## City of Richmond

## Report to Development Permit Panel

| To: | Development Permit Panel | Date: | June 15, 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From: | Wayne Craig | File: | DP 21-936427 |
|  | Director, Development |  |  |
| Re: | Application by Kadium No. $\mathbf{4}$ Development Ltd. for a Development Permit at | $\mathbf{1 0 3 4 0 , 1 0 3 6 0 , 1 0 3 8 0 , 1 0 4 0 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 4 2 0}$ No. $\mathbf{4}$ Road |  |

## Staff Recommendation

That a Development Permit be issued which would:

1. Permit the construction of 19 townhouse units at 10340, 10360, 10380, 10400 and 10420 No. 4 Road on a site zoned "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM2)"; and
2. Vary the provisions of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 to:
a) Reduce the minimum front yard setback from 6.0 m to 4.5 m .
b) Reduce the minimum lot depth from 35.0 m to 34.0 m .


Wayne Craig
Director, Development
(604-247-4625)
WC:cl
Att. 3

## Staff Report

## Origin

Kadium No. 4 Development Ltd. has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to develop 19 townhouse units, including four secondary suites, at 10340, 10360, 10380, 10400 and 10420 No. 4 Road on a site zoned "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM2)". A location map is provided in Attachment 1.

The site is being rezoned from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the "Medium Density Townhouses (RTM2)" zone for this project under Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10261 (RZ 18-831725). The Bylaw was given third reading at the Public Hearing held June 21,2021 , and the applicant is working to complete all of the rezoning requirements.

A Servicing Agreement is required as a condition of rezoning bylaw adoption and includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Upgrading approximately 80 m of sanitary sewer on-site and along the shared property line with the properties at 10251, 10271, 10291 and 10311 Dennis Crescent.
- Road improvements involving road dedication and construction of a new treed/grass boulevard at the curb, off-street bike path, and concrete sidewalk along the subject site frontage, as well as construction of an interim asphalt walkway at the curb from 10300 No. 4 Road to the north end of the block at Wilkinson Road.


## Development Information

Please refer to attached Development Application Data Sheet for a comparison of the proposed development data with the relevant Bylaw requirements (Attachment 2).

## Background

The subject site is located on the east side of No. 4 Road between Steveston Highway and Wilkinson Road. The site is currently vacant, with five driveway crossings on No. 4 Road. Existing development immediately surrounding the subject site includes the following:

- To the north, south and west: Single-family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)" fronting No. 4 Road, which have future redevelopment potential for townhouses consistent with the Arterial Road Land Use Policy.
- To the east: Single-family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)" fronting Dennis Crescent.


## Rezoning and Public Hearing Results

The Public Hearing for the rezoning of this site was held on June 21, 2021. Although no members of the public were in attendance at the Public Hearing, one letter from a nearby resident was received citing concerns about the proposed change in land use from single-family housing to townhousing and potential traffic and safety concerns.

Staff note that the proposed use is consistent with the OCP and Arterial Road Land Use Policy, and the proposed development would have only one access to No 4 Road, thereby reducing the number of vehicle access points along this stretch of roadway. Bylaw 10261 for the rezoning of the subject site was given third reading following the Public Hearing.

During the rezoning process, staff identified the following design issues to be resolved at the Development Permit (DP) stage:

- Compliance with the DP Guidelines for the form and character of multiple-family projects in the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- Confirmation that interior noise levels and noise mitigation measures comply with the policies in the OCP, as determined by an acoustical and thermal report and recommendations provided by a registered professional.
- Refinement of the landscape design and the interface with adjacent single-family housing.
- Refinement of the shared outdoor amenity space design, including the choice of play equipment to facilitate safe and appropriate children's play.
- Review of the four units that include secondary suites.
- Review of the relevant accessibility features for the two proposed Convertible Units and aging-in-place features in all units.
- Review of a sustainability strategy for the development proposal.
- Ensuring that plantings within the Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) for the sanitary sewer, if any, are to the satisfaction of City Engineering staff.
- Ensuring that the on-site relocation of Trees \# 422 and 435 are proposed in viable locations.
- Accommodation of hedge $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 7$ if possible.

Through the review of this DP application, staff worked with the applicant to address the design issues to staff's satisfaction and to ensure that the proposed architectural form and character is consistent with the intent of the applicable design guidelines contained within the OCP. The applicant has made the following refinements to the proposal to address the design issues identified:

- Revisions to the Landscape Plan including common outdoor amenity space design and appropriate choice of play equipment to suit the space given fall zone requirements and limited opportunities to enlarge the area due to required changes in lot grade.
- Demonstrating that the design of the units containing the secondary suites is functional.
- Demonstrating that all relevant accessibility features are incorporated into the design of the Convertible Units and that aging-in-place features are incorporated into all units.
- Lot grading has been refined to ensure an appropriate transition to neighbouring lots and to ensure the existing grade is maintained within the tree protection zone and the SRW for the sanitary sewer along the east side of the site.
- Plantings within the SRW for the sanitary sewer along the east side of the site are limited to lawn and minimal shrubs.
- Locating the relocated Trees \# 422 and 435 in a suitable area within the common outdoor amenity space and the front yard.


