsider the green wall façade above the central outdoor courtyard due to concern regarding the long-term survivability of vine planting; applicant could consider other solutions; should the applicant proceed with the proposed approach, ensure that a high quality cable system will be installed by the applicant, e.g. Jakob rope system;

DIALOG (Landscape): Noted. A high quality cable system will be utilized to ensure the treatment is aesthetically pleasing during all seasons. Hardy vine species and enhanced planting depths will also be incorporated to maximize the success of the scheme.

- the applicant is advised to invest in proper soil depths and volumes on Levels 4 and 5 to install larger trees;

DIALOG (Landscape): Noted

- concerned about the north garden as it will be in shade in the equinox; ensure that the choice of plant, bench and paving materials will not deteriorate in a shady and wet environment;

DIALOG (Landscape): Noted. Planting will mimic a shade garden scheme, using species adapted to these light levels. Rougher stone paving will also be used at the ground plane to minimize pedestrian slip hazards.

- applicant is advised to review if there are plantings underneath the grand staircase and other structures as these would be problematic;
DIALOG (Arch): The stair and entry plaza has been altered to respond to comments and provide better circulation and seating areas in the plaza. Plantings have been adjusted to address comments.
- support the comment to install landings on the grand staircase; also consider installing benches nearby as these safety features will benefit the aging population;
DIALOG (Arch): Noted and responded to above.
- consider nesting issues related to the pigeon population on Number 3 Road;

DIALOG (Arch): Noted

- consider diagonal breaks in the direction of pedestrian travel for slabs on the broad walkway on the ground floor to enhance safety of pedestrians in a wheelchair and those using mobility aids;
DIALOG (Landscape): Noted. The revised streetscape has incorporated diagonals as part of the updated scheme introducing new seating nodes, points of interest, etc.
- consider making the bench (with paving stones separating it from a little curb) in the public space more accessible;

DIALOG (Landscape): Noted. Level 5 has been revised to allow more accessible space.

- consider the interconnectivity aspect for the design of Basic Universal Housing (BUH) units; also consider maneuverability and safety in confined spaces;
DIALOG (Arch): Noted
- appreciate the interesting and successful resolution of a twin-tower mid-block effect;
- also appreciate the common courtyard which serves as a common synthesizing connectible space;
- the grand stair provides visual access to the upper level of the podium; consider shifting it to one side of the mid-block break to provide more potential for gathering on one side over the other;
DIALOG (Arch): Revised as described above.
- the visual porosity of the project will help influence surrounding developments;
- consider more visual porosity for the amenity space on Level 5 facing onto the west deck;
DIALOG (Arch): Noted
- agree with Panel comments regarding the high quality of presentation of the project;
- commend the applicant for the proposed massing and building typology;
- mid-block break and recessed entry hub works successfully as it does not detract from the nice commercial frontage along No. 3 Road;
- appreciate the strong north-south expression of the building which is helped by the decoupling of tower forms;
- the setbacks on the upper levels will allow for some successful developments to the north and south of the subject development;
- architectural form and character is well-resolved considering the mid-block location of the project;
- some language and expression might conflict with other components, e.g. strong diagonals work well with the commercial frontage and tower form; however, the smaller diagonals on Level 4 (with the parkade screening) could be straightened up as too many diagonals might dilute the strength of the diagonals;


## DIALOG (Arch): Noted

- the proposed material and colour palette is appropriate for the emerging Brighouse Village; naturalized palette works nicely; consider adding a little more lightness on the back side;

DIALOG (Arch): Revised as above.

- appreciate the tower massing; however, pulling the tower form has negatively impacted some units in the upper level; consider mitigating these negative impacts;


## DIALOG (Arch): Noted

- appreciate the provision of natural daylighting to lobby spaces on the lower levels and the provision of balcony spaces;
- support the location of the west-facing central outdoor amenity space;
- consider enhancing the experience in accessing the entry lobby to the main slab building;

DIALOG (Arch): We have developed the design further to better represent the thoughts on the parking garage lobby entry. Ground surface treatment, patterns, in-floor lighting, and landscaping have been further developed to make the connection to the central plaza more direct and obvious. The elevator lobby has been further developed to enhance this sense of entry and connect with the tower lobby and outdoor plaza as well.

