## City of Richmond

## Report to Development Permit Panel

To: Development Permit Panel

Date: October 26, 2020
From: Wayne Craig
Director, Development
File: DP 19-867710

Re: Application by Matthew Cheng Architect Inc. for a Development Permit at 9020 Glenallan Gate, 9460, 9480 and 9500 Garden City Road

## Staff Recommendation

That a Development Permit be issued which would:

1. Permit the construction of 13 townhouse units at 9020 Glenallan Gate, 9460,9480 and 9500 Garden City Road on a site zoned "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)", and
2. Vary the provisions of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 to reduce the minimum exterior side yard setback to Garden City Road from 6.0 m to 4.5 m .


Wayne Craig
Director, Development
(604-247-4625)
WC:sds
Att. 4

## Staff Report

## Origin

Matthew Cheng Architect Inc., on behalf of the property owner 1110486 BC Ltd. (Director: Kan Tian), has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to develop 13 townhouse units at 9020 Glenallan Gate, 9460,9480 and 9500 Garden City Road on a site zoned "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)". One of the townhouse units is proposed to contain a secondary suite. The site currently contains four single-family dwellings that will be demolished at a future development stage.

The subject site is being rezoned from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" to "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" for this project under Bylaw 10047 (RZ 18-829032), which received third reading following the Public Hearing on July 15, 2019.

A Servicing Agreement (SA 19-878542) is required as a condition of rezoning adoption and includes, but is not limited to, the following improvements:

- New concrete sidewalk and grassed and treed boulevard along Garden City Road and Glenallan Gate frontages.
- New concrete landing pad at the existing northbound bus stop on Garden City Road, north of Glenallan Gate, for the installation of a bus shelter, bench, garbage/recycling receptacles, and conduit pre-ducting for electrical connections.


## Development Information

Please refer to attached Development Application Data Sheet (Attachment 1) for a comparison of the proposed development data with the relevant Bylaw requirements.

## Background

Development surrounding the subject site is as follows:

- To the north, across Glenallan Gate, single-family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)" fronting Garden City Road, identified for townhouse development as per the Arterial Road Land Use Policy.
- To the east, single-family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)" fronting Glenallan Drive.
- To the south, duplex and single-family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/C)" fronting Garden City Road, identified for townhouse development as per the Arterial Road Land Use Policy.
- To the west, across Garden City Road, single-family dwellings on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)" fronting Greenfield Drive.


## Rezoning and Public Hearing Results

The Public Hearing for the rezoning of this site was held on July 15, 2019. At the Public Hearing, the following concerns about rezoning the property were expressed by members of the public:

- Traffic impacts resulting from the proposed townhouse development.
- Safety of cyclists along Garden City Road.

Additional correspondence was received during the Development Permit application review process regarding the location of the driveway along Garden City Road (Attachment 2). Transportation staff reviewed the concerns and provided the following response:

- Driveway Location: the location of the driveway along Garden City Road is in accordance with the Arterial Road Land Use Policy, which states that access to townhouse development should be located along an arterial road and not a local road. Locating access along the arterial road reduces potential traffic impacts within the internal road network. The location of the driveway along Garden City Road was also considered through the rezoning application process and associated Public Hearing.
- Reducing Traffic Conflict: the subject site currently has three separate driveways on Garden City Road, which will be replaced by a single access. Consolidated access results in fewer traffic conflict points and improves overall traffic safety.
- Further Measures: secured through the rezoning application, the developer is also required to complete the following:
- Upgrade the existing northbound bus stop on Garden City Road at Glenallan Gate to accessible standards and provide a contribution towards the purchase and installation of a bus shelter.
- Provide a contribution towards the upgrade of the existing special crosswalk at the Garden City Road and Glenallan Gate intersection to enhanced lighting and accessible standards.
- Secure vehicle access for future development to the south, further consolidating the number of access points along Garden City Road.

Staff note there is an existing on-street bicycle lane and sidewalk on the east side of Garden City Road and a separated off-street bicycle lane and pedestrian path on the west side of Garden City Road.

