## Report to Development Permit Panel

To: Development Permit Panel
From: Wayne Craig
Director, Development

Date: December 21, 2021
File: DP 20-910008

Re: Application by 1058085 BC Ltd. for a Development Permit at 10431 No. 5 Road

## Staff Recommendation

That a Development Permit be issued which would permit the construction of two duplexes at 10431 No. 5 Road on a site zoned "Arterial Road Compact Two-Unit Dwellings (RCD)".


Wayne Craig
Director, Development
(604-247-4625)
WC:na
Att. 3

## Staff Report

## Origin

1058085 BC Ltd. (Sajid Hassan) has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to develop two duplexes on a subdivided lot with each lot being provided with driveway access via the rear lane at 10431 No. 5 Road. The site is being rezoned from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" to "Arterial Road Compact Two-Unit Dwellings (RCD)" under Bylaw 10195 (RZ 18-829789), which received third reading at the Public Hearing on September 8,2020 . The single-family dwelling is currently owner occupied and the house does not contain a secondary suite. Demolition of the single-family house is expected once rezoning approval is granted.

A Servicing Agreement is required prior to subdivision approval, and includes, but is not limited to, the following improvements:

- Laneway improvements, including landscaping adjacent to the driveways, and a new concrete sidewalk and landscaped boulevard along the No. 5 Road frontage with closure of existing front access driveway.


## Development Information

Please refer to attached Development Application Data Sheet (Attachment 1) for a comparison of the proposed development data with the relevant bylaw requirements.

## Background

Development surrounding the subject site is as follows:
To the north: A single-family dwelling on property zoned "Single Detached (RS1/B)" and designated in the Arterial Road Land Use Policy for Arterial Road Compact Lot Duplex.

To the east: Across No. 5 Road, property zoned "Agriculture (AG1)".
To the south: A single-family dwelling on property zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)" and designated in the Arterial Road Land Use Policy for Arterial Road Townhouse.

To the west: Across the lane, single-family dwellings on property zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)".

## Rezoning and Public Hearing Results

During the rezoning process, staff identified the following design issues to be resolved at the Development Permit stage:

- Compliance with Development Permit Guidelines for duplex projects in the 2041 Official Community Plan (OCP).
- Review of the architectural character, scale and massing to ensure that the proposed duplexes are well designed and fit well into the neighbourhood.
- Review aging-in-place features in all units and the provision of a convertible unit on each lot.
- Refinement of the proposed site grading to ensure survival of the protected tree, and to provide appropriate transition between the proposed development and adjacent existing developments.
- Refinement of landscape design, including the location and type of fence along the front property line within the Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW), the provision of a holding area for garbage/recycling material collection, and the size and species of on-site replacement trees to achieve an acceptable mix of conifer and deciduous trees on-site.

The Public Hearing for the rezoning of this site was held on September 8, 2020. At the Public Hearing, no concerns about rezoning the property were expressed.

Staff worked with the applicant to address the Development Permit Application requirements noted above in the following ways:

- Further refinement of the design with colour and material schemes included to address detailed information required and Advisory Design Panel (ADP).
- The drawing package includes streetscape elevations showing the height of the proposed duplexes relative to adjacent dwellings. The maximum building height is 9.0 m or two storeys, which is equivalent to the maximum building height contained in single-family zones, including the "Single detached (RS1/E)".
- A shadow study plan is included in Attachment 2 to demonstrate that the duplexes would have similar shading impact as a single family dwelling.
- Each of the proposed duplexes include a three-bedroom unit, with unit sizes ranging between $114 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(1,227 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ and $120 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(1,292 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$.
- The overall architectural character is generally the same as what was proposed at rezoning stage although changes have been made to break up the horizontal expression of the buildings, including the addition of contrasting white trim. A second colour palette has been added to provide distinct visual identity for each building.
- Balcony spaces facing inward towards the internal shared pathway between the two duplexes have been located to reduce overlook to both the adjacent single-family homes to the north and south.
- A preliminary sketch plan of the space between City lane and private property to be included as part of the Development Permit staff report with further refinement through Servicing Agreement.
- Additional details on the architectural character, aging-in-place features, and landscape design are provided in the Analysis section of this report.