- the outdoor space above the restaurant could be accessible and occupied as it benefits from the southwest solar orientation;
DIALOG (Arch): A skylight has been introduced to the restaurant roof to permit dappled light from the tree grove above to be experienced from within the restaurant space. Access has not been granted to this roof area as it would require 2 exits, glass guardrails, and barrier free access to accommodate inhabitants. A generous outdoor patio space has been provided for the restaurant at level 2 which has a greater opportunity to contribute to the public realm and street level activity.
- appreciate the applicant addressing social and environmental sustainability issues;
- notice the high concentration of entry points coming from the indentation; applicant is advised to further clarify the various entry points to guide people to their destination;

DIALOG (Arch): This will be developed further as the project progresses and signage is explored. The intention is to make access to the various uses as clear and obvious as possible with well-designed and graphically interesting signage.

- consider design development to the entry point to the slab building;

DIALOG (Arch): Revised as above.

- appreciate the project and the applicant's presentation;
- the applicant should give attention to wayfinding design considering the mixed uses for the proposed development;
DIALOG (Arch): Revised as above.
- wheelchair access is proposed across the store front where the bistro and café seating is located; should be well thought out by the applicant to avoid potential conflicts;
DIALOG (Arch): Wheelchair access from the sidewalk has been altered to create multiple access points to the building from the north to the south extents of the project.
- consider design development of the grand staircase, e.g. incorporating lighting, guardrails and landing, or shifting to one side to create a larger gathering space;
DIALOG (Arch): Revised as above.
- consider constructing a three-meter wide bicycle lane in lieu of the proposed width of two meters;
- support the Panel comment to stagger the planting of trees on the ground plane to create more interest;


## DIALOG (Landscape): Revised as above.

- consider integrating public art into the proposed development in addition to the proposal for a public art walk along No. 3 Road considering the significant size of the site;


## DIALOG (Arch): Noted

- consider screening headlight glares in the parkade;

DIALOG (Arch): Revised as above.

- tower building separation is tight but successful;
- $\quad$ size of the site works despite being undersized to qualify for the Village Center Bonus (VCB) bonus density;
- plans submitted by the applicant provide for adaptable units; applicant could have provided larger plans to assist Panel members in their review;
DIALOG (Arch): Noted
- applicant needs to work with City staff to ensure softening and pedestrianization of the lane;
DIALOG (Landscape): Noted. Enhanced planting including tall screening bamboo is being added to soften the meeting point of the ground plane and the building as well as to screen the lower levels of the parkade. Enhanced connections through the parkade have also been incorporated to increase visibility and access.
- ensure that plans provided to the Panel reflect the façade;

DIALOG (Arch): Noted

- the adjacent development is dealt with successfully;
- consider better programming in the outdoor amenity area;

DIALOG (Landscape): Level 5 has been revised to include additional programming including an East facing amenity deck, enhanced BBQ / communal eating area, and lounge seating under the architectural overhang adjacent to the indoor amenity room.

- the southwest corner of the building has the most amount of glazing; consider exterior louvering on that corner, preferably not slab extensions but some kind of shading; and
DIALOG (Arch): The glazing in the southwest corner was previously window wall system but has been improved to curtain wall which is a higher performing window assembly to address this concern and to align with the clarity of the architectural concept.
- look at the large amount of glazing on the west face of the lower commercial level; consider using a different kind of glass material.
DIALOG (Arch): The glazing in this area is curtain wall glazing which is a high performing assembly. A large amount of glazing has been introduced to the retail level to increase visibility along \#3 Rd and to animate the street. The tree canopy from the 3 rows of street trees will provide dappled shading for much of the year for the lower 2 levels and solar exposure will be desirable for the shorter winter afternoons. We anticipate the office floors will introduce interior shades as the spaces are fit out by tenants.
- The written comments submitted by Amber Paul were summarized by Sara Badyal as follows:
- the plaza seems too small for the number of main entries, bike uses and grand staircase; the grand staircase is appreciated but takes away from other ground floor entrances by compressing the entry sequence; if the grand staircase is maintained, consider increasing the scale and size of the plaza to give equal priority to the other entries;
DIALOG (Arch): Revised as above.
- the project is interesting and eye-catching; concerned that the functioning of the building may seem confusing;
- the inaccessible and unusable roof area of that size is unfortunate; would really contribute to the residential or office uses;
DIALOG (Arch): Revised as above.
- the area for the outdoor amenity spaces is long and linear and hard to design for quality outdoor rooms; feels more like circulation routes than gathering spaces;
DIALOG (Landscape): Revised as above.
- the perimeter planting could be reduced; breaking up the runs could provide for a range of spaces of different sizes;

DIALOG (Landscape): Revised as above.

