

Report to Committee

То:	Public Works and Transportation Committee	Date:	May 17, 2019
From:	Lloyd Bie, P.Eng. Director, Transportation	File:	10-6450-09-01/2019- Vol 01
Re:	Review of Collision Prone Intersections		

Staff Recommendation

- 1. That the proposed short-term improvements, with respect to the top 20 high collision intersections in Richmond, be included in the 5 Year (2020-2024) Financial Plan, as outlined in the staff report titled "Review of Collision Prone Intersections" dated May 17, 2019 from the Director, Transportation; and,
- 2. That the City request the Minister of Public Safety and Solicitor General to provide automated speed enforcement technology at those intersections where the data indicates that speeding is a contributing factor to collisions.

Lloyd Bie, P.Eng. Director, Transportation (604-276-4131)

Att. 3

REPORT CONCURRENCE								
ROUTED TO:	CONCUR	RENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER					
Engineering RCMP		d	de Erreg					
REVIEWED BY STAFF REPORT / Agenda Review Subcommittee			APPROVED BY GAO					

2

Staff Report

Origin

At the November 21, 2018 meeting of the Public Works and Transportation Committee, the following referral was carried:

That staff investigate:

- (1) potential options to improve the left turn lanes in the intersections of No. 5 Road and Cambie Road and Cambie Road and Jacombs Road including cycling lanes; and
- (2) other intersections with high incident rates;

and report back.

This report responds to Part (2) of the referral. Part (1) of the referral is addressed in a separate report.

Analysis

City-Wide Collision Data

Roadway collision data for Richmond and four other municipalities (Vancouver, Surrey, Delta, and Burnaby) was obtained from ICBC for the period from January 2013 to December 2017. Figure 1 illustrates the annual per capita collision rate for all collision types (fatality, injury and property damage only) for the five municipalities reviewed.



Figure 1: Annual Per Capita Collision Rate for Selected Municipalities

Notes:

(2)

(1) Data only includes crashes where sufficient location information is available to determine a latitude and longitude.

Crashes on boundaries appear for both cities.

Richmond's annual per capita collision rate is on the low end for the municipalities reviewed. The highest crash locations in Richmond are at water crossings (i.e., bridges and the George Massey Tunnel) plus the on- and off-ramps for Highways 91 and 99, which are not within the City's jurisdiction. The network screening process described below focuses on City-controlled intersections with the long-term goal of decreasing the per capita collision rate for the city.

Network Screening Study

The City currently reviews the traffic safety performance of individual intersections as issues arise. A Network Screening Study is an opportunity for a holistic city-wide review of all intersections to identify those locations with the highest risk of collisions. The City partnered with ICBC on the Network Screening Study (the Study) to identify and prioritize high collision locations in order to determine where road safety improvement investments should be directed to achieve the greatest safety benefits.

The Study employs a systematic process based on the *Transportation Association of Canada Canadian Guide to In-service Road Safety Review*. Specifically, the Study uses insurance claims records and traffic volume data to assess the risk and potential to mitigate motorist, pedestrian and cyclist collisions. The output of the network screening process is a list of prioritized collision prone intersections and the identification of potential short-term and medium/long term improvements that will reduce crash rates. This information helps to determine where road safety resources can be most optimally allocated.

The Executive Summary of the Study is found in Attachment 1. The methodology and key outcomes are described briefly below.

Study Methodology

The Study was conducted in two phases; an initial screening and a secondary screening to ultimately identify a short list of the top 20 collision prone intersections.

Initial Screening

Table 1 provides a breakdown of the total number of intersections in Richmond. The initial screening began with the 818 intersections (50% of all intersections) for which ICBC collision data is available (total of 22,373 claims for the 2013-2017 period). As the five-year claims data indicated that 82% of the collisions (18,288) occurred at signalized intersections, subsequent analysis was focused on these 161 signalized intersections. Of the total number of collisions at these 161 signalized intersections, 0.08% were fatalities (14), 38% were injuries (6,946) and 62% were property damage only (11,328).

Intersection	# 01	f City Intersections		# of City Intersections with ICBC Data					
Type ⁽¹⁾	Signalized	Non-signalized	Total	Signalized	Non-signalized	Total			
City-MoTI	6	2	8	6	2	8			
Major-Major	113	32	145	113	25	138			
Major-Minor	43	391	434	42	326	368			
Minor-Minor	0	1,030	1,030	0	304	304			
Total	162	1,455	1,617	161	657	818			

Table 1: Intersections in Richmond by Type

Notes:

(1) City-MoTI: Shared jurisdiction between City and Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MoTI).

(2) Major: roadway is classified as an arterial or collector road.

(3) Minor: roadway is a local street.

Figure 2 illustrates that the annual number of collisions at the 161 signalized intersections increased from 2013 (2,897 collisions) to 2017 (4,160 collisions), indicating an 8.7% annual growth rate that outpaces the population annual growth rate of 1.7%.

4,160 225,000 4500 4,071 3,808 220,000 219,273 4000 3,352 215,000 3500 Number of Collisions 2,897 210,000 3000 208,229 206,476 205,000 ioi 2500 200,000 teindod 2000 2408 1500 190,000 1000 185,000 500 180,000 175,000 Ω 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 Year Fatality Injury Property Damage Only Population

Figure 2: Annual Collisions at City Signalized Intersections and Population Trend

The Study then focuses on intersections with an annual collision frequency equal to or greater than 25 collisions in the five-year period. This step resulted in 47 high collision intersections. These 47 intersections represent 29% of the 161 signalized intersections but account for 65% of the collisions.

Secondary Screening

The preliminary list of 47 high collision intersections was further prioritized using:

- (1) Collision Severity Index: measures whether or not a location experiences more severe crashes (i.e., injury or fatality versus property damage only) than the City average for all intersections.
- (2) Observed Collision Rate > Critical Collision Rate: this measure accounts for collision pattern randomness to ensure that only statistically meaningful locations are selected.

CNCL - 533

(3) Pedestrian-Involved Collisions: the number of pedestrian-involved collisions greater than five for the 2013-2017 period,

The Secondary Screening resulted in 20 intersections (2.4% of all Richmond intersections with collision data), which account for 23% of all ICBC claims in Richmond over the five-year period.

Intersection Safety Review Reports

Field reviews of the selected 20 intersections as well as a detailed collision analysis for the top 20 intersections were conducted using three-year data (2015-2017) to establish the most up-todate collision patterns and identify the intersection improvements. The results of the collision data reviews and field reviews were compiled and summarized in a two-page Intersection Safety Review Report for each of the 20 intersections (Attachment 2) that includes:

- intersection layout and traffic volumes;
- collision pattern, including information of fatal collisions;
- field review observation and identified safety issues; and
- potential improvements (short-term and medium-/long-term).

Recommendations and Next Steps

Short-Term Improvements

The proposed short-term infrastructure improvements involve readily implementable measures such as improved traffic/parking signage, new or refreshed pavement markings, trimming of foliage to improve sightlines, and/or traffic signal modifications (e.g., added left-turn phase, larger lenses to improve visibility, change in signal phasing to assign priority to vulnerable road uses, etc). Additional proposed improvements include increased enforcement and education.

Attachment 3 summarizes the proposed improvements and estimated costs per intersection as well as the high-level estimate of safety benefits of the proposed improvements expressed as the percent of total collisions. The total estimated cost of the short-term improvements for all 20 intersections is approximately \$500,000. Staff will include these short-term improvements in the 5 Year (2020-2024) Financial Plan, which is subject to Council approval.

Enforcement of Speeding and Red Light Running

Based on the Study findings, increased enforcement is recommended for 13 of the 20 intersections to address speeding and/or red light running violations as shown in Table 2. Of these 13 intersections, four have a red light enforcement camera (Shell Road-Alderbridge Way, No. 5 Road-Westminster Hwy, No. 5 Road-Cambie Road, and Gilbert Road-Blundell Road) and one has a red light camera that will be upgraded to provide automated speed enforcement (Garden City Road-Cambie Road). These programs operate 24 hours per day, seven days per week.

The red light camera and automated speed enforcement programs are within provincial jurisdiction. Therefore, staff recommend that the City request the Minister of Public Safety and Solicitor General to upgrade the existing four red light cameras and add cameras at the remaining eight intersections in order to provide red light and automated speed enforcement at all 13 intersections where the crash history reveals that speeding is a chronic contributing factor to collisions.

Staff will also share the Intersection Safety Review Reports with Richmond RCMP to enhance the targeted deployment of road safety enforcement.

Medium- and Long-Term Improvements

The proposed medium- and long-term infrastructure

improvements involve substantial road geometry changes such as the road widening, addition or lengthening of left-turn lanes, redesign of existing channelized right-turn lanes, completion of pedestrian and cycling connections, and relocation of driveways. Given the scope of the proposed improvements, further analysis, design and consultation with affected property owners are required. In addition, some of the identified road improvements will require additional road right-of-way and can only proceed when the necessary additional right-of-way is available.

Staff recommend that a detailed intersection safety study and/or design be undertaken for each of the 20 intersections to confirm the exact scope of medium-/long-term improvements. Implementation of the final design will be included for Council consideration in future successive 5 Year Financial Plans, with the improvements starting with the higher ranked intersections. At that time, staff will seek potential cost-share funding from external agencies such as TransLink and ICBC.

Financial Impact

None.

Conclusion

The Network Screening Study is a comprehensive road safety analysis of City intersections that follows a standardized methodology using ICBC claims data and traffic volume data to identify high collision prone intersections. The result is a prioritized list of the top 20 high crash intersections and a customized list of short-term and medium-/long-term improvements for each intersection.

The phased implementation of the proposed improvements starting with the higher ranked intersections as part of future successive 5 Year Financial Plans are anticipated to significantly improve road safety for all users.

Table 2: Intersections Recommended for Increased Enforcement

Intersection	Red Light Camera?
Shell Rd-Alderbridge Way/Hwy 91	~
Garden City Rd-Sea Island Way	×
No. 2 Rd-Westminster Hwy	×
No. 4 Rd-Alderbridge Way	×
No. 5 Rd-Westminster Hwy	 ✓
No. 5 Rd-Cambie Rd	\checkmark
No. 4 Rd-Westminster Hwy	×
Garden City Rd-Cambie Rd	√*
No. 2 Rd-Blundell Rd	×
No. 4 Rd-Cambie Rd	×
Minoru Blvd-Granville Ave	×
Gilbert Rd-Blundell Rd	\checkmark
No. 5 Rd-Blundell Rd	×

* to be upgraded to automated speed enforcement

Judas

Fred Lin, P. Eng., PTOE Senior Transportation Engineer (604-247-4627)

FL:jc

mavan

Joan Caravan Transportation Planner (604-276-4035)

- Att. 1: Network Screening Study: Executive Summary
 - 2: Intersection Road Safety Reports for Top 20 Intersections
 - 3: Top 20 Intersections: Summary of Proposed Short-Term Improvements

Executive Summary

Background, Objective and Methodology

The **City of Richmond (the City)** approached the **Insurance Corporation of British Columbia (ICBC)** to undertake a joint exercise to identify high collision intersections around the City. Since 1990, ICBC has been working with the City, to invest in road safety improvements through its **Road Improvement Program (RIP)**. One of the major goals of the Program is to implement road safety improvements at collision-prone intersections in order to reduce the number of collisions and the associated claims costs to ICBC and impacts to the community as a whole.

The City and ICBC retained **ISL Engineering and Land Services (ISL)**, in association with **G. Ho Engineering Consultants (GHEC)** to undertake a **Network Screening Study** to identify collision-prone intersections within the City. The study involves a systematic process which uses insurance claims records, traffic volume data, and safety performance indicators to identify the high collision intersections. The output from the process is a list of collision-prone intersections within the City and identification of potential short-term and medium/long-term improvements.

The study methodology was comprised of three key phases: Project Initiation, Initial Screening (Selection of Candidate Intersections), and Secondary Screening (Analysis of Selected Intersections). The methodology flowchart could be found in *Figure ES.1*.

Initial Screening

Based on the standard practice for road safety review studies, five-year of ICBC claim data for the City-wide intersections, between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2017, was collected and reviewed. A total of **22,373** claims were identified at 818 City intersections, including 161 signalized intersections and 657 un-signalized intersections, in the five-year study period. It was found out that 18,288 collisions (82%) occurred at the signalized intersections, and high collision intersections are all controlled by traffic signals. Hence, the study focused on signalized intersections as the study intersections and their data set forms the basis of the analysis. The breakdown of the reported collisions at 161 study intersections was as follows and the collision severity summary for each intersection can be found in **Table ES.1**:

- 14 fatal collisions (0.08% of total collisions);
- 6,946 injury collisions (38% of total collisions), which include injured drivers, passengers, cyclists, and/or pedestrians; and,
- 11,328 property damage only (PDO) collisions (62% of total collisions).

Based on the *Transportation Association of Canada Canadian Guide to In-service Road Safety Review (TAC Road Safety Review Guide)* and previous similar network screening studies in the province, the following safety performance indicator was applied to identify the high collision intersections out of the 161 study intersections:

• Annual Collision Frequency being equal or over 25 collisions (i.e. equal or over 125 collisions in five years), which accounts for collision occurrence. After filtering the collision data by removing the claims at the parking lots and unknown locations, 47 intersections were identified as high collision intersections.

Secondary Screening

Intersections with planned modifications and recent improvements (completed after the year 2013) were taken into account in selecting the top 20 collision-prone intersections; there were 6 intersections out of the 47 high collision intersections identified in Initial Screening that were removed. Based on the *TAC Road Safety Review Guide*, the remaining 41 high collision intersections were further screened based on the following safety performance indicators and process to select the top 20 collision-prone intersections:

• Collision Severity Index being greater than the City's average of 4.50, which accounts for collision severity. This resulted in 25 intersections.

Attachment 1 Cont'd

The 25 intersections were shortlisted to 20 by applying the following criteria:

- Observed Collision Rate greater than the Critical Collision Rate, which accounts for collision pattern randomness. This resulted in 9 intersections.
- The number of 5-year pedestrian-involved collisions greater than 5, which accounts for the vulnerable user safety concerns. This resulted in 6 more intersections, bringing the total to 15.
- Highest Collision Severity Index. Out of the 47 intersections not yet shortlisted, the top 5 with the highest Collision Severity Index were selected to achieve the top 20 intersections.

Based on the selection criteria, the results could be found in *Table ES.2*. *Figure ES.2* included the locations of the selected 20 collision-prone intersections while *Table ES.3* shows the safety performance indicators for these locations.

Field Review

Field reviews of the selected 20 collision-prone intersections were conducted in April 2019 by three experienced Road Safety Reviewers. All 20 selected intersections were examined by drive-through/walk-through for all intersection approaches, providing safety reviewers with driver's/pedestrian's/cyclist's perspective of potential traffic safety issues. During the field reviews, potential safety issues were identified for all road modes (passenger cars, trucks, cycling, walking, and transit vehicles), using the *Site Visit Sample Observation Report* from the *TAC Road Safety Review Guide*.