## Staff Comments

The proposed scheme attached to this report has satisfactorily addressed the significant urban design issues and other staff comments identified as part of the review of the subject Development Permit application. In addition, it complies with the intent of the applicable sections of the Official Community Plan (OCP) and is generally in compliance with the "Arterial Road Compact Two-Unit Dwellings ( RCD )" zone.

## Advisory Design Panel Comments

On June 9, 2021, the Advisory Design Panel supported the subject Development Permit application moving forward to the Development Permit Panel subject to the applicant giving consideration to the Panel's comments. A copy of the relevant excerpt from the Advisory Design Panel Minutes is attached for reference (Attachment 3). The design response from the applicant has been included immediately following the specific Design Panel comments and is identified in 'bold italics'.

## Analysis

## Conditions of Adjacency

- The north side yard of the proposed development abuts the side yard of a single-family dwelling. A combination of stepping stones and gravel bed for side yard access are proposed for the majority of this interface with sections of hedging near private outdoor space. The existing fence along the property line is to remain and the site grade will meet adjacent neighbouring grade.
- The south side yard of the proposed development abuts the side yard of a single-family dwelling. Similar to the north side yard, a combination of stepping stones and gravel bed for side yard access are proposed for the majority of this interface with sections of hedging near private outdoor space. The existing fence along the property line is to remain and the site grade will meet adjacent neighbouring grade.
- The height of the buildings and the placement of upper storey windows has been designed to avoid overlook on adjacent existing properties.
- The front yards include a 4 m landscape buffer. The landscape buffer is intended to provide a buffer between the residential uses on the subject site and any agricultural uses on the east side of No. 5 Road within the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).
- The rear yard abuts the laneway that runs north-south along the section of the neighbourhood with the exception of additional undesignated laneway area in front of the rear property line. Staff have worked with the developer to maximize the amount of landscaping within the laneway abutting the subject site. The final design and construction of these works will be completed through a Servicing Agreement required at the time of subdivision.


## Urban Design and Site Planning

- The proposed development involves subdivision of the lot to create two properties, each of which would contain one front-to-back duplex.
- Vehicular access to each duplex lot is from the rear laneway.
- A 1.5 m wide shared pathway along the shared property line provides access to each unit. A statutory right of-way (SRW) agreement establishing this shared pedestrian pathway access arrangement is required as part of this application.
- Each unit has access to a single car garage with tandem arrangement for a second vehicle. All resident spaces are provided with Level 2 EV charging as per Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500.
- A garbage and recycling enclosure for each unit is provided near the garages.


## Architectural Form and Character

- The proposed development contributes to the low-rise residential character of the neighbourhood by using simple massing, pitched roofs, and craftsman-inspired details. The design includes articulation on each elevation, and the two buildings have varied massing to avoid being mirror images.
- Second storey balconies are included facing the internal shared pedestrian pathway to add visual interest and animate the space.
- The proposed cladding materials include hardie board siding, asphalt shingles, and white trim. The material palette is consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) guidelines and complements the surrounding neighbourhood character.
- The colour palette is simple, in keeping with the proposed materials and massing. Each duplex utilizes contrasting tones of brown to help distinguish the units. Both duplexes use white, beige, dark brown, light brown, fiery brown, and a slate tile blue. Vertical white trim is used strategically on the longer building facades to break up the horizontal expression.


