

# **Report to Committee**

To:

Re:

Planning Committee

Date:

December 13, 2021

From:

Wayne Craig

File:

RZ 19-878165

Director, Development

Application by Cao Construction for Rezoning at 6531 Francis Road from the

"Single Detached (RS1/E)" Zone to the "Arterial Road Two-Unit Dwellings (RDA)"

Zone

#### **Staff Recommendation**

That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10332, for the rezoning of 6531 Francis Road from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the "Arterial Road Two-Unit Dwellings (RDA)" zone, be introduced and given first reading.

Wayne Craig

Director, Development

(604-247-4625)

WC:na Att. 6

REPORT CONCURRENCE			
ROUTED TO:	CONCURRENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER	
Affordable Housing	Ø	pe Erceg	

#### **Staff Report**

#### Origin

Cao Construction (Jing Cao) has applied to the City of Richmond, on behalf of the owner, Ya T. Wang, for permission to rezone 6531 Francis Road (Attachment 1) from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the "Arterial Road Two-Unit Dwellings (RDA)" zone in order to permit the property to be subdivided into two duplex lots with shared vehicle access from Francis Road. A location map and aerial photo are provided in Attachment 1. The proposed subdivision plan is provided in Attachment 2. Conceptual development plans are provided in Attachment 3. A Development Permit application will be required to address the form and character of the proposed duplexes.

A Servicing Agreement (SA) for frontage improvements, including a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk and a 1.5 m wide treed and landscaped boulevard, and service connections, is required at the time of subdivision.

#### **Findings of Fact**

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is attached (Attachment 4).

#### Subject Site Existing Housing Profile

There is one existing single-family dwelling on the property, which will be demolished prior to subdivision. The applicant has indicated that the dwelling is currently renter occupied. The house does not contain any secondary suites.

#### **Surrounding Development**

• To the North: Fronting Dunsany Place, a single-family home on a lot zoned "Single

Detached (RS1/E)".

• To the South: Across Francis Road, a single-family home on a lot zoned "Single

Detached (RS1/E)".

• To the East: Fronting Francis Road, a duplex dwelling on a lot zoned "Single

Detached (RS1/E)".

• To the West: Fronting Francis Road, a single-family home on a lot zoned "Single

Detached (RS1/E)".

#### **Related Policies & Studies**

#### Official Community Plan

The 2041 Official Community Plan (OCP) Land Use Map designation for the subject site is "Neighbourhood Residential". The development proposal for two duplex lots is consistent with these designations.

#### **Arterial Road Policy**

The Arterial Road Land Use Policy in the City's 2041 Official Community Plan Bylaw 9000 directs appropriate duplex and triplex developments onto certain minor arterial roads outside of the City Centre. The subject site is identified for "Arterial Road Duplex/Triplex" on the Arterial Road Housing Development Map and the proposal is in compliance with the Arterial Road Duplex Development Requirements under the Arterial Road Policy.

#### Floodplain Management Implementation Strategy

The proposed redevelopment must meet the requirements of the Richmond Flood Plain Designation and Protection Bylaw 8204. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on title is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

#### **Public Consultation**

A rezoning sign has been installed on the subject property. Staff have not received any comments from the public about the rezoning application in response to the placement of the rezoning sign on the property.

Should the Planning Committee endorse this application and Council grant first reading to the rezoning bylaw, the bylaw will be forwarded to a Public Hearing, where any area resident or interested party will have an opportunity to comment. Public notification for the Public Hearing will be provided as per the *Local Government Act*.

#### **Analysis**

#### **Built Form and Architectural Character**

The developer proposes to subdivide the site into two lots and construct a new duplex on each lot. Each duplex lot will feature a unit in the front of the property with direct pedestrian access from Francis Road, and one unit at the back of the property with the main entrance from the share drive aisle on site. Unit sizes for the proposed development range from 141 m² (1,517 ft²) to 153 m² (1,646 ft²). All units will have a side-by-side attached garage. In keeping with the architectural character of the neighbourhood, each duplex will be two storeys and will feature a peaked roof. The height of both buildings is consistent with the "Arterial Road Two-Unit Dwellings (RDA)" zone, which allows for a maximum height of 9.0 m (29.5 ft.), measured from finished grade.