Intersection Safety Review Report

Collision analysis for the selected 20 collision-prone intersections was focused on the most recent available 3year period (2015-2017), in order to establish the most up-to-date collision patterns and identify the most relevant intersection improvements. The results of the collision data reviews (2015-2017) and field reviews were compiled and summarized in a two-page *Safety Review Report* for each of the 20 intersections, including:

- Intersection Layout and Traffic Volumes
- Collision Pattern, including information of fatal collisions
- Field Review Observation and Identified Safety Issues
- Potential Improvements (Short-term and Medium-/Long-term)

Fatal Collisions

Although the number of fatal collisions has already been included in calculating the collision severity index at each intersection, the occurrence of fatal collisions generates significant impacts to the community as a whole. It is noted that the selected 20 collision-prone intersections include 10 out of 14 fatal collisions, and the information of these fatal collisions were reviewed and discussed in the corresponding Intersection Safety Review Report. The locations and information of the remaining fatal collisions (four collisions) at City's intersections were as follow and it is suggested that an in-depth review of the contributing factors causing these fatal collisions needs to be conducted in the future studies:

- Knight Street and Westminster Highway: a rear-end collision occurred at the westbound approach in the afternoon of May 2013
- Garden City Road and Westminster Highway: an off-road collision occurred at the eastbound approach in the morning of July 2013
- Gilbert Road and River Road: an off-road collision occurred at the southbound approach in the morning of October 2014
- No. 3 Road and Westminster Highway: no details were available for a collision occurred in the afternoon of October 2016

Conclusion and Recommendations

From the Intersection safety review reports for the selected 20 collision-prone intersections, the site-specific short-term, medium-term, and long-term improvements were identified. In general, these proposed mitigation measures could be grouped into four categories (4E's): Engineering, Enforcement, Evaluation & Monitoring, and Education & Encouragement.

Engineering – improving/designing transportation systems/facilities/ infrastructures to anticipate human error so the consequence is not death or severe injury, for example:

- Construct new infrastructure, signals, street lighting, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, etc.
- Optimize and (re) prioritize existing transportation infrastructure and operations (e.g. traffic signals, roads, etc.) to enhance safety for all road users
- Upgrade signage and pavement markings to retain visibility and conspicuity

Enforcement – working with local law enforcement to enhance education, awareness, and enforcement in adjusting high-risk behaviours (speeding, disobeying, illegal movements, etc.) by:

- Increase enforcement and education on vehicle infractions
- Increase enforcement and education on cyclist infractions
- Increase enforcement and education on pedestrian infractions

Evaluation or **Monitoring** – monitoring if road safety strategies work through observing behaviour, surveying conflicts, monitoring programs/initiatives, as well as adjusting legislation (if needed), for example:

- Review the lane configuration at intersections based on traffic volumes/delays
- Review adequate pedestrian/bicycle connections to the nearest bus stops
- Review posted speed limits to confirm appropriateness and collect speed data

Education or **Encouragement** – teaching, encouraging, engaging all road users within the community, including drivers and vulnerable users (pedestrians/cyclists – i.e. students) to change behaviours through road safety, such as:

- Encourage the use of alternate mode and provide public information (Traffic Safety Awareness Week)
- Educate campaigns to school students (STARS Safer Traffic Around Richmond Schools)
- Encourage the importance of road safety for truck drivers

It is recommended that the City of Richmond implement the suggested short-term improvements. In addition to the suggested medium/long-term improvements, it is recommended that the City could consider the following:

- Undertake a detailed intersection safety study and/or design at each of the 20 intersections
- Conduct a corridor-wide improvement strategy that may provide a more comprehensive strategy to deal with the safety issues more effectively, compared to improvements at isolated intersections, such as Blundell Road and No. 4 Road. Corridor-wide strategies can often be expected to provide a "halo" effect (i.e. the implementation of the improvement could impact the extent of the corridor).
- Work with ICBC through its Road Improvement Program (RIP) to conduct a traffic operation and road safety review for the selected intersections or corridors.
- Continue to collaborate with partners (such as RCMP, School Board, and Province Government) on road safety programs/initiatives.

Attachment 1 Cont'd





No.	Collision Data	Fatal	ICBC CI	aim Data (Property Damage Only	2013-2017 Total	7) Annuai Frequency	No.	Collision Data	Fatal	ICBC CI	aim Data (2 Property Damage Only	013-2017 Total	') Annual Frequency
1	No. 5 Road & Steveston Highway		172	471	643	128.6	82	Francis Road & Gilbert Road		35	38	73	14.6
2	Sheli Road & Alderbridge Way / Highway 91	1	267	283	551	110.2	83	Railway Avenue & Steveston Highway	1	27	45	72	14.4
3	Garden City Road & Westminster Highway	1	178	334	513	102.6	84	Camble Road & St Edwards Drive		33	38	71	14.2
4	No. 3 Road & Westminster Highway	1	134	372	507	101.4	85	Francis Road & Railway Avenue		40	31	71	14.2
5	Garden City Road & Alderbridge Way	-	191	307	498	99.6	85	Granville Avenue & No. 1 Road		37	33	70	14.0
6	No. 3 Road & Alderbridge Way		131	266	397	79.4	87	No. 3 Road & Park Road & Mall Access		29	41	70	14.0
7	Garden City Road & Sea Island Way	1	152	244	397 388	79.4 77,6	88	Capstan Way & No. 3 Road		23	45	68	13.6
9	No. 2 Road & Westminster Highway No. 5 Road & Westminster Highway		164	219	388	77.6	89 90	No. 3 Road & Steveston Highway Cambie Road & Sexsmith Road		28	40	68	13.6
10	No. 4 Road & Alderbridge Way		158	224	382	76.4	91	Browngate Road & Hazelbridge Way	1-11-11-11-1	15	50	65	13.4
11	No. 5 Road & Cambie Road	1	140	217	358	71.6	92	Alderbridge Way & Lansdowne Road		26	37	63	12.6
12	Great Canadian Way & Bridgeport Road		108	230	338	67.6	93	Alderbridge Way & Westminster Highway		27	36	63	12.6
13	No. 4 Road & Westminster Highway	2	133	164	299	59.8	94	Bridgeport Road & St EdwaRoads Drive	CONTRACTOR	22	41	63	12.6
14	Garden City Road & Camble Road	1	105	167	273	54.6	95	Alderbridge Way & Elmbridge Way		15	47	62	12.4
15	No, 5 Road & Bridgeport Road		89	179	268	53.6	96	Hazelbridge Way & Leslie Road		12	50	62	12,4
16	No. 3 Road & Cambie Road		58	199	257	51.4	97	Kwantlen Street & Lansdowne Road		27	34	61	12.2
17	No. 2 Road & Blundell Road		107	146	253	50.6	98	Bridgeport Road & Simpson Road		29	29	58	11.6
18	Garden City Road & Granville Avenue		103	142	245	49.0	99	Buswell Street & Granville Avenue		17	41	58	11.6
19	No. 3 Road & Granville Avenue	1	91	143	235	47.0	100	Gilbert Road & Lansdowne Road	1.1.1.1.1	22	36	58	11.6
20	Sweden Way & Bridgeport Road		82	152	234	46.8	101	Blundell Road & Minoru Bouelvard		16	41	57	11.4
21	Minoru Boulevard & Westminster Highway		77	152	229	45.8	102	Bridgeport Road & No. 6 Road		21	36	57	11.4
22	No. 3 Road & Blundell Road	-	76	152 152	228	45.6	103	No. 5 Road & Williams Road		26	31	57	11.4
23 24	Gilbert Road & Westminster Highway No. 4 Road & Blundell Road	1.00	109	152	226	45.2	104	No. 4 Road & Odlin Road		26	29	55	11.0
24	No. 4 Road & Brundell Koad No. 4 Road & Camble Road		86	104	197	39.4	105	Gilbert Road & Steveston Highway		22	32	54	10.8
25	No. 4 Road & Cample Road Hazeibridge Way / Mall Access & Alderbridge Way		63	111	197	39.4	106	Browngate Road & No. 3 Road	and the lot	18	35	53	10.6
20	Garden City Road & Blundell Road	2	85	95	182	36.4	107	Francis Road & GaRoaden City Road	-	24	27	51	10.2
25	No, 2 Road & Granville Avenue	ŕ	67	108	175	35.0	108	GaRoaden City Road & Williams Road		24	26	50	10.0
29	Minoru Boulevard & Granville Avenue		69	105	173	34.8	109	Francis Road & No. 4 Road		17	32	49	9.8
30	Shell Road & Bridgeport Road		85	86	171	34.2	110	Cambie Road & Viking Way		20	28	48	9.6
31	No. 3 Road & Lansdowne Road		56	111	167	33.4	111	Lynas Lane & Westminster Highway			27	46	9.2
32	No. 3 Road & Leslie Road		41	123	164	32.8	112	Graybar Road & Westminster Highway		14	31	45	9.0
33	No. 1 Road & Francis Road		65	95	160	32.0	113	Granville Avenue & Railway Avenue		19	25	44	8.8
34	Cooney Road & Westminster Highway		45	112	157	31.4	114 115	No. 8 Road & Westminster Highway No. 2 Road & WoodwaRoads Road		21	23	44	8.8 8.6
35	Shell Road & Cambie Road		67	89	156	31.2	115	No. 2 Road & Woodwanozos Road Buswell Street & Cook Road		15	27	43	8.4
36	Garden City Road & Lansdowne Road		6Z	92	154	30.8	110	Lansdowne Road & Minoru Boulevard		13	2/	44	8.2
37	Knight Street & Westminster Highway	1	52	94	147	29.4	118	Moncton Street & No. 1 Koad		4	36	40	8.0
38	Gilbert Road & Granville Avenue		53	88	141	28.2	119	Railway Avenue & Williams Road		15	25	40	8.0
39	Jacombs Road / Sidaway Road & Westminster Highway		60	81	141	28.2	120			17	22	39	7.8
40	Shell Road & Westminster Highway		56	80	136	27.2	121	Hollybridge Way & River Road		16	22	38	7.6
41	Cooney Road / St. Albans Road & Granville Avenue		41	91	132	26.4	122	Horseshoe Way & No. 5 Road & Riverside Way		6	32	38	7.6
42	No. 1 Road & Steveston Highway		56	76	132	26.4	123	Alberta Road & No. 4 Road		14	23	37	7.4
43	No. 3 Road & Saba Road		38	93	131	26.2	124	Garrison Road & No. 2 Road	101111111	15	20	35	7.0
44	Hazelbridge Way & Camble Road		32	98	130	26.0	125	Ackroyd Road & Elmbridge Way & Minoru Bouelvard		15	18	33	6.6
45	Gilbert Road & Blundell Road	1	64	64	128	25.6	126	SeawaRoad Gate & Steveston Highway		13	19	32	6.4
46	Gilbert Road & River Road (River Parkway) No. 3 Road & Williams Road	1	45	82 75	128	25.6	127	Blundell Road & No. 8 Road		10	21	31	6.2
48	No. 6 Road & Westminster Highway	-	55	71	126	25.2	128	Mortfield Gate & Steveston Highway	31111008	15	15	30	6.0
49	No. 5 Road & Blundell Road	1	61	63	125	25.0	129	Chatham 5treet & No. 1 Road		7	22	29	5.8
50	No. 3 Road & Cook Road		41	84	125	25.0	130	Einbridge Way & Westminster Highway		12	16	28	5.6
51	No. 2 Road & Francis Road	1	58	67	125	25.0	131	Capstan Way & Sexsmith Road		10	15	25	5.0
52	Bridgeport Road & Viking Way	+ =	44	79	123	24.6	132	Buswell Street & Saba Road		12	12	24	4.8
53	Kwantlen Street & Alderbridge Way		33	90	123	24.6	133	Cooney Road & Saba Road		9	15	24	4.8
54	No. 3 Road & Ackroyd Road		36	85	121	24.2	134	Maple Road & No. 2 Road		3	21	24	4.8
55	No. 2 Road & Steveston Highway		42	78	120	24.0	135	Mclean Avenue & Westminster Highway		12	12	24	4.8
56	Alexandra Road & Hazelbridge Way		37	80	117	23.4	136	Bridgeport Road & Mclennan Avenue		8	15	23	4.6
57	Garden City Road & Odlin Road		39	76	115	23.0	137	Great Canadian Way & Van Horne Way		8	13 13	21	4.2
58	Nelson Road & Westminster Highway	1	43	71	114	22.8	138	Mcmillan Way & Westminster Highway Minoru Bouelvard & Minoru Gate & Mall Access		7			
59	No. 3 Road & Francis Road		51	62	113	22.6	139	Minoru Bouelvard & Minoru Gate & Mall Access Garry Street & No. 1 Road		5	11 12	18	3.6 3.4
60	No. 4 Road & Williams Road		41	71	112	22.4	140	Garry Street & No. 1 Road Gilley Road & Westminster Highway		5	12	17 17	
61	Coppersmith Place & Steveston Highway	-	37	74	111	22.2	141	Gilley Road & Westminster Highway Mayfield Place & No. 6 Road		9	10	17	3.4
62	Ackroyd Road & Cooney Road		41	66	107	21.4	142	Mayrield Place & No. 5 Koad Cambie Road & Stolberg Street		9	7	16	3.4
63	No. 1 Road & Blundell Road	-	38	68	106	21.2	143	Akierbridge Way & Cedarbridge Way		7	8	15	3.0
64	Blundell Road & St Albans Road	-	44	60	104	20.8	-	Great Canadian Way & River Road		3	9	12	2.4
65	Elmbridge Way & Gilbert Road	-	35	69	104	20.8	145	Elmbridge Way & Hollybridge Way		1	10	11	2.4
66 67	Blundell Road & Railway Avenue Cook Road & Garden City Road	1	52 34	46 63	98 97	19.6 19.4	147	Gollner Avenue & Minoru Bouelvard	1	3	8	11	2.2
68	Granville Avenue & No. 4 Road	1	50	47	97	19.4	148		-	6	5	11	2.2
69	No. 4 Road & Steveston Highway		33	64	97	19.4	149	Mccllelland Road & Alderbridge Way		2	9	11	2.2
70	Cooney Road & Lansdowne Road / Mall Access	-	35	61	96	19.2	150			5	4	9	1.8
71	No. 1 Road & Westminster Highway	1	34	60	94	18.8	151	and a star and a star		3	5	8	1.6
72	No. 2 Road & Williams Road		50	44	94	18.8	152		-	3	5	6	1.6
73	Cambie Road & No. 6 Road		39	53	92	18.4	153	No. 1 Road & Osmond Avenue		4	4	8	1.6
74	Cambie Road & Jacombs Road		38	51	89	17.8	154		-	3	5	8	1.6
75	Cook Road & Cooney Road		39	46	85	17.0	155	Alderbridge Way & May Drive		3	4	7	1.4
76	Sexsmith Road & Sea Island Way		35	50	85	17.0	156			3	3	6	1.2
77	No. 1 Road & Williams Road		47	37	84	16.8	157	Hazelbridge Way & Sweet Avenue		3	3	6	1.2
78	Shell Road & Westminster Highway		33	49	82	16.4	158	Moncton Street & Railway Avenue		4	2	6	1.2
	Capstan Way & Garden City Road		35	43	78	15.6	159	Minoru Boulevard & MuRoadoch Avenue & Mall Access		2	2	4	0.8
79	Capstall way & Galdell City Road	-											
	Alderbridge Way & Minoru Boulevard		22	53	75	15.0	160	Hollybridge Way & Lansdowne Road	12-000	2	2	2	0.4

Table ES.1 Summary of 5-year ICBC Unfiltered Collision Data for Study Intersections (161 Signalized Intersections)

