



**City of Richmond**  
Planning and Development Department

**Report to Committee**

**To:** Planning Committee

*To: Planning Comm. July 17, 2012*  
**Date:** June 28, 2012

**From:** Brian J. Jackson, MCIP  
Director of Development

**File:** RZ 11-587764

**Re:** Application by Yamamoto Architecture Inc. for Rezoning at 9040 and 9060/9080  
No. 2 Road from Single Detached (RS1/E) to Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)

**Staff Recommendation**

That Bylaw No. 8926, for the rezoning of 9040 and 9060/9080 No. 2 Road from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" to "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)", be introduced and given first reading.

Brian J. Jackson, MCIP  
Director of Development

BJJ:el  
Att.

FOR ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT USE ONLY		
<b>ROUTED TO:</b>	<b>CONCURRENCE</b>	<b>CONCURRENCE OF ACTING GENERAL MANAGER</b>
Affordable Housing	Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>	

## Staff Report

### Origin

Yamamoto Architecture Inc. has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to rezone 9040 and 9060/9080 No. 2 Road (**Attachment 1**) from Single Detached (RS1/E) to Low Density Townhouses (RTL4) in order to permit the development of nine (9) townhouse units. A preliminary site plan and building elevations are contained in **Attachment 2**.

### Findings of Fact

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is attached (**Attachment 3**).

### Surrounding Development

- To the North: Two (2) newer single-family homes on a lot zoned Single Detached (RS1/C) fronting Francis Road;
- To the East: Existing single-family dwellings on lots zoned Single Detached (RS1/E) fronting Francis Road and Martyniuk Place.
- To the South: Older non-conforming duplex fronting No. 2 Road and then two (2) single-family homes fronting Maple Road, all on lots zoned Single Detached (RS1/E); and
- To the West: Across No. 2 Road, a 15-unit townhouse complex on a lot zoned Low Density Townhouses (RTL1), and existing single-family dwellings on lots zoned Single Detached (RS1/E).

### Related Policies & Studies

#### Arterial Road Redevelopment and Lane Establishment Policies

The Arterial Road Redevelopment Policy is supportive of multiple-family residential developments along major arterial roads. The subject site meets the location criteria set out in the Policy and is identified for multiple-family residential development on the map included in the Policy.

#### Floodplain Management Implementation Strategy

The applicant is required to comply with the Flood Plain Designation and Protection Bylaw (No. 8204). In accordance with the Flood Management Strategy, a Flood Indemnity Restrictive Covenant specifying the minimum flood construction level is required prior to rezoning bylaw adoption.

#### Affordable Housing Strategy

The applicant proposes to make a cash contribution to the affordable housing reserve fund in accordance to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy. As the proposal is for townhouses, the applicant is making a cash contribution of \$2.00 per buildable square foot as per the Strategy; making the payable contribution amount of \$22,638.53.

### Public Art

The City's Public Art Policy does not apply to residential development consisting of less than 10 units. The proposed nine (9) unit development will not participate in the City's Public Art Program.

### **Public Input**

There have been no concerns expressed by the public about the development proposal in response to the placement of the rezoning sign on the property.

### **Staff Comments**

#### Trees Retention and Replacement

A Tree Survey and a Certified Arborist's report were submitted in support of the application; 19 bylaw-sized trees on site and 14 trees located on neighbouring properties were identified and assessed.

#### *On-site Trees*

A site inspection conducted by the City's Tree Preservation Coordinator revealed that two (2) of the "bylaw-sized trees" on site (tag# 29 & 32) are Rhododendron shrubs and thus are not candidates for retention.

The City's Tree Preservation Coordinator reviewed the Arborist's Report and concurs with the arborist's recommendations to remove 15 bylaw-sized trees onsite:

- eight (8) trees (tag# 2-9) have all been previously topped at 6-8' high and are located approximately 2 m below the crown of the road;
- five (5) fruit trees (tag# 10- 14) are all in very poor condition (topped, bacterial canker, Cherry Tortrix borer, fungal conk indicative of root rot, and visibly dying);
- one (1) Maple tree (tag# 19) has been previously topped and the canopy is under-developed due to suppression from growing under adjacent Douglas Fir tree; and
- one (1) multi-branched Cedar tree (tag #30) is covered in basal, trunk and stem Galls as a result of Phomopsis sp. fungus. The Galls are a sign the tree is already under stress and further construction impacts will result in further decline. The tree is currently located within the new driveway right-of way and will be further impacted by required grade changes. This tree should be removed and replaced with a larger calliper coniferous species (i.e. Cedar, Spruce or Douglas Fir) along the street frontage.