A Development Permit application will be required to address the form and character of the proposed duplexes. Through the Development Permit, the following issues are to be further examined:

Review of the architectural character, scale, massing, colour, and materials to ensure that
the proposed duplexes are well designed, fit well into the neighbourhood and comply
with the design guidelines.

- Review of the building massing and setbacks to ensure compliance with the residential vertical lot width and depth envelopes required in the "Arterial Road Two-Unit Dwellings (RDA)" zone.
- Review of aging-in-place features in all units and the provision of a convertible unit.
- Review of a Landscape Plan including new trees to be planted on-site.

Additional issues may be identified as part of the Development Permit application review process.

#### **Existing Legal Encumbrances**

There is an existing 3.0 m wide utility Right-of-Way (ROW) along the entire rear property line of the subject site for an existing sanitary sewer line. The developer is aware that no construction is permitted in these areas.

#### Transportation and Site Access

Francis Road is a minor arterial road. Vehicle access to the proposed duplex lots will be limited to one shared driveway crossing from Francis Road.

As per the parking requirements under the "Arterial Road Two-Unit Dwellings (RDA)" zone, one visitor parking space will be provided. All residential parking spaces will provide Level 2 EV charging outlets.

Prior to rezoning, the applicant is required to provide a \$22,800.00 contribution towards the construction of a special crosswalk at the Francis Road and Milner Road intersection and register a restrictive covenant on title to ensure that, upon subdivision of the property:

- Vehicle access to the two duplex lots is via a single shared driveway crossing, based on a
  design specified in a Development Permit approved by the City.
- A cross-access easement for the shared driveway access, common drive aisle, and the shared visitor parking stall is to be registered on title of the each of the duplex lots.
- The buildings and driveways on all proposed lots are to be designed to accommodate on site vehicle turn-around to prevent vehicles from reversing onto Francis Road.

#### Tree Retention and Replacement

The applicant has submitted a Certified Arborist's report which identifies on-site and off-site tree species, assesses tree structure and condition, and provides recommendations on tree retention and removal relative to the proposed development. The Report assesses two bylaw-sized trees (tag# 75 and 76) on the subject property; one off-site bylaw-sized tree (Tree tag A) located on neighbouring property to the north, one off-site undersized tree (Tree tag B) and one hedge on the neighbouring property to the east; and two hedges on City property.

The City's Tree Preservation Coordinator has reviewed the Arborist's Report and has the following comments:

- One on-site tree (tag# 75 40 cm caliper Cherry tree) is to be retained and protected with protective fencing per the Arborist's recommendations. A Tree Survival Security of \$10,000.00 will be required.
- One on-site tree (tag# 76 33 cm caliper Cherry tree), is to be relocated to the front yard of Lot A with Arborist supervision and a requirement of rezoning. A Tree Survival security of \$10,000.00 will be required and timing of tree relocation will be based on consultation and letter of undertaking with Arborist and tree moving company. Irrigation will also be required to be installed after the tree is removed and exact location of the tree relocation determined at Development Permit stage.
- One neighbouring tree to the north (tag# A 58 cm caliper Cherry tree) is to be retained and protected with protective fencing per the Arborist's recommendations. A Tree Survival security of \$10,000.00 will be required.
- The neighbouring tree (tag B 15 cm caliper Japanese Maple) and untagged neighbouring hedge along the southeast property line are outside the subject property and do not require additional tree protection barriers on the property.

The City Parks Department has visited the site and supports the Arborist's findings, with the following comments:

A Thuja hedge and shrub located in the City-owned boulevard are in conflict with the
proposed shared driveway, and conflict with the proposed frontage improvement to Francis
Road. No compensation is required for removing the hedge and shrub.

#### Tree Replacement

The applicant has agreed to plant a minimum of two trees on each lot proposed; for a total minimum of four trees. Further review of siting new trees will be done through the provision of a Landscape Plan prior to final rezoning adoption. The required replacement trees are to be of the following minimum sizes, based on the size of the trees being removed as per Tree Protection Bylaw No. 8057.