## Staff Comments

The proposed scheme attached to this report has satisfactorily addressed the staff comments identified as part of the review of the subject Development Permit application. In addition, it complies with the intent of the applicable sections of the Official Community Plan (OCP) and is generally in compliance with the "Low Density Townhouses (RTL4)" zone except for the zoning variances noted below.

## Zoning Compliance/Variances (staff comments in bold italics)

The applicant requests to vary the provisions of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 to:

1) Reduce the minimum exterior side yard setback to Garden City Road from 6.0 m to 4.5 m .

- The proposed variance is consistent with the Arterial Road Guidelines for Townhouses in the OCP. In this context, the exterior side yard functions as a front yard along

Garden City Road. The Arterial Road Guidelines are supportive of reduced front yard setbacks, provided an appropriate interface with neighbouring properties is provided. The reduced setback along Garden City Road allows for an increased setback along the east property line, adjacent to existing single-family development.

- The proposed 6.0 m setback to both the ground and second floors of the rear units provides an improved rear yard interface with the existing single-family dwellings to the east and enhances solar access to the rear yards.
- The location of the existing curb along Garden City Road will not change as there is no road widening required. New concrete sidewalk and grassed boulevard will be provided between the existing curb and the property line. The distance between the proposed building face and the back of curb on Garden City Road would be approximately 8.6 m .
- The applicant has also provided an acoustical report indicating the proposal will meet CMHC interior noise standards as per the City's OCP, including the units fronting Garden City Road.
- The variance was identified at the rezoning stage and no concerns were identified.


## Advisory Design Panel Comments

The Advisory Design Panel (ADP) reviewed the proposal on August 5, 2020. A copy of the relevant excerpt from the Advisory Design Panel Minutes from August 5, 2020 is attached for reference (Attachment 3). The design response from the applicant has been included immediately following the specific Design Panel comments and is identified in 'bold italics'.

## Analysis

## Conditions of Adjacency

- The building height of the rear units is 8.8 m (two storeys) in response to the single-family adjacency to the east, consistent with the Arterial Road Land Use Policy. In addition, a 6.0 m setback is provided to both the ground and second floors of the rear units to provide an improved interface and increased sun exposure. Two duplex units and one single unit are provided along the rear to break up the massing.
- Existing single-family development to the south is identified for townhouse development in the Arterial Road Land Use Policy. The three storey townhouse units proposed along Garden City Road are reduced to two storeys within 7.5 m of the south property line and the corner of Glenallan Gate and Garden City Road to provide an adequate transition.
- The applicant has provided a shadow study to demonstrate how the proposed height and setbacks address potential shadowing over adjacent properties to the east and south.
- Windows have been located carefully to avoid adjacent overlook and privacy concerns. All units along Garden City Road include balconies facing the internal driveway. To address potential overlook concerns with the neighbouring property to the south, a privacy screen has been added to the balcony of the unit adjacent to the south property line (Unit \#11).
- No retaining walls are proposed as the site grade is proposed to meet the existing grade of the adjacent properties, providing a smooth transition between the subject site and the adjacent single-family development.
- Perimeter drainage will be provided as required through the Building Permit to ensure storm water is managed and addressed through the development and will not impact neighbouring properties.
- A statutory right-of-way (SRW) allowing access to/from the adjacent future development to the south through the subject site (over the entire driveway and internal drive aisle) has been secured at rezoning.


## Urban Design and Site Planning

- Vehicular access to the proposed development is to be from Garden City Road through a new driveway in the middle of the site, with future connections to the neighbouring properties to the south, secured by a SRW. The Landscape Plans include signage to inform future residents that the drive aisle may provide access to future development to the south.
- The layout of the townhouse buildings is oriented around a north-south internal maneuvering drive-aisle, providing access to the unit garages.
- Units along Garden City Road and Glenallan Gate will have direct pedestrian access from the street and the units along the rear will have access from the internal drive aisle.
- One of the units (Unit \#11) will contain a ground-level secondary suite (studio) of approximately $26.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(283 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ in area, which complies with the minimum Zoning Bylaw required size ( $25.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ), which was secured at rezoning. No additional parking space is required for the secondary suite as the unit has parking spaces that are provided in a side-byside arrangement, consistent with Zoning Bylaw requirements.
- All townhouse units will have two vehicle parking spaces in side-by-side arrangement.
- A total of three visitor parking spaces will be provided, including an accessible parking space. The number of parking spaces proposed is in compliance with the minimum Zoning Bylaw requirement.
- Both internal and external bicycle parking spaces have been incorporated into the proposal and are in compliance with Zoning Bylaw requirements.
- The shared outdoor amenity is located in a central location and the size exceeds OCP requirements.
- Cash-in-lieu of indoor amenity space was secured through rezoning $(\$ 20,800)$ consistent with OCP Policy.
- The proposal will utilize door-to-door pickup and has accommodated space for garbage and recycling containers within the individual garages and in front of the units without obstructing the drive-aisle. The City's Environmental Programs Department reviewed the arrangement and has no concerns.
- The mail kiosk is located in an accessible area and has been incorporated into the design of the buildings to minimize visual impact.