Based on the 2:1 tree replacement ratio goal stated in the Official Community Plan (OCP), 30 replacement trees are required for the removal of 15 bylaw-sized trees on-site. According to the Preliminary Landscape Plan (**Attachment 2**), the developer is proposing to plant all replacement trees on-site. If required replacement trees cannot be accommodated on-site, a cash-in-lieu contribution in the amount of \$500/tree to the City's Tree Compensation Fund for off-site planting is required.

The developers have agreed to retain and protect two (2) Douglas Fir tree (tag# 20 & 21) on site and to provide a minimum 2.5 m of un-encroached tree protection area for each tree. In order to ensure that the two (2) protected trees will not be damaged during construction, the applicant is required to submit a \$10,000.00 Tree Survival Security for the two (2) Douglas Fir trees prior to Development Permit issuance.

#### *Off-site Trees*

The developers are proposing to remove two (2) trees on the adjacent property to the south (9100 No. 2 Road), along the common property line. A consent letter from the property owners of 9100 No. 2 Road is on file. The City's Tree Preservation Coordinator has no concern on the proposed removal. A separate Tree Cutting Permit and associated replacement planting/compensation will be required at Tree Cutting Permit stage. Twelve (12) trees located on the adjacent properties to the north and east are to be retained and protected (see Tree Preservation Plan in **Attachment 4**).

#### *Tree Protection*

Tree protection fencing is required to be installed to City standards prior to any construction activities occurring on-site. In addition, a contract with a Certified Arborist to monitor all works to be done near or within the tree protection zone will be required prior to Development Permit issuance.

#### Site Servicing

An independent review of servicing requirements (storm) has concluded no upgrades are required to support the proposed development.

Prior to final adoption, the developer is required to consolidate the two (2) lots into one (1) development parcel and contribute \$5,000 towards the future upgrade of traffic signals at No. 2 Road/Francis Road with Audible Pedestrian Signals (APS).

#### Frontage Improvements

Prior to final adoption, the developer is required to dedicate a 2.0 m wide strip of property along the entire west property line and enter into a Servicing Agreement for the design and construction of frontage improvements from Francis Road to the south property line of the consolidated site. The improvements to include, but not limited to: 1.5 m concrete sidewalk at the east property line of No. 2 Road with grass and treed boulevard between the new sidewalk and the existing curb.

#### Vehicle Access

One (1) driveway off No. 2 Road at the southern edge of the development site is proposed. The long-term objective is for the driveway access established on No. 2 Road to be utilized by adjacent properties if they ultimately apply to redevelop. A Public Right of Passage (PROP) will be secured as a condition of rezoning to facilitate this vision.

### Indoor Amenity Space

The applicant is proposing a contribution in-lieu of on-site indoor amenity space in the amount of \$9,000 as per the Official Community Plan (OCP) and Council Policy.

### Outdoor Amenity Space

Outdoor amenity space will be provided on-site and is adequately sized based on Official Community Plan (OCP) guidelines. The design of the children's play area and landscape details will be refined as part of the Development Permit application.

### **Analysis**

The proposal is also generally in compliance with the development guidelines for multiple-family residential developments under the Arterial Road Redevelopment Policy. The proposed height, siting and orientation of the buildings respect the massing of the existing single-family homes. All rear units immediately adjacent to the neighbouring single-family dwellings to the east have been reduced in height to two (2) storeys. The front buildings along No. 2 Road have been stepped down from three (3) storeys to 2½ storeys at the entry driveway and to, two (2) storeys at the north end of the site. The building height and massing will be controlled through the Development Permit process.

### Requested Variances

The proposed development is generally in compliance with the Medium Density Townhouses (RTL4) zone. Based on the review of current site plan for the project, the following variances are being requested:

- Reduced minimum front yard setback from 6.0 m to 5.0 m;
- Allow tandem parking spaces in six (6) of the units; and
- Allow one (1) small car parking stall in each of the side-by-side garages.