No. of Replacement Trees	Minimum Caliper of Deciduous Replacement Tree	Minimum Height of Coniferous Replacement Tree
4	8 cm	4 m

#### Tree Protection

One tree (Tag# 75) on the subject property and two trees (tag A and B) and one untagged hedge at the southeast edge on neighbouring properties are to be retained and protected. Protection of tree tag# 76 will also be required until relocation to the rear yard occurs. The applicant has submitted a tree protection plan showing the trees to be retained and the measures taken to protect them during development stage (Attachment 5). To ensure that the trees identified for

retention are protected at development stage, the applicant is required to complete the following items:

- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission to the City of a contract with a
  Certified Arborist for the supervision of all works conducted within or in close proximity to
  tree protection zones. The contract must include the scope of work required, the number of
  proposed monitoring inspections at specified stages of construction, any special measures
  required to ensure tree protection, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a
  post-construction impact assessment to the City for review.
- Prior to demolition of the existing dwelling on the subject site, installation of tree protection
  fencing around all trees to be retained. Tree protection fencing must be installed to City
  standard in accordance with the City's Tree Protection Information Bulletin Tree-03 prior to
  any works being conducted on-site, and remain in place until construction and landscaping
  on-site is completed.

#### Accessible Housing

The developer has agreed that aging-in-place features will be provided in all units (e.g., inclusion of blocking in bathroom walls for installation of grab-bars, provision of blocking in stair walls to accommodate lift installation at a future date, and provision of lever door handles).

#### Affordable Housing Strategy

The applicant proposes to make a cash contribution to the Affordable Housing Reserve Fund in accordance to Section 5.15.1(c) of Zoning Bylaw 8500. The applicant will make a cash contribution of \$8.50 per buildable square foot as per the requirement for a contribution of \$53,771. A list of rezoning considerations is included in Attachment 6 which includes a commitment to provide the cash contribution for affordable housing.

#### **Energy Step Code**

The applicant has committed to design the subject development to meet the City's Step Code 3 requirements. Details on how all units are to be built and maintained to this commitment will be provided as part of the Development Permit.

#### Site Servicing and Frontage Improvements

The developer is required to design and construct frontage improvements and service connections through a Servicing Agreement. A 0.11 m wide road dedication along the entire south frontage is also required to accommodate the required frontage improvements. Works include, but are not limited to, construction of a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk and a 1.5 m wide treed and landscaped boulevard.

At future subdivision stage, the developer will be required to pay Development Cost Charges (DCC's) (City & GVS&DD), School Site Acquisition Charge, and Address Assignment Fee. Servicing connections are to be determined at the time of Building Permit.

#### **Financial Impact or Economic Impact**

The rezoning application results in an insignificant Operational Budget Impact (OBI) for off-site City infrastructure (such as roadworks, waterworks, storm sewers, sanitary sewers, street lights, street trees and traffic signals).

#### Conclusion

The purpose of this rezoning application is to rezone 6531 Francis Road from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the "Arterial Road Two-Unit Dwellings (RDA)" zone (Bylaw 10332), in order to permit the development of two duplex lots (four dwelling units in total) on the subject site.

It is recommended that Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10332 be introduced and given first reading.

Nathan Andrews Planning Technician

(604-247-4911)

NA:blg

#### Attachments:

Attachment 1: Location Map

Attachment 2: Proposed Survey and Subdivision Plan


Attachment 3: Conceptual Development Plans

Attachment 4: Development Application Data Sheet

Attachment 5: Tree Management Plan Attachment 6: Rezoning Considerations



### **ATTACHMENT 1**






RZ 19-878165

Original Date: 11/18/19

Revision Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES









RZ 19-878165

Original Date: 11/18/19

Revision Date:


Note: Dimensions are in METRES





ATTACHMENT 3





North (Rear) (Lot A) Elevation

1/4" = 1'-0"



2 East (Right) (Lot A) Elevation




MBD Maple Building Design Inc.