ID	Intersection	Annual Collision Frequency (Equal or Over 25.0)	Collision Severity Index (Over 4.50)	Observed Collision Rate (Over Critical Collision Rate)	Total Pedestrian- involved Collisions (Over S)	"Selection Rationale"
1	No. 5 Road & Steveston Highway	1	×	MERCENCER	1 BOACE A	Not Selected - Recently Improved and Does not meet the Criteria
2	Shell Road & Alderbridge Way / Highway 91	1	1			Selected - Meets the Criteria
3	Garden City Road & Westminster Highway	4	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
4	Garden City Road & Alderbridge Way	1	1			Not Selected - Recently Improved
5	No. 3 Road & Westminster Highway	1	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
6	Garden City Road & Sea Island Way	1	1			Selected - Meets the Criteria
7	No. 3 Road & Alderbridge Way	1	x	Contraction of C		Not Selected - To Be Modified and Does not meet the Criteria
8	No. 2 Road & Westminster Highway	1	1	×		Selected - Meets the Criteria
9	No. 4 Road & Alderbridge Way	1	1	1		Selected - Meets the Criteria
10	No. 5 Road & Westminster Highway	1	1	1		Selected - Meets the Criteria
11	No. 5 Road & Cambie Road	1	1	1		Selected - Meets the Criteria
12	Great Canadian Way & Bridgeport Road	1	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
13	No. 4 Road & Westminster Highway	1	1	×	×	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e. High CSI)
14	Garden City Road & Camble Road	1	1	×	1	Selected - Meets the Criteria
15	No. 5 Road & Bridgeport Road	1	×		10.0	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
15	Garden City Road & Granville Avenue	1				Selected - Meets the Criteria
17	Sweden Way & Bridgeport Road	1	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
18	Minoru Boulevard & Westminster Highway	4	×	DECTRACE THE	NAME OF T	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
19	No. 2 Road & Blundeli Road	1	1	1	Same Same	Selected - Meets the Criteria
20	No. 3 Road & Granville Avenue	1	1	×		Selected - Meets the Criteria
21	No. 3 Road & Blundell Road		×	Make Hills Alexand	and the second data	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
22	Gilbert Road & Westminster Highway	1	×	Weinessen unst	who are the	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
23	No. 4 Road & Blundell Road	1	1	1	MARCA COM	Selected - Meets the Criteria
24	No. 3 Road & Camble Road	4	×	March 199		Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
25	No. 4 Road & Camble Road	1		x		Selected - Meets the Criteria
26	Hazelbridge Way / Mall Access & Alderbridge Way	1	×	No. of Concession, Name		Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
27	No. 2 Road & Granville Avenue	1	×	Carlo Marcola Carlos de Carlos		Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
28	Shell Road & Bridgeport Road	1	~	×	×	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e., High CSI)
25	Minoru Boulevard & Granville Avenue			x		Selected - Meets the Criteria
30			×	-		
	No. 3 Road & Lansdowne Road		~	x	1	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
\$1 32	Garden City Road & Blundeli Road		×			Selected - Meets the Criteria
		* 	×	×	×	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
33	Shell Road & Cambie Road	*	·	×		Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
34	Garden City Road & Lansdowne Road	· ·		x	×	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
35	Cooney Road & Westminster Highway	*	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
36	No. 1 Road & Francis Road	1		×	x	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e. High CSI)
37	Knight Street & Westminster Highway	4	1	×	×	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
38	Jacombs Road / Sidaway Road & Westminster Highway	~	~	×	×	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
39	Gilbert Road & Granville Avenue	1	×			Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
40	Shell Road & Westminster Highway	4	1	×	×	Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria (i.e. Low CSI)
41	Cooney Road / St. Albans Road & Granville Avenue	1	×			Not Selected - Recently Improved and Does not meet the Criteria
42	No. 1 Road & Steveston Highway	4	1	×	1	Selected - Meets the Criteria
43	Gilbert Road & Blundell Road	1	1	×	×	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e. High CSI)
44	Gilbert Road & River Road (River Parkway)	1	4			Not Selected - To Be Modified
45	No. 5 Road & Blundell Road	1	1	A Carline	×	Selected - Meets the Criteria (i.e. High CSI)
46	Hazelbridge Way & Cambie Road	1	×	Star Star		Not Selected - Does not meet the Criteria
47	No. 2 Road & Francis Road	1	√			Not Selected - To Be Modified

Table ES.2 Summary of Selection Criteria Assessment for 47 High Collision Intersections





Figure ES.2 Locations of the 20 Selected Collision-Prone Intersections

Site #	Intersection	Annual Collision Frequency	Collision Severity Index	Observed / Critical Collision Rate	Total 5-Year Number of Pedestrian involved Collisions	Total 5-Year Number of Fatal Collisions
1	Shell Road & Alderbridge Way / Highway 91	110.2	5.54	5.23 / 3.27	0	1
2	Garden City Road & Sea Island Way	79.2	4.70	3.51/3.26	2	1
3	No. 2 Road & Westminster Highway	76.6	4.85	3.63 / 3.27	3	0
4	No. 4 Road & Alderbridge Way	76.4	4.72	3.54 / 3.27	0	0
5	No. 5 Road & Westminster Highway	76.2	4.97	4.28/3.30	1	0
6	No. 5 Road & Cambie Road	66.2	4.97	4.91/3.35	4	1
7	No. 4 Road & Westminster Highway	59.8	5.67	2.57 / 3.26	0	2
8	Garden City Road & Cambie Road	52.4	4.95	3.08/3.31	7	1
9	Garden City Road & Granville Avenue	48.8	4.80	5.27 / 3.42	3	0
10	No. 2 Road & Blundell Road	44.4	5.14	3.64/3.36	5	0
11	No. 3 Road & Granville Avenue	44.2	4.95	2.44 / 3.30	14	1
12	No. 4 Road & Blundell Road	42.6	5.61	3.39 / 3.36	0	0
13	No. 4 Road & Cambie Road	39.0	4.97	3.08/3.36	5	0
14	Shell Road & Bridgeport Road	34.2	5.47	2.83 / 3.37	3	0
15	Minoru Boulevard & Granville Avenue	34.2	4.63	2.65 / 3.35	12	0
16	Garden City Road & Blundell Road	32.2	6.65	3.35 / 3.41	8	2
17	No. 1 Road & Francis Road	29.6	4.89	2.99 / 3.41	0	0
18	No. 1 Road & Steveston Highway	26.0	4.88	2.08/3.36	5	0
19	Gilbert Road & Blundell Road	25.6	5.50	2.14/3.37	3	0
20	No. 5 Road & Blundell Road	25.0	6.18	2.73 / 3.42	0	1

Table ES.3 Safety Performance Summary for the 20 Selected Collision-Prone Intersections

Attachment 2



Network Screening Study

	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)	and the second
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015): Westbound Left fum Signal	1 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for SB & E-W Arterial (Bike Route - MUP) Provincial - Arterial (MRN) Commercial / Industrial 57,800 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	124.0 per year 5.38 5.23 / 3.27 0 1 	(Casualty = 46%) [2013-2017] Total
Head (Phase) Provision - 2016 - Multi-use Pathway Provision (Connection) [Future Plan] ALDERBRIDGE WAY (E-W)	HIGHWAY 91 (E-W)	se 180 140 114 112 120 100 56 56 100 56 56 100 56 56 100 58 56 100 58 56 114 112 114 110 56 56 110 58 56 100 58 56 100 2015 2016 100 2015 2016 Year Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: 100	and and an and a second a second	References and
DENTIFIED OPERATION	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES		Rear End (70% Left Tum (13% Sideswipe (8%)
 Rural perception a Lane drop after int Inadequate sight d 	at wide intersection with channelize tersection – <i>south leg</i> distance due to nearby foliage – so av crossing – <i>east leg; two sets of</i>	outhwest corner	one stop bar	
 Rural perception a Lane drop after int Inadequate sight d Presence of railwa 	tersection – south leg	outhwest corner	one stop bar	
 Rural perception a Lane drop after int Inadequate sight d Presence of railwa 	tersection – <i>south leg</i> listance due to nearby foliage – so	outhwest corner	one stop bar	
 Rural perception a Lane drop after int Inadequate sight d Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn ph 	tersection – <i>south leg</i> listance due to nearby foliage – so ay crossing – <i>east leg; two sets of</i>	outhwest corner	one stop bar	
Rural perception a Lane drop after int Inadequate sight d Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn ph Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian cr	tersection – <i>south leg</i> listance due to nearby foliage – so ay crossing – <i>east leg; two sets of</i>	outhwest corner westbound signal heads with ections	one stop bar	
 Lane drop after int Inadequate sight d Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn ph Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian cr Old pedestrian pus Collision (Data Review):	tersection – south leg distance due to nearby foliage – so ay crossing – east leg; two sets of mase – northbound approach rossing distance – north-south dire	outhwest corner westbound signal heads with ections ing multi-use pathway		



City of Richmond

SHELL ROAD & ALDERBRIDGE WAY / HIGHWAY 91

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods east-west approaches
- Significant left-/right-turn volumes/queues during peak periods southbound and east-west approaches; high number of turning-related conflicts were observed
- Significant lane changing/weaving activities east-west legs; to avoid merging vehicles from right-turns
- High vehicle speed east-west legs (free flow, especially to/from highway); presence of red-light camera for
 eastbound approach
- Unexpected yield control with designated right-turn lane east side corners; designated right-turn bay for westbound approach
- Broken motor vehicle parts were noticed at the southeast channelized island

Other:

- Missing/inconsistent pavement marking east leg; no elephant feet and green bike path marking on crosswalks connecting multi-use pathways, similar to the southeast corner
- Faded pavement marking southeast corner; dashed merge line
- Missing road sign all corners (no pedestrian crosswalk signage) and south leg (no merge sign)

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Upgrade pedestrian pushbuttons to the latest standard east side corners; to be consistent overall
- Provide pedestrian crosswalk signs all corners
- Provide merge sign south leg (southbound)
- Regularly repaint dashed merge line southeast corner
- Regularly trim foliage to provide adequate sight distance southwest comer
- Paint elephant feet and green bike path pavement marking along crosswalk east leg; similar to the southeast corner
- Install enlarged Yield sign or two Yield signs westbound approach
- Consider the provision of protected-only left-turn phase westbound approach
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase northbound approach
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) northbound approach
- Provide clear gateway signage, such as "Freeway Ends" westbound approach
- Install speed radar board westbound direction
- Remove or modify angle of channelized right-turn in coordination with MoTI east side corners (to/from highway); traffic operation and geometric design to confirm
- Increase property setback with future redevelopment southwest corner
- Review the need of installing advance warning flasher in coordination with MoTI westbound approach
- Work with MoTI to lower speed zones before the intersection westbound approach
- Explore the feasibility to increase left-turn storage in coordination with MoTI eastbound and westbound approaches
- Consider a feasibility study to provide the grade separation in coordination with MoTI and CP Railway *east-west* movements; connecting Alderbridge Way and Highway 91
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP all approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes



	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS ((2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	2 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for EB Arterial (Bike Route & MUP) Provincial (Bike Route - WL) Retail / Residential 61,800 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:		(Total = 244) (Casualty = 38%) [2013-2017] Total Property Demage Only Injury Fatal
SEA ISLAND WAY (E-W) Multi-usa Pathway Provision - 2017	GARDEN GARDEN INN INN INN	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	December (129 Thursday (18% 3 PM - 6 PM (3 Rear End (52% Sideswipe (279 Left Turn (12%) 0%))) ()
	AL AND SAFELY ISSUES			
IDENTIFIED OPERATION				Concerning of the Party of
Geometric: • First signalized int • Horizontal curve ir • Dual right-turn lan and eastbound ve • Commercial driver • Inadequate sight c and northbound pe Signal:	ersection from Oak Street Bridge nmediately before/after intersection es with signal operation – northbo hicles ways close to intersection – northo listance due to nearby foliage – s edestrians/bicycles	on – south leg bund approach; limited sight di east quadrant (gas station) outhwest corner; conflict betw	een eastbound	right-turn vehicle.
Geometric: First signalized int Horizontal curve ir Dual right-turn lan and eastbound ve Commercial driver Inadequate sight c and northbound per Signal: Protected-permises dual lanes	ersection from Oak Street Bridge nmediately before/after intersection es with signal operation – northbo hicles ways close to intersection – northo listance due to nearby foliage – s	on – south leg bund approach; limited sight di east quadrant (gas station) bouthwest corner; conflict betwe single lane and protected-only	een eastbound / left-turn phase	right-turn vehicles
Geometric: First signalized int Horizontal curve ir Dual right-turn lan and eastbound ve Commercial driver Inadequate sight c and northbound pe Signal: Protected-permises dual lanes Long gap for pede	ersection from Oak Street Bridge mmediately before/after intersection es with signal operation – northbo hicles ways close to intersection – north- tistance due to nearby foliage – s edestrians/bicycles	on – south leg bund approach; limited sight di east quadrant (gas station) bouthwest corner; conflict betwe single lane and protected-only	een eastbound / left-turn phase	right-turn vehicles
Geometric: First signalized int Horizontal curve ir Dual right-turn lan and eastbound ve Commercial driver Inadequate sight c and northbound pe Signal: Protected-permises dual lanes Long gap for pede Vulnerable Road User: Inadequate bicycle northeast corner (Northbound bike la	ersection from Oak Street Bridge mmediately before/after intersection es with signal operation – northbo hicles ways close to intersection – north- tistance due to nearby foliage – s edestrians/bicycles	on – south leg bund approach; limited sight di east quadrant (gas station) buthwest corner; conflict betwo single lane and protected-only ed signal for vehicles – northbo eet on crosswalks connecting of the island, not consistent w nnelized right-turn island	een eastbound / left-turn phase ound channelize multi-use pathw	right-turn vehicles for westbound ed right-turn vays) and
Geometric: First signalized int Horizontal curve ir Dual right-turn lan and eastbound ve Commercial driver Inadequate sight c and northbound pe Signal: Protected-permises dual lanes Long gap for pede Vulnerable Road User: Inadequate bicycle northeast corner (Northbound bike la	ersection from Oak Street Bridge mmediately before/after intersection es with signal operation – northbo- hicles ways close to intersection – northbo- listance due to nearby foliage – s edestrians/bicycles sive left-turn phase for eastbound estrian crossing green time after re e facility – west leg (no elephant for narrow shoulder on the west side ane is disappeared along the char	on – south leg bund approach; limited sight di east quadrant (gas station) buthwest corner; conflict betwo single lane and protected-only ed signal for vehicles – northbo eet on crosswalks connecting of the island, not consistent w nnelized right-turn island	een eastbound / left-turn phase ound channelize multi-use pathw	right-turn vehicles for westbound ed right-turn vays) and



Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD / GREAT CANADIAN WAY & SEA ISLAND WAY

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods all directions
- Significant left/right-turn volumes/queues during peak periods all approaches
- Significant lane changing/weaving activities northbound and east-west directions; especially to/from highway
- Vehicle queue spillback from downstream north (signalized intersection) and east (interchange on-ramp) legs

Other:

- Missing pavement marking north side corners; dashed merge line (similar to southwest corner)
- Missing road sign north side and southwest corners; no pedestrian/bicycle crosswalk signage as well as object marker signage
- Inappropriate road sign north side and southwest corners; yield sign far from actual merge point and before pedestrian crosswalk

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

- Regularly trim foliage to provide adequate sight distance southwest comer
- Paint elephant feet along crosswalk west leg
- Paint dashed merge line north side corners; similar to southwest corner
- Provide pedestrian/bicycle crosswalk signage north side and southwest corners
- Provide object marker signage north side and southwest corners
- Consider the provision of protected-only left-turn phase eastbound approach

- Review and update the Garden City Road signal coordination with the signal at Bridgeport Road in coordination with MoTI *overall*
- Remove or modify angle of channelized right-turn in coordination with MoTI east-west approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm
- Realign northbound dual right-turn lane in coordination with MoTI to improve sight line and eliminate the lane drop by developing the right-turn lanes as auxiliary lanes with future redevelopment – south leg
- Provide westbound right-turn lane with future redevelopment southwest quadrants
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment northeast quadrant
- Design for adequate sight distance with future redevelopment southwest comer
- Enhance police enforcement for speeding and red-light running violation in coordination with RCMP and ICBC all approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes



City of Richmond

NO. 2 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification:	3 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial (MRN) (Bike Route - NL) Arterial (MRN - EL)	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	71.7 per year 4.47 3.63 / 3.27 2 2	(Total = 215) (Casualty = 39%) [2013-2017]
Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	Retail / Office / Residential 57,800 Entering Vehicles		73	Total
(E-W) WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	48	Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
		2015 2016 Year	2017	
	1 and 1 and 1	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week:	February (119 Friday (19%)	%)
	NO. 2 ROAD	Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	3 PM - 6 PM (Rear End (58 Left Tum (169 Sideswipe (12	%) %)

Geometric:

• Misalignment of left-turn lanes – north-south approaches

IDENTIFIED OPERATIONAL AND SAFETY ISSUES

- Commercial driveways close to intersection southwest quadrant (gas station)
- Inadequate sight distance due to nearby foliage and insufficient property setback northwest (channelized rightturn) and south side corners
- Wide left-turn crossing distance southbound approach; especially for heavy vehicles
- Long designated channelized right-turn with auxiliary lane southbound approach (wide turning radius); high vehicle speed conflicts between crossing pedestrians and weaving vehicles to designated right-turn lane to Lynas Lane

Signal:

 Dual left-turn lanes with protected/permitted phase – eastbound approach (right-turn-on-red is prohibited for westbound approach); conflict with east-west crossing pedestrians

Vulnerable Road User:

- Limited visibility to crosswalk for right-turn drivers southbound approach
- Narrow sidewalk with the presence of utility poles south side
- Long pedestrian crossing distance north-south directions
- On-street bike lane ended at channelized right-turn lane southbound approach
- On-street near-side bus stop westbound approach

Collision (Data Review):

- High collision frequency (over 50.0), and a collision-prone location (observed over critical collision rate)
- High proportion of rear-end collisions reported in southbound direction (35%), followed by westbound (24%)
- High proportion of left-turn opposing collisions reported in the east-west directions 70% of total; eastbound with 13 collisions and westbound with 8 collisions
- High proportion of sideswipe collisions occurred with southbound movements 12 collisions (48% of total)
- Two pedestrian-involved collisions reported between eastbound left-turn vehicles and pedestrians crossing No. 2 Road on north leg





City of Richmond

NO. 2 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

Collision (Data Review) - CONTINUED:

 One cyclist-involved collision reported between northbound left-turn vehicle and a bicycle crossing Westminster Highway on west leg, the other collision occurred between a bicycle on No. 2 Road and vehicle exiting a parking lot turning right

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods all directions
- Significant left/right-turn volumes/gueues during peak periods all approaches
- High vehicle speed during non-congested periods north leg; to/from No. 2 Road Bridge
- Unexpected auxiliary lane with designated right-turn lane southbound approach; right-turn vehicles stopped to yield westbound through traffic
- Unexpected vehicle slow down to enter commercial driveway southbound direction; to gas station
- Broken vehicle parts were found at the southbound right-turn channelized island

Other:

 Missing road sign – northwest corner; no Added Lane Sign for eastbound drivers and no object marker sign for westbound drivers

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Consider conducting a detailed traffic operations and safety review study, including the functional design of the recommended geometric layout *overall*
- Regularly trim foliage northwest and south side corners
- Provide additional signage and pavement markings for designated right-turn only lane further upstream southbound approach
- Provide Added Lane Sign *southbound approach*
- Paint guiding line southbound approach
- Check intergreen time to verify the possible contributing cause for high number of left-turn opposing collisions –
 overall
- Change left-turn signal phasing from protected/permission to protected-only eastbound and westbound approach
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Install advance warning flashers (if warranted) southbound approach
- Provide adequate sight distance with future redevelopment south side corners
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP all approaches, particularly southbound
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes

Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

INTERSECTION INFORM	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS ((2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	4 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for E-W Arterial Arterial (MRN) Residential / Recreational / Civic 59,200 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	85.7 per year 4.85 3.54 / 3.27 0 0 88 88 47 41	(Total = 257) (Casualty = 43%) [2013-2017] Total = Property Damage Only = Injury = Fatal
Pedestrian/Bicycle Trail Provision [Connection) - 2017	ALDERBRIDGE WAY (E-W)	2015 2016 Year Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	2017 October (12%) Wednesday (2 3 PM - 6 PM (2 Rear End (79%) Left Tum (11%) Sideswipe (5%)	0%) 23%) 6)
IDENTIFIED OPERATION	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
		ed right-turn islands – <i>overall</i>		
 Rural perception a Misalignment of le crossing the paint Lane drop from th Residential drivew Designated right-t 	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median prough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southb turn lane with yield control to throug	east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and noi		-
 Misalignment of le crossing the paint Lane drop from th Residential drivew Designated right-t Signal: Lack of left-turn plant 	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median prough to designated right-turn lane vays close to intersection – southb	east-west approaches; westbo e – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and noi		-
 Rural perception a Misalignment of le crossing the paint Lane drop from th Residential drivew Designated right-t Signal: Lack of left-turn pl Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian of left Incomplete pedes 	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median prough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southb turn lane with yield control to throug	east-west approaches; westbo – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and noi north-south approaches ections		-
 Rural perception a Misalignment of le crossing the paint Lane drop from th Residential drivew Designated right-t Signal: Lack of left-turn pl Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian of left Incomplete pedes 	at wide intersection with channelize eff-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median prough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southb turn lane with yield control to throug hase with left-turn bay provided – r crossing distance – north-south dire- strian connection – northwest correct	east-west approaches; westbo – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and noi north-south approaches ections		-
 Rural perception a Misalignment of le crossing the paint Lane drop from th Residential drivew Designated right-t Signal: Lack of left-turn pl Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian c Incomplete pedes Old pedestrian pu Collision (Data Review): High collision freq High proportion of 	at wide intersection with channelize eff-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median prough to designated right-turn lane ways close to intersection – southb turn lane with yield control to throug hase with left-turn bay provided – r crossing distance – north-south dire- strian connection – northwest correct	east-west approaches; westbo = – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor north-south approaches ections er prone location (observed over abound direction – 88 collision sions ed on westbound – 10 out of to east-west approaches – 10 ou ted in the east-west directions	rthbound appro r critical collision as (49% of total otal 17 collision at of total 13 cc a – over 80% o	on rate) 180 collisions), 181 collisions Ilisions f total; westbound
 Rural perception a Misalignment of le crossing the paint Lane drop from th Residential drivew Designated right-t Signal: Lack of left-turn pl Vulnerable Road User: Long pedestrian c Incomplete pedes Old pedestrian pu Collision (Data Review): High collision freq High proportion of 	at wide intersection with channelize eft-turn bays with wide medians – e ted median prough to designated right-turn lane vays close to intersection – southbut turn lane with yield control to throug hase with left-turn bay provided – r crossing distance – north-south direct trian connection – northwest correct subbuttons – southwest correct subbuttons – southwest correct ear-end collisions reported on north tt-turn rear-end collisions – 76 collis f left-turn rear-end collisions report f sideswipe collisions occurred on f left-turn opposing collisions report and eastbound with 10 collisions	east-west approaches; westbo = – northbound approach ound approach gh traffic – eastbound and nor north-south approaches ections er prone location (observed over abound direction – 88 collision sions ed on westbound – 10 out of to east-west approaches – 10 ou ted in the east-west directions	rthbound appro r critical collision as (49% of total otal 17 collision at of total 13 cc a – over 80% o	on rate) 1 180 collisions), Ilisions f total; westbound





City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & ALDERBRIDGE WAY

Operational (Field Review) – CONTINUED:

- Unexpected yield control with designated right-turn lane and high vehicle speed northbound and eastbound approach
- Faded pavement marking southeast corner; dashed merge lines
- Poor pavement condition overall intersection

Other:

- Missing road sign northbound and eastbound approaches (no pedestrian crosswalk signs at channelized islands)
- Broken vehicle parts were found at the eastbound channelized island
- Insufficient street lighting south side corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Provide pedestrian crosswalk signs south side corners; at channelized islands
- Upgrade pedestrian pushbuttons to the latest standard southwest corner
- Regularly repaint dashed merge line southeast corner
- Review signal progression east-west approaches
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase north-south approaches
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches
- Install enlarged Yield Sign or two Yield signs at channelized right-turn lane eastbound and northbound approaches

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) north-south approaches
- Remove or reconstruct right-turn channelized island south side comers
- Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) east-west approaches
- Complete pedestrian connection with future redevelopment northwest comer
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) south side corners
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding and red-light running violations in coordination with RCMP and ICBC east-west approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes



City of Richmond

NO. 5 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	5 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial Arterial (MRN) (Bike Route) Retail / Residential 48,800 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	85.3 per year 4.90 4.28 / 3.30 0 1 88 41 47 2017	(Total = 256) (Casualty = 34%) [2013-2017] Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
Accessible Bus Bap Provision - 2017		Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	December (11 Wednesday/T 3 PM - 6 PM (Rear End (659 Sideswipe (15 Left Tum (8%)	hursday (18%) 34%) %) %)
 Undivided roadwa Short merging dist Right-turn lane imr Signal: 	t wide intersection with channelize y – south leg; conflicts with traffic t ance after intersection – south leg mediately after intersection – west	urning to/from commercial dr	iveways were	observed
None				
	istent bicycle facility – east-west d rossing distance – north-south dire		ings east leg)	
 Annual number of High number of rea High number of rig High number of sid High number of sid High proportion of collisions and wes Four collisions occ 	Lency (over 50.0), and a collision-p collisions slightly increased from 2 ar-end collisions reported on south tht-turn rear-end collisions on south deswipe collisions occurred on We left-turn opposing collisions report tbound with 6 collisions curred by U-turn movements – 2 or ed collision reported as a bicycle hi	2015 to 2017 abound (37%), followed by we hbound – <i>41 collisions (26% of</i> stminster Highway approache ed in the east-west direction in westbound and 2 on northbo	estbound (27% of total collisic es – 20 out of s – 62% of total; ound) ons) 37 total collisions eastbound with 6
Operational (Field Review			S Disser	
 Congestion / long Significant lane ch right turn vehicles 	queues during peak periods – eas anging/weaving activities – all dire d – all directions; especially southb	ctions; conflicts between sou	thbound left-tu	





City of Richmond

NO. 5 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Commercial driveways close to intersection southeast quadrant (gas station)
- · Heavy vehicle was observed to roll over to the southwest corner curb

Other:

- Faded pavement marking east leg (lane merge arrows)
- Missing road sign north side corners; pedestrian crosswalk signs at channelized islands
- Inadequate/inconsistent road sign all approaches (designated right-turn lane signs)
- Insufficient street lighting southeast corners

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Provide pedestrian crosswalk signs north side corners
- Regularly repaint lane merge arrow pavement markings east leg
- Paint green bike path markings northeast corner; similar to the northwest corner
- Provide additional designated right-turn signs southbound and east-west approaches
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches
- Install enlarged Yield Sign or two Yield signs at channelized right-turn lane southbound and westbound approaches

- Remove or reconstruct right-turn channelized island north side corners
- Review and redesign designated and channelized right-turn westbound approach (to northbound); adding auxiliary lane instead of yield control
- Review the posted speed limit of Westminster Highway reduce from 60 to 50 kilometres per hour (if warranted)
- Improve bike connection east-west direction; provision of off-road multi-use pathway with green paint and elephant's feet crossing instead of single file operation
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) southeast corner
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding, red-light running, and U-turn violations in coordination with RCMP and ICBC all approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding and right-turn lanes



NO. 5 F	ROAD & CAMB	IE ROAD			
INTERS	ECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS	2015-2017)	
N-S Stree E-W Stree Surround	ion Type: ontrol Type: et Classification: et Classification: ling Land Use: ffic Volume (2015):	6 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial Arterial (MRN) Retail / School / Residential 37,000 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	76.0 per year 4.87 4.91 / 3.35 5 1 75	(Total = 228) (Casualty = 43%) [2013-2017]
		INO. 5 ROAD	100 72 81 0 72 41 0 46 42 10 20 26 10 2015 2016 Year Year	42 33 2017	Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
CAMBIE R (E-W)	successful the second s		Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	September/De Friday (21%) 3 PM - 6 PM (3 Rear End (44%) Left Turn (26%) Sideswipe (22)	36%) %) %)
IDENTIF	IED OPERATIONA	L AND SAFETY ISSUES		to start	
•	Lack of left-turn ba Commercial and re	y – all approaches; limited visibilit sidential driveways close to inters kile delineator – west leg; at the co	section - northwest, southeas	st, and southwe	
		n phase without left-turn bay – all pedestrian signal phases – all dire			
	ble Road User:				Constant of the second
•	Inadequate pedest Substantial pedest etc.)	rian facility – overall (narrow letdo rian crossing activities – all legs (i	wns) and northeast corner (s to/from school, shopping cent	mall waiting ar tre, and nearby	ea) r southeast park,
Collision	n (Data Review):			100 C (100	
•	High number of rea High number of lef High number of sic One fatal collision	ar-end collisions reported on Cam t-turn opposing collisions reported leswipe collisions occurred on all reported including a driver who ha 3 AM on September 2013	bie Road approaches – <i>over</i> I for westbound (18) and east approaches	60% of total 90 bound (15)) collisions
Operatio	onal (Field Review				
•	Significant lane ch Left-turn vehicles f Future developme generate more trait Drivers did not ide vehicles turning fro	queues during peak periods – eas anging/weaving activities – all app rom commercial driveway created nt in close vicinity – northwest and ffic in the near future) ntify when left-turn phase will be p om commercial driveways d – east-west directions; presence	proaches; due to lack of left-tu conflicts with Cambie Road d southeast quadrants (townh provided, generating weaving	urn bays traffic – east-w ouses and con activities, parti	nmercial building; cularly with





City of Richmond

NO. 5 ROAD & CAMBIE ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

• Jaywalkers were observed crossing No. 5 Road between commercial stores

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Replace and install flexible delineators to restrict left-turn movements west leg
- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase during high pedestrian crossing activities after school and weekends
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads east-west approaches

- Add left-turn bay with future redevelopment all approaches, particularly east-west directions
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment northwest, southeast, ad southwest quadrants
- Conduct detailed in-service operation and safety study, including collisions at shopping centre driveways overall
- Review and widen letdown and increase waiting area (if required) overall



NO. 4 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY					
INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)			
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	7 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial Arterial (MRN) (Bike Route) Resi. / Rec. / Inst. 63,800 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	63.7 per year (Total = 191) 5.10 (Casualty = 40%) 2.57 / 3.26 [2013-2017] 0 1 72		
Pedestrian/Bicycle Trail Provision (Connection) - 2017 Posted Speed Level 2013 Posted Speed Level 2013 Accessible Bus Stop Provision - 2017		so 70 iiiii 60 49 iiiiii 60 28 iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	43 Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal 2017		
Future Development: D Residential Townhouses	WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	November (15%) Thursday (18%) 3 PM - 6 PM (35%) Rear End (63%) Sideswipe (15%) Left Turn (15%)		
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONA	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES				
 Lane drop after inter 	ft-turn bays with wide medians – e ersection due to on-street parking ays close to intersection – <i>southe</i>	during off-peak periods - so	uth leg		
Signal:					
Old pedestrian pus	shbuttons - southwest comer				
 Inadequate pedest Inadequate bicycle Long pedestrian cr 	vith the presence of utility poles – r rian facility/connection – east-west facility on bike route – east-west rossing distance – north-south dire bus stop – eastbound approach	st legs; no raised sidewalk an approach; signed and pavem	d road curb		
Collision (Data Review):	And a second second	فالتدار المحال المحاج والمحاج			
 Annual number of High number of rea High number of lef High number of sic 3 collisions occurrent No. 4 Road One cyclist-involvent Highway on west for One fatal collision No. 4 Road souther 	uency (over 50.0), and high collision collisions increased from 2015 to 2 ar-end collisions reported on West t-turn opposing collisions reported leswipe collisions reported on eas ad between northbound vehicles a ad collision occurred between sout eg reported due to a eastbound left-tu pound during Sunday noon in Octo reported with no clear descriptions	2017 minster Highway approaches for eastbound (13) and north tbound and southbound direc ind vehicles exiting the vet ho hbound right-turn vehicle and urn opposing collision and hit uber 2016	nbound (5) ctions – 8 <i>collisions each</i> ospital parking lot turning left onto d bicycle crossing Westminster ting a third vehicle stopped on		