Transportation Division staff have reviewed the variance requested related to parking arrangement and have no concerns. A restrictive covenant to prohibit the conversion of the tandem garage area into habitable space is required prior to final adoption.

All of the variances mentioned above will be reviewed in the context of the overall detailed design of the project, including architectural form, site design and landscaping at the Development Permit stage.

### Design Review and Future Development Permit Considerations

A Development Permit will be required to ensure that the development at 9040 and 9060/9080 No. 2 Road is sensitively integrated with adjacent developments. The rezoning conditions will not be considered satisfied until a Development Permit application is processed to a satisfactory level. In association with the Development Permit, the following issues are to be further examined:

- Detailed review of building form and architectural character;
- Review of the location and design of the convertible unit and other accessibility/aging-in-place features;
- Review of site grade to ensure the survival of protected trees and to enhance the relationship between the first habitable level and the private outdoor space;
- Landscaping design and enhancement of the outdoor amenity area to maximize use;
- Ensure there is adequate private outdoor space in each unit; and
- Opportunities to maximize permeable surface areas and articulate hard surface treatment.

Additional issues may be identified as part of the Development Permit application review process.

### **Financial Impact or Economic Impact**

None.

### **Conclusion**

The proposed nine (9) unit townhouse development is generally consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) regarding developments along major arterial roads and meets the zoning requirements set out in the Low Density Townhouses (RTL4) zone. Overall, the proposed land use, site plan, and building massing relates to the surrounding neighbourhood context. Further review of the project design is required to ensure a high quality project and design consistency with the existing neighbourhood context, and this will be completed as part of the Development Permit application review process.

The list of rezoning considerations is included as **Attachment 5**, which has been agreed to by the applicants (signed concurrence on file).

On this basis, staff recommends support for the rezoning application.




Edwin Lee  
Planner I  
(604-276-4121)

EL:rg


Attachment 1: Location Map  
Attachment 2: Conceptual Development Plans  
Attachment 3: Development Application Data Sheet  
Attachment 4: Tree Preservation Plan  
Attachment 5: Rezoning Considerations Concurrence



# City of Richmond




CNCL - 257



FRANCIS RD

NO. 2 RD




RZ 11-587764

Original Date: 08/29/11

Revision Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES





RZ 11-587764


CNCL - 258



Original Date: 08/29/11

Amended Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES









Month	Jan	Feb
2000	100	100
2001	100	100
2002	100	100
2003	100	100
2004	100	100
2005	100	100
2006	100	100
2007	100	100
2008	100	100
2009	100	100
2010	100	100
2011	100	100
2012	100	100
2013	100	100
2014	100	100
2015	100	100
2016	100	100
2017	100	100
2018	100	100
2019	100	100
2020	100	100
2021	100	100
2022	100	100
2023	100	100
2024	100	100
2025	100	100
2026	100	100
2027	100	100
2028	100	100
2029	100	100
2030	100	100
2031	100	100
2032	100	100
2033	100	100
2034	100	100
2035	100	100
2036	100	100
2037	100	100
2038	100	100
2039	100	100
2040	100	100
2041	100	100
2042	100	100
2043	100	100
2044	100	100
2045	100	100
2046	100	100
2047	100	100
2048	100	100
2049	100	100
2050	100	100
2051	100	100
2052	100	100
2053	100	100
2054	100	100
2055	100	100
2056	100	100
2057	100	100
2058	100	100
2059	100	100
2060	100	100
2061	100	100
2062	100	100
2063	100	100
2064	100	100
2065	100	100
2066	100	100
2067	100	100
2068	100	100
2069	100	100
2070	100	100
2071	100	100
2072	100	100
2073	100	100
2074	100	100
2075	100	100
2076	100	100
2077	100	100
2078	100	100
2079	100	100
2080	100	100
2081	100	100
2082	100	100
2083	100	100
2084	100	100
2085	100	100
2086	100	100
2087	100	100
2088	100	100
2089	100	100
2090	100	100
2091	100	100
2092	100	100
2093	100	100
2094	100	100
2095	100	100
2096	100	100
2097	100	100
2098	100	100
2099	100	100
2100	100	100

**IN THE FUTURE**

the first time they have

Yamamoto  
Architecture Inc.