Rear and Right Elevations (Lot A Units 1 & 2) 


Street, Vancouver, BC V5X 3H2 Tal.: 804-323-2572 Fax:: 804-324-2572 e-mail: info@mbsbb.com

\$531 FRANCIS RD., RICHMOND, BC Scale 1/4" = 1'-0"









West (Left) (Lot B) Elevation 1/4" = 1'-0"





# **Development Application Data Sheet**

**Development Applications Department** 

RZ 19-878165 Attachment 4

Address: 6531 Francis Road

Applicant: CAO Construction

Planning Area(s): Blundell


å	Existing	Proposed
Owner:	Ya T. Wang	No change
Site Size (m²):	980 m²	Lot A: 490 m <sup>2</sup> Lot B: 490 m <sup>2</sup>
Land Uses:	Single-family dwelling	Two-unit dwellings
OCP Designation:	Neighbourhood Residential	No change
Zoning:	Single Detached (RS1/E)	Arterial Road Two-Unit Dwellings (RDA)
Number of Units:	1	4
Other Designations:	Arterial Road Policy Designation: Arterial Road Duplex/Triplex	No change

On Future Subdivided Lots	Bylaw Requirement	Proposed	Variance
Floor Area Ratio:	The lesser of 0.6 FAR and 334.5 m <sup>2</sup>	0.6	none permitted
Buildable Floor Area (m²):*	Lot A: Max. 294 m <sup>2</sup> (3163 ft <sup>2</sup> ) Lot B: Max. 294 m <sup>2</sup> (3163 ft <sup>2</sup> )	Lot A: 293 m² (3,152 ft²) Lot B: 293 m² (3,152 ft²)	none permitted
Lot Coverage (% of lot area):	Building: Max. 45% Non-porous Surfaces: Max. 70% Live Landscaping: Min. 20%	Building: Max. 45% Non-porous Surfaces: Max. 70% Live Landscaping: Min. 20%	none
Lot Size:	Min. 464.5 m²	Lot A: 489.7 m <sup>2</sup> Lot B: 489.7 m <sup>2</sup>	none
Lot Dimensions (m):	Width: Min. 10.35 m for proposed lots with shared vehicle access and Min. 13.4 m for proposed lot with individual vehicle access Depth: Min. 30 m	Width: 11.99 m Depth: 40.84 m	none
Setbacks (m):	Front: Min. 6.0 m Rear: Min. 6.0 m Side: Min. 1.2 m	Front: Min. 6.0 m Rear: Min. 6.0 m Side: Min. 1.2 m	none

On Future Subdivided Lots	Bylaw Requirement	Proposed	Variance
Height (m):	Max. 9.0 m (2 storeys)	9.0 m (2 storeys)	none
Off-street Parking Spaces – Regular (R):	2 per unit	2 per unit	none
Off-street Parking Spaces – Visitor (V):	0.2 per unit when 3 or more units share one access (0.2 x 4) = 1	1	none
Off-street Parking (total):	5	5	none
Tandem Parking Spaces:	Permitted	0	none

Other: Tree replacement compensation required for loss of significant trees.

<sup>\*</sup> Preliminary estimate; not inclusive of garage; exact building size to be determined through zoning bylaw compliance review at Building Permit stage.



PH - 44



#### **ATTACHMENT 6**

## **Rezoning Considerations**

Development Applications Department 6911 No. 3 Road, Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1

Address: 6531 Francis Road File No.: RZ 19-878165

# Prior to final adoption of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10332, the developer is required to complete the following:

- 1. 0.11 m wide road dedication along the entire south frontage to accommodate the required frontage improvements; exact width is to be confirmed with survey information and via functional plan to be submitted by the applicant.
- 2. Registration of a legal agreement on Title to ensure that, upon subdivision of the property:
  - a) Vehicle access is via a single shared driveway crossing, based on a design specified in a Development Permit approved by the City;
  - b) A cross-access easement for the shared driveway access, common drive aisle, and the shared visitor parking stall is to be registered on Titles of the each of the two lots.
  - c) The buildings and driveways on the two proposed lots are to be designed to accommodate on-site vehicle turnaround to prevent vehicles from reversing onto Railway Avenue.
- 3. Registration of a legal agreement on Title to ensure that, upon subdivision of the property, a cross-access easement for the shared driveway access, common drive aisle, and the shared visitor parking stall will be registered on tittles of the new lots.
- 4. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on title.
- 5. Submission of a Contract entered into between the applicant and a Certified Arborist for supervision of any on-site works conducted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken, including: the proposed number of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction assessment report to the City for review.
- 6. Submission of a Tree Survival Security to the City in the amount of \$30,000.00 for the 3 trees to be retained.
- 7. Relocation of tree tag# 76 is to be done with Arborist supervision as per Arborist Contract and integration of the relocated tree in the preliminary Landscape Plan required prior to Development Permit Panel.
- 8. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute \$8.50 per buildable square foot (e.g. each lot for a total amount \$53,771.00) to the City's affordable housing fund.
- 9. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute \$22,800.00 towards the construction of a special crosswalk at the Francis Road/Milner Road intersection. The special crosswalk will feature: traffic poles, overhead illuminated signs, amber flashers, strobe lights, Audible Pedestrian Signal, a special crosswalk cabinet, Hydro service panel, and conduit/junction boxes. (Account No. 3132-10-550-55001-0000).
- 10. The submission and processing of a Development Permit\* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.