## Public input during the DP Application Review Process

Staff has not received any comments from the public in response to the placement of the DP Application Notification Sign on the subject site.

## Staff Comments

The proposed scheme attached to this report (Plans \# I to 6.g, and Reference Plans) has satisfactorily addressed the significant urban design issues and other staff comments identified as part of the review of the subject DP application. In addition, it complies with the intent of the applicable sections of the OCP and is generally in compliance with the "RTM2" zone, with the exception of the zoning variances noted below.

## Zoning Compliance/Variances (staff comments in bold italics)

The applicant requests to vary the provisions of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 to:

1) Reduce the minimum front yard setback from 6.0 m to 4.5 m .

Staff supports the proposed variance for the following reasons:

- A reduction in the front yard setback enables a deeper setback to be provided in the rear yard along the interface with existing single-family housing, as well as enables tree retention in the northeast corner of the site.
- Although the setback is reduced, the proposal maintains consistency with the minimum private outdoor space guidelines in the OCP through a combination of front and rear yards at ground level as well as secondary decks along the drive-aisle on the second level of the 3-storey units.
- An acoustic report and confirmation letter prepared by a professional acoustical and mechanical engineer have been submitted by the applicant, which demonstrate that the interior noise levels for traffic noise and thermal conditions comply with the standards in the OCP. Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, a traffic noise covenant is to be registered on Title for public awareness and to ensure construction complies with the standards in the OCP.

This variance was identified as part of the Rezoning Application and no concerns were raised by members of the public at that time.
2) Reduce the minimum lot depth from 35.0 m to 34.0 m .

Staff supports this technical variance as it is the result of road dedication to accommodate the required frontage improvements.

## Advisory Design Panel Comments

The Advisory Design Panel review of the proposal was held on August 17, 2022 and received support to move forward to the DP Panel subject to the applicant giving consideration to the comments identified by the Panel members. A copy of the relevant excerpt from the Advisory Design Panel Minutes is attached for reference (Attachment 3). The design response from the applicant has been included immediately following the specific Panel comments and is identified in bold italics.

## Analysis

## Conditions of Adjacency

- The proposed townhouses at the subject site have been designed with consideration of the existing surrounding context of low-density two-storey single-family housing and is consistent with the design guidelines for townhouses on arterial roads in the OCP.
- Two-storey units are proposed along the rear yard interface with single-family housing to the east, and the three-storey units along No. 4 Road step down to two-storeys next to existing single-family housing to the north and south.


## Site Planning, Access, and Parking

- The proposal is to develop 19 two-storey townhouse units on a site of $3,616 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in area after road dedication along the No. 4 Road frontage.
- The proposed site layout consists of seven buildings located on either side of a drive-aisle that runs north-south, as follows:
- Three 3 -storey buildings are positioned along No. 4 Road, each containing three to six units in order to create a variation in the building mass along the streetscape (Buildings $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{G})$. The end units at the north and south of these buildings step down to 2 -storeys along the interface with existing adjacent single-family housing.
- Two 2-storey duplex buildings and two single-unit buildings are proposed along the east side of the site (Buildings C-F).
- Four secondary suites are proposed on-site (i.e., one in Building B, one in Building A, and two in Building G) and two Convertible Units are proposed (i.e., one in Building B and one in Building G).
- Vehicle access to the site is proposed from No. 4 Road in roughly the centre of the site. Vehicle access was reviewed as part of the Rezoning Application review process and supported by the City's Transportation Department.
- As part of the Rezoning Application, the applicant is required to register a legal agreement on Title to secure shared vehicle access to potential future neighbouring developments to the north and south. The Landscape Plan illustrates that signage is proposed at either end of the drive-aisle indicating that it may be extended in the future to provide access. The Landscape Plan also identifies potential locations for address signage and wayfinding signage on-site for future neighbouring developments.
- Pedestrian access to the site is proposed either via pathways off No. 4 Road to the street-fronting units or via the drive-aisle to the rear units. The shared use of the drive-aisle by both vehicles and pedestrians is highlighted by decorative surface treatment (i.e., permeable pavers in a herringbone pattern).
- On-site parking is provided consistent with Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500. Resident vehicle parking spaces are proposed in individual garages, all of which are provided in a side-by-side arrangement. Bike parking spaces are also proposed within the garages. Visitor parking spaces are proposed north of Buildings $B$ and $C$ and south of Buildings $G$ and $F$, and a bike rack for visitors is provided in between Buildings $C$ and $D$.
- A screened enclosure and storage room for waste and recycling bins are proposed on either side of the drive-aisle near the entrance. The enclosure and storage room are adequately sized to service the proposed townhouses on the subject site and potential future development to the north. Prior to DP issuance the applicant must register a legal agreement on Title for shared access to the waste/recycling enclosure and storage room at the subject site by the potential future development to the north.