[illegible][illegible]



DATE	BY	REVISION
10/15/10	YAMAMOTO	1.0

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF YAMAMOTO ARCHITECTURE INC. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREON. IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF YAMAMOTO ARCHITECTURE INC.

YAMAMOTO ARCHITECTURE INC.  
1000 WEST 10TH AVENUE, SUITE 100  
DENVER, CO 80202

Yamamoto  
Architecture Inc.

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF YAMAMOTO ARCHITECTURE INC. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREON. IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF YAMAMOTO ARCHITECTURE INC.

DATE	BY	REVISION
10/15/10	YAMAMOTO	1.0

PLAN #5



Copyright © 2012 M2 Landscape Architecture, LLC. All rights reserved. This drawing is the property of M2 Landscape Architecture, LLC. It is to be used only for the project and site for which it was prepared. No part of this drawing may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from M2 Landscape Architecture, LLC.



**M2**  
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE


2020 - 25 LANE ROAD  
SUITE 100  
FARMINGTON, CT 06030  
TEL: 860.676.1111 FAX: 860.676.1112  
WWW.M2LA.COM


NO.	DATE	BY	REVISION DESCRIPTION
1	01/10/12	MM	INITIAL DESIGN
2	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 1
3	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 2
4	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 3
5	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 4
6	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 5
7	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 6
8	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 7
9	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 8
10	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 9
11	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 10
12	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 11
13	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 12
14	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 13
15	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 14
16	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 15
17	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 16
18	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 17
19	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 18
20	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 19
21	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 20
22	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 21
23	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 22
24	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 23
25	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 24
26	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 25
27	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 26
28	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 27
29	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 28
30	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 29
31	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 30
32	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 31
33	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 32
34	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 33
35	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 34
36	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 35
37	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 36
38	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 37
39	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 38
40	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 39
41	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 40
42	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 41
43	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 42
44	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 43
45	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 44
46	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 45
47	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 46
48	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 47
49	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 48
50	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 49
51	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 50
52	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 51
53	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 52
54	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 53
55	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 54
56	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 55
57	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 56
58	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 57
59	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 58
60	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 59
61	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 60
62	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 61
63	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 62
64	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 63
65	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 64
66	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 65
67	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 66
68	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 67
69	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 68
70	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 69
71	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 70
72	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 71
73	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 72
74	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 73
75	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 74
76	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 75
77	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 76
78	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 77
79	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 78
80	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 79
81	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 80
82	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 81
83	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 82
84	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 83
85	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 84
86	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 85
87	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 86
88	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 87
89	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 88
90	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 89
91	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 90
92	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 91
93	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 92
94	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 93
95	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 94
96	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 95
97	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 96
98	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 97
99	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 98
100	01/10/12	MM	REVISION 99

NAME: MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL  
SHEET NO. 2 OF 2  
NO. 2 RD.

SHRUB PLAN  
SHEET NO. 2 OF 2

DATE	BY	REVISION
01/10/12	MM	1
01/10/12	MM	2
01/10/12	MM	3
01/10/12	MM	4
01/10/12	MM	5
01/10/12	MM	6
01/10/12	MM	7
01/10/12	MM	8
01/10/12	MM	9
01/10/12	MM	10
01/10/12	MM	11
01/10/12	MM	12
01/10/12	MM	13
01/10/12	MM	14
01/10/12	MM	15
01/10/12	MM	16
01/10/12	MM	17
01/10/12	MM	18
01/10/12	MM	19
01/10/12	MM	20
01/10/12	MM	21
01/10/12	MM	22
01/10/12	MM	23
01/10/12	MM	24
01/10/12	MM	25
01/10/12	MM	26
01/10/12	MM	27
01/10/12	MM	28
01/10/12	MM	29
01/10/12	MM	30
01/10/12	MM	31
01/10/12	MM	32
01/10/12	MM	33
01/10/12	MM	34
01/10/12	MM	35
01/10/12	MM	36
01/10/12	MM	37
01/10/12	MM	38
01/10/12	MM	39
01/10/12	MM	40
01/10/12	MM	41
01/10/12	MM	42
01/10/12	MM	43
01/10/12	MM	44
01/10/12	MM	45
01/10/12	MM	46
01/10/12	MM	47
01/10/12	MM	48
01/10/12	MM	49
01/10/12	MM	50
01/10/12	MM	51
01/10/12	MM	52
01/10/12	MM	53
01/10/12	MM	54
01/10/12	MM	55
01/10/12	MM	56
01/10/12	MM	57
01/10/12	MM	58
01/10/12	MM	59
01/10/12	MM	60
01/10/12	MM	61
01/10/12	MM	62
01/10/12	MM	63
01/10/12	MM	64
01/10/12	MM	65
01/10/12	MM	66
01/10/12	MM	67
01/10/12	MM	68
01/10/12	MM	69
01/10/12	MM	70
01/10/12	MM	71
01/10/12	MM	72
01/10/12	MM	73
01/10/12	MM	74
01/10/12	MM	75
01/10/12	MM	76
01/10/12	MM	77
01/10/12	MM	78
01/10/12	MM	79
01/10/12	MM	80
01/10/12	MM	81
01/10/12	MM	82
01/10/12	MM	83
01/10/12	MM	84
01/10/12	MM	85
01/10/12	MM	86
01/10/12	MM	87
01/10/12	MM	88
01/10/12	MM	89
01/10/12	MM	90
01/10/12	MM	91
01/10/12	MM	92
01/10/12	MM	93
01/10/12	MM	94
01/10/12	MM	95
01/10/12	MM	96
01/10/12	MM	97
01/10/12	MM	98
01/10/12	MM	99
01/10/12	MM	100