City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & WESTMINSTER HIGHWAY

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods east-west directions
- High vehicle speed -- east-west directions and northbound

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Future development nearby and in close vicinity southwest (residential) and southeast quadrants; generate more traffic in the near future
- Insufficient road sign east-west legs; bike signage and pavement markings, especially to alert right-turn vehicles
- Damaged signal pole with heavy tire marks and broken vehicle parts were noticed at the northwest corner; suspect
 westbound off-road collision to the right side

Other:

Insufficient street lighting – northwest and southeast corners

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Upgrade pedestrian pushbuttons to the latest standard southwest corners
- Provide bike route related signage and pavement markings before/after intersection east-west legs
- Improve east-west crossings for cyclists elephant's feet and green paint
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Extend left-turn bay with future redevelopment east-west approaches
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment northeast, southeast, and southwest quadrants
- Improve pedestrian facility/connection with future redevelopment northeast, southeast, and southwest quadrants
- Review and widen letdown and increase waiting area (if required) overall
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) northwest and southeast corners
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP east-west approaches
- · Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding
- Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) westbound approach



City of Richmond

INTERSECTION INFORM	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)			
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	8 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for NB & E-W Arterial (Bike Route) Arterial (MRN) Residential / Commercial	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	54.7 per year 5.01 3.08 / 3.31 5 0	(Total = 164) (Casualty = 38%) [2013-2017]	
Daily Traffic Volume (2015): Future Development: • Mixed-Use Buildings (multi-family residential and commercial retail) • City Park	46,600 Entering Vehicles	80 60 49 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54	61 35 26 2017	Total = Property Damage Only = Injury = Fatal	
Future Development: Building	CAMBIE ROAD (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	November (14' Saturday (21% 3 PM - 6 PM (3 Rear End (57% Sideswipe (16' Left Turn (11%	5) 32%) 6) %)	
Geometric: Misalignment of lo Designated right-	I AND SAFETY ISSUES eft-turn bays with wide medians – <i>r</i> turn bay adjacent to commercial dr				
Geometric: Misalignment of Misalignment of Mi	eft-turn bays with wide medians – <i>r</i> turn bay adjacent to commercial dr eways close to intersection – <i>southe</i> distance due to nearby foliage – <i>n</i>	iveways – northbound approa east quadrants (gas station) orthwest corner			
 Designated right- activities Commercial drive Inadequate sight Signal: Lack of left-turn p 	eft-turn bays with wide medians – <i>i</i> turn bay adjacent to commercial dr ways close to intersection – <i>south</i> e	iveways – northbound approa east quadrants (gas station) orthwest corner			
Geometric: Misalignment of Misalignment of Misalignment of Misalignment of Misalignment of Misalignment of Misalignment Commercial drives Commercial drives Inadequate sight Signal: Lack of left-turn p Vulnerable Road User: Narrow sidewalk No raised sidewa Bike lane transitio Long pedestrian of	eft-turn bays with wide medians – <i>r</i> turn bay adjacent to commercial dr eways close to intersection – <i>southe</i> distance due to nearby foliage – <i>n</i>	iveways – northbound approa east quadrants (gas station) orthwest corner southbound approach west side h vehicles – northbound appro	ach; increase la		
Geometric: Misalignment of k Designated right- activities Commercial drive Inadequate sight Signal: Lack of left-turn p Vulnerable Road User: Narrow sidewalk No raised sidewa Bike lane transitie Long pedestrian of On-street near-sie Collision (Data Review): High collision free Annual number of re High number of re One fatal collisior	eft-turn bays with wide medians – <i>i</i> turn bay adjacent to commercial dr eways close to intersection – <i>southe</i> distance due to nearby foliage – <i>ne</i> whase with left-turn bay provided – a with the presence of utility poles – lk – <i>south leg (east side)</i> on from designated to single file wit crossing distance – <i>east-west direc</i>	iveways – northbound approa east quadrants (gas station) orthwest corner southbound approach west side h vehicles – northbound appro tions n on severity index (over 5.00) 2017 len City approaches – over 60 mbie Road approaches – 17 o rom Cambie Road onto Garde	oach 0% of total 91 c collisions (74%	ne weaving collisions of total) irection is not	
Geometric: Misalignment of k Designated right- activities Commercial drive Inadequate sight Signal: Lack of left-turn p Vulnerable Road User: Narrow sidewalk No raised sidewa Bike lane transitie Long pedestrian of On-street near-sie Collision (Data Review): High collision free Annual number of re High number of re One fatal collisior	eft-turn bays with wide medians – <i>i</i> turn bay adjacent to commercial dr eways close to intersection – <i>southe</i> distance due to nearby foliage – <i>nd</i> whase with left-turn bay provided – : with the presence of utility poles – lik – <i>south leg (east side)</i> on from designated to single file wit crossing distance – <i>east-west direc</i> de bus stop – <i>westbound approach</i> quency (over 50.0), and high collision f collisions increased from 2015 to ear-end collisions reported on Garc ideswipe collisions occurred on Can n reported of a vehicle turning left fit ting a pedestrian crossing Garden	iveways – northbound approa east quadrants (gas station) orthwest corner southbound approach west side h vehicles – northbound appro tions n on severity index (over 5.00) 2017 len City approaches – over 60 mbie Road approaches – 17 o rom Cambie Road onto Garde	oach 0% of total 91 c collisions (74%	ne weaving collisions of total) irection is not	



City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD & CAMBIE ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Vehicle queue spillback from downstream east leg; unexpected vehicle slow down to enter gas station
- Long left-turn queue block through traffic lane northbound
- Future development nearby northwest (mixed-use) and southwest (commercial) quadrants; generate more traffic in the near future

• Notices to look for collision incident witnesses on June 2017 were found on utility poles at the intersection

Other:

• Insufficient street lighting - northeast and southwest corners

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase southbound approach
- Consider the provision of protected-only left-turn phase north-south directions
- Regularly trim foliage northwest corner
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) southbound approach
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment northwest and south side quadrants
- Review and widen sidewalk with future redevelopment (if required) west side and south leg (east side)
- Provide designated bike lane with future redevelopment northbound approach
- Provide designated right-turn bay with future redevelopment southbound approach
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) northeast and southwest corners









City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD & GRANVILLE AVENUE

Collision (Data Review) - CONTINUED:

• Five cyclist-involved collision occurred – three collisions between eastbound right-turn vehicles and eastbound through bicycles, one collision between westbound right-turn vehicle and northbound bicycle, and one collision between northbound right-turn vehicle and southbound left-turn bicycle

Operational (Field Review):

Congestion / long queues during peak periods – southbound and eastbound approaches
 Vehicle queue spillback from downstream – northbound and eastbound approaches

Other:

- Inadequate pavement marking -- southeast (no dashed merge line) and southwest corner (no green bike lane marking)
- Inappropriate pavement marking east leg; marked and signed crosswalk end at residential driveway
- Missing road sign east-west approaches (no designated right-turn only signs) and southwest corner (no
 pedestrian crosswalk signs)
- Inappropriate road sign eastbound approach (yield sign instead of Added Lane Sign); some right-turn drivers were confuse to stop or not

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- · Consider conducting a feasibility study for intersection configuration options
- Replace Yield sign with Added Lane sign eastbound approach
- Paint guiding line southbound approach; specifically for bicycles
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

Medium/Long-Term:

• Remove or modify angle of channelized right-turn – eastbound and westbound approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm

. .



Network Screening Study

INTERSECTION INFORM	MATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)		
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	10 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT in all directions Arterial (MRN) Arterial Commercial / Residential	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	35.0 per year (Total = 105) 4.51 (Casualty = 39%) 3.64 / 3.36 [2013-2017] 1 0	
Daily Traffic Volume (2015		<mark>ہے</mark> 60		
	Port of the Linking tended	60 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43	31 Total 9 Property Damage Only 17 Injury 14 Fatal 2017 2017	
(E-W) (E-W) Puture Developmen • Residential Townhouses	E Commercial Building Redevelopment with Pedestian Building Tradewelopment with Pedestian Right-Turn Island Provision - 2014	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	May / September (143%) Wednesday (20%) 9 AM - 12 PM (26%) Rear End (49%) Sideswipe (26%) Left Tum (7%)	
IDENTIFIED OPERATIO	NAL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
Geometries			ENDERSTED ILS BANKS	
 Lane drop after Residential drive driveways) legs 	intersection due to on-street parking eways close to intersection – <i>south</i> (
Lane drop after Residential drive driveways) legs Signal:	intersection due to on-street parking eways close to intersection – <i>south (</i> i	west side) and west (vehicles		
 Lane drop after i Residential drive driveways) legs Signal: No countdown for 	intersection due to on-street parking	west side) and west (vehicles		
Lane drop after Residential drive driveways) legs Signal: No countdown fo /ulnerable Road User:	intersection due to on-street parking eways close to intersection – <i>south (</i> or pedestrian signal phases – <i>all dire</i>	west side) and west (vehicles	turning left to exit from	
Residential drive driveways) legs Signal: No countdown for Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pede	intersection due to on-street parking eways close to intersection – <i>south (</i> or pedestrian signal phases – <i>all dire</i> estrian crossing activities – <i>all directi</i>	west side) and west (vehicles	turning left to exit from	
 Lane drop after Residential drive driveways) legs Signal: No countdown for Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pede Substantial pede Collision (Data Review) A collision-prone Annual number High proportion shopping activiti High proportion High proportion The pedestrian-leg 24 extra collision 	intersection due to on-street parking eways close to intersection – south (in or pedestrian signal phases – all direct estrian crossing activities – all direct	west side) and west (vehicles ections ions; to/from retail stores and ision rate) d during late morning peak pe 2 Road approaches – 33 out of he north-south legs – 15 out of red with northbound left-turn i a southbound left-turn vehicle	turning left to exit from nearby schools eriod (9 AM to 12 PM) due to high of total 48 collisions of total 25 collisions movements – 4 out of total 7 and a pedestrian crossing east	
 Lane drop after Residential drive driveways) legs Signal: No countdown for Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pede Substantial pede Collision (Data Review) A collision-prone Annual number High proportion shopping activiti High number of High proportion High proportion The pedestrian-leg 	intersection due to on-street parking eways close to intersection – <i>south</i> (in or pedestrian signal phases – <i>all directi</i> estrian crossing activities – <i>all directi</i> e location (observed over critical colli of collisions dropped from 2015 of total number of collisions occurred es rear-end collisions reported on No. 2 of sideswipe collisions occurred at th of left-turn opposing collisions occur involved collision reported between a ns reported at the signalized intersect in)	west side) and west (vehicles ections ions; to/from retail stores and ision rate) d during late morning peak pe 2 Road approaches – 33 out of he north-south legs – 15 out of red with northbound left-turn i a southbound left-turn vehicle	turning left to exit from nearby schools eriod (9 AM to 12 PM) due to high of total 48 collisions of total 25 collisions movements – 4 out of total 7 and a pedestrian crossing east	





City of Richmond

NO. 2 ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- High vehicle speed southbound and eastbound approaches; long distance of nearby traffic controls for through
 movements
- Future development nearby northeast (commercial) and southwest (residential) quadrants; generate more traffic in the near future

Other:

• Broken flexible delineators – south leg; which were installed on the centreline to restrict left-turn movements from commercial and residential driveways

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking close to the intersection west leg
- Replace broken flexible delineators south leg
- Provide signal progression for traffic signals at Blundell Road and Blundell Centre driveway north-south approaches

- Increase left-turn bay storage length with future development northbound approach
- Enhance police enforcements on vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP southbound direction
- Consider left-turn movement restriction at driveways for future development east leg
- Consider adding left-turn bay to commercial development with future redevelopment southbound
- Review on-site vehicle circulation and access with strip mall owner to reduce left-in and left-out movements into and out of the mall, especially the access on the south leg overall
- Conduct detailed in-service operation and safety study, including collisions at shopping centre driveways overall
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding



INTERS	SECTION INFORMATION	COLLISION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)			
Site #: Intersec Traffic C N-S Stre E-W Stre Surroun	11 tion Type: 4-Legged control Type: Signalized - P/P bet Classification: Arterial beet Classification: Arterial beet Classification: Arterial (Bike Ro ding Land Use: Retail / Park / Ci	LT in all directions ute) LT (residential LT in all directions Collision Rat Collision with Collision with Collision with	quency: verity Index: te OBS. / CRT.: h Pedestrian: h Cyclist:	47.3 per year 5.88 2.44 / 3.30 12 5	(Total = 142) (Casualty = 47%) [2013-2017] (1 Fatal)	
	Redevelopm	residentiat rocka) Building went with identing - 2017 0 0	48 45 23 25 24 20 2015 2016 Year	49 27 22 2017	Total ■ Property Damage Only ■ Injury ■ Fatal	
GRANVI AVENI (E-W		Highest % Mo Highest % Da Highest % Da Highest % Tin Top 3 Collisio	ay of Week: me Period:	November (13 Wednesday (* 3 PM - 6 PM (Rear End (59 Sideswipe (15 Pedestrian Inv	16%) 30%) %) 5%)	
IDENTI	FIED OPERATIONAL AND SAFET	YISSUES				
• • •	Designated right-turn bays at a bus Sharp right-turn corner – northeast Long left-turn distance – north-sou southbound left-turn vehicles) Inadequate sight distance due to ir Special crosswalks immediately be	corner th approaches; damaged centra nsufficient property setback – <i>no</i>	l island on the e	əast leg (most	likely chipped by	
Signal:		sense spiration and	机开始分析	NEA WAR	State State	
•	No countdown for pedestrian signa	Il phases – all directions				
Vulnera	able Road User:		CK Shi Ka	the second	「「日本のない」	
• • •	Substantial pedestrian/bicycle cross shopping centre, etc.) Long pedestrian crossing distance Bike lane share with right-turn lane On-street near-side bus stop – sou	– north-south directions – east-west approaches	City Hall, park,	retail stores, ,	bus stops,	
	on (Data Review):	A CONTRACTOR OF LAND	Sec. No.	化可能效量	The second of	
Collisio		5.00); high pedestrian-related in	cidents			
Collisio	High collision severity index (over s Annual number of collisions were s High number of left-turn rear-end c collisions All right-turn rear-end collisions occ	collisions occurred on Granville A			of total 15	




City of Richmond

NO. 3 ROAD & GRANVILLE AVENUE

Operational (Field Review):

- Congestion / long queues during peak periods north-south directions
- Significant left-/right-turn volumes/queues during peak periods all approaches; right-turn vehicles merge in
 advance along on-street bike lane to avoid any queue
- Lots of pedestrian crossing activities during the red pedestrian signal phase all approaches

Other:

Insufficient street lighting – northeast corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 20 to 30% of Total Collisions):

- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all directions
- Delay turning traffic for pedestrian/bicycle crossing overall
- Paint guiding line north-south approaches
- Paint coloured pavement marking for crosswalk to alert drivers for substantial pedestrian/bicycle crossing activities (i.e. the City typically uses Redwood, Pantone #18-1443) *all legs*
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Review and widen corner and provide adequate sight distance with future redevelopment (if required) northeast corner
- Provide designated bike lane by separating with right-turn lane with future redevelopments *east-west* approaches
- Enhance police enforcements for pedestrian crossing violations in coordination with RCMP all approaches