# Prior to a Development Permit\* being forwarded to the Development Permit Panel for consideration, the developer is required to:

- 1. Review of Convertible Unit inclusion into redevelopment to improve accessible housing options.
- 2. Submission of a Landscape Plan, prepared by a Registered Landscape Architect, to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, and deposit of a Landscaping Security based on 100% of the cost estimate provided by the Landscape Architect, including installation costs. The Landscape Plan should:
  - comply with the guidelines of the OCP's Arterial Road Policy and should not include hedges along the front property line;
  - include a mix of coniferous and deciduous trees;
  - include the relocated tree tag# 76 to the front yard of Lot A;

* *.* *	
Initia	•
ппппа	

- include the dimensions of tree protection fencing as illustrated on the Tree Retention Plan attached to this report;
   and
- include the 4 required replacement trees with the following minimum sizes:

No. of Replacement Trees	Minimum Caliper of Deciduous Tree	or	Minimum Height of Coniferous Tree
4	8 cm		4 m

If required replacement trees cannot be accommodated on-site, a cash-in-lieu contribution in the amount of \$750/tree to the City's Tree Compensation Fund for off-site planting is required.

#### At Subdivision\* stage, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Enter into a Servicing Agreement\* for the design and construction of engineering infrastructure improvements. A Letter of Credit or cash security for the value of the Service Agreement works, as determined by the City, will be required as part of entering into the Servicing Agreement. Works include, but may not be limited to:

#### Water Works:

- a) Using the OCP Model, there is 661 L/s of water available at a 20 psi residual at the Francis Road frontage. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of 95 L/s.
- b) At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:
  - Submit Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) or International Organization for Standardization (ISO) fire flow
    calculations to confirm development has adequate fire flow for onsite fire protection. Calculations must be
    signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer and be based on Building Permit Stage building designs.
- c) At Developer's cost, the City will:
  - i) Cap and remove the existing water service connection.
  - ii) Install four new water service connections, complete with water meters one to serve each proposed unit.

#### Storm Sewer Works:

- d) At Developer's cost, the City will:
  - i) Based on the recommendation from the developer's engineer in the memorandum from Terra Nobis Consulting Inc. "6531 Francis Rd, Richmond Perimeter Drain" dated April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020, cap and remove the existing perimeter drain along the east property line of the development site.
  - i) Cap the existing storm connection at the inspection chamber.
  - ii) Install one new storm service connection at the common property line of the newly subdivided lots, complete with inspection chamber and dual service leads.

#### Sanitary Sewer Works:

- e) At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:
  - i) Not start onsite excavation or foundation construction until completion of rear-yard sanitary works by City crews.
- f) At Developer's cost, the City will:
  - i) Cap the existing sanitary connection at the inspection chamber.
  - ii) Install one new sanitary service connection at the common property line of the newly subdivided lots, complete with inspection chamber and dual service leads.

#### Frontage Improvements:

At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:

iii) Coordinate with BC Hydro, Telus and other private communication service providers before relocating/modifying any of the existing power poles and/or guy wires within the property frontages.