## Architectural Form and Character

- The proposed architectural style is West Coast Contemporary, which reflects classic timber designs with a modern touch and which the applicant feels is more appealing to younger families.
- To provide visual interest and break down the building mass, portions of the third floor are stepped back from the floors below in the street-fronting buildings, and roof lines are varied slightly.
- The residential character of the development is enhanced by promoting small-scale features such as street-fronting pedestrian entrances with stoops, meaningful eave projections and articulation at different levels of the building façade, and variation in the exterior building cladding.
- The proposed colour and material palette consists of light and dark Hardie panels with matching reveal trims, cedar-toned wood-like horizontal siding, two colours of grey doors, grey wide wood fascia boards, and dark grey window frames and aluminum railings.


## Open Space \& Landscape Design

- Common outdoor amenity space is centrally located on the east side of the site, opposite the main access point, and is sized in accordance with the design guidelines in the OCP $\left(114 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$. The space contains a mail kiosk, bench seating, children's play equipment, a variety of shrubs and a Japanese Maple tree, which has been relocated from elsewhere on-site.
- Private outdoor space for each of the units is proposed as follows, consistent with the size guidelines in the OCP ( $\mathrm{min} .30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit):
- West-facing front yards with patios at grade for the units in Buildings A, B, and G, with secondary decks along the drive-aisle off the second floor main living space for some units (i.e., the end units at the north and south of these buildings do not have decks to limit overlook onto existing adjacent single-family housing).
- Two-tiered rear yards with patios for Buildings C to F.
- On-site tree retention and removal was assessed as part of the Rezoning Application, at which time it was determined that two trees on the subject site were to be relocated and protected (Trees \# 422 and 435), and that four trees were to be protected on the neighbouring property to the east at 10311 Dennis Crescent (Trees \# OS1-OS4). The Landscape Plan shows the trees identified for removal, retention and relocation.
- A non-bylaw hedge was also identified at rezoning stage as having the potential to be retained ( $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 7$ ). Through the DP Application review process, it was determined that the hedge could not be retained as it conflicts with the drive-aisle design and the potential for the drive-aisle to be extended further south to provide shared access to neighbouring development in the future. The hedge could not be relocated as it is co-dependent due to its mature size, and its likelihood of survival is low.
- Following the Public Hearing at which the rezoning bylaw was granted third reading, the applicant obtained a T3 permit to remove the trees identified for removal through the Rezoning Application and provided an interim landscaping security in order to enable demolition of the former dwellings on-site. At that time, the non-bylaw hedgerow was also removed and the applicant engaged the services of a tree relocation company to relocate Trees \# 422 and 435 to the company's tree nursery for storage until such time that the trees are to be returned to the site to be planted at landscape stage.
- The Landscape Plan shows that a mix of 33 deciduous and coniferous replacement trees of various sizes are proposed to be planted and maintained on-site, which exceeds the $2: 1$ tree replacement ratio in the OCP.
- A variety of decorative paving treatments is proposed on-site to highlight shared pedestrian and vehicle areas, provide visual interest, and assist with site permeability. Specifically, permeable pavers are proposed at strategic locations within the internal drive-aisle and visitor parking spaces, as well as for each unit's patios.
- To define the street edge and clearly distinguish between public and private open space along No. 4 Road, low fencing with pedestrian gates is proposed ( 1.06 m high). Solid wood privacy fencing is proposed around the perimeter of the site ( 1.2 to 1.8 m high).
- The Landscape Plan requires that all soft landscaped areas be irrigated through installation of an automated system consistent with industry standards.
- A concept for exterior lighting is provided and includes low lighting at the entry gates to the street-fronting units, downward-facing building-mounted lighting over the garage doors along the drive-aisle, bollard lighting within the common outdoor amenity space and at the visitor bike rack, and a single up-light directed to the site address signage at the site's entrance. Proposed locations and symbols for light fixtures are shown on the Site Plan, Landscape Plan, and Elevation Plans (Plans \# 2.a, L1, L2, 6.a to 6.g).
- To ensure that the Landscape Plan is adhered to, the applicant is required to submit a Landscaping Security in the amount of 100 per cent of a cost estimate prepared by the Registered Landscape Architect (including installation and a 10 per cent contingency) prior to DP issuance.


## Indoor Amenity Space

- Consistent with the OCP, and as considered as part of the Rezoning Application, the applicant proposes to submit a contribution in the amount of $\$ 33,611.00$ to the City prior to rezoning bylaw adoption in-lieu of the provision of common indoor amenity space on-site.


## Accessible Housing

- The proposed development includes four Convertible Units that are designed with the potential to be easily renovated to accommodate a future resident in a wheelchair or with mobility issues. The potential conversion of these units will require the installation of a stair lift. The list of convertible unit features and floor plans are included in the Reference Plans to the DP.
- All 19 units incorporate aging-in-place features to accommodate mobility constraints associated with aging. These features include:
- Stairwell handrails.
- Lever-type handles for plumbing fixtures and door handles.
- Solid blocking in washroom walls to facilitate future grab bar installation beside toilets, bathtubs and showers.