	DELL ROAD			
INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS ((2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	12 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for N-S & EB Arterial Arterial Residential / Institutional 34,500 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	45.7 per year 5.73 3.39 / 3.36 1 1 50 26 24 2017	(Total = 137) (Casualty = 53%) [2013-2017] Total = Property Damage Only = Injury = Fatal
BLUNDELL ROAD (E-W)	Tansil and Pedestrian Facility Improvement (Future Plan)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	January (15%) Friday (20%) 3 PM - 6 PM (3 Rear End (42%) Left Turn (28%) Sideswipe (13	36%) 6) 6)
IDENTIFIED OPERATIONA Geometric:	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
 Wide receiving land Lane drop with shot Residential and inst 	y – all approaches; limited visibilit e – east leg; conflicts between nor ort merge lane after intersection – stitutional driveways close to inters istance due to nearby foliage and	rthbound right-turn and south east leg section – north, east, and wes	bound left-turn st legs	
Signal:			12444763	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	n phase without left-turn bay – <i>no</i> ase – westbound approach	orth-south and eastbound app	roaches	
 Narrow sidewalk – 	vaiting area – all corners		Liber (Alash Linky 7
Collision (Data Review):	NUL SEALON STREET	attraction of the	Wei al 13	
 High collision seve 	collisions increased from 2015 to a rity index (over 5.00), and a collisi ar-end collisions reported on the w	ion-prone location (observed	ollowed by nort	hbound (29%)



City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Operational (Field Review):

- Heavy traffic volume east-west directions
- Significant lane changing/weaving activities all directions; due to lack of left-turn bays and existence of lane drop
- On-street parking close to intersection during off-peak periods west leg
- Future development in close vicinity northeast and northwest quadrants (residential); generate more traffic in the near future

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 10 to 20% of Total Collisions):

- Re-paint approach lanes as left-turn only lane and shared through-right lane eastbound and westbound approach; reduce receiving lane as one lane with pavement marking
- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking west leg

- Add left-turn bay with future development north-south approaches then east-west approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm
- Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) southbound approach
- Review driveway locations with future redevelopment overall
- Design for adequate sight distance with future redevelopment overall
- Review and widen pedestrian sidewalks, waiting areas, and letdowns (if required) overall



City of Richmond

	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS (2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification:	13 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for N-S & WB Arterial	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian:	39.3 per year 4.97 3.08 / 3.36 4	(Total = 118) (Casualty = 44%) [2013-2017]
E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	Arterial (MRN) Residential / Retail	Collision with Cyclist:	0	
Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	34,700 Entering Vehicles	See 60 40 31 5 20 18 23 13 15 0 2015 2016 Year	49 25 24 2017	Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
(Ins)	CAMBIE ROAD (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	November (19 Friday (22%) 3 PM - 6 PM (2 Rear End (42%) Left Tum (29%) Sideswipe (18	22%) 6) 5)
 Lane drop after inf Commercial drives 	ay – all approaches; limited visibilit tersection – north leg ways close to intersection – south i distance due to nearby tree trunk –	leg	n drivers	
Signal:		le to nearby foliage and signs	nl pole setback	and foliage at the
 Limited signal hea northeast corner Provision of left-tu Lack of left-turn ph 	nd visibility – northern approach; du Irn phase without left-turn bay – no hase – eastbound approach crossing timing – east leg; for south	rth-south and westbound app	roaches	
 Limited signal hea northeast corner Provision of left-tu Lack of left-turn ph Delay pedestrian of 	rn phase without left-turn bay – <i>no</i> hase – eastbound approach	rth-south and westbound app	roaches	1969-1977 - T
 Limited signal hea northeast corner Provision of left-tu Lack of left-turn ph Delay pedestrian of Vulnerable Road User:	irn phase without left-turn bay – <i>no</i> hase – <i>eastbound approach</i> crossing timing – <i>east leg; for sout</i> with the presence of utility poles – e	orth-south and westbound app hbound left-turn movement	proaches	
 Limited signal hear northeast corner Provision of left-turn ph Delay pedestrian of Vulnerable Road User: Narrow sidewalk v Narrow letdown – Collision (Data Review): Annual number of re High number of left High number of side All pedestrian-involution 	arn phase without left-turn bay – no hase – eastbound approach crossing timing – east leg; for south with the presence of utility poles – e all corners collisions increased from 2015 to a ear-end collisions reported on east ft-turn opposing collisions reported deswipe collisions occurred with so polyed collisions (4 collisions) occur	east leg (south side) 2017 bound approach – 37% of tot for northbound (16 collisions bouthbound movement – 21 cc red between vehicles turning	al 47 collisions) and for west lilisions (39% c	oound (7 collisions of total)
 Limited signal hear northeast corner Provision of left-turn ph Delay pedestrian of Vulnerable Road User: Narrow sidewalk v Narrow letdown – Collision (Data Review): Annual number of re High number of left High number of side All pedestrian-involution 	arn phase without left-turn bay – no hase – eastbound approach crossing timing – east leg; for south with the presence of utility poles – e all corners collisions increased from 2015 to the car-end collisions reported on east ft-turn opposing collisions reported deswipe collisions (4 collisions) occurred le pedestrians crossing Cambie Ro	east leg (south side) 2017 bound approach – 37% of tot for northbound (16 collisions bouthbound movement – 21 co red between vehicles turning	al 47 collisions) and for west lilisions (39% c	oound (7 collisions of total)



FINAL REPORT May, 2019 D.13.A



City of Richmond

NO. 4 ROAD & CAMBIE ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- "SPEED KILLS" sign was noted in the eastbound approach indicating high vehicle speed identified
- · Notices looking for witnesses on a vehicle collisions dated September 2018 were found on utility poles

Other:

Insufficient street lighting – northeast corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Regularly trim foliage northeast corner
- Add a near-side tertiary traffic signal head northbound approach
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads east-west approaches
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase eastbound approach
- Add left-turn bay east-west approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm (feasibility/design)
- · Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all approaches
- Advance merge sign before the intersection OR provide two exit lane and merge further north northbound approach

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) eastbound approach
- Add left-turn bay east-west approaches; traffic operation and geometric design to confirm (construction)
- Review and widen sidewalk and letdowns (if required) overall
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP east-west approaches
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding
- Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) westbound approach



INTERSECTION INFORMA	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS ((2015-2017)
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	14 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for WB Arterial Arterial (MRN) Residential / Industrial	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	37.7 per year (Total = 113) 6.18 (Casualty = 58%) 2.83 / 3.37 [2013-2017] 5 0
Daily Traffic Volume (2015): Future Development: Residential Townhouses	33,200 Entering Vehicles	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	47 19 Property Damage Only Injury 28 2017
Future Development: - Residential Townhouses	BRIDGEPORT ROAD (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	July (12%) Monday (18%) 9 AM - 12 PM / 3 PM - 6 PM (23%) Rear End (49%) Left Tum (23%) Sideswipe (11%)
IDENTIFIED OPERATION			
Geometric: Lack of left-turn ba Wide receiving lar northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivew Inadequate sight of	y – all approaches; limited visibili e – north leg; conflicts between w	estbound right-turn and eastb and west legs I insufficient property setback	ound left-turn vehicles and two – west side comers
Geometric: Lack of left-turn ba Wide receiving lar northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivew Inadequate sight o Presence of railwa	y – all approaches; limited visibili e – north leg; conflicts between w h vehicles after intersection – north leg ays close to intersection – north a istance due to nearby foliage and	estbound right-turn and eastb and west legs I insufficient property setback	ound left-turn vehicles and two – west side comers
Geometric: Lack of left-turn ba Wide receiving lar northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivew Inadequate sight o Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn pl	y – all approaches; limited visibili e – north leg; conflicts between w h vehicles after intersection – north leg ays close to intersection – north a istance due to nearby foliage and	estbound right-turn and eastb and west legs I insufficient property setback westbound signal heads with I approaches	ound left-turn vehicles and two – west side comers
Geometric: Lack of left-turn ba Wide receiving lar northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivew Inadequate sight o Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn pl Provision of left-tur Vulnerable Road User:	ay – all approaches; limited visibili e – north leg; conflicts between w h vehicles after intersection – north leg ays close to intersection – north a istance due to nearby foliage and y crossing – east leg; two sets of mase – north-south and eastbound rn phase without left-turn bay – w	estbound right-turn and eastb and west legs I insufficient property setback westbound signal heads with I approaches estbound approach	ound left-turn vehicles and two – west side comers one stop bar
Geometric: Lack of left-turn ba Wide receiving lar northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivew Inadequate sight of Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn pf Provision of left-turn pf Inadequate pedes	ay – all approaches; limited visibili e – north leg; conflicts between w h vehicles after intersection – north leg ays close to intersection – north a istance due to nearby foliage and y crossing – east leg; two sets of mase – north-south and eastbound rn phase without left-turn bay – w	estbound right-turn and eastb and west legs I insufficient property setback westbound signal heads with I approaches estbound approach	ound left-turn vehicles and two – west side comers one stop bar
Geometric: Lack of left-turn ba Wide receiving lar northbound throug Short merge lane Residential drivew Inadequate sight of Presence of railwa Signal: Lack of left-turn pf Provision of left-turn pf Provision of left-turn Vulnerable Road User: Inadequate pedes crossing pedestriation Collision (Data Review): Annual number of High collision seve High number of sid Two pedestrian-in	ay – all approaches; limited visibili e – north leg; conflicts between w h vehicles after intersection – north leg ays close to intersection – north a istance due to nearby foliage and y crossing – east leg; two sets of mase – north-south and eastbound rn phase without left-turn bay – w	estbound right-turn and eastb and west legs I insufficient property setback westbound signal heads with I approaches estbound approach overall intersection; conflicts b 2017 geport Road approaches – <i>85</i> west directions – <i>14 for westb</i> estbound (6 collisions), followe rted between vehicles turning	west side corners one stop bar etween right-turn vehicles and %; 25 occurred on westbound bound and 11 for eastbound d by eastbound (3 collisions)





City of Richmond

SHELL ROAD & BRIDGEPORT ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Future development nearby west side (residential); generate more traffic in the near future
- · Notices to look for collision incident witnesses on February 2019 were found on utility poles at the intersection

Other:

Insufficient street lighting – southwest corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

- Review and relocate/remove right-angle parking spaces close to the intersection north leg
- Regularly trim foliage to provide adequate sight distance southwest corner

- Repaint pavement marking to realign/convert approaches to one left-turn (align with opposite left-turn) with one shared through-right lane – north-south approaches
- Add left-turn bays with future redevelopments east-west approaches
- Rearrange or relocate driveway locations away from the intersection with future redevelopment west side
- Improve pedestrian/bicycle facility/connection overall
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) southwest corner

Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

INTERSECTION INFORM	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use: Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	15 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for SB & E-W Arterial (Bike Route - NL) Arterial (Bike Route) Residential / Office / Civic / Park 35,400 Entering Vehicles	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	34.0 per year 5.24 2.65 / 3.35 12 0 37 19 18 2017	(Total = 102) (Casualty = 47%) [2013-2017] Total = Property Damage Only = Injury = Fatal
GRANVILLE VENUE (E-W) IDENTIFIED OPERATION	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES	Year Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	September (22 Wednesday (2 9 AM - 12 AM Rear End (55% Sideswipe (16 Pedestrian Inv	2%) (25%) %) %)
 Horizontal curve a between the librar Designated right-t Lane drop from th Wide receiving lar 	is well as institutional driveway be y loading area and intersection tur urn bays at a busy intersection – e rough to designated right-turn lane ne – south leg; conflict between ea close to intersection – south leg	ning bays ast-west approaches e – southbound approach		
 Horizontal curve a between the librar Designated right-t Lane drop from th Wide receiving lar On-street parking 	y loading area and intersection tur urn bays at a busy intersection – e rough to designated right-turn lane ne – south leg; conflict between ea	ning bays ast-west approaches e – southbound approach		
 Horizontal curve a between the librar Designated right-t Lane drop from th Wide receiving lar On-street parking Signal: Lack of left-turn plant 	y loading area and intersection tur urn bays at a busy intersection – e rough to designated right-turn lane ne – south leg; conflict between ea	ning bays ast-west approaches - southbound approach stbound right-turn and westbo 		
 Horizontal curve a between the librar Designated right-t Lane drop from th Wide receiving lar On-street parking Signal: Lack of left-turn pl No countdown for 	y loading area and intersection tur urn bays at a busy intersection – e rough to designated right-turn lane ne – south leg; conflict between ea close to intersection – south leg hase with left-turn bay provided – r	ning bays ast-west approaches - southbound approach stbound right-turn and westbo 		
 Horizontal curve a between the librar Designated right-t Lane drop from th Wide receiving lar On-street parking Signal: Lack of left-turn p No countdown for Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pedes centre, park, etc.) 	y loading area and intersection tur urn bays at a busy intersection – e rough to designated right-turn lane ne – south leg; conflict between ea close to intersection – south leg hase with left-turn bay provided – r pedestrian signal phases – all direc trian/bicycle crossing activities – a	ning bays ast-west approaches a – southbound approach stbound right-turn and westbo northbound approach actions	ound left-turn v	rehicles
between the librar Designated right-t Lane drop from th Wide receiving lar On-street parking Signal: Lack of left-turn pl No countdown for Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pedes centre, park, etc.)	y loading area and intersection tur urn bays at a busy intersection – e rough to designated right-turn lane ne – south leg; conflict between ea close to intersection – south leg hase with left-turn bay provided – r pedestrian signal phases – all direc trian/bicycle crossing activities – a	ning bays ast-west approaches a – southbound approach stbound right-turn and westbo northbound approach actions	ound left-turn v	rehicles
 Horizontal curve a between the libral Designated right-t Lane drop from th Wide receiving lar On-street parking Signal: Lack of left-turn pl No countdown for Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pedes centre, park, etc.) Special crosswalk Collision (Data Review): Annual number of High collision seventiation of High proportion of High proportion of Tright-angle collision of 12 total period 	y loading area and intersection tur urn bays at a busy intersection – e rough to designated right-turn lane he – south leg; conflict between ea close to intersection – south leg hase with left-turn bay provided – r pedestrian signal phases – all direct trian/bicycle crossing activities – a is near intersection – north leg collisions increased in 2017 erity index (over 5.00) ear-end collisions reported on south left-turn rear-end collisions occurred on sions occurred – 4 collisions report edestrian-involved collisions (50%)	ning bays ast-west approaches a – southbound approach stbound right-turn and westbo northbound approach actions Il legs (to/from community cer bound direction (39%), follow ed on eastbound – 11 out of a Granville Avenue approaches ed due to southbound vehicle	ound left-turn v ntres, school, (ved by eastbou total 13 collision – 11 collisions s running the i	rehicles City Hall, shopping and (35%) ins 5 (69% of total) red light
 Horizontal curve a between the librar Designated right-t Lane drop from th Wide receiving lar On-street parking Signal: Lack of left-turn pl No countdown for Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pedes centre, park, etc.) Special crosswalk Collision (Data Review): Annual number of High collision seven High proportion of High proportion of Tright-angle collision of 2 right-angle collision of 12 total period 	y loading area and intersection tur urn bays at a busy intersection – e rough to designated right-turn lane he – south leg; conflict between ea close to intersection – south leg hase with left-turn bay provided – r pedestrian signal phases – all direct trian/bicycle crossing activities – a is near intersection – north leg collisions increased in 2017 erity index (over 5.00) ear-end collisions reported on south left-turn rear-end collisions occurred sions occurred – 4 collisions (50%) etrians crossing west leg	ning bays ast-west approaches a – southbound approach stbound right-turn and westbo northbound approach actions Il legs (to/from community cer bound direction (39%), follow ed on eastbound – 11 out of a Granville Avenue approaches ed due to southbound vehicle	ound left-turn v ntres, school, (ved by eastbou total 13 collision – 11 collisions s running the i	rehicles City Hall, shopping and (35%) ins 5 (69% of total) red light





City of Richmond

MINORU BOULEVARD & GRANVILLE AVENUE

Operational (Field Review) – CONTINUED:

- Significant lane changing/weaving activities southbound approach (marked on-street bicycle lane crossing designated right-turn lane) and east-west directions (conflicts between right-turn vehicles and through bicycles/buses)
- Existing bike facility is confusing to drivers/cyclists and too much information to process southbound; just before the taper, road user sees "Bike Lane Ends", overhead lane designation signs, green paint, bike symbol, Yield to Bike Cycle sign, and lane drop.