## Architectural Form and Character

- The proposed building form contains defined entry porches, large box windows, gable roofs and balconies to provide articulation to the building façade and establish a residential scale and rhythm.
- The street fronting units will have individual canopies with weather protection over the front entrances. A pedestrian scale is generally achieved along the public streets and internal drive-aisle through the inclusion of variation in building form, projections, recesses, materials/colours and landscape features.
- The main building materials include hardie panel and stucco, along with a simple colour scheme of black and white tones, consistent with a contemporary interpretation of the Tudor style.
- The combination of building form, materials and colours individualizes each unit and provides each building a distinct top, middle and base.
- The roof pitch is reduced between the front and rear units in response to the single-family adjacency and to provide an adequate transition.


## Landscape Design and Open Space Design

- Tree preservation was reviewed at rezoning stage where 14 on-site trees were identified for removal. Based on a $2: 1$ tree replacement ratio stated in the OCP, 28 replacement trees on-site are required. The applicant is proposing to plant 29 replacement trees as per the size requirements based on the City's Tree Protection Bylaw.
- Two on-site trees (tag\# 220 \& 224) and one City-owned tree (tag\# 435) located along the Garden City Road and Glenallan Gate frontages were identified for protection. The new sidewalk along Garden City Road and Glenallan Gate will meander in order to accommodate the protection of these trees. Two neighbouring trees (tag\# $318 \& 396$ ) and neighbouring hedge were also identified for protection. A contract with a Certified Arborist and a tree survival security of $\$ 19,570$ was secured through rezoning for the retention of these trees.
- The proposal provides a pedestrian-oriented streetscape fronting Garden City Road and Glenallan Gate with a landscaped edge treatment, including trees, lawn, patio areas, low transparent fencing, and a gate to each individual unit.
- Private outdoor space is provided for all units, typically with a patio, lawn area and landscaping. A variety of trees, shrubs and ground covers have been selected to ensure the landscape treatment remains interesting throughout the year.
- Pockets of landscaping will be provided along the drive-aisle between entry doors/garages to soften the internal streetscape. Pedestrian access, tree planting, a variety of shrubs, and a trellis will be provided at the north end of the internal drive-aisle to provide visual interest at the terminus along Glenallan Gate.
- An irrigation system will be provided for the proposed landscaping on-site.
- A children's play area is proposed in the shared outdoor amenity area, and play equipment has been chosen to provide different play opportunities (i.e., climbing/jumping, sliding, social, imagination, balance, motor skills) that can be used by different age groups and for multiple purposes. Seating is provided nearby for caregivers.
- The vehicle entrance, pedestrian pathway within the drive aisle, and surface parking spaces will be treated with permeable pavers for better water infiltration and variety in paving surfaces.
- In order to ensure that the proposed landscaping works are completed, the applicant is required to provide a landscape security in the amount of $\$ 201,027.68$ in association with the Development Permit.


## Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design

- Windows and balconies are located to increase the visual presence and surveillance along Garden City Road and Glenallan Gate, the amenity area, as well as the internal drive aisle. All entrances are visible and overlooked by pedestrians or neighbour's windows.
- Low permeable fencing and low landscaping are provided to maximize clear unobstructed views and casual surveillance opportunities.
- Landscaping and fencing is provided along the street edge to distinguish the public realm and private space.