## Sustainability

- The Applicant has submitted written confirmation from their certified Energy Advisor that the proposed design will meet BC Energy Step Code 3 (or Step 2 with a low carbon energy system). The key technical elements that enable this performance target to be achieved include additional insulation in building assemblies (walls, roof, floor, slab), 1.38 U value for windows, air source heat pumps for both heating and cooling (with electric baseboards within the lock-off units for heating), and a heat recovery ventilation system. The air source heat pumps are proposed to be located in the front and side yards (with screening), and are to be recessed from the building facade in the rear yards. The applicant has provided a report from an acoustical engineer which confirms that the heat pumps are predicted to comply with the City's Noise Regulation Bylaw.
- This is consistent with the provisions for in-stream applications that were submitted to the City prior to July 1, 2022, subject to the DP application being considered and endorsed by the DP Panel and a complete Building Permit application being submitted prior to July 1, 2023. Should the applicant be unable to meet these deadlines, the proposed building design must be revised meet the current BC Energy Step Code performance targets (e.g., Step 3 with a low carbon energy system).
- Additional environmentally sustainable features of the proposal identified by the applicant include:
- Energized outlets to support electric vehicle (EV) charging for all resident parking spaces, as required by City Zoning Bylaw 8500.
- Low E glass windows.
- Energy Star appliances.
- Dual flush toilets to reduce water consumption.
- LED lighting and motion sensor lighting in powder room and master ensuite.
- Low Volatile Organic Compound (VOD) paints, adhesives and floorings.
- Drought-tolerant plants.
- Permeable paving to reduce surface water run off.


## Public Art

- Based on a maximum buildable floor area of approximately $2,350 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(25,299 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$, the recommended public art contribution based on the Public Art Program's Administrative Guidelines of $\$ 0.99 / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ (2023 rate) is approximately $\$ 22,769.00$.
- As this project will generate a recommended public art contribution of less than $\$ 40,000.00$ and there are limited opportunities for locating public art on the site, as per Policy it is recommended that a contribution in-lieu of providing public art on-site be directed to the Public Art Reserve Fund for City-wide projects on City lands.
- The contribution is required to be submitted prior to DP issuance.


## Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED)

- The applicant has identified that the proposal responds to the following principles of CPTED, as encouraged in the design guidelines contained within the OCP:
- Natural Access Control is demonstrated through a single main vehicle access point to the site, clearly defined and lit pedestrian entries to individual units, and defined edges separating public and private space (e.g., low transparent fencing with gates along the street front).
- Natural Surveillance opportunities are demonstrated with street-fronting windows, front doors, and activity generators opposite the main entry to the site (e.g., common outdoor amenity space), which provide "eyes on the street".
- Territoriality is shown by personalizing the site through signage, decorative paving materials at key areas, soft landscaping, appropriate lighting, low fencing, and screening of equipment.
- As the site will be governed by a strata council, maintenance of the site will be undertaken by the future occupants to provide for the continued use of space for its intended purpose and to ensure that landscaping, lighting and equipment is maintained and kept operable.


## Site Servicing and Off-site Improvements

- Servicing and off-site improvements to support the proposed development were identified during the Rezoning Application review process. The scope of work includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- Upgrading approximately 80 m of sanitary sewer on-site and along the shared property line with the properties at 10251, 10271, 10291 and 10311 Dennis Crescent.
- Road improvements involving road dedication and construction of a new treed/grass boulevard at the curb, off-street bike path, and concrete sidewalk along the subject site
frontage, and construction of an interim asphalt walkway at the curb from 10300 No. 4 Road to the north end of the block at Wilkinson Road.
- The applicant's Arborist has reviewed the proposed design of the sanitary sewer upgrade and identified measures to ensure construction in a manner that retains the four mature off-site trees on the neighbouring property to the east. Arborist supervision is required for all on-site works within the tree protection zone.
- A Servicing Agreement (SA) for the design and construction of these improvements is required to be entered into prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.


## Conclusion

This proposal is for a 19-unit townhouse development on a land assembly involving 10340, 10360, 10380, 10400, and 10420 No. 4 Road.

The applicant has addressed the design issues identified through the Rezoning Application review process, as well as additional staff comments regarding site planning, urban design, architectural form and character, and landscape design identified as part of the DP application review process. The proposal as designed provides an appropriate response to the surrounding townhouse and single-family housing context and conforms to the applicable policies and design guidelines contained within the OCP.

The proposed development complies with the requirements of the "RMT2" zone, with the exception of the zoning variances discussed.

On this basis, staff recommend that the DP be endorsed and issuance by Council be recommended.