- in general, the north amenity space needs to be reviewed at a smaller scale to provide interesting dynamic spaces;
DIALOG (Landscape): The North amenity space has been revised to include more articulation to the planter walls to create additional pocket seating nodes.
- play areas in close proximity to private patios could present problems; consider privacy planting;
DIALOG (Landscape): The play space has been altered to reduce adjacencies. Planting between the play space and private patios will include hedges and a row of trees to aid in screening.
- further refinement is needed to shape the barbeque area to create better outdoor dining opportunities in more intimate settings; and
DIALOG (Landscape): Revised as above.
- investigate opportunities for creating spaces for outdoor lounge or seating pockets or planters or overhead trellises to define shapes and rooms.
DIALOG (Landscape): Revised as above, including the addition of lounge seating beneath the architectural overhang adjacent to the indoor amenity room.


## Panel Decision

It was moved and seconded
That DP 16-754761 be supported to move forward to the Development Permit Panel subject to the applicant giving consideration to the comments of the Advisory Design Panel.

CARRIED

## Preliminary Public Realm Plan <br> (for illustration only)



## Tree Protection Plan
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6700 No. 3 Road, Richmond, BC Sustainability Progress Report
Last update: June 27th, 2018
Sustainatulity Andress bo xane Consultime

No. DP 16-754761

To the Holder:
Property Address:
Address:

BENE (RICHMOND) DEVELOPMENT LTD.
6560, 6600, 6640 AND 6700 NO. 3 ROAD
C/O AWM-ALLIANCE REAL ESTATE G
401-958 $8^{\text {TH }}$ AVENUE
VANCOUVER, BC V5Z 1E5

1. This Development Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the City applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Development Permit applies to and only to those lands shown cross-hatched on the attached Schedule "A" and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
3. Subject to Section 692 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C.: buildings and structures; off-street parking and loading facilities; roads and parking areas; and landscaping and screening shall be constructed generally in accordance with Plans \#1 to \#65 attached hereto.
4. Sanitary sewers, water, drainage, highways, street lighting, underground wiring, and sidewalks, shall be provided as required.
5. As a condition of the issuance of this Permit, the City is holding the security in the amount of $\$ 800,000.00$ to ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Permit. Should any interest be earned upon the security, it shall accrue to the Holder if the security is returned. The condition of the posting of the security is that should the Holder fail to carry out the development hereby authorized, according to the terms and conditions of this Permit within the time provided, the City may use the security to carry out the work by its servants, agents or contractors, and any surplus shall be paid over to the Holder. Should the Holder carry out the development permitted by this permit within the time set out herein, the security shall be returned to the Holder. The City may retain the security for up to one year after inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that plant material has survived.
6. If the Holder does not commence the construction permitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse and the security shall be returned in full.

# Development Permit <br> No. DP 16-754761 

| To the Holder: | BENE (RICHMOND) DEVELOPMENT LTD. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | $6560,6600,6640$ AND 6700 NO. 3 ROAD |
| Address: | C/O AWM-ALLIANCE REAL ESTATE G |
|  | 401-958 8th AVENUE |
|  | VANCOUVER, BC V5Z 1E5 |

7. The land described herein shall be developed generally in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.

This Permit is not a Building Permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION NO. ISSUED BY THE COUNCIL THE DAY OF ,

DELIVERED THIS DAY OF

MAYOR

City of Richmond
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(1) $\frac{\text { No. } 3 \text { Road Streetscape Elevation }}{1: 125}$
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6680 / 6700 No. 3 Road, Richmond, BC, V6Y 2 C3


1 New Property line (redt) has been set back to accomodate City SRWs. Richmond's proximity to YVR has resulted in a height limitation of 47 m dashed red)

4
Due to Richmond's hign water table, all parking has been accomodate



2


$5 \begin{aligned} & \text { The resultant void along No. } 3 \text { Road provides an opportunity for pedestrian } \\ & \text { orientided fetail as well as elevated enstauarant and ofice ppaces that front } \\ & \text { No. } 3 \text { Road. Layering this program along the streetront also helps to reduce }\end{aligned}$ the scale and concceal the aboveve grade parking garage.


3 The residential area has been broken up into two masses. The long, lower mass along the lane is set back from No. 3 Road and the slim tover is
located at the Nort of the site. The massing opens up towards the public located at the North of the site. The massing opens up towards the public
realm on No. 3 road, enhances sun exposure on the site and reduces shading over the street.


6
In an effort to activate the variety of uses on this site, the massing has been
 the street. All entrances for residential. office and commercial are located of he courtyard which acts as a catalyst for social interaction and builds a




Seecific masses are aranged on the siti to create a




Te project proposeses mix of 157 Market Residential
 vith access fiom the lane eA Aiverseresesidential unit
 live in the transit oriented neiehbourhbood.


7 Slab Building Massing




10 Public Realm \& Ground Level Development The lower evell sof the commercial spaces along No. 3 road are designed to be
curtain wall, flooding the interior with light and emphasizing the connection to the
 light foom the East.