## Sustainability

- The applicant has confirmed that the proposed development will meet or exceed Step 3 of the BC Energy Step Code for Part 9 Buildings.
- An air source heat pump system will be used for this development. The units are screened by a low transparent fence, consistent with the design of the overall development. The applicant has provided an acoustical report indicating the proposed units will comply with the City's Noise Bylaw.
- Level 2 EV charging is provided in each garage consistent with Zoning Bylaw requirements.
- The architect advised that the following design/features are incorporated into the proposal:
- Energy efficient windows to alleviate heating and cooling energy consumption.
- The use of low-flow water fixtures, low-energy lighting and high energy efficiency appliances.
- Durable and long-lasting materials that can reduce building maintenance and reduce load on Municipal sewers.
- Permeable ground cover and planting to absorb rainwater runoff.
- Interior paint with low VOC content.


## Accessible Housing

- The proposed development includes two convertible units that are designed with the potential to be easily renovated to accommodate a future resident in a wheelchair. The potential conversion of these units will require installation of a chair lift in the staircase of Units 5 and 11.
- All of the proposed units incorporate aging in place features to accommodate mobility constraints associated with aging. These features include:
- Stairwell hand rails.
- Lever-type handles for plumbing fixtures and door handles.
- Solid blocking in washroom walls to facilitate future grab bar installation beside toilets, bathtubs and showers.


## Conclusions

As the proposed development would meet applicable policies and Development Permit Guidelines, staff recommend that the Development Permit be endorsed, and issuance by Council be recommended.

The list of Development Permit Considerations is included in Attachment 4, which has been agreed to by the applicant (signed concurrence on file).


Steven De Sousa
Planner 1
(604-204-8529)
SDS:blg
Attachments:
Attachment 1: Development Application Data Sheet
Attachment 2: Public Correspondence
Attachment 3: Excerpt from the Meeting Minutes of the ADP (August 5, 2020)
Attachment 4: Development Permit Considerations

## City of Richmond

## Development Application Data Sheet

## DP 19-867710

Attachment 1
Address: 9020 Glenallan Gate, 9460, 9480 and 9500 Garden City Road
Applicant: Matthew Cheng Architect Inc._ Owner: 1110486 BC Ltd. (Director: Kan Tian)
Planning Area(s): Broadmoor

Floor Area
Net: $\quad 1,667.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(17,948 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Site Area: | $2,787.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(30,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $2,779.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(29,914 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ <br> Corner cut: $8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(86 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ |
| Land Uses: | Single-family residential | Multiple-family residential |
| OCP Designation: | Neighbourhood Residential (NRES) | No change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Low Density Townhouses (RTL4) |
| Number of Units: | 4 | 13 |


|  | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 0.6 | 0.6 | None <br> permitted |
| Lot Coverage - Buildings: | Max. $40 \%$ | $37 \%$ | None |
| Lot Coverage - Non- <br> Porous: | Max. $65 \%$ | $56 \%$ | None |
| Lot Coverage - Live <br> Landscaping: | Max. $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | None |
| Setback - Front Yard <br> (North): | Min. 6.0 m | 6.0 m | None |
| Setback - Exterior Side <br> Yard (West): | Min. 6.0 m | 4.5 m | Variance <br> requested |
| Setback - Interior Side <br> Yard (East): | Min. 3.0 m | 6.0 m | None |
| Setback - Rear Yard <br> (South): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | None |
| Height: | Max. $12.0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{(3} \mathrm{storeys)}$ | Front buildings: $11.7 \mathrm{~m}(3$ storeys $)$ <br> Rear buildings: $8.8 \mathrm{~m}(2$ storeys) | None |
| Lot Width: | Min. 35.0 m | 76.2 m | None |
| Lot Depth: | N/A | 36.6 m | None |
| Lot Size: | $2,779.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | None |  |
| Off-street Parking Spaces <br> Regular (R)/Visitor (V): | Min. 2 (R) and $0.2(\mathrm{~V})$ per unit | 2 (R) and $0.2(\mathrm{~V})$ per unit | None |