Cynthia Lussier
Planner 2
(604-276-4108)
CL:he

Att. 1. Location Map
2. Development Application Data Sheet
3. Excerpt from the June 21, 2021 Advisory Design Panel meeting minutes and the Applicant's design response

The following are to be completed prior to forwarding this application to Council for approval:

1. Final adoption of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10261.
2. Receipt of a Letter-of-Credit or other form of security acceptable to the City for landscaping in the amount of $\$ 152,362.16$ ( $100 \%$ of the cost estimate provided by the Registered Landscape Architect, including all materials, installation, and a $10 \%$ contingency). To accompany the landscaping security, a legal agreement that sets the terms for use and release of the security must be entered into between the applicant and the City.
3. Submission of Tree Survival Securities to the City for protection, relocation and transplanting of Tree \# 422 ( $\$ 10,000$ ) and Tree \# $435(\$ 5,000)$. To accompany the securities, a legal agreement that sets the terms for use and release of the securities must be entered into between the applicant and the City.
4. City acceptance of the applicant's offer to make a voluntary cash contribution towards the City's Public Art Reserve Fund, the terms of which shall include the following:
a) The value of the applicant's voluntary public art contribution shall be based on the Council-approved rates for residential and non-residential uses and the maximum buildable floor area permitted under the subject site's proposed zoning, excluding floor area associated with affordable housing and market rental, as indicated in the table below.

| Building Type | Rate | Maximum Permitted <br> Floor Area (after <br> exemptions $)$ | Minimum Voluntary <br> Cash Contribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Townhouses | $\$ 0.99 / \mathrm{ft}^{2}(2023$ rate $)$ | $2,350.40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> $\left(25,299 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $\$ 22,769.00$ |

b) In the event that the contribution is not provided within one year of the application receiving third reading of Council (i.e. Public Hearing), the contribution rate (as indicated in the table in item a) above) shall be increased annually thereafter based on the Statistics Canada Consumer Price Index (All Items) - Vancouver yearly quarter-to-quarter change, where the change is positive.
5. Registration of a legal agreement on Title to enable shared use of and access to the waste and recycling enclosure and storage room on the subject site by the potential future development to the north.

Prior to future Building Permit issuance, the applicant is required to complete the following:

- Incorporation of accessibility measures in Building Permit plans and construction, as determined via the Rezoning and Development Permit applications (e.g., Aging-in-place features in all units and four Convertible Units).
- Incorporation of energy efficiency measures in Building Permit plans and construction to meet or exceed the applicable Energy Step Code performance target.
- Obtain a Building Permit for any construction hoarding associated with the proposed development. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a street, or any part thereof, or occupy the air space above a street or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For further information on the Building Permit, please contact Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.
- Submission of a construction traffic and parking management plan to the satisfaction of the City's Transportation Department (https://www.richmond.ca/services/transportation/special.htm\#TrafficPlan ).
- If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges, plus applicable interest associated with eligible latecomer works.
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## City of Richmond

## Development Application Data Sheet

## DP 21-936427

Address: $\quad 10340,10360,10380,10400$ and 10420 No. 4 Road
Applicant: Kadium No. 4 Development Ltd. Owner: Kadium No. 4 Development Ltd.

Planning Area(s): Shellmont

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Site Area: | $3,825.20 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> $\left(41,174 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $3,616.00 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> $\left(38,922 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ <br> after road dedication |
| Land Uses: | Vacant Land <br> (previously single-family housing | Townhouses |
| OCP Designation: | Neighbourhood Residential | No change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Medium Density Townhouses <br> $(R T M 2)$ |
| Number of Units: | Vacant Land | 19 |


|  | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | 0.65 | 0.65 | None permitted |
| Buildable Floor Area (Net): | $\begin{aligned} & 2,350.40 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & \left(25,299 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,345.17 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & \left(25,243 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | None permitted |
| Lot Coverage - Buildings: | Max. 40\% | 38.7\% | None |
| Lot Coverage - Non-porous Surfaces: | Max. 65\% | 59.5\% | None |
| Lot Coverage - Live Plant Material: | Min. 25\% | 25.8\% | None |
| Setback - Front Yard: | Min. 6.0 m | 4.5 m | To reduce from 6.0 m to 4.5 m |
| Setback - Side Yard: | Min. 3.0 m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North }-3.1 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { South }-3.0 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | None |
| Setback - Rear Yard: | Min. 3.0 m | 6.0 m | None |
| Building Height: | Max. 12.0 m (3 storeys) | 3-storey buildings -10.3 m 2-storey buildings -7.82 m | None |
| Minimum Lot Depth: | 35.0 m | 34.5 m | To reduce from 35.0 m to 34.0 m |
| Minimum Lot Width: | 50.0 m | 104.5 m | None |
| On-site Vehicle Parking Spaces (Min.): | $38(\mathrm{R})$ and $4(\mathrm{~V})$ | $38(\mathrm{R})$ and $4(\mathrm{~V})$ | None |
| Standard Size: <br> Small Size: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min. } 50 \%-19 \\ & \text { Max. } 50 \%-19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | None |


| Tandem Vehicle Parking <br> Spaces: | Max. $50 \%-19$ | None | None |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accessible Vehicle Parking <br> Spaces: | Min. $2 \%-1$ | 1 | None |
| Total Vehicle Parking Spaces: | 42 | 42 | None |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | Cash-in-lieu | N/A |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | Min. $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{unit}$ <br> (i.e., $114 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | $114.09 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | N/A |

# Excerpt from the Minutes of the Advisory Design Panel Meeting 

Wednesday, August 17, 2022 - 4:00 p.m.<br>Remote (Webex) Meeting<br>\section*{DP 21-936427 - 19-UNIT TOWNHOUSE PROPOSAL WITH VEHICLE ACCESS OFF NO. 4 ROAD}<br>ARCHITECT: Matthew Cheng Architect<br>LANDSCAPE PMG Landscape Architects<br>ARCHITECT:<br>PROPERTY LOCATION: 10340-10420 No. 4 Road<br>\section*{Applicant's Presentation}<br>Architect Matthew Cheng, Matthew Cheng Architect, and Landscape Architect Caelan Griffiths, PMG Landscape Architects, presented the project and answered queries from the Panel.