Other:

• Insufficient street lighting - northwest and south side corners

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all directions
- Review and increase pedestrian crossing timing (if warranted) north-south directions
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase northbound approach
- Review and extend signal timings eastbound approach (specifically left-turn)
- Paint green to crosswalk to alert drivers for high crossing activities all approaches
- Enlarge signal lenses to 300-300-300 millimetres for primary traffic signal heads all approaches

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) northbound approach
- Consider conducting redesign of southbound approach to improve the crossing facilities
- Provide off-street multi-use pathway south leg (west side)
- · Consider to install red-light camera (under ICBC jurisdiction) westbound approach
- Review and improve street lighting (if required) northwest and south side corners
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle red-light running violations in coordination with RCMP and ICBC all approaches
- Enhance police enforcements for pedestrian crossing violations in coordination with RCMP all approaches



INTERSECTION INFORM	ATION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #:	16	Collision Frequency:	22.0 per year	(Total = 66)
ntersection Type:	4-Legged	Collision Severity Index:	4.68	(Casualty = 41%)
Traffic Control Type:	Signalized - P/P LT in all directions	Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.:	3.35 / 3.41	[2013-2017]
I-S Street Classification:	Arterial	Collision with Pedestrian:	1	
-W Street Classification:	Arterial	Collision with Cyclist:	0	
Surrounding Land Use:	Commercial / Residential			
Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	26,400 Entering Vehicles	su 40		
	ELUNDELL ROAD (E-W)	so 40 so 20 to 20 to 20 to 10 to 15 to 10 to 2015 to 2015 to 2015 to 10 to 2015 to 2016 to Year Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Beriod:	23 14 9 2017 April / Novemb Thursday (249	6)
Z Z Z	The President	Highest % Time Period:	3 PM - 6 PM (
RDE RDE		Top 3 Collision Types:	Rear End (449	
See See	PE		Sideswipe (34	and the second second second second
	AL AND SAFETY ISSUES		Left Turn (15%	0)
 Lane drop after inf 	ay – all approaches; limited visibilit tersection due to on-street parking ways close to intersection – northe	during off-peak periods - not	rth, south, and	west legs
Signal:			1.283.215	1
	rn phase without left-turn bay – all pedestrian signal phases – all dire			
/ulnerable Road User:		Street Web 2010		South System
	northeast corner trian/bicycle crossing activities – a turn vehicles and crossing pedestr		nd nearby scho	ols); conflict
		and the second	And a first start of the	Standard State
	collisions were similar in three yes			
 Annual number of High number of re High number of side High number of le The pedestrian-inverse Pedestrian crossing 26 extra collisions southeast corner of A fatal collision of Road and an east Another fatal collision Blundell Road during 	reported at the driveways (south a of study intersection courred between a vehicle exiting th bound vehicle during weekday AM sion reported including an eastbour ing weekday AM peak period on O	bound (54%), followed by nor stbound (40%), followed by so l for E-W direction (over 65% a right-turning vehicle from G and east legs) of Garden City he shopping centre driveway peak period on February 20° nd vehicle hitting a pedestriar	outhbound (25 of total) arden City Roa Shopping Cen to go westbour 16	%) ad (NB/SB) and a tre, located on the nd on Blundell
 High number of re High number of side High number of le The pedestrian-invision pedestrian crossing 26 extra collisions southeast corner of A fatal collision of Road and an east Another fatal collision Blundell Road dur 	ear-end collisions reported on west deswipe collisions occurred on west ft-turn opposing collisions reported volved collision occurred between a g Blundell Road reported at the driveways (south a of study intersection courred between a vehicle exiting th bound vehicle during weekday AM sion reported including an eastbour ing weekday AM peak period on O	bound (54%), followed by nor stbound (40%), followed by s for E-W direction (over 65% a right-turning vehicle from G and east legs) of Garden City he shopping centre driveway peak period on February 20 nd vehicle hitting a pedestriar october 2014	outhbound (25 of total) arden City Roa Shopping Cen to go westbour 16 a who was jayv	%) ad (NB/SB) and a tre, located on th nd on Blundell valking across





City of Richmond

GARDEN CITY ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Operational (Field Review) - CONTINUED:

- Significant lane changing/weaving activities all directions; due to lack of left-turn bays and allowance of on-street parking
- On-street parking close to intersection northbound approaches; blocking through traffic from using curb lane and then change lane to avoid left-turn vehicles
- Unfamiliar drivers may be confuse when the left-turn phase is on in each approach
- Jaywalkers crossing Garden City Road and Blundell Road were observed

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

Review and relocate/remove on-street parking next to shopping centre and close to intersection – northbound approach

- Provide left-turn bays with future redevelopments in the future overall
- Conduct detailed in-service operation and safety study, including collisions at shopping centre driveways overall
- Review and widen letdown (if required) northeast corner

Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

INTERSECTION INFOR	MATION	COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type:	17 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for N-S & WB	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.:	27.3 per year 4.73 2.99 / 3.41	(Total = 82) (Casualty = 41%) [2013-2017]
N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification Surrounding Land Use:	Arterial : Arterial Comm. / Rec. / Inst. / Resi.	Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	0 2	
Daily Traffic Volume (201		see 40 31 26 26 14 50 20 16 14 10 2015 2016 2015 2016 Year	25 18 7 2017	Total ■ Property Damage Only ■ Injury ■ Fatal
	FRANCIS ROAD (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	May / June (13 Friday / Wedne 3 PM - 6 PM (2 Rear End (319 Left Turn (30% Sideswipe (26	esday (20%) 29%) %) %)
DENTIFIED OPERATIO	ONAL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
Competition				
Lack of left-turrLane drop after	n bay – <i>all approaches; limited visibilit</i> , intersection due to on-street parking d recreational driveways close to inte	during off-peak periods - sou	uth, east, and v	west legs
 Lack of left-turr Lane drop after Commercial an Signal: Lack of left-turr Provision of left 	intersection due to on-street parking	during off-peak periods – sour resection – north, east, and we rth-south and westbound app	uth, east, and v est legs	vest legs
 Lack of left-turr Lane drop after Commercial an Signal: Lack of left-turr Provision of left No countdown 	intersection due to on-street parking d recreational driveways close to inter phase – <i>eastbound approach</i> t-turn phase without left-turn bay – <i>no</i> for pedestrian signal phases – <i>all dire</i>	during off-peak periods – sour resection – north, east, and we rth-south and westbound app	uth, east, and v est legs	west legs
Lack of left-turr Lane drop after Commercial an Signal: Lack of left-turr Provision of left No countdown Vulnerable Road User: Substantial peo schools); confli	intersection due to on-street parking d recreational driveways close to inter phase – <i>eastbound approach</i> t-turn phase without left-turn bay – <i>no</i> for pedestrian signal phases – <i>all dire</i>	during off-peak periods – sour resection – north, east, and we with-south and westbound app actions	uth, east, and v est legs proaches	
 Lack of left-turr Lane drop after Commercial an Signal: Lack of left-turr Provision of left No countdown Vulnerable Road User: Substantial per schools); confli No bicycle facil 	intersection due to on-street parking d recreational driveways close to inter phase – eastbound approach t-turn phase without left-turn bay – no for pedestrian signal phases – all direct lestrian crossing activities – all legs (the ct between left/right-turn vehicles and ities provided – overall intersection	during off-peak periods – sour resection – north, east, and we with-south and westbound app actions	uth, east, and v est legs proaches	
 Lack of left-turr Lane drop after Commercial an Signal: Lack of left-turr Provision of left No countdown Vulnerable Road User: Substantial peoset schools); conflit No bicycle facil Collision (Data Review High number or out of total 24 of the proportion total 20 collision Two cyclist-investigation (Data collision) 	intersection due to on-street parking d recreational driveways close to inter- the phase – eastbound approach t-turn phase without left-turn bay – no for pedestrian signal phases – all direct destrian crossing activities – all legs (the ct between left/right-turn vehicles and ities provided – overall intersection collisions f rear-end collisions occurred on No. collisions f left-turn opposing collisions occurred of sideswipe collisions reported for n	during off-peak periods – sou resection – north, east, and we with-south and westbound app actions to/from retail stores and neart a crossing pedestrians 1 Road approaches – 10 for r d on N-S direction – 85%; 22 d horthbound (6), followed by ea hicles turning left/right from Ne on air Centre (shopping plaza) lo	uth, east, and v est legs proaches by community of northbound and out of total 23 of astbound/south o. 1 Road onto poated on the n	centres and d 7 for southbound, collisions abound (4); out of Francis Road and orthwest corner of
 Lane drop after Commercial an Signal: Lack of left-turr Provision of left No countdown Vulnerable Road User: Substantial peoschools); confli No bicycle facil Collision (Data Review High number or out of total 24 control of total 20 collision High proportion total 20 collision Two cyclist-inversions 20 extra collision 	intersection due to on-street parking d recreational driveways close to inter a phase – eastbound approach t-turn phase without left-turn bay – no for pedestrian signal phases – all legs (t ct between left/right-turn vehicles and ities provided – overall intersection): f rear-end collisions occurred on No. collisions f left-turn opposing collisions occurred of sideswipe collisions reported for n ns olved collisions occurred between veh g east/west leg of the study intersection in reported at the driveways of Seafa a – 14 collisions at the driveway along	during off-peak periods – sou resection – north, east, and we with-south and westbound app actions to/from retail stores and neart a crossing pedestrians 1 Road approaches – 10 for r d on N-S direction – 85%; 22 d horthbound (6), followed by ea hicles turning left/right from Ne on air Centre (shopping plaza) lo	uth, east, and v est legs proaches by community of northbound and out of total 23 of astbound/south o. 1 Road onto poated on the n	centres and d 7 for southbound; collisions abound (4); out of Francis Road and orthwest corner of





City of Richmond

NO. 1 ROAD & FRANCIS ROAD

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 10 to 20% of Total Collisions):

- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all approaches
- · Paint green pavement marking for crosswalk to alert drivers for substantial pedestrian crossing activities all legs
- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking close to intersection south, east, and west legs
- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase eastbound approach
- Educate community centre children and school students regarding safe pedestrian crossing overall

- Consolidate commercial driveways with future redevelopment north leg
- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) eastbound approach
- Add left-turn bays with future redevelopments in the future all approaches, particular north-south directions
- Conduct a detailed in-service operation and safety study to include the safety review of nearby commercial driveways overall

Attachment 2 (con't)



Network Screening Study

INTERSECTION INFORM	IATION	COLLISION STATISTICS ((2015-2017)	and the state
Site #: Intersection Type: Traffic Control Type: N-S Street Classification: E-W Street Classification: Surrounding Land Use:	18 4-Legged Signalized - P/P LT for SB & WB Arterial Arterial Commercial / Residential	Collision Frequency: Collision Severity Index: Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.: Collision with Pedestrian: Collision with Cyclist:	24.0 per year 4.75 2.08 / 3.36 3 0	(Total = 72) (Casualty = 42%) [2013-2017]
Daily Traffic Volume (2015)		40 23 20 5 20 15 13 7 2015 2016 Year		Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
Commercial Building Redevelopment with Sidewalk Widening - 2017	STEVESTON HIGHWAY (E-W)	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	December (14 Saturday (19% 3 PM - 6 PM (1 Rear End (30% Left Tum (30% Sideswipe (199) 9%) 5)
		Construction of the local data and the second s	and the second se	
	NAL AND SAFETY ISSUES			
Geometric: Lack of left-turn I Misalignment of Wide receiving la Commercial drive Inadequate sight Signal:	Day – north-south and eastbound ap left-turn lanes – east-west approach ane – west leg; conflicts between so eways and laneway close to interse distance due to nearby foliage and ohase – northbound and eastbound	es uthbound right-turn and north ction – north and west legs insufficient property setback -	bound left-turn	vehicles
Geometric: Lack of left-turn I Misalignment of Wide receiving la Commercial drive Inadequate sight Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-t	bay – north-south and eastbound ap left-turn lanes – east-west approach ane – west leg; conflicts between so eways and laneway close to interse distance due to nearby foliage and	es uthbound right-turn and north ction – north and west legs insufficient property setback - approaches uthbound approach	bound left-turn	vehicles
Geometric: Lack of left-turn l Misalignment of Wide receiving la Commercial drive Inadequate sight Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-t No countdown for	bay – north-south and eastbound ap left-turn lanes – east-west approach ane – west leg; conflicts between so eways and laneway close to interse distance due to nearby foliage and ohase – northbound and eastbound curn phase without left-turn bay – so	es uthbound right-turn and north ction – north and west legs insufficient property setback - approaches uthbound approach	bound left-turn	vehicles
Geometric: Lack of left-turn H Misalignment of Wide receiving la Commercial drive Inadequate sight Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-t No countdown fc Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pede schools); conflict Narrow letdown	bay – north-south and eastbound ap left-turn lanes – east-west approach ane – west leg; conflicts between so eways and laneway close to interse distance due to nearby foliage and ohase – northbound and eastbound curn phase without left-turn bay – so	tes uthbound right-turn and north ction – north and west legs insufficient property setback - approaches uthbound approach actions to/from retail stores and nearb	bound left-turn – east side con	vehicles ners
Geometric: Lack of left-turn I Misalignment of Wide receiving la Commercial drive Inadequate sight Signal: Lack of left-turn p Provision of left-t No countdown fc Vulnerable Road User: Substantial pede schools); conflict Narrow letdown	bay – north-south and eastbound ap left-turn lanes – east-west approach ane – west leg; conflicts between so eways and laneway close to interse distance due to nearby foliage and ohase – northbound and eastbound ourn phase without left-turn bay – so or pedestrian signal phases – all direct strian crossing activities – all legs (in between left/right-turn vehicles and – southeast corner waiting area – northwest corner	tes uthbound right-turn and north ction – north and west legs insufficient property setback - approaches uthbound approach actions to/from retail stores and nearb	bound left-turn – east side con	vehicles ners





City of Richmond

NO. 1 ROAD & STEVESTON HIGHWAY

Operational (Field Review):

- Significant lane changing/weaving activities all approaches; due to lack of left-turn bays and existence of lane drop
- On-street parking close to intersection west leg; no parking restriction with new development
- Future development nearby northwest quadrant (institutional); generate more traffic in the near future

Other:

- Missing pavement marking south leg (incomplete crosswalk)
- Insufficient street lighting northwest corner

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 15 to 25% of Total Collisions):

- Review and adjust signal timing to provide priority and/or dedicated pedestrian phase all approaches
- Repaint approach to one left-turn lane plus one shared through-right lane and align with opposite left-turn lane –
 eastbound approach
- Add overhead lane designated sign westbound approach
- Add on-street parking restriction zone close to intersection west leg
- Add additional Designated Right-turn sign upstream westbound approach
- Regularly trim foliage northeast corner

- Add left-turn bays with future redevelopments in the future north-south approaches
- Close driveways near intersection with future redevelopment north and west legs