| Off-street Parking Spaces <br> -Accessible: | Min. $2 \%=1$ | 1 | None |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total off-street Spaces: | Min. 29 | 29 | None |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Permitted - Maximum of 50\% <br> of required spaces | 0 | None |
| Small Car Spaces: | None when fewer than 31 <br> spaces provided on-site | 0 | None |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - <br> Class 1: | Min. 1.25 per unit | 1.6 per unit | None |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - <br> Class 2: | Min. 0.2 per unit | 0.3 per unit | None |
| Total Bicycle Parking <br> Spaces: | Min. 17 (Class 1) and 3 <br> (Class 2) | 21 (Class 1) and 4 (Class 2) | None |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or cash-in-lieu | Cash-in-lieu | None |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | Min. $6.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit $=78 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $108 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | None |

From: De Sousa,Steven
Sent: November 12, 2019 10:57 AM
To: 'Yvonne.Bell@hssbc.ca' [Yvonne.Bell@hssbc.ca](mailto:Yvonne.Bell@hssbc.ca)
Subject: RE: Development Permit Application \# 19-867710

Hi Yvonne,

This is to acknowledge and thank you for your comments. Please be advised that your correspondence will be attached and referenced in the staff report for the subject development permit application, for consideration by the Development Permit Panel and Council. Please see below for a response from the City's Transportation Department regarding your concerns:

Driveway location - The decision to place the driveway to the subject site on Garden City Road is in accordance with the Arterial Road Policy (Section 6.1) which states that access to townhouse developments located along an arterial road should not be from a local road.

Reducing Traffic conflict - The subject development currently has three separate driveways on Garden City Road. These driveways will be replaced by a single access. The consolidated access would result in fewer traffic conflict points and improve overall traffic safety.

Reducing trip making by car - The developer is also required to contribute towards the implementation of the following measures to encourage transit usage.

- Upgrade an existing nearby bus stop to accessible standards and contribute towards the purchase and installation of a bus shelter.
- Upgrade the existing special crosswalk at the Garden City Road/Glenallan Gate intersection to enhanced lighting and accessible standards.

If you have any further questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Regards,

## Steven De Sousa

Planner 1, Policy Planning Department
City of Richmond / T: 604-204-8529

From: Bell, Yvonne [PHSA] [mailto:Yvonne.Bell@hssbc.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, 6 November 2019 05:21 PM
To: DevApps
Subject: Development Permit Application \# 19-867710
I am writing in regards to DPA \# 19-867710 - to develop 9020 Glenallan Gate, 9460, 9480 and 9500 Garden City Road in order to construct 13 two and three storey townhouse units. In the initial application, it stated for the entrance for these 13 townhouses to be on Garden City Road. Can you tell me if the plan for this development is to still enter from Garden City Road or has it been changed to enter from Glenallan Gate since Garden City Road happens to be one of only two or three dedicated bike routes/paths running north/south in Richmond? I use this bike route every day to commute to work, church, entertainment and the grocery store and I am very concerned about the increase in cars with another major driveway along Garden City Road turning right and left in front of me and my bike. Entering from Glenallan Gate cars could come in from Frances or 4 Road and avoid Garden City altogether.

# Excerpt from the Minutes of the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) Meeting 

Wednesday, August 5, 2020-4:00 p.m. Remote (Webex) Meeting

# DP 19-867710 - 13-UNIT ARTERIAL ROAD TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT <br> ARCHITECT: <br> Matthew Cheng Architect Inc. 

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: PMG Landscape Architects Ltd.
PROPERTY LOCATION: 9020 Glenallan Gate, 9460,9480 , and 9500 Garden City Road

## Applicant's Presentation

Architect Matthew Cheng, Mathew Cheng Architect Inc., and Landscape Architect Yiwen Ruan, PMG Landscape Architects, presented the project and answered queries from the Panel.

## Panel Discussion

## Comments from Panel members were as follows:

- appreciate the provision of two convertible units in the project; consider installing pocket doors for all washrooms, closets and powder rooms in the convertible units;

Pocket doors for washroom, closets and powder room on accessible floor levels in the convertible units have been added.