## Panel Discussion

Comments from Panel members were as follows:

- no concerns with the proposed contemporary architectural style for the townhouse buildings; however, the large fascias along No. 4 Road appear to push the top floors of the three-storey townhouse units forward rather than recessing them to comply with the City's townhouse design guidelines; investigate opportunities to adequately recess the top floors in order to further mitigate the height impact of units along the street frontage; Third floor 1' back from setback line and lower floors.
- appreciate the idea of lock-off units; however, there is no provision for a washer and dryer or closet in one lock-off unit; consider providing more uniform features for the lock-off units; W/D unit added to lock off units.
- note that only a shower is shown in the plan for convertible units; look at the requirements for a convertible unit in the BC Building Code as a shower and tub may be required; No statement in Richmond Convertible Unit guidelines requiring tub.
- consider making the entire drive aisle more pedestrian friendly (e.g. like a woonerf) and highlight its shared use rather than designating separate spaces for vehicle and pedestrian circulation; consider introducing plantings within the space in order for the drive aisle to read like a woonerf; Permeable pavers in different colors have been proposed for internal driveway and visitor parking spots.
- would be good to see how lighting could be further integrated into the design of the public realm spaces; Lighting has been proposed at the street entrance to each front yard and bollard lights have been proposed within the common outdoor amenity space and at the wisitor bike parking area
- consider rotating the condenser units along No. 4 Road to minimize the number of condenser units facing the street; $N / A$
- consider a more direct pathway to the door of convertible units on the north and south ends; Addressed
- the overall approach to landscaping is appropriate; review the choice of paving materials for the drive aisle to make the entire drive aisle more pedestrian friendly; Addressed
- review the proposed location of the bike rack near the entrance to the common outdoor amenity area as it might conflict with the internal drive aisle; consider relocating the bike rack closer to the bike lane; Bike rack has been relocated between Buildings $D$ and $C$.
- note some errors in the landscape drawings, e.g. labelling of the location of the bike rack and bench; Addressed
- appreciate the proposed West Coast architectural style of the buildings; however, the proposed landscaping for the project might not be attractive to the intended agegroup market (i.e., young families); Addressed
- review the lawns for townhouse units along No. 4 Road; successful installation and maintenance of these lawns is doubtful due to their small size; consider expanding the paver area to the lawns to create a larger patio for easier maintenance; Addressed
- review the design of the common outdoor amenity area; consider installing natural play elements in lieu of a play structure to enhance its play value and visual appeal; The choice of play equipment is intended to suit the space given fall zone requirements and limited opportunities to enlarge the area due to required changes in lot grade.
- appreciate the two-tiered rear yards for most of the east units and the effort to reduce retaining walls across the site; however, maintenance for two levels of lawn (particularly the upper level) would be challenging; consider installing two rows of planting instead of just one around the edge or expand the pavers to make the landscaping more successful; Two-tiered rear yards remain, as creating steps and landings for rear units will consume more yard space than the proposed two-tier yards. The two-tier yards also bring more of the yard closer to and at the same level as the interior living space.
- no concerns with the proposed planting palette; however, consider substituting with more native planting to better match the building's West Coast architectural style; appreciate the grasses, but consider planting more ferns in shady areas; Addressed
- consider an alternative and creative approach to the design of the children's play area that is different from the usual provision of play structures considering the budget and space constraints; The choice of play equipment is intended to suit the space given fall zone requirements and limited opportunities to enlarge the area due to required changes in lot grade.
- suggest that the design team not indicate the exact specifications of pavers to avoid potential problems in the final inspection of the project; Addressed
- support the form and character of the buildings; however, consider further design development to make the buildings look more polished and finished; Previously proposed contracting reveal \& corner trims replaced with matching color.
- consider adding more colours to provide variations in colour for some buildings, particularly to buildings along No. 4 Road; suggest adding two to three colours and look at changing the front door colours to further differentiate the buildings; Alternate entry door color proposed.
- consider reducing the repetitiveness of the building blocks through variation in landscaping, e.g. installing different tree species and varying planting for each building block, particularly for those facing the street; Noted
- appreciate the comprehensive accessibility strategy in the project's design rationale; Noted
- the floor plan which combines a lock-off unit within a convertible unit would be advantageous to the future owner of the convertible unit as it would provide extra income from the rental of the lock-off unit, especially if the owner is a disabled person in the lower income bracket; Noted
- appreciate the provision of a platform style lift for the convertible units that could accommodate wheelchairs, as opposed to the usual provision of a chair lift; Noted
- concerned about the lack of accessibility to the third floor of convertible units; a disabled person in a wheelchair would not be able to access the third floor where the other bedrooms, bath tub and living closet are located, especially if the wheelchair user is a child needing access to the third floor; Provisions made to make 3 rd floor accessible (minimum clearances for platforms provided).
- consider expanding the platform lift access up to the third floor of convertible units; See above response regarding platform clearances provided to install chairlift to 3rd floor.
- appreciate the design team's presentation of the project to the Panel, including the presentation on the fire hydrants; Noted
- projected R-values and heat gain coefficients for windows are consistent with the project's target to achieve Step Code 3 of the BC Energy Step Code; Step Code confirmation letter provided.
- review the proposed location of the air source heat pumps; appreciate the screening and landscaping around the heat pumps; however, the airflow could be restricted and the heat pumps would be difficult to access for service and maintenance; Addressed
- support the West Coast architectural style for the buildings; however, consider adding more post and beam exposure to make the building elevations more interesting and attractive at the entrance to each townhouse unit; Design Rationale Letter provided.
- consider relocating the bike rack from near the entrance to the common outdoor amenity area to another location to avoid conflict with the entrance to the common outdoor amenity area (e.g., between Building C and Building D); Addressed
- consider installing a landscape strip to provide screening for the first floor living room window of Unit 10 of Building D which is adjacent to the common outdoor amenity area; Size of playground and min safety zone surface doesn't allow to provide proper landscape screening for Unit 10 window which is adjacent to the common outdoor amenity area.
- support Panel comments to provide lower maintenance landscaping and more usable space for townhouse units along No. 4 Road; Addressed
- support Panel comments to be more creative in the design of the common outdoor amenity area that would be usable and interesting to young families and children of all age groups without necessarily incurring additional costs; Addressed
- support the proposed colour palette for the buildings; introducing additional colours may not be necessary except for the doors of townhouse units; review the exact location of every colour being used uniformly across the site and use them differently; $N / A$
- cedar tone hardie siding does not have the warmth of wood; however, understand the cost constraint; curious about the different spacings; Replaced Hardie Lapsiding with Nichiha Wood Series "vintagewood cedar" in one spacing.
- nice and clean outside corners of the buildings are important; note that outside corner profiles are not of the same quality; colour match is important; Previously proposed contracting reveal \& corner trims replaced with matching color.
- support the West Coast contemporary architectural style in contrast to the more traditional character of the surrounding single-family homes; Noted
- support the Panel comment to provide a better location/access to the bike parking and make the entire internal drive aisle more pedestrian friendly; necessary to have a more holistic approach from a landscape perspective; and Addressed
- ensure that the lock-off units on Level 1 of the convertible units are easily accessed; also consider including provision for future accessibility to the third floor of the convertible units. Addressed