8 Tower Shaping
 esidentar bulurings, the towe has been shite
sidewalk sivin


11 Enhanced Exposure of Outdoor Patios The residential tower and ssab buididing re eativated byy privite pation from each suite The


9 Outdoor Spaces to Link Varied Uses.





12 Material \& Clazing Development duark coioured meal panel shrovas the tower. The West eievation peels back to o aliow the
 e infuence the airport has on shaping Richmond.
 composede of solids and void
masses from one another

sW Corner


South End


North End


Walking South on No. 3 Road


Outdoor Walkways


Looking into the Courtyard from Level 2


Looking North on 5th Floor Outdoor Amenity



CPTED Design Features
(1) This project is situated along, the busy No. 3 Road. The way in which the buildin's design opens up and overlooks No. 3 Road was intentional to both engage the street and promote asfer of uses on the site ensures that there will be pedestrian traffic a all hours of the day, as the residents and restaurants will be more active in the evening and the office and retail spaces will be busy during the day.
(2) The property boundary will have a series of planters, with low vegetation that delineates private vs public space. Additionally there will be a change of paving material at the property edge to
 any oppotunity
(3)
parking garage is desiened toflow through from the lane to No. 3 Road. This allows for convenient access and also avoids the sense of being cornered or trapoed inside. The facade has
(4) openings on all floors along the East side and on the 4th floor of the West facade for natural ventilition, natural daylight and to increase security within.

Accessibility Features
(5) One of the key conccepts of this development is inlusion and social interaction. All public areas have been designed to be accessible. All public courtyard below.
6) At the street level, there will be a change of pavement/ texture and a low shallow slope to brings you to the entry plaza. The elass elevatorva tot he end lever
using the space.
(7) The suites are designed so that $14 \%$ of the overall count are adaptable, meaning that they have larger clearances, and have been set up for
(8) easy conversion in the event a wheelchair user moves in at a later date.
8) There are 2 residential lobbies in the project that are available to all residents. The parkade has been designed with a $5 \%$ slope that ramps us on the south ends, and plateaus at the junctions for residential elevator access which allows wheelchairs to move with ease. Each accessible
parking stall is located on a flat surface near the elevator lobbies to faciilitate parking and unloading.


BENE
DIALOG

Primary addressing is centrally located djacent to the open courtyard in the centre of the site in a prominant Iocation visisble from No.
3 Road and unobstructed by the tree canopy. Addressing for 6680 and 6700 is further announced in the court space with backit Road and unobstructed by the tree canopy. Addressing for 6880 and 6700 i further announced in the court space with backit
Iluminated text for each entry. Ground texture and illuminintion aids wayfinding to primary entry areas as well as through the parkade. illuminated text for each entry. Ground texture and illumination aids wayindide to primary entry areas as well as through the par
Exterior bicycle parking is located in prominent and visible areasos of the projectaway from entries and primary paths of travel. A commercial signage band under canopy runs along №. 3 Road providing signage area for CRU's below and s signalling entries


Signage \& Wayfinding
 venings.
2) 6680 and 6700 addressing is clearly demarked with sibnage and supergraphics. This signage is intended to be clearly visible from within the entry courtyard from all times of the day and in evenings via backlighting. Security intercoms and pustibottons are positioned immediately ddiacent to entry paths to provide clear visual cues to entryways.
(3) Ground pavinn is designed to supports the primary path of travel into residential and commercial entries. The change of material serves as a wayfinding device leading to primary circulation pathways. This path includes lighting bollards to enhance visibility, waytinding, and offer visual cues into entries
4) A perforated metal screen minimimes and filters ight pollution from the parkade. Integrated into the screen is entry signage to pronounce the entry and exit from parkade to the
tone.
A A signage strip for Commercial CRUs is offered along the fascia of CRU's along number 3 road under provided canopy. Perpendicular projections signal CRU entries and provid ease of visual reading while moving along number 3 Road.
(6) $A$

(3)

Exterior Lighting Strategy
Exterior lighting design will take into careful consideration factors that impact occupants, such as visual comfort, safety and security. Lighting will be coordinated
with the Architect and Landscape Consultant to meet ASHRAE $90.1-2010$ lighting power densities and comoly with the project's lightine zone requirements





Entry Strategy and Lightling
(1)

 Romelem
(2) The Pedestrian Access Route serves as the primary route to one of the towers from No. 3 Road, this area is treated with higher finishes and provides a well ilit area. Wall washes at the entry locations signal the entry
spaces from within the parkade while a drop ceiling with pot lights direct the flow of moment from lobby to