- consider providing a space for future installation of a vertical lift in the convertible units as a chair lift does not work well for a resident using a walker or in a wheelchair considering that a wheelchair/walker is required for each floor level; also, a chair lift will not enhance the safety and independence of a resident with mobility issues;
We do not have the space for providing vertical lifts however we have provided "Inclined Platform Lift" could accommodate resident using wheelchair / walker.
- note that shadow studies and other site context information showing the project's impact on adjacent single-family homes are not provided by the applicant;

Shadow studies with context has been provided.

- appreciate the articulation on the roofscape as it provides an appropriate interface with adjacent single-family homes;

Noted.

- consider swapping the locations of the shared outdoor amenity area and the accessible parking stall due to the potential increase in traffic on the shared driveway and drive aisle when the adjacent property to the south redevelops in the future; the move will enhance the safety of children in the outdoor amenity area;

One ADP panel member wanted to keep the current location while another member was suggesting moving the location. We have decided to keep it as proposed as it's the most central to all users on site and for CPTED reason of good surveillance.

- support the proposed modern colour scheme for the project; however, does not support the natural wood tone colour for the condenser unit screen as it is not consistent with the project's colour scheme; concerned about the location of the condenser unit at the middle of the window; consider moving the condenser unit away from the window;


## Color changed for mechanical screening to match building color.

- consider installing a buffer for the mailbox pick-up area to enhance the safety of residents and mail delivery personnel;
A canopy above mailbox area has been provided for weather protection and a bench has been designed in front of the mailbox area to enhance the safety of the residents and mail delivery personnel.
- appreciate the provision of a lock-off unit in the project and side-by-side parking spaces for all units;
Noted.
- appreciate the pitched roofs for the front units along Garden City Road; like the slope of the pitched roofs; however, does not support the low-pitched roofs for the rear two-storey units which look like in-between a pitched roof and a flat roof;

The pitches for rear two story units are 6 in 12 which should be considered as medium pitch. It will give a gradual transition from the high pitch (12 in 12) of the front units to the low-pitch (4 in 12) of the single families adjacent to our property. Another ADP member supported this lower pitch (see below).

- appreciate the stepping down of the three-storey buildings to $21 / 2$ storeys at the end units adjacent to the side yard; however, the cut through at the Garden City block appears arched; the smaller scale electrical room looks like an add-on; consider redesigning the cut through to make it less harsh;

Main roofs have been chamfered to bring apparent height lower beside entry drive aisle, fascia board added at second floor line to break-up stucco on south elevation of building $A$ canopy has been added above mail box kiosks.

- appreciate the transition from three storeys for the front buildings to two storeys for the rear buildings, which fits into the project's single-family neighbourhood context;


## Noted.

- the use of a limited materials palette and simple colour scheme for the building exterior is successful as demonstrated on the elevations in the package provided by the applicant; however, the articulation of the building elements is lost on the renderings presented by the applicant; the renderings show that the elevations appear predominantly white with a dark grey accent and looks like only two kinds of materials are used; hope that the proposed articulation for the building exterior will be carried through the built form when the project is done;
Yes, although there are only two major colours being used in the project, it lends itself to a simple elegance that will be lost by the introduction of further colors. There is articulation among the off-white elements through the use of smooth acrylic stucco and painted cementitious panel with painted metal reveals. This will be a contemporary play off of a traditional Tudor design. Other ADP panel members such as the comment below supported the existing design, as do we.
- interesting project and architecture;


## Noted.

- appreciate the project; looking forward to see the neighbouring block redevelop as well;


## Noted.

- the project appears to be on the right track in achieving Energy Step Code 3 in terms of its performance targets as indicated in the sustainability report; appreciate the good features indicated under those measures;


## Noted.

- note that there are no specific green house gas emission reduction targets for the City's Step Code 3 requirement; the proposed electric domestic hot water tanks are environmentally sensible; however, the energy cost would be a lot higher than natural gas water heaters; investigate opportunities to install natural gas water heaters considering that a natural gas high efficiency condensing gas furnace is being proposed for the project;

We are transitioning to hot water on demand heaters for the comfort and efficiency of the future homeowners at a cost to the developer.