Initial:	
----------	--

- iv) Locate/relocate all above ground utility cabinets and kiosks required to service the proposed development, and all above ground utility cabinets and kiosks located along the development's frontages, within the developments site (see list below for examples). A functional plan showing conceptual locations for such infrastructure shall be included in the development design review process. Please coordinate with the respective private utility companies and the project's lighting and traffic signal consultants to confirm the requirements (e.g., statutory right-of-way dimensions) and the locations for the aboveground structures. If a private utility company does not require an aboveground structure, that company shall confirm this via a letter to be submitted to the City. The following are examples of statutory right-of-ways that shall be shown on the architectural plans/functional plan:
  - BC Hydro PMT  $-4.0 \times 5.0 \text{ m}$
  - BC Hydro LPT 3.5 x 3.5 m
  - Street light kiosk 1.5 x 1.5 m
  - Traffic signal kiosk 2.0 x 1.5 m
  - Traffic signal UPS 1.0 x 1.0 m
  - Shaw cable kiosk  $-1.0 \times 1.0 \text{ m}$
  - Telus FDH cabinet 1.1 x 1.0 m
- v) Complete other frontage improvements as per Transportation requirements:
  - The works include the construction of a new 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk at the new property line and a new grass/tree boulevard over the remaining width between the sidewalk and the north curb of Francis Road. Note that a 0.11 m wide road dedication across the entire Francis Road site frontage is required to meet minimum frontage improvement standards. The exact road dedication is to be determined through legal surveys. The new sidewalk and boulevard are to transition to meet the existing frontage treatments to the east and west of the subject site. The cross-section of the frontage improvements, measuring from the new south property line of the site to the north curb of Francis Road, are to include:
    - o New south property line.
    - o 1.5 m wide concrete sidewalk.
    - o 1.5 m wide landscaped boulevard with street trees.
    - o Existing 0.15 m wide curb.
  - All existing driveways along the Francis Road development frontage are to be closed permanently. The Developer is responsible for the removal of the existing driveway let-downs and the replacement with barrier curb/gutter, boulevard and concrete sidewalk per standards described under Item 2 above.
  - The new vehicle driveway access to the site must be constructed to City design standards.
  - Consult Parks on the requirements for tree protection/placement including tree species and spacing as part of the frontage works.
  - Consult Engineering on lighting and other utility requirements as part of the frontage works.

#### Special Crosswalk

• The Developer is required to make a \$22,800 partial contribution towards the construction of a special crosswalk at the Francis Road/Milner Road intersection. The total cost of the special crosswalk is \$108,300. The special crosswalk will have these features: traffic poles; overhead illuminated signs; amber flashers; strobe lights; Audible Pedestrian Signal; special crosswalk cabinet; Hydro service panel; and conduit/junction boxes. (Account No. 3132-10-550-55001-0000).

#### Electric Vehicle Charging Equipment

Per 8500 Amendment Bylaw No. 9756, the Developer is required to provide, for all residential
parking spaces (excluding visitor parking), Level 2 EV charging outlets (208V to 240V AC and
current of 16A to 80A). The EV charging equipment calculations are to be shown as part of the site
development statistics. The site plan and building layout are also to show the location of all EV
charging equipment.

T 1.1 1	
Initia	•
шина	l.

#### General Items:

At Developer's cost, the Developer is required to:

- Not encroach into City rights-of-ways with any proposed trees, retaining walls, or other non-removable structures. Retaining walls proposed to encroach into rights-of-ways must be reviewed by the City's Engineering Department.
- ii) Enter into, if required, additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, dewatering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.

#### Prior to Demolition Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.

#### Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:


- Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
- 2. Incorporation of accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
- 3. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges, plus applicable interest associated with eligible latecomer works.
- 4. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

#### Note:

- \* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
  - All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.
  - The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.

Initia)	

•	Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contain prohibition of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority	ons on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends a services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured are in compliance with all relevant legislation.
Si	gned	Date





### Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 Amendment Bylaw 10332 (RZ 19-878165) 6531 Francis Road

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Zoning Map of the City of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it "ARTERIAL ROAD TWO-UNIT DWELLINGS (RDA)".

P.I.D. 004-030-664 Lot 93 Section 19 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 48044

2. This Bylaw may be cited as "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10332".

FIRST READING	JAN 1 0 2022	CITY OF RICHMOND
A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON		APPROVED by
SECOND READING		APPROVED.
THIRD READING		by Director or Solicitor
OTHER CONDITIONS SATISFIED		- O. F
ADOPTED		-
MAYOR	CORPORATE OFFICER	