## City of Richmond

6911 No. 3 Road  
Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1  
www.richmond.ca  
604-276-4000

# Development Application Data Sheet

**RZ 11-587764**

**Attachment 3**

Address: 9040 and 9060/9080 No. 2 Road

Applicant: Yamamoto Architecture Inc.

Planning Area(s): Blundell

	Existing	Proposed
Owner:	Azim Bhimani	To be determined
Site Size (m <sup>2</sup> ):	1,855.0 m <sup>2</sup> (19,964.5 ft <sup>2</sup> )	1,752.6 m <sup>2</sup> (18,864.9 ft <sup>2</sup> )
Land Uses:	Single-Family Residential	Multiple-Family Residential
OCP Designation:	Low-Density Residential	No Change
Area Plan Designation:	N/A	No Change
702 Policy Designation:	N/A	No Change
Zoning:	Single Detached (RS1/E)	Low-Density Townhouses (RTL4)
Number of Units:	One (1) single-family dwelling and (1) non-conforming duplex – 3 units in total	9 units
Other Designations:	N/A	No Change

On Future Development	Bylaw Requirement	Proposed	Variance
Floor Area Ratio:	Max. 0.60	0.60 max.	none permitted
Lot Coverage – Building:	Max. 40%	40% max.	none
Lot Coverage – Non-porous Surfaces	Max. 65%	65% max.	none
Lot Coverage – Landscaping:	Min. 25%	25% min.	none
Setback – Front Yard (m):	Min. 6 m	5.0 m	variance requested
Setback – Side Yard (North) (m):	Min. 3 m	3.0 m min.	none
Setback – Side Yard (South) (m):	Min. 3 m	3.0 m min.	none
Setback – Rear Yard (m):	Min. 3 m	4.5 m min.	none
Height (m):	Max. 12.0 m (3 storeys)	12.0 m (3 storeys) max.	none



<b>On Future Development</b>	<b>Bylaw Requirement</b>	<b>Proposed</b>	<b>Variance</b>
Lot Size (min. dimensions):	Min. 50 m wide x 35 m deep	Approx. 50.94 m wide x average 36.02 m deep	none
Off-street Parking Spaces – Resident (R) / Visitor (V):	2 (R) and 0.2 (V) per unit	18 (Residential) and 2 (Visitor)	none
Off-street Parking Spaces – Total:	20	20	none
Tandem Parking Spaces:	not permitted	12	<b>variance requested</b>
Small Car Parking Spaces:	not permitted	3	<b>variance requested</b>
Handicap Parking Spaces:	0	0	none
Bicycle Parking Spaces – Class 1 / Class 2:	1.25 (Class 1) and 0.2 (Class 2) per unit	12 (Class 1) and 2 (Class 2) min.	none
Amenity Space – Indoor:	Min. 70 m <sup>2</sup> or Cash-in-lieu	\$9,000 cash-in-lieu	none
Amenity Space – Outdoor:	Min. 6 m <sup>2</sup> x 9 units = 54 m <sup>2</sup>	54 m <sup>2</sup> min.	none

Other: Tree replacement compensation required for removal of bylaw-sized trees.