## Panel Decision

It was moved and seconded
That DP 21-936427 be supported to move forward to the Development Permit Panel subject to the applicant giving consideration to the comments of the Advisory Design Panel.

CARRIED
Opposed: Alan Tse, Kristin Defer and Pam Andrews

No. DP 21-936427

To the Holder:
Property Address:
Address:

Kadium No. 4 Development Ltd.
10340, 10360, 10380, 10400 and 10420 No. 4 Road
Unit 830-8477 Bridgeport Rd RICHMOND BC V6X OS8

1. This Development Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the City applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Development Permit applies to and only to those lands shown cross-hatched on the attached Schedule "A" and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
3. The "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 " is hereby varied to:
a) Reduce the minimum front yard setback from 6.0 m to 4.5 m .
b) Reduce the minimum lot depth from 35.0 m to 34.0 m .
4. Subject to Section 692 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C.: buildings and structures; off-street parking and loading facilities; roads and parking areas; and landscaping and screening shall be constructed generally in accordance with Plans \#1 to \#6.g attached hereto.
5. Sanitary sewers, water, drainage, highways, street lighting, underground wiring, and sidewalks, shall be provided as required.
6. As a condition of the issuance of this Permit, the City is holding the security in the amount of $\$ 152,362.16$ to ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Permit. Should any interest be earned upon the security, it shall accrue to the Holder if the security is returned. The condition of the posting of the security is that should the Holder fail to carry out the development hereby authorized, according to the terms and conditions of this Permit within the time provided, the City may use the security to carry out the work by its servants, agents or contractors, and any surplus shall be paid over to the Holder. Should the Holder carry out the development permitted by this permit within the time set out herein, the security shall be returned to the Holder. The City may retain the security for up to one year after inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that plant material has survived.
7. If the Holder does not commence the construction permitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse and the security shall be returned in full.