	TION	COLLISION STATISTICS ((2015-2017)	
Site #:	19	Collision Frequency:	25.7 per year	(Total = 77)
ntersection Type:	4-Legged	Collision Severity Index:	5.32	(Casualty = 48%)
raffic Control Type:	Signalized - P/P LT for E-W	Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.:	2.14 / 3.37	[2013-2017]
I-S Street Classification:	Arterial	Collision with Pedestrian:	3	
E-W Street Classification:	Arterial	Collision with Cyclist:	1	
Surrounding Land Use:	Residential			
Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	32,700 Entering Vehicles	\$ ⁴⁰ 33		
	GLEBERT ROAD	se 40 33 Se 20 17 18 Se 20 16 9 2015 2016 Year	26 14 12 2017	Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
		Highest % Month:	May (14%)	
ST HUNDER	BLUNDELL ROAD	Highest % Day of Week:	Tuesday (27%	,
CE STREET	4 4 4	Highest % Time Period:	3 PM - 6 PM (
		Top 3 Collision Types:	Rear End (399	
			Left Turn (23%	
			Sideswipe (16	5%)
	v – oast west approaches: limited	l visibility of through traffic for	left turn driver	
 Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d 	y – east-west approaches; limited ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersec istance due to nearby foliage and	during off-peak periods – eac tion – north, south, and east i	st-west legs legs	
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersec	during off-peak periods – eac tion – north, south, and east i	st-west legs legs	
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersec istance due to nearby foliage and	during off-peak periods – east tion – <i>north, south, and east i</i> insufficient property setback	st-west legs legs	
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners Signal: Lack of left-turn ph 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersec	during off-peak periods – east tion – north, south, and east h insufficient property setback	st-west legs legs	
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners Signal: Lack of left-turn ph 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersec istance due to nearby foliage and ase with left-turn bay provided – <i>r</i>	during off-peak periods – east tion – north, south, and east h insufficient property setback	st-west legs legs	
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners Signal: Lack of left-turn ph Provision of left-turn Vulnerable Road User: Narrow letdown – I Small waiting area 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersect istance due to nearby foliage and ase with left-turn bay provided – r m phase without left-turn bay – ea	during off-peak periods – east tion – north, south, and east h insufficient property setback north-south approaches ast-west approaches	st-west legs legs – north side ar	
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners Signal: Lack of left-turn ph Provision of left-turn Vulnerable Road User: Narrow letdown – I Small waiting area 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersect istance due to nearby foliage and ase with left-turn bay provided – r in phase without left-turn bay – ea mortheast corner – northeast corner; pedestrians c	during off-peak periods – east tion – north, south, and east h insufficient property setback north-south approaches ast-west approaches	st-west legs legs – north side ar	
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners Signal: Lack of left-turn ph Provision of left-tur /ulnerable Road User: Narrow letdown – I Small waiting area No bicycle facilities Collision (Data Review): High collision seve High number of read 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersect istance due to nearby foliage and ase with left-turn bay provided – r in phase without left-turn bay – ea mortheast corner – northeast corner; pedestrians c	during off-peak periods – eas tion – north, south, and east f insufficient property setback north-south approaches st-west approaches	st-west legs legs – north side ar	nd southeast
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners Signal: Lack of left-turn ph Provision of left-tur /ulnerable Road User: Narrow letdown – I Small waiting area No bicycle facilities Collision (Data Review): High collision seve High number of reacollisions High number of left 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersect istance due to nearby foliage and ase with left-turn bay provided – <i>r</i> in phase without left-turn bay – ea mortheast corner – northeast corner; pedestrians c is provided – overall intersection	during off-peak periods – east tion – north, south, and east h insufficient property setback north-south approaches st-west approaches close to tight right-turn vehicle	st-west legs legs – north side ar s s	nd southeast
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners Signal: Lack of left-turn ph Provision of left-turn /ulnerable Road User: Narrow letdown – I Small waiting area No bicycle facilities Collision (Data Review): High collision seve High number of reacollisions High number of left collisions High number of left collisions 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersect istance due to nearby foliage and ase with left-turn bay provided – <i>r</i> in phase without left-turn bay – ea <i>northeast corner</i> – <i>northeast corner; pedestrians c</i> is provided – <i>overall intersection</i> rity index (over 5.00) ar-end collisions occurred on west t-turn opposing collisions occurred leswipe collisions reported for eas	during off-peak periods – east tion – north, south, and east h insufficient property setback north-south approaches st-west approaches blose to tight right-turn vehicle blound (11), followed by north d for westbound (7), followed stbound (42%) – 5 out of total	st-west legs legs – north side ar s s bound (6); out by southboun 12 collisions	nd southeast t of total 29 d (4) out of total 17
 Lack of left-turn ba Lane drop after inte Residential drivewa Inadequate sight d corners Signal: Lack of left-turn ph Provision of left-turn Vulnerable Road User: Narrow letdown – I Small waiting area No bicycle facilities Collision (Data Review): High collision seve High number of reacollisions High number of left collisions High number of side 9 right-angle collision directions 	ersection due to on-street parking ays and laneway close to intersect istance due to nearby foliage and ase with left-turn bay provided – <i>r</i> in phase without left-turn bay – ea <i>northeast corner</i> – <i>northeast corner; pedestrians c</i> is provided – <i>overall intersection</i> rity index (over 5.00) ar-end collisions occurred on west t-turn opposing collisions occurred	during off-peak periods – east tion – north, south, and east h insufficient property setback north-south approaches st-west approaches blose to tight right-turn vehicle blose to tight right-turn vehicle thound (11), followed by north d for westbound (7), followed stbound (42%) – 5 out of total ed due to vehicles running the	st-west legs legs – north side ar s s bound (6); out by southboun 12 collisions e red light on in	nd southeast t of total 29 d (4) out of total 17 n the east-west



City of Richmond

GILBERT ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Operational (Field Review):

- Significant lane changing/weaving activities east-west approaches (due to lack of left-turn bays); two-way leftturn lane is also available on the north leg
- High vehicle speed north-south legs; presence of red-light camera for westbound approach

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 10 to 20% of Total Collisions):

- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase north-south approaches
- · Check intergreen time to verify the possible contributing cause for high number of right-angle collisions overall
- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking close to intersection north, south, and east legs
- Regularly trim foliage north side and southeast corners

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) north-south approaches
- Add left-turn bays with future redevelopments in the future east-west approaches
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP north-south legs
- Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding



INTERSECTION INFORMA		COLLISION STATISTICS	(2015-2017)	
Site #:	20	Collision Frequency:	23.7 per year	(Total = 71)
Intersection Type:	4-Legged	Collision Severity Index:	5.44	(Casualty = 49%)
Traffic Control Type:	Signalized	Collision Rate OBS. / CRT.:	2.73 / 3.42	[2013-2017]
I-S Street Classification:	Arterial	Collision with Pedestrian:	0	101250100000000
-W Street Classification:	Arterial	Collision with Cyclist:	0	
Surrounding Land Use:	Inst. / Comm. / Resi.	-		
Daily Traffic Volume (2015):	25,100 Entering Vehicles	SE 40 30 SE 22 16 SE 20 10 SE 12 14 0 2015 2018 Year Year	19 10 9 2017	Total Property Damage Only Injury Fatal
	ELUNDELL ROAD	Highest % Month: Highest % Day of Week: Highest % Time Period: Top 3 Collision Types:	November (14 Wednesday (2 3 PM - 6 PM (3 Rear End (599 Sideswipe (16 Left Tum (10%	4%) 30%) %)
DENTIFIED OPERATIONA	L AND SAFETY ISSUES			
Merge lane after intervention of the second se	tical curves before/after intersection tersection – <i>east-west legs</i> ersection due to on-street parking utional, and residential driveways of istance due to nearby foliage and ase with left-turn bay provided – a shouttons – <i>all corners</i>	during off-peak periods – <i>noi</i> close to intersection – <i>south a</i> insufficient property setback	and west legs	rner
/ulnerable Road User:	CRATERIA DE MARINE DE MA			She She She C
	ith utility poles – <i>northwest quadra</i> s provided – <i>overall intersection</i>	ant		
Collision (Data Review):	同時間の調査のないです	國民的政治國際的大学	86346349	网络大学学校学校
 High number of reacollisions High proportion of High proportion of <i>collisions</i> 4 right-angle collisi <i>operating as four-v</i> A fatal collision rep 	rity index (over 5.00) ar-end collisions occurred on east sideswipe collisions reported for e left-turn opposing collisions occur ions occurred – 2 collisions occurr vay stop-controlled ported between an eastbound vehi at around weekday noon time on S	eastbound – 40%; 4 out of tota red for westbound left-turn mo red when there was a power o icle going through the interse	al 11 collisions ovement – 3 of outage and inte	ut of total 6 prsection was
Operational (Field Review):	NER RECEIPTION OF THE STATE	C CARPE	SN PROBA
	, volumes/queues during peak per	iods – northbound and east-v	vest approache	es





City of Richmond

NO. 5 ROAD & BLUNDELL ROAD

Other:

None

POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS

Short-Term (Potential Safety Benefit = 5 to 15% of Total Collisions):

- Conduct warrant analysis for adding left-turn phase east-west approaches
- Convert curb lane to right-turn only lane to avoid sideswipes east-west approaches
- Upgrade pedestrian pushbuttons to the latest standard all corners
- Review and relocate/remove on-street parking close to intersection east-west legs

- Add left-turn phase (if warranted) east-west approaches
- Consider widening Blundell at intersections from two to four lanes overall
- Review and relocate/remove commercial driveways close to intersection with future redevelopment southwest quadrant
- Review and widen letdown (if required) northeast corner
- Enhance police enforcements for vehicle speeding violations in coordination with RCMP north-south legs
- · Review traffic lane widths and curb return radii as a measure to reduce collisions involving speeding

Top 20 Intersections:	Summary of Proposed	Short-Term Improvements
- · F. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Inte	rsection	Pavement Markings & Barriers	Signage	Traffic Signals	Trim Foliage for Sightlines	Street Parking	Education / Study	Est. Total Cost	Est. Safety Benefit
1	Shell Rd- Alderbridge Way/Hwy 91	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings/ Repaint Merge Lines	Add Yield/ Merge/ Crosswalk Signs	Enlarge Lenses/ Upgrade Ped Buttons/ Warrant for LT Phase	SW Corner	-	-	\$41,600	20-30%
		\$13,700	\$3,400	\$23,000	\$1,500	-	-		
2	Garden City Rd-Sea Island Way	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings/ Add Merge Lines	Add Object Marker/ Crosswalk Signs	Warrant for LT Phase	SW Corner	-	-	\$6000	5-15%
		\$3,000	\$1,450	Staff Time	\$1,500	-	-		
3	No. 2 Rd- Westminster Hwy	Add Guide Lines/Add RT Markings	Add New Lane/RT Only Lane Signs	Enlarge Lenses/ Warrant for LT Phase	SW Corner/ South Side	-	Traffic Operations & Safety Review	\$54,600	20-30%
		\$1,300	\$800	\$23,000	\$4,500	-	\$25,000		
4	No. 4 Rd- Alderbridge Way	Repaint Merge Lines	Add Yield/ Crosswalk Signs	Enlarge Lenses/ Review Signal Progression/ Upgrade Ped Buttons/ Warrant for LT Phase	-	-	-	\$25,700	20-30%
		\$900	\$2,800	\$22,000		-	-		
5	No. 5 Rd- Westminster Hwy	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings/ Add Merge Lines	Add Yield/ RT Lane/ Crosswalk Signs	Enlarge Lenses			-	\$29,200	15-25%
		\$4,600	\$3,600	\$21,000	-	-	-		
6	No. 5 Rd- Cambie Rd	Replace Barriers	-	Enlarge Lenses/Review Dedicated Ped Phase	-	-	-	\$22,500	20-30%
		\$1,500		\$21,000		-	-		
7	No. 4 Rd- Westminster Hwy	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings	Add Bike Route Signs	Enlarge Lenses/ Upgrade Ped Buttons	-	-	-	\$51,000	15-25%
	-	\$26,600	\$1,400	\$23,000	-	-	-		
8	Garden City Rd-Cambie Rd	Add Guide Line	Add New Lane Sign	Enlarge Lenses/ Warrant for LT Phase	NW Corner	-	-	\$23,500	15-25%
		\$500	\$250	\$21,000	\$1,500	-	-		
9	Garden City Rd-Granville Ave	Add Guide Line	Add New Lane Sign	Enlarge Lenses	-	-	Feasibililty Study Traffic Control Changes	\$66,800	15-25%
		\$500	\$250	\$16,000	-	-	\$50,000	1	
10	No. 2 Rd- Blundell Rd	Replace Barriers	-	Review Signal Progression	-	Review Location on W Leg	-	\$5,000	5-15%
		\$5,000	-	Staff Time	-	Staff Time	-	1	

Attachment 3 Cont'd

Inte	rsection	Pavement Markings & Barriers	Signage	Traffic Signals	Trim Foliage for Sightlines	Street Parking	Education / Study	Est. Total Cost	Est. Safety Benefit
11	No. 3 Rd- Granville Ave	Upgrade Crosswalk Markings/ Add Guide Line	-	Enlarge Lenses/Review Dedicated Ped Phase	_	-	-	\$67,000	20-30%
		\$46,000	-	\$21,000	-	-	-	1	
12	No. 4 Rd- Blundell Rd	Repaint Lane Lines	-	-	-	Review Location on W Leg	-	\$1,200	10-20%
		\$1,200		-	-	Staff Time	-		
13	No. 4 Rd- Cambie Rd	-	Add Merge Sign	Add Tertiary Signal/ Enlarge Lenses/ Review Dedicated Ped Phase/ Warrant for LT Phase	NE Corner	-	Design to Add LT Bays	19000	15-25%
		-	\$400	\$17,000	\$1,500	-	Staff Time		
14	Shell Rd- Bridgeport Rd	-	-	-	SW Corner	Review Location on N Leg	-	\$1,500	5-15%
	Ru	-	-	-	\$1,500	Staff Time	-		
15	Minoru Blvd- Granville Ave	Add Bike Lane Lines	-	Enlarge Lenses/ Review Dedicated Ped Phase/ Review Signal Timing/ Warrant for LT Phase	-	-	-	\$31,000	15-25%
		\$10,000	-	\$21,000	-	-	-		
16	Garden City Rd-Blundell Rd	-	-	-	-	Review Location NB Approach	-	\$0	5-15%
		-	-	-	-	Staff Time	-	1	
17	No. 1 Rd- Francis Rd	Add Bike Lane Lines	-	Review Dedicated Ped Phase/ Warrant for LT Phase	-	Review Location on S, E, W Legs	Pedestrian Education Campaign	\$45,000	10-20%
_		\$45,000	-	-	-	Staff Time	Staff Time		
18	No. 1 Rd- Steveston	Repaint Lane Lines	Add RT/ Overhead Lane Signs	Review Dedicated Ped Phase	NE Corner	Restrict Parking on W Leg	-	\$3500	15-25%
	Hwy	\$600	\$950	-	\$1,500	Staff Time	-		
19	Gilbert Rd- Blundell Rd	-	-	Warrant for LT Phase	North Side/ SE Corner	Review Location on N, S, E Legs	-	\$4,500	10-20%
		-	-	-	\$4,500	Staff Time	-		
20	No. 5 Rd- Blundell Rd	Convert Curb Lane to RT Lane	-	Upgrade Ped Buttons/ Warrant for LT Phase	-	Review Location on E, W Legs	-	\$1,400	5-15%
		\$1,400	-	-	-	Staff Time	-		
	Total	\$161,800	\$15,300	\$229,000	\$18,000	\$0	\$75,000	\$499,100	

Top 20 Intersections by Location

Notes: RT = Right-Turn / LT = Left-Turn / N = North / S = South / W = West / E = East Estimated Safety Benefit = % of collisions that improvement would address based on collision history