## City of Richmond

## Report to Development Permit Panel

To: Development Permit Panel<br>Date: May 22, 2018<br>From: Wayne Craig<br>File: DP 16-728670<br>Director, Development<br>\section*{Re: Application by Anwer Kamal for a Development Permit at 6571 No. 4 Road (formerly 6571/6573 No. 4 Road)}

## Staff Recommendation

That a Development Permit be issued which would permit the construction of six townhouse units at 6571 No. 4 Road on a site zoned "Town Housing (ZT60) - North McLennan (City Centre)".


## Staff Report

## Origin

Anwer Kamal has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to develop six townhouse units at 6571 No. 4 Road (formerly $6571 / 6573$ No. 4 Road). The site is being rezoned from "Single Detached (RSl/F)" zone to "Town Housing (ZT-60) - North McLennan (City Centre)" for this project under Bylaw 9491 (RZ 11-578758), which received Third Reading following the Public Hearing on December 15, 2015. The site is currently vacant. A Servicing Agreement for frontage upgrades and service connections is required prior to Building Permit issuance.

## Background

This project was given favourable consideration by the Development Permit Panel at its meeting held on September 13, 2017. A copy of the original report to Development Permit Panel with the associated Development Permit drawings, dated August 22, 2017, is attached to this report (Attachment A).

The Development Permit endorsed by Development Permit Panel on September 13, 2017 includes the protection and retention of a 42 cm calliper Western Red Cedar tree located at the southwest corner of the site. However, during the site preparation stage, prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw and issuance of the Development Permit, Tree Group staff noticed that the tree protection fencing had been pushed up against the tree and that the protected Western Red Cedar tree is damaged due to preload lock-blocks located approximately 0.3 m from the tree. Both the City's Tree Preservation Coordinator and the project arborist agreed that the Western Red Cedar tree is dying as a result of preloading within the required tree protection zone; and that this tree should be removed. A report submitted by the project arborist can be found in Attachment B.

The Tree Group has issued two Municipal Ticket Information Notices to the property owner totalling \$1,750 and two Municipal Ticket Information Notices to the pre-load contractor totalling $\$ 1,750$. The total fine is $\$ 3,500$. In addition, as compensation to the damages made to the protected tree, the applicant is proposing to:

- plant a new 40 cm calliper Western Red Cedar tree at the northeast corner of the site;
- upsize the proposed Austrian Black Pine trees from 3.5 m to 4.5 m high;
- increase the pot sizes of shrubs and perennials; and
- upsize the height of the proposed Emerald Green Cedar along the side and rear property lines from 5 ft . to 6 ft .

A new Tree Management Plan and Landscape Plan are attached to the revised Development Permit Drawings.

## Findings of Fact

In addition to the replacement of the Western Red Cedar tree and upsizing of proposed planting materials, the following changes to the Development Permit application are proposed:

- Change of site grade at the southwest corner of the site (i.e., where the tree well required to protect the 42 cm calliper Western Red Cedar tree was located) to match the proposed site grade of the adjacent yard areas, and integrate this space into the adjacent private yard space and the adjacent common area.
- Removal of one surface parking stall adjacent to the northwest corner of the internal drive aisle to allow the relocation of the hydro kiosk, as per BC Hydro's request. The proposed numbers of residential and visitor parking spaces are still in compliance with the bylaw. Please refer to the attached Development Application Data Sheet (Attachment C) for a comparison of the proposed development data with the relevant bylaw requirements.
- Planting of one additional tree at the original hydro kiosk location along the south property line.
- Minor amendments to the window openings to meet Building Code requirements.

All proposed changes to the Development Permit application are highlighted with red clouds on the attached Development Permit drawings.

## Staff Comment

The applicant is proposing to replace the dying 42 cm calliper Western Red Cedar tree located at the southwest corner of the site with a new 40 cm calliper Western Red Cedar tree at the northeast corner of the site. This proposal has been reviewed and accepted by the City's Tree Preservation Coordinator. As fines have been issued to the owner and the pre-load contractor already, no additional compensation is requested.