- appreciate the applicant's detailed presentation; packages provided by the applicant are clear and easy to follow:
Noted.
- appreciate the clear hierarchy of public and semi-private spaces in the project and the landscape treatment along the Garden City Road frontage;
Noted.
- consider relocating the bicycle racks closer to the entry of the subject development;

Bike racks have relocated east of mailbox kiosks along the south face of building $A$.

- the clearance for the bicycle parking appears tight on the plan;

All bicycle parking spaces fulfill City bylaw requirements.

- support the proposed location of the shared outdoor amenity area from a CPTED perspective and the choice of children's play equipment;

Noted.

- appreciate the retention of some existing trees on-site; consider utilizing the existing trees to provide additional public amenities along Glenallan Gate and on the southwest corner of the subject site;


## Noted.

- review the proposed location of the water meter as it does not enhance the streetscape along Glenallan Gate;

The water meter needs to be accessible from the street and right along City property, so this is a far superior location than along Garden City Road. Landscaping is provided around this meter.

- overall, the proposal is clear;

Noted.

- the project is well proportioned due to the different roof forms; however, does not support the use of hardie as the details and reveal patterns appear flat and disappear as shown in the renderings; the use of reveal panels does not fit with the Tudor architecture that the project is trying to achieve; also consider a colour differentiation for certain areas on the building face;

As per comment above, we feel the simple elegance of the design will be lost by introducing another colour and the finished product will have further articulation than the renderings show.

- the use of hardie and aluminum materials at the entry archways could diminish the quality of Tudor architecture at the detail level;
The Hardie / cementitious elements painted in dark charcoal will look almost identical to wood, but will be far more resilient over time. The simple aluminum 'railings' are meant to be a contemporary take on classic wrought-iron railing to match the contemporary take on a Tudor design that we are proposing.
- support the proposed modern colour scheme which uses white and dark colour contrasts; however, consider using an additional colour to allow the project to achieve differentiation on some elevations.

We feel that the simple elegance of the colors chosen with the architectural style and materials will suffer from introducing an additional color. We are differentiating units from one another through clearly defined entry porches and and large box out windows and gables.
Panel Decision
It was moved and seconded
That DP 19-867710 be supported to move forward to the Development Permit Panel subject to the applicant giving consideration to the comments of the Advisory Design Panel.

Address: 9020 Glenallan Gate, 9460,9480 \& 9500 Garden City Road
File No.: DP 19-867710

## Prior to forwarding the application to Council for approval, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Final Adoption of Zoning Amendment Bylaw 10047.
2. Receipt of a Letter-of-Credit for landscaping in the amount of $\$ 201,027.68$. The letter-of-credit will not be returned until the Letter of Assurance, confirming the landscaping is installed as per the Development Permit, prepared by the Landscape Architect, is reviewed by staff.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site. Tree protection fencing must be installed to City standard in accordance with the City's Tree Protection Information Bulletin TREE-03, and must remain in place until construction and landscaping on-site is completed.
2. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
3. Incorporation of energy efficiency, CPTED, sustainability and accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
4. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges, plus applicable interest associated with eligible latecomer works.
5. If applicable, obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

## Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.
The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
$\qquad$
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contain prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.

No. DP 19-867710

To the Holder: MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC.<br>Property Address: 9020 GLENALLAN GATE, 9460, 9480 AND 9500 GARDEN CITY ROAD<br>Address: C/O 202-670 EVANS AVENUE VANCOUVER, BC V6A 2K9

1. This Development Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the City applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Development Permit applies to and only to those lands shown cross-hatched on the attached Schedule "A" and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
3. The "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 " is hereby varied to reduce the minimum exterior side yard setback to Garden City Road from 6.0 m to 4.5 m
4. Subject to Section 692 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C.: buildings and structures; off-street parking and loading facilities; roads and parking areas; and landscaping and screening shall be constructed generally in accordance with Plans \#1 to \#3 attached hereto.
5. Sanitary sewers, water, drainage, highways, street lighting, underground wiring, and sidewalks, shall be provided as required.
6. As a condition of the issuance of this Permit, the City is holding the security in the amount of $\$ 201,027.68$ to ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Permit. Should any interest be earned upon the security, it shall accrue to the Holder if the security is returned. The condition of the posting of the security is that should the Holder fail to carry out the development hereby authorized, according to the terms and conditions of this Permit within the time provided, the City may use the security to carry out the work by its servants, agents or contractors, and any surplus shall be paid over to the Holder. Should the Holder carry out the development permitted by this permit within the time set out herein, the security shall be returned to the Holder. The City may retain the security for up to one year after inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that plant material has survived.
7. If the Holder does not commence the construction permitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse and the security shall be returned in full.