# Development Permit 

No. DP 21-936427
To the Holder: Kadium No. 4 Development Ltd.
Property Address: $\quad$ 10340, 10360, 10380, 10400 and 10420 No. 4 Road
Address: Unit 830-8477 Bridgeport Rd
RICHMOND BC V6X OS8
8. The land described herein shall be developed generally in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.
This Permit is not a Building Permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION NO.
ISSUED BY THE COUNCIL THE
DAY OF

DELIVERED THIS
DAY OF

MAYOR


City of Richmond


DP 21-936427
SCHEDULE "A"
Original Date: 08/11/21
Revision Date: 06/12/23

|  | Existing | Proposeo: | VARIANCES: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITE AREA: | 3824.85SM (41169.335F) | 3616.05SM (38921.92SF) |  |
| OCP DESIGNATION: | NEIGHBORAOOO RESLIENTALL | NO CHANGE |  |
| 20NING: | SINGLE DETACHED RS1/E | MEDIUM DENSTTY TOWNHOUSES |  |
| NUMEER OF UNITS: | 5 | - |  |
|  | ALOWED/REOU1REMENTS: | Proooser. |  |
| Floor AREA RA | 0.650 2350.445M ( 252999.255 F ) | 0.649 2345.175M (25242.595F) |  |
| LOT Coverage: | 0.400 1446.42SM (15568.775F) LIVE PLANT MATERILS: 0.2 PERMIABLE SURFACES: 0.1 |  |  |
| TOTAL IMPERMEABELE: | 0.650 2350.445M (25299.255F) | 0.5902167 .025 M 233255F |  |
| SETBACK-FRONT (West) |  |  | REQured |
|  | $3.0 \mathrm{~m}(0.84)$ |  |  |
| SETBACK-REAR (EAST) | $\frac{3.0 \mathrm{~m}}{}(9.84)$ | $6.000 \mathrm{~m}(19.69)$ |  |
| HEICHF: ( m ) | Front blocs: 12.000m (39.40) | ${ }^{10.388 m m(34.07)}$ |  |
|  | REAR BLDCS: 9.000 m (29.53') | 7.82 m (25.67 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ ) |  |
| Lor size: | ${ }^{3824.855 M}$ (41169.335F) | S616.055m (38921.92 |  |
| RESDENTILL/MSITITR. | 38 AND 4 | ( $24+14$ SMALL) |  |
| OFF-STREET PARKK | $0.02(42)=0.84=1$ | 1 |  |
| OfF-STREET PARKING TOTAL | 42 | 42 |  |
| TANDEM PAARING SPACES: | NONE | NONE |  |
| In | CASH-N-LEU | CASH-1N-LIEU |  |


| BICYCLE PARKING | ExISTING | PROPosED: | VARIANCES: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 40 (38 + 2 VERTICAL) |  |
|  | ${ }^{0.20 \text { PER UNTI(I9 UNIS) }}$ | 4 |  |
| ACCESSIBLEE UNITS | 2 | 2-UNTS 6 \& ${ }_{\text {IN }}$ |  |
| LOCK-OFF SUITES | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| LOT DEPTH | M ${ }^{\text {3 3 M }}$ | 34.582 M (AFTER DEDICATON\} | RECuIRED |






NO.4ROAD



| M EXTERIOR SHEAR WALL (HARDIE CLADDING) <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  <br> f.R.R: 1 HR <br>  <br> effective r-value: <br> REQ: R15.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



| 领 EXTERIOR SHEAR WALL (HARDIE CLADDING) <br> $=$ - 1 AROI <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |





|  | MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC <br>  <br>  |  | at Remim | Noode Restion | Comiltast | RROROSOSED TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT 10340-10420 NO. 4 ROAD RICHMONO, B.C. | PARKING PLAN | Some |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RZ 18-831725 |  |  | D06 |

NO. 4
$R ○ A D$


|  | MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC. <br>  |  | No ocit remen | Nooste Rosute | Cmolers |  DEVELOPMENT <br> 10340-10420 NO. 4 ROAD <br> RICHMOND, B.C. | FIRE ACCESS PLAN | Oime |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.9} \mathrm{D}$ Na, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D08 |









STREETSCAPE ALONG NO. 4 ROAD



BUILIING B NORTH ELEVATION



BUILDING A SOUTH FIEVATION



年


BuILDING $A$
 3

$$
\frac{2009}{5}
$$


 3 (今) AVERAGE GRADES FOR BUILDING HEIGHT CALCULATION -_


 Matthew chenc

*

| Poper Tio |
| :--- |
| PROD TOWNOUSE TOWNHOUSE |
| DEVELOMMENT NO |
| 1030-10420 NO. 4 ROAD |
| RICHMOND, B.C. |
| RZ 18-831725 |


| Some |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | D04 |



(


|  | MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC <br>  |  | No oote | No oote Restibo | comatab | PROPOSED TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT <br> 10340-10420 NO. 4 ROAD <br> RICHMOND, B.C. |  | atame | Rememe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cincer |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D04a |



SITE SECTION \#3




NICHIHA
WOOD SERIES SIDING
VINTAGEWOOD CEDAR


JAMES HARDIE REVEAL PANEL NIGHT GRAY EASYTRIM MATCHING


JAMES HARDIE REVEAL PANEL IRON GRAY EASYTRIM MATCHING


JAMES HARDIE
JAMES HARDIE REVEAL PANEL EASYTRIM MATCHING





JAMES HARDIE REVEAL PANEL NIGHT GRAY EASYTRIM MATCHING


JAMES HARDIE REVEAL PANEL IRON GRAY EASYTRIM MATCHING