Copyright reserved. This drawing and design is the property of M2 Landscape Architecture and shall not be reproduced without the written permission of M2 Landscape Architecture.

M2

**LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE**

4820 15th Avenue SW, Suite 102  
 Seattle, WA 98148  
 Tel: (206) 333-0044 Fax: (206) 333-0045  
 Email: info@m2landscape.com



NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
1	01/01/2017	PRELIMINARY	JA
2	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
3	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
4	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
5	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
6	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
7	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
8	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
9	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
10	01/01/2017	REVISED	JA

**PROJECT:**  
 MULTI-FAMILY  
 RESIDENTIAL  
 1000 15th Ave SW, Unit 1000  
 SEATTLE, WA 98148

**ARCHITECT:**  
 M2 LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE  
 4820 15th Avenue SW, Suite 102  
 Seattle, WA 98148

DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
01/01/2017	PRELIMINARY	JA
01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
01/01/2017	REVISED	JA
01/01/2017	REVISED	JA

**SCALE:**  
 1" = 10'-0"



CNCL - 267



# City of Richmond

## Rezoning Considerations

Development Applications Division  
6911 No. 3 Road, Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1

**Address:** 9040 and 9060/9080 No. 2 Road

**File No.:** RZ 11-587764

**Prior to final adoption of Zoning Amendment Bylaw 8926, the developer is required to complete the following:**

1. 2.0m road dedication along the entire No. 2 Road frontage.
2. The granting and registration of a 6.7m wide statutory Public Right Of Passage (PROP) along the entire internal drive aisle to provide access to/from the future development sites to the north and south. Owner responsible for maintenance and liability.
3. Consolidation of all the lots into one development parcel (which will require the demolition of the existing dwellings).
4. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on title.
5. Registration of a legal agreement on title prohibiting the conversion of the tandem parking area into habitable space.
6. Enter into a Servicing Agreement\* for the design and construction of frontage improvements from Francis Road to the south property line of consolidated site. The improvements to include, but not limited to: 1.5 m concrete sidewalk at the east property line of No. 2 Road with grass and treed boulevard between the new sidewalk and the existing curb.
7. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute \$2.00 per buildable square foot (e.g. \$22,638.53) to the City's affordable housing fund.
8. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute \$5,000.00 towards the future upgrade of traffic signals at No.2 Road/Francis Road with Audible Pedestrian Signals (APS).
9. Contribution of \$1,000 per dwelling unit (e.g. \$9,000.00) in-lieu of on-site indoor amenity space.
10. The submission and processing of a Development Permit\* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.

**Prior to Development Permit\* Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:**

1. Submission of a Tree Survival Security to the City in the amount of \$10,000.00 for the two (2) Douglas Fir trees to be retained. 50% of the security will be released upon completion of the proposed landscaping works on site (design as per Development Permit for 9040 and 9060/9080 No. 2 Road). The remaining 50% of the security will be release two (2) year after final inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that the trees have survived.
2. Submission of a Contract entered into between the applicant and a Certified Arborist for supervision of any on-site works conducted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken, including: the proposed number of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction assessment report to the City for review.

**Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:**

1. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
2. Submission of a Tree Cutting Permit application and provide associated compensations, if required, for the removal of remove two (2) trees on the adjacent property to the south (9100 No. 2 Road), along the common property line.
3. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Division. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.

4. Incorporation of accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
5. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Division at 604-276-4285.

**Note:**

- \* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.

All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.

The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.

[Signed original on file]

---

Signed

---

Date



**Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500  
Amendment Bylaw 8926 (RZ 11-587764)  
9040 AND 9060/9080 NO. 2 ROAD**

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Zoning Map of the City of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it **LOW DENSITY TOWNHOUSES (RTL4)**.

P.I.D. 004-061-365

Lot 1 Except the North 93.21 Feet Section 30 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 15982

P.I.D. 004-113-071

Lot 682 Except: Part Subdivided by Plan 78412, Section 30 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 53532

2. This Bylaw may be cited as "**Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 8926**".

FIRST READING

A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON

SECOND READING

THIRD READING

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS SATISFIED

ADOPTED

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CORPORATE OFFICER