# Development Permit 

No. DP 19-867710

| To the Holder: | MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | 9020 GLENALLAN GATE, 9460, 9480 <br>  <br> AND 9500 GARDEN CITY ROAD |
| Address: | C/O 202 - 670 EVANS AVENUE <br> VANCOUVER, BC V6A 2K9 |

8. The land described herein shall be developed generally in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.
This Permit is not a Building Permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION NO.
ISSUED BY THE COUNCIL THE DAY OF

## DELIVERED THIS DAY OF

MAYOR

## City of Richmond



|  |  | Original Date: 07/25/19 <br> Revision Date: <br> Note: Dimensions are in METRES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Existing | PROPOSED: | VARIANCE: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SITE AREA: | 2787.095M (29999.275F) | 2779.095M (29913.165F) |  |
| LAND USES: | SINGLE-FAMMLY DWELLING | TOWNHOUSE |  |
| OCP DESIGNATION: | RESIDENTIAL | NO CHANGE |  |
| ZONING: | RS1/E | RTL4 |  |
| NUMBER OF UNITS: | 4 | 13 |  |
|  | ALI OWFD/REQUREMENTS: | Proposed. |  |
| FLOOR ARFA RATO: (NET) | 0.600 (17947.90 SF) | $0.600(17944.37 \mathrm{SF})$ |  |
| LOT COVERAGE: | 0.450 ( 13460.92 SF ) | 0.382 (11430.34 SF) |  |
| SETEACK-FRONT (NORTH) | $6.0 \mathrm{~m}\left(19.68^{\prime}\right)$ | 6.000 m ( $19.68^{\prime}$ ) |  |
| SETBACK-SIDE (WEST) | 6.0 m (19.68) | 4.500 m ( 14.76 ) | VARIANCE REQUIRED |
| SETEACK-REAR (SOUTH) | 3.0 m (9.84') | 3.194 m ( $10.48^{\prime}$ ) |  |
| SETBACK-SIDE (EAST) | 3.0 m ( 9.84 ) | 6.000 m ( $19.688^{\prime}$ ) |  |
| HEIGHT: (m) | $12.000 \mathrm{~m}\left(39.40^{\circ}\right)$ | 11.693 m ( $38.36{ }^{\text {' }}$ ) |  |
| LOT SIIEE: | 2787.095M (29999.275F) | 2779.095 M (29913.16SF) |  |
| OFF-STREET PARIING | 26 AND 3 | 25 AND 3 |  |
| PESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL: | 26 ano 3 | 26 ano |  |
| OFF-STREET PARKING ACCESSIBLE: | 1 | 1 |  |
| OFF-STREET PARKING TOTAL | 29 | 29 |  |
| TAMIDEM PARKING SPACES: | NONE | NONE |  |
| BiCYCLE PARKING | CLASS 1: 16.25 | 21 (16 + 5 VERTICAL) |  |
|  | CLASS 2: 2.6 | 4 (2 RACKS) |  |
| INDOOR AMENITY SPACE: | CASH-IN-LIEU | CASH-IN-LIEJ |  |
| OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE: | 785M (839.56SF) | 108.53SM (1168.13SF) |  |
| LOT COVERAGE: | 40\% | 36.86\%(11027.72 SF) |  |
| PERMEAELE AREA: |  | 44\% |  |
| LIVE LANDSCAPE: |  | 25.3\% |  |






## Convertial unt guielines:



GROUND FLOOR
UNIT \#5 CONVERTABLE UNIT


 ヨ'L\# ueld
0LLL98-6





-Mallways 900 мм motr.





windows

- und. window that can be openeo with a single hand (gathroom, kitchen, lunng rocm)

GROUND FLOOR
SECOND FLOOR
UNIT \#11 CONVERTABLE UNIT