In order to ensure the landscaping works are undertaken, the applicant is required to provide a landscape security of $\$ 123,828.00$ with the Development Permit. Since large specimen trees, in general, have a lower survival rate, a Tree Survival Security will be required as part of the Landscape Letter of Credit, as a condition to Development Permit issuance to ensure that the new trees to be planted on site will be protected. The City will release $80 \%$ of the security after construction and landscaping on the proposed townhouse complex are completed and inspections are approved. The remaining $20 \%$ of the security would be released two years later, subject to inspection.

It is also noted that, due to the elimination of a surface parking space and the tree well with associated stairs, the lot coverage of landscaping with live plant materials will be increased from $25.5 \%$ to $26.4 \%$.

## Conclusions

The applicant had presented a development that meets applicable policies and Development Permit Guidelines, and this development proposal was given favourable consideration by the Development Permit Panel at its meeting held on September 13, 2017. To rectify a tree preservation issue, the applicant has proposed minor changes to the development, and has agreed to the list of Development Permit Considerations (signed concurrence on file) outlined in Attachment D. Staff have no objection to the proposed revisions. On this basis, staff recommend support of this Development Permit application.


## Edwin Lee

Planner 1
(604-276-4121)
EL:blg
Attachment A:Report to Development Permit Panel (August 22, 2017)
Attachment B: Arborist Letter
Attachment C: Development Application Data Sheet
Attachment D: Development Permit Considerations

## City of Richmond

## Report to Development Permit Panel

| To: | Development Permit Panel | Date: | August 22, 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From: | Wayne Craig | File: | DP 16-728670 |
|  | Director, Development |  |  |
| Re: | Application by Anwer Kamal for a Development Permit at $6571 / 6573$ No. 4 Road |  |  |

## Staff Recommendation

That a Development Pcrmit be issued which would permit the construction of six townhouse units at 6571/6573 No. 4 Road on a site zoned "Town Housing (ZT60) - North McLennan (City Centre)".


Att. 2

## Staff Report

## Origin

Anwer Kamal has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to develop six townhouse units at $6571 / 6573$ No. 4 Road. The site is being rezoned from "Single Detached (RS1/F)" zone to "Town Housing (ZT-60) - North McLennan (City Centre)" for this project under Bylaw 9491 (RZ 11-578758), which received third reading following the Public Hearing on December 15, 2015. The site is currently vacant. A Servicing Agreement for frontage upgrades and service connections is required prior to Building Permit issuance.

## Development Information

Please refer to the attached Development Application Data Sheet (Attachment 1) for a comparison of the proposed development data with the relevant bylaw requirements.

## Background

Development surrounding the subject site is as follows:

- To the north, a 12 -unit townhouse complex at 6551 No. 4 Road with access from No. 4 Road. A Cross-Access Easement is registered on Title of 6551 No. 4 Road to provide vehicle access to the subject site.
- To the south, right-in only driveway to A. R. MacNeill Secondary School and parking lots for the school. The school site is zoned "School \& Institutional Use (SI)".
- To the east, across No. 4 Road, large single-family lots zoned "Agriculture (AG1)" located within the Agriculture Land Reserve (ALR).
- To the west, a landscaped area and internal drive aisle within the $\Lambda$. R. MacNeill Secondary School property.


## Rezoning and Public Hearing Results

The Public Hearing for the rezoning of this site was held on December 15, 2015. No concerns regarding the rezoning application was expressed at the Public Hearing.

## Staff Comments

The Official Community Plan (OCP) designates the subject property as "Neighbourhood Residential" (i.e., areas where the principal uses are single-family, two-family and multiple-family housing). The City Centre Area, McLennan North Sub-Area Plan (Schedule 2.10 C ), designates the property as "Residential Area 3" for two-family dwelling and two-storey \& three-storey townouses with 0.65 base floor area ratio (FAR). The proposed two-storey and three-storey townhouse development will comply with both the OCP and the McLennan North Sub-Area Plan land use designations.