## Landscape Design and Open Space Design

- One on-site palm tree (tag \#3) is proposed to be retained in the front yard of proposed Lot 2. Tree retention was secured through the rezoning, and a Tree Management Plan is included in the Development Permit on Plan 11. A bridge pathway will be included as part of maintaining the central pathway connection but also avoiding any impact on the critical root zone, and site grade will be maintained. Installation of tree protection fencing is required prior to demolition of the existing dwelling, and arborist supervision is required for all works within the tree protection area.
- Two on-site trees and two City trees in poor condition were approved for removal through the rezoning. Eight new trees are proposed and include a mix of coniferous and deciduous species. A $\$ 1,950.00$ contribution to the City's Tree Compensation Fund in lieu of the City trees at the rear being replaced was secured through the rezoning.
- Planting within the 4.0 m wide ALR buffer is a mix of Pacific Dogwood deciduous trees, Dogwood shrubs, ferns, broadleaf Evergreen shrubs, Black Pine coniferous trees and Juniper and Huckleberry shrubs to improve dense layering and mitigation of noise pollution and farm odours.
- The proposed overall landscaping is consistent with the ALR buffer to ensure private spaces and shared spaces are well defined with mix of deciduous and coniferous trees (Vine Maple, Pacific Dogwood, Honey Locust, and Black Pine) and shrubs (Juniper, Dwarf Mugo Pine, Hick's Yew, Dwarf Strawberry Tree, Golden Euonymus, Salal, Rhododendron, Huckleberry, Dogwood, and Currant) both at the front and rear of the property.
- Exterior lighting is proposed as building mounted and downward focused with placement of dimmable LED lighting next to each unit entry and along the shared pathway to avoid light pollution.
- The proposal includes private outdoor space for each unit consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) requirements. The outdoor space for each unit includes both soft and hard landscape elements.
- The on-site drive aisle access via the rear lane is paved with a combination of permeable pavers and concrete. Permeable pavers are used to help improve and manage storm water runoff.
- A planted area is provided between the drive aisles, in the private outdoor spaces, along the shared pathway down the east-west corridor, and in the front yards on both lots.
- Landscaping is provided around each private outdoor space to ensure adequate screening is maintained for noise reduction and definition of space.
- Irrigation is provided for all planted areas on-site.
- To ensure that the proposed landscaping works are completed, the applicant is required to provide a Landscape Security in the amount of $\$ 28,902.74$ prior to issuance of the Development Permit.


## Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design

- Fencing is not included along the front yard property line to ensure landscaping included fully utilizes the agricultural landscape 4 m wide buffer required. Private and communal space is distinguished however through landscaping and coloured permeable pavers.
- Six foot high Cedar fencing along the side yard is incorporated and Cedar hedges are proposed around the perimeter of the site with specific concentration of hedging near rear private outdoor spaces.
- Each of the rear units has a covered entry, which provides weather protection and clearly defines the unit entrance.


## Sustainability

- The proposed development is required to achieve Step 3 of the BC Energy Step Code and the applicant has indicated that they intend to achieve Step 3. The proposed measures to achieve this target include improved air tightness, dimmable downward facing LED lighting, and a combined condensing boiler system for all units.


## Accessible Housing

- The proposed development includes two convertible units that are designed with the potential to be easily renovated to accommodate a future resident in a wheelchair (Unit 2 and unit 4). The potential conversion of these units will require installation of a stairway lift. These units would include ramps or level entryway access for wheelchair accessibility
- All of the proposed units incorporate aging-in-place features to accommodate mobility constraints associated with aging. These features include:
- Stairwell hand rails.
- Lever-type handles for plumbing fixtures and door handles.
- Solid blocking in washroom walls to facilitate future grab bar installation beside toilets, bathtubs and showers.
- Space for installation of ramps to access the doorsteps of Unit 2 and Unit 4.


## Conclusions

As the proposed development would meet applicable policies and Development Permit Guidelines, staff recommend that the Development Permit be endorsed, and issuance by Council be recommended.


Nathan Andrews
Planning Technician
(604-247-4911)
NA:blg

## Attachments:

Attachment 1: Development Application Data Sheet
Attachment 2: Shadow Study Diagram
Attachment 3: Excerpt from the Draft Minutes of the Advisory Design Panel
The following are to be met prior to forwarding this application to Council for approval:

- Receipt of a Letter-of-Credit for landscaping in the amount of $\$ 28,902.74$.

NOTE: staff to ensure that landscape estimates include a $10 \%$ contingency cost.

- Registration of a cross-access easement, statutory right-of-way, and/or other legal agreements or measures, as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, over the internal shared property line in favour of all units including the installation of a 1.5 m wide pedestrian pathway on the subject property.
Prior to a Demolition Permit issuance, the developer is required to complete the following:
- Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
At subdivision stage, the developer must complete the following:
- Provide a cash-in-lieu contribution in the amount of $\$ 16,653.00$ for future lane construction to fulfill ultimate standards.
- Payment of current year's taxes, Development Cost Charges (City and GVS \& DD), School Site Acquisition Charge, and Address Assignment Fees.
- Enter into a Servicing Agreement for the design and construction of works within the lane (including landscaping), frontage and servicing works. A Letter of Credit or cash security for the value of the Service Agreement works, as determined by the City, will be required as part of entering into the Servicing Agreement.
Prior to future Building Permit issuance, the developer is required to complete the following:
- The applicant is required to obtain a Building Permit for any construction hoarding associated with the proposed development. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a street, or any part thereof, or occupy the air space above a street or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For further information on the Building Permit, please contact Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.
- Submission of a construction traffic and parking management plan to the satisfaction of the City's Transportation Department (http://www.richmond.ca/services/ttp/special.htm).
- Incorporation of CPTED, sustainability, and accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.


## City of Richmond

## Development Application Data Sheet

Address: 10431 No. 5 Road
Applicant: 1058085 BC Ltd. Owner: 1058085 BC Ltd.
Planning Area(s): Shellmont

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site Area: | $781 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | Two lots each $390.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Land Uses: | Single-family dwelling | Compact Duplex |
| OCP Designation: | Neighbourhood Residential | No change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Arterial Road Compact Lot <br> Duplex (RCD) |
| Number of Units: | 1 | 4 |


| On Future Subdivided Lots | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 0.60 | Lot 1:0.60 <br> Lot 2: 0.60 | none permitted |
| Gross Floor Area: | $234.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,521 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per <br> lot <br> Total: $468.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(5,042 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $234.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,521 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per <br> lot | none |
| Lot Coverage: | Buildings: Max. $50 \%$ <br> Non-porous surfaces: <br> Max. $70 \%$ <br> Live Landscaping: Min. <br> $20 \%$ <br> Front | Yard Landscaping: <br> Mon-porous surfaces: <br> $61 \%$ <br> Min. $50 \%$ | Live Landscaping: $25 \%$ <br> Front Yard Landscaping: <br> $55 \%$ |


| On-site Vehicle Parking Spaces: | 2 spaces per dwelling <br> unit | 2 spaces per dwelling unit <br> $(8$ spaces total) | none |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tandem Parking Spaces | 1 tandem parking space <br> per dwelling | 1 tandem parking space <br> per dwelling | none |



# Annotated Excerpt from the Draft Minutes from Advisory Design Panel Meeting 

Wednesday, June 9, 2021 - 4:00 p.m.

DP 20-910008 - ARTERIAL ROAD COMPACT DUPLEX DEVELOPMENT<br>ARCHITECT: Eric Law Architect Inc.<br>LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: Donald V.S. Duncan Development Consultant<br>PROPERTY LOCATION: 10431 No. 5 Road

## Applicant's Presentation

Architect Eric Law, Eric Law Architect Inc., and Landscape Architect Donald Duncan, Donald V.S. Duncan Development Consultant, presented the project and together with Sajid Hasan, Hasan Contracting, answered queries from the Panel.

## Panel Discussion <br> Comments from Panel members were as follows:

- appreciate the thorough and informative presentation of the applicant;
- appreciate the details provided by the applicant with regard to the accessibility features of the convertible units, e.g. windows operable with one hand and provision for future installation of chairlift;
- appreciate the accessible walkway beside the garage to provide space for loading of a wheelchair into a vehicle;
- appreciate the provision of electrical outlets at the top and bottom of staircases and other areas in the convertible units; however, also consider installing grounded electrical outlets near the toilet in the washroom on the ground floor and at the accessible bathroom on the second floor to allow for the use of specialized toilets and lift mechanisms;


## Grounded electrical outlet noted on drawings A4

- in lieu of a shower lip, consider sloping the shower floor at 1.5 percent with one retention bump for wheelchair accessibility and water drainage;

Changes added to drawings A4

- appreciate the proposed convertible units design, which meets most of the accessibility guidelines for SAFERhome standards; consider getting a certification from SAFERhome Standards Society as it would also increase the value of the property;
- appreciate the landscape design presentation and choice of planting; the proposed soft landscaping materials are appropriate for the proposed development;
- there is a significant amount of asphalt in the backyards of rear duplex units; consider introducing pervious materials or greenery;

The parking pads behind the garages have been converted to pervious paving, significantly increasing the permeability of the site.

- appreciate the building massing which fits well into the streetscape; consider introducing further articulation and additional details on the building elevations;


## Additional details adjusted on the elevations

- consider introducing variations in the design of the entry porch for each duplex unit (i.e., front and back units);

Additional entry details and variations are provided on the porches at the front and back units.

- concerned about the privacy of private outdoor yards of duplex units due to lack of separation from the shared central walkway, e.g. no fences are installed;
While visual separation in the front yards would not be possible to any significant degree using fences, given the mandated maximum height of 1.2 metres in the front yard setback, there is currently a significant physical separation offered by the dry stream bed element and the plantings. In the rear private areas, screening is provided by the use of vine maples and taller growing shrubs adjacent to the spinal walkway. These green elements will filter views.
- concerned about the location of the windows of the north and south duplex units along the shared walkway as they directly face each other and may give rise to privacy issues;

The size of windows facing the shared walkway are reduced and the windows are offset so that they do not face each other directly

- review the location and usability of balconies on the second floor of the north and south front units as they directly face each other across the shared walkway; the balcony above the entry porch of the north front unit would be more usable;

The balconies that face the shared walkway are designed with doorways that do not directly face the other to avoid direct overlook.

- consider further design development to the covered garbage accessory sheds and consolidating them with the garages;
The refuse / recycling structure has been modified to give more interest and gates have been added for a neater appearance.
- concerned about how the future chair lifts for the convertible units would work in the wood frame duplex buildings;
The stair width is widened to allow for chair lift and it can be mounted to wood frame buildings. A diagram is provided to illustrate the stair clearance required.
- building colours appear dark; consider using materials with lighter colours to provide more sun reflection into all areas of the buildings;
A lighter beige colour scheme is proposed as indicated on A8
- appreciate the choice of materials; massing works well and fits well with the neighbourhood context;
- concerned about the small site; the parking spaces occupy a significant area in the site; consider retaining just the garage of the north duplex building to shift the building to the west in order to mitigate shadowing on the adjacent property to the north and to shift the location of windows and balconies along the shared walkway to enhance the privacy of duplex units;
the RCD zoning requires each dwelling to have 1 garage and 1 outdoor parking, and therefore removing any parking space is not allowed.
- confirm whether the solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC) for windows of " 0.30 or higher" is the correct terminology;
higher SHGC allows more solar radiation into the home and subsequently released as heat inside the space. This is more energy efficient as less heating is required in winter.
- clarify the proposed locations for the gas meters and review their appropriateness in terms of providing accessibility for servicing by gas utilities;
the location of gas meter is shown next to the HWT on the side yard. See A2
- understand the condition of trees proposed to be removed adjacent to the rear lane; however, investigate opportunities to retain the smaller tree and/or landscaping in order to delineate the lane curve and introduce some soft landscaping to mitigate the amount asphalt on the area adjacent to the lane;

The location of the trees adjacent to the lane has been reviewed. Retention of either one would considerably increase the difficulty of entering and leaving the adjacent garage. For this reason, it is preferable to remove both trees.

- support the Panel comment to offset one of the buildings along the streetscape;
significant offsetting the buildings is not possible because of the requirements of the front yard, rear yard size and parking setback requirements
- consider introducing a softer landscaping in the back yards of rear units and a small amount of hard landscaping on the front yards to balance the hard and soft landscaping for the front and back yards of duplex units; and
Given the limited direct light between the garages and the residences, the viability of a lawn or other walkable green surface is questionable. And, given the intimate nature of these spaces, it is important not to crowd the area with too much shrubbery. For this reason, a portion of the space that would otherwise be devoted to grass, was planted with ground cover inset with paving slabs. The intent of this is to provide a green surface that is walkable but practical in this lower light environment. In the front yards the paved surface has been expanding slightly to give more usable hard surface.
- the proposed electric hot water tank is not the most energy efficient; consider a condensing boiler or a heat pump to provide consistency to the project's sustainability features.
We will propose a combined condensing boiler as indicated on A2.


## Panel Decision

It was moved and seconded
That DP 20-910008 be supported to move forward to the Development Permit Panel subject to the applicant giving consideration to the comments of the Advisory Design Panel.

CARRIED

No. DP 20-910008

| To the Holder: | 1058085 BC LTD. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | 10431 No. 5 ROAD |
| Address: | C/O 6411 BLUNDELL ROAD |
|  | RICHMOND, BC V7C 1H7 |

1. This Development Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the City applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Development Permit applies to and only to those lands shown cross-hatched on the attached Schedule "A" and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
3. Subject to Section 692 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C.: buildings and structures; off-street parking and loading facilities; roads and parking areas; and landscaping and screening shall be constructed generally in accordance with Plans \#1 to \#15 attached hereto.
4. Sanitary sewers, water, drainage, highways, street lighting, underground wiring, and sidewalks, shall be provided as required.
5. As a condition of the issuance of this Permit, the City is holding the security in the amount of $\$ 28,902.74$ to ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Permit. Should any interest be earned upon the security, it shall accrue to the Holder if the security is returned. The condition of the posting of the security is that should the Holder fail to carry out the development hereby authorized, according to the terms and conditions of this Permit within the time provided, the City may use the security to carry out the work by its servants, agents or contractors, and any surplus shall be paid over to the Holder. Should the Holder carry out the development permitted by this permit within the time set out herein, the security shall be returned to the Holder. The City may retain the security for up to one year after inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that plant material has survived.
6. If the Holder does not commence the construction permitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse and the security shall be returned in full.

# Development Permit <br> No. DP 20-910008 

| To the Holder: | 1058085 BC LTD. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | 10431 NO. 5 ROAD |
| Address: | C/O 6411 BLUNDELL ROAD |
|  | RICHMOND, BC V7C 1H7 |

7. The land described herein shall be developed generally in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.
This Permit is not a Building Permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION NO.
ISSUED BY THE COUNCIL THE DAY OF

DELIVERED THIS DAY OF

MAYOR

## City of Richmond



DP 20-910008 SCHEDULE "A"

Original Date: 11/09/20
Revision Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES

PROPOSED DUPLEX AT 10431 NO. 5 ROAD, RICHMOND, BC





PLAN 4
DP 20-910008



|  | Cownernie unt mudeunes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Doors \# Doormers | $=$ Enter pois ien Mull Uchr sio <br>  <br>  <br>  |
| verroc. cracultov | - STAR LITT, STAIRCASE MODT, FRAMING SUPPORT, AND LANDINGS, AS NOTED ON FLOOR PLANS IN COMPLIANCE WTH MANUFACTURER SPECIFICATIONS. - at the top of all stairways, walls are reinforced with $2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{-1}$ solid lumber at 914 mm to |
| malmats | - צN. 900 ми мотT. |
| ваннroou (мN. 1) |  <br>  <br>  |
| Krchen |  |
| wnoows |  |
| OULEIT $\&$ swrches | - PLACEMENT LOCATIONS OF ELECTRICAL OUTLTS; 日ESIDE WINDOW, BOTTOM OF STAIRWAYS, BESIDE TOILET, <br>  ROOM |

FUTURE CHAIRLIFT
Dimensions
$\qquad$
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## proposed <br> COMPACT DUPLEX

10431 NO. 5 RD CONVERTIBLE UNI
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