Lighting Design Features
(1) Linear LeD lighting is the primary artififialillumination to the drive isles, acting both as a wayfinding device as well as providing ambient illumination to the parkade area. Whi.
(2) Secoondary LED liehting is provided to illuminate the areas directly above car parking to support tasks related to car entry, unloading, and accessing EV connetions.
(3) A primary wall wash as well as wall puck lighting serves to signal both the Parking Access lane as well as the Pedestrian access to the parkade. This provides greater Arrimary wall wash as well as wall pucklighting serves to signal both the Parking Access lane as well as the peat
illumination and visibility to these transition areas, so they are well iit and appropriately signalled through lighting
(4) Bike storage is visible from the parkade and iluminated with a playful light arrangements and bright color as an integrated part of the garage. This places bicybles in .






June 22nd। 1:00 pm


June 22nd | 2:00 pm




| BUILDING ORIENTATION _The long sides of the slab buildings are arranged in the north/ south direction limiting the impact of southern sun exposure. The tower is positioned at the north-west corner of the property to reduce site shadowing onto the No. 3 Rd sidewalk and the 16.600 sa ft elevated Level 5 common outdoor areas. With this configuration the Level 5 outcoor area receives considerable solar access for a significant This ensures the common area remains an inviting destination for residents |
| :---: |
| FACADE STRATECY - The envelope response varies between north, south, east and west dividually within the $50: 50$ mind tow tor projecting balconies limit solar impact within the units along with the high performing envelope. |

The 6700 \#3 Rd project features the following details in order
order to provide a heathy and sustainable living environment
ENERGY PERFORMANCE _This project will demonstrate LEEE SILVER Equivalent according to LEED-Canada NC 2009. The building must meet at least $15 \%$ annua
 strategies currently targeting 53 points ( 50 points are required for silyer). Several more points are potentially availibble and will be confirmed as the desibn is refined. These strateies are consistent withe city of Richmond requirements

CREENHOUSE CAS EMISSIONS -The project Utilizes several strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions:
IMPROVED BUILING ENVELOPE- High performing building envelope with increased insulation, heat recovery ventiation and hybrid heat pumps will reduce heating
EQUIPMENT and associated dreenhouse gas emissions. The building is designed to be connected to the District Enerery Utility a sit t comes online.

$\qquad$

willaid in reducing the ongoing greenhouse gas emissions associated with landfills throughout the operation of the buidding
PRO JECT LOCATION-The site is Iocated in the city centre in close proximity to the Canada Line Brighouse Station with multiple bus lines in the area, coupled with ease of
MATERIAL SELECTION-A Preference will be biven to building materials with recycled /regional content which will reduce the embodied energy and rreenhouse gas
emissions associated with their manufacturing and transoortation.

RRANSPORTATION/BUILDINC ACCESS _The project is situated 1 block south of the Brighouse Station on No. 3 Rd. A Astrong emphasis has been placed on the design to
encourage residents and workers to limit the reliance on the automobie. The maid encourage residents and workers to iinit the reliance on the automobile. The mjairity of the
 secure along with bike repair areas and end of trip facilities for the office and retail workers.
Three car share spaces have been provided along with a discounted Tonnsit
ass tor residents. Parking ratios have been reduced according to the city yroposed reduotions


FLOODPLAIN LEVEL The site falls inta Area "A" of the Flood Constuction
 ground level retail uses are to be constructed at an elevation. 3 m a above the e iighest curb
evel which is set at 1.89 m . All mechanical servicice rooms
(OUUTDOOR COMMON AREA The 16,600 sq It common area on level 5 is key to the (11)
project as it provides an elevated ground lane that connects all aspects of the project


 generated on No. 3 Rd while providing screening from the future elevated Canada Lin enideway ift hated ever rococeeds.
(9) SOCIAL SUSTAINABLTY -The erimary organizing element of the builiding complex is the arrangement of uses around the $N$. to the site long No. 3 Rd and becomes a place where the distinct office, residential (rental and market), and parking uses collect

 these areas to one another and back to the street level.



2018.12.18


Privacy Analysis
The residential units have been designed to maximizz solar Orientation of the suites aims to minimze any chance of
overlook or rivay issues between neighows

The tower is North - South oriented, with additional Western
exposure. The Northern suites have the advantage of
mountain views, while the Southern Units look onto the
outdor shared Mreen spocace and tree erove. The Eatst side of
the tower is intentionally soid to allow privary for the suites in
the tower is intentionally solid to allow privacy for the suites
the adiacent building
The midrise building focuses it's openings in the East-West
direction. This both maximizees sun exposure and reduces
direction. This both maximizes
privacy confficts with the tower
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