The proposed scheme attached to this report has satisfactorily addressed the significant urban design issues and other staff comments identified as part of the review of the subject Development Permit application. In addition, it complies with the intent of the applicable sections of the OCP and is generally in compliance with the "Town Housing (ZT-60) - North McLennan (City Centre)" zone.

## Advisory Design Panel Comments

The subject application was not presented to the Advisory Design Panel on the basis that this small project generally meets all the applicable Development Permit Guidelines, and the overall design and site plan have adequately addressed staff comments.

## Analysis

## Conditions of Adjacency

- Both the form and massing of the proposed development are consistent with the existing surrounding townhouse developments which are comprised of two-storey and three-storey townhouses.
- Two-storey end units would be in keeping with the character of adjacent townhouse development to the north.
- An ALR buffer is proposed along the No. 4 Road frontage (see details in the Landscape Design and Open Space Design section below).
- A 1.8 m tall wood fence and four new trees are proposed along the north property lines to provide some privacy screening between the proposed development and the existing townhouse development to the north.
- No tree planting is permitted along the west property line on existing statutory right-of-way (SRW) for sanitary sewer.
- A 1.8 m tall wood fence is proposed to be installed on top of an approximately 1.2 m tall retaining wall along the west property line between the parking lot of A. R. MacNeill Secondary School and the subject site. This interface with the A.R. MacNeill Secondary School is consistent with the interface provided between the adjacent development to the north (at 6551 No. 4 Road) and the school.
- A 0.9 m tall metal picket fence is proposed to be installed on top of an approximately 1.0 m tall retaining wall along the south property line between the entry driveway and walkway of A. R. MacNeill Secondary School and the subject site. A 2.4 m tall trellis with 1.5 m tall solid fence is also proposed at the end of the drive aisle to screen the headlight glare from the townhouse development onto the school driveway.
- Landscaping is also proposed on the A. R. MacNeill Secondary School, along the west and south property line of the subject site, to screen the view of the proposed retaining walls on the townhouse site from the school. A landscape plan prepared by a registered landscape architect will be required prior to Development Permit issuance to the satisfaction of the Richmond School District.
- Perimeter drainage will be secured through the Building Permit to ensure storm water is managed and addressed through the development and will not impact the neighbouring properties.


## Urban Design and Site Planning

- The proposal consists of six units provided in two townhouse clusters. Three units will have direct access from the street and three units will have access from the internal drive aisle.
- The proposal provides for a pedestrian-oriented streetscape fronting No. 4 Road with a landscaped edge treatment, low metal fencing, and metal gates to the street fronting units. A separate pedestrian entrance from No. 4 Road to the development site is proposed along the south property line.
- Vehicle access will be through the existing access easement registered on the neighbouring to wnhouse development to the north at 6551 No. 4 Road. No direct vehicle access to No. 4 Road is permitted for the subject site.
- The number of residential parking spaces proposed for this townhouse development exceeds the minimum parking requirement within the City Centre area, and all units will have two vehicle parking spaces.
- Tandem parking is permitted under the ZT60 zone, and two out of six townhouse units will have tandem garages (four tandem stalls in total). A Restrictive Covenant prohibiting the conversion of tandem parking area into habitable area will be secured as a condition of rezoning approval.
- Two visitor parking spaces are proposed, which meet the minimum bylaw requirement.
- Both residential and visitor bicycle parking are provided in compliance with the zoning bylaw minimum requirements.
- The provision of private outdoor spaces complies with the Development Permit Guidelines ( $30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per unit) of the OCP. All units have private outdoor spaces consisting of front or rear yard and balconies on the second floors.
- Outdoor amenity space is proposed at the southeast corner of the site for maximum casual surveillance opportunity and sun exposure. The size and location of the outdoor amenity space is appropriate in providing open landscape and amenity space convenient to all units.
- Additional outdoor amenity space ( $10 \%$ of the site area) as per the City Centre Amenity Space Provisions in the City Centre Area Plan is provided as a walkway and landscaped areas throughout the site.
- The required garbage, recycling and organic waste storage enclosures have been incorporated into the design of the west (rear) building to minimize the visual impact of these enclosures.


## Architectural Form and Character

- The proposed building design is compatible to the adjacent townhouse development to the north. The architectural language proposed for the development is similar to conventional single-family homes with slope roofs.
- A pedestrian scale is generally achieved along the public streets and internal drive aisle through the inclusion of variation in building projections, recesses, entry porches, varying material/colour combinations, landscape features, and the use of individual unit entrances.
- The overall appearance of the proposal conforms to the design guidelines of the North McLennan area and should fit in well with the character of the surrounding area.
- The proposed building materials (asphalt roof shingles, hardi-siding/panel with trim) are generally consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) Guidelines and compatible with the character of the surrounding neighbourhood.


## Landscape Design and Open Space Design

- Tree preservation was reviewed at rezoning stage: one 42 cm calliper Western Red Cedar tree located at the southwest corner of the site will be protected and retained on-site. To ensure that this tree will be protected, no landscape security for the proposed development will be returned until the post-construction assessment report confirming the protected tree survived the construction, prepared by the Arborist, is reviewed and approved by staff.
- Based on the 2:1 tree replacement ratio goal stated in the OCP, two replacement trees are required for the removal of one tree. The applicant is proposing to plant eight new trees on-site, including three conifers and five deciduous trees.
- An agricultural landscape buffer is required within the subject site, along the eastern edge of the No. 4 Road frontage. The buffer is intended to mitigate land use conflicts between the residential uses on the subject site and any agricultural land uses east of No. 4 Road. The landseape proposal was referred to the Agricultural Advisory Committee (AAC) on September 24, 2015 for their review and comments. Overall, the AAC was supportive of the proposal, but requests that the proposed Heavenly Bamboo be replaced as it is considered an invasive species. The landscape architect has replaced this plant species with Rhododendron on the final landscape plan.
- Along No. 4 Road, several types of low hedges, small trees and some small ornamental grasses are proposed to create layered landseape with rich texture and colour contrast and year round visual interest.
- Adjacent to the school driveway, low metal picket fencing is proposed along the south property line and a trellis is proposed at the terminus of the internal driveway to provide strong spatial definition
- Each unit will have a private yard with landscaping, a small lawn area and/or a small patio. Various hedges, shrubs and ground covers, as well as perennials and grasses have been selected to ensure the landscape treatment remains interesting throughout the year.
- A children's play area designed for children aged two to five years old is proposed for the outdoor amenity area. The play equipment includes a playhouse and two spring toys which were chosen to fit into the provided space and to allow multiple children to play at the same time. The equipment provides different play opportunities for the development of social, imagination, balance, and motor skills. Two benches are provided within the outdoor amenity space for caregivers.
- Surface parking stalls and pedestrian walkways will be treated with permeable pavers for better water infiltration and variety in paving surfaces. Pavers proposed for the internal drive aisle and visitor parking stalls match the colour scheme used on the adjacent townhouse site for consistence. Salmon colored pavers are proposed on the surface residential parking stalls to differentiate the residential parking stalls from the visitor parking stalls.
- In order to ensure that the proposed landscaping works are completed, the applicant is required to provide a landscape security of $\$ 100,049.00$ in association with the Development Permit.
- Indoor amenity space is not proposed on-site. A $\$ 6,000$ cash-in-lieu contribution has been secured as a condition of rezoning approval, consistent with the OCP.


## Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design

- Site lighting and clear sight lines provide unobstructed views of surrounding area.
- Plantings near residential entries are low to maximize views and casual surveillance opportunities of common areas.
- All entrances are visible and overlooked by pedestrians or by neighbour's windows.


## Sustainability

- The applicant has committed to achieving an EnerGuide rating of 82 for the proposed townhouses and to pre-ducting all units for solar hot water heating.
- A Certified Energy Advisor has confirmed that the proposed townhouse units will be designed to achieve an EnerGuide rating of 82. The report prepared by the Energy Advisor is on file and will be utilized through the Building Permit review process to ensure these measures are incorporated in the Permit drawings.


## Accessible Housing

- The proposed development includes one convertible unit that is designed with the potential to be easily renovated to accommodate a future resident in a wheelchair. The potential conversion of this unit will require installation of a vertical lift in the stacked storage space (which has been dimensioned to allow for this in Unit AlA of the street fronting building (east building)) in the future, if desired.
- All of the proposed units incorporate aging in place features to accommodate mobility constraints associated with aging. These features include:
- Stairwell hand rails.
- Lever-type handles for plumbing fixtures and door handles.
- Solid blocking in washroom walls to facilitate future grab bar installation beside toilets, bathtubs and showers.


## Conclusion

The applicant has satisfactorily addressed staff's comments regarding conditions of adjacency, site planning and urban design, architectural form and character, and landscape design. The applicant has presented a development that meet applicable policies and Development Permit Guidelines, and fits into the existing context. The applicant has agreed to the list of Development Permit Considerations (signed concurrence on file) outlined in Attachment 2. On this basis, staff recommend support of this Development Permit application.

Edwin Lee Planner 1 (604-276-4121)
EL:blg
Attachment 1: Development Application Data Sheet
Attachment 2: Development Permit Considerations

## DP 16-728670

Address: 6571 and 6573 No. 4 Road
Applicant: Anwer Kamal Owner: Anwer Kamal
Planning Area(s): North McLennan Sub-Area (City Centre)
Floor Area Gross: $956.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad$ Floor Area Net: $690.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site Area: | $1,115 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $1,091 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (net after road dedication) |
| Land Uses: | Single-Family Residential | Multiple-Family Residential |
| OCP Designation: | Neighbourhood Residential | No Change |
| Area Plan Designation: | CCAP: General Urban T4 <br> North McLennan Sub-Area Plan: "Residential <br> Area 3" - two-family dwelling and 2 \& 3-storey <br> townhouses with 0.65 base FAR | No Change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/F) | Town Housing (ZT60) - North <br> McLennan (City Centre) |
| Number of Units: | 2 | 6 |


|  | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 0.65 | 0.64 | none permitted |
| Lot Coverage - Building: | Max. 40\% | 40\% | none |
| Setback - Front Yard (m): | Min. 6.0 m | 6.2 m | none |
| Setback - North Side Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.1 m | none |
| Setback - South Side Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Setback - Rear Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Height (m): | Max. 12.0 m (3 storeys) | 10.61 m (3 storeys) | none |
| Lot Area: | Min. $1,010 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1,091 m ${ }^{2}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Regular (R) / Visitor (V): | $\begin{gathered} 1.4(\mathrm{R}) \text { and } 0.2(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { per unit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2(\mathrm{R}) \text { and } 0.2(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \quad \text { per unit } \end{gathered}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces - Total: | $9(\mathrm{R})$ and $2(\mathrm{~V})$ | $12(\mathrm{R})$ and $2(\mathrm{~V})$ | none |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Permitted | 4 spaces | none |
| Small Car Parking Spaces | None when fewer than 31 spaces are provided on site | 2 (surplus spaces) | none |


| Handicap Parking Spaces: | None when fewer than 3 visitor <br> parking spaces are required | 0 | none |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or Cash-in-lieu | Cash-in-lieu | none |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | Min. $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 6$ units <br> $=36 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $36.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | none |

## Prior to approval of the Development Permit, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Final adoption of the Zoning Amendment Bylaw 9491.
2. Submission of a landscape plan prepared by a registered landscape architect identifying landscaping to be planted on the A. R. MacNeill Secondary School, along the west and south property line of the subject site, to screen the view of the proposed retaining walls on the townhouse development from the school.
3. Receipt of a Letter-of-Credit for landscaping and tree survival in the amount of $\$ 100,049.00$. No landscaping and tree survival security will be released until:
a) a Letter of Assurance from the Landscape Architect, confirming that all on site and off site landscaping are installed in accordance with the landscape plans, has been submitted;
b) an Arborist Post Construction Impact Assessment Report, confirming survival of the protected tree, has been submitted; and
c) physical inspection has been undertaken by staff.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Enter into a Servicing Agreement* for the design and construction of engineering infrastructure improvements:
a) Water Works:
i. Using the OCP Model, there is $651 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water available at a 20 psi residual at the hydrant at 6620 No 4 Road. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of $220 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$.
ii. The Developer is required to:

- Submit Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) or International Organization for Standardization (ISO) fire flow calculations to confirm the development has adequate fire flow for onsite fire protection. Calculations must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer and be based on Building Permit Stage and Building designs.
- Install a fire hydrant at No 4 Road frontage to service the proposed townhouse development. Coordination with the City's Fire department to confirm the location of the proposed hydrant is also required.
iii. At the Developer's cost, the City will:
- Cut and cap at main the existing water service connection at No. 4 Road frontage.
- Install new water connection to service the proposed site. Details of the new water service shall be finalized via the servicing agreement design review.
b) Storm Sewer Works:
i. At the Developer's cost, the City will:
- Cut and cap at main the existing storm sewer service connections at No. 4 Road frontage. Remove existing IC.
- Install new storm sewer connection to service the proposed site. Details of the new storm service shall be finalized via the servicing agreement design review.
c) Sanitary Sewer Works:
i. The Developer is required to:
- Provide a 3 meter wide utility SRW along the entire west property line of the proposed site.
$\qquad$
- Install a new sanitary sewer connection to service the proposed site. Details of the new storm service shall be finalized via the servicing agreement design review.
d) Frontage Improvements:
i. The Developer is required to:
- Removing existing sidewalk and create a 1.5 m grass \& treed boulevard behind the existing curb (trees to be Littleleaf Linden), with a 1.5 m sidewalk behind that which will abut the new property line. All works are at the client's sole cost; i.e. no credits apply. The works are an extension of SA06-326784 from the north at 6551 No 4 Road. Improvements shall be built to the ultimate condition wherever possible.
- Provide street lighting along No. 4 Road frontage.
- Locate all above ground utility cabinets and kiosks required to service the proposed development within the developments site (see list below for examples). A functional plan showing conceptual locations for such infrastructure shall be included in the Rezoning staff report and the development process design review. Please coordinate with the respective private utility companies and the project's lighting and traffic signal consultants to confirm the right of ways dimensions and the locations for the aboveground structures. If a private utility company does not require an aboveground structure, that company shall confirm this via a letter to be submitted to the City. The following are examples of SRWs that shall be shown in the functional plan and registered prior to SA design approval:

1) BC Hydro PMT $-4 \mathrm{~mW} X 5 \mathrm{~m}$ (deep)
2) BC Hydro LPT $-3.5 \mathrm{~mW} X 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (deep)
3) Street light kiosk - 1.5 mW X 1.5 m (deep)
4) Traffic signal kiosk - $1 \mathrm{~mW} X 1 \mathrm{~m}$ (deep)
5) Traffic signal UPS $-2 m W X 1.5 m$ (deep)
6) Shaw cable kiosk - 1 mW X 1 m (deep) - show possible location in functional plan
7) Telus FDH cabinet -1.1 mW X 1 m (deep) - show possible location in functional plan
e) General Items:
i. The Developer is required to:

- Provide if pre-load is required, prior to pre-load installation, a geotechnical assessment of preload and soil preparation impacts on the existing sanitary sewer along the proposed site's west property line, proposed utility installations, and provide mitigation recommendations. The mitigation recommendations shall be incorporated into the first SA design submission or if necessary to be implemented prior to pre-load.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.

2. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
3. Incorporation of accessibility, CPTED, and sustainability features/measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
4. Submission of DCC's (City \& GVS\&DD), School site acquisition charges, Address Assignment Fee, and servicing charges, etc.
5. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges associated with eligible latecomer works.
6. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
$\qquad$
7. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.
The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contains prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.


## Development Permit

No. DP 16-728670

| To the Holder: | ANWER KAMAL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | 6571 AND 6573 NO. 4 ROAD |
| Address: | C/O ERIC LAW |
|  | ERIC LAW ARCHITECT INC. |
|  | \#216 - 288 WEST 8 TH AVENUE |
|  | VANCOUVER, BC V5Y 1N5 |

1. This Development Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the City applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Development Permit applies to and only to those lands shown cross-hatched on the attached Schedule "A" and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
3. Subject to Section 692 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C.: buildings and structures; off-street parking and loading facilities; roads and parking areas; and landscaping and screening shall be constructed generally in accordance with Plans \#1 to \#4 attached hereto.
4. Sanitary sewers, water, drainage, highways, street lighting, underground wiring, and sidewalks, shall be provided as required.
5. As a condition of the issuance of this Permit, the City is holding the security in the amount of $\$ 100,049.00$ to ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Permit. Should any interest be earned upon the security, it shall accrue to the Holder if the security is returned. The condition of the posting of the security is that should the Holder fail to carry out the development hereby authorized, according to the terms and conditions of this Permit within the time provided, the City may use the security to carry out the work by its servants, agents or contractors, and any surplus shall be paid over to the Holder. Should the Holder carry out the development permitted by this permit within the time set out herein, the security shall be returned to the Holder. The City may retain the security for up to one year after inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that plant material has survived.
6. If the Holder does not commence the construction permitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse and the security shall be returned in full.

## Development Permit <br> No. DP 16-728670

| To the Holder: | ANWER KAMAL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | 6571 AND 6573 NO. 4 ROAD |
| Address: | C/O ERIC LAW |
|  | ERIC LAW ARCHITECT INC. |
|  | \#216-288 WEST 8 TH AVENUE |
|  | VANCOUVER, BC V5Y 1N5 |

7. The land described herein shall be developed generally in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.

This Permit is not a Building Permit.

## AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION NO. DAY OF

## DELIVERED THIS DAY OF

MAYOR

## City of Richmond



| $\mathbf{N}$ | DP 16-728670 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHEDULE "A" |  |


PROPOSED TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT AT
$6571-6573$ NO. 4 ROAD, RICHMOND, BC
(A) CMC ADDRESS: $\quad 6571-6573$ NO. 4 ROND, RICHWOND, EC
(A) CMC ADDRESS:
(B) LEGAL DESCRIPTION:


(E) floor area rato
(F) NUMBER OF UNT:


CURRENT: RSI/F.
CURRENT ZONNC
(UNOER RS1/F ZON

|  | (UNOER RS1/F ZONNG) | PROPOSEO REONING (टTGO) | PROPOSED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (E) FlOor area rato | 0.55 To 454.5 SM | 0.65 |  |
|  | D.3 TO REST OF STE AREA TOIAL CROSS FLIOR AREA |  | 0.633 |
|  |  | $0.65 \times 1.0915 \mathrm{SM}=709.15 \mathrm{sm}$ | 7432 SF NET GROSS FLOOR AREA |
|  |  | (7,633 SF) |  |
| (f) NUMEER OF UnTt: | 1 PER LOT | ${ }^{\mathrm{Na}}$ | ${ }_{40} 6$ UNTS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (4702 SO. F.) |
| (c) buldimg coverage: | max - 45 x | max - $40 \pi$ | $40.0 \pi$ (4702 SO. F.) |
| (H) BULLING HEIGHT: | max Heicht - 9m | MaX UAIN BULLING HEIGHT - 12 M | BULLING HEGFHT - 10.85M (35'7) |
| (H) SEtesck: | FRONTTARD - 6 M | FRONTARD FACING NO. 4 RO- ${ }^{\text {SM }}$ | FRONTARD FACING NO 4-6.07M (19'11) |
|  | REARYARO - $5 M$ | NORTH AND SOUTH SIIETARD - 3 M | (TO NEW PROPERTY LNE) |
|  |  | REAR YARD - $3 M$ | NORTH SIDEYARO - $3.11 \mathrm{M}\left(10^{\prime} 27\right.$ |
|  |  |  | SOUTH SIDEYRO - 3.54M (11'7) |
|  |  |  | REAR YARD - $\quad 3.16 \mathrm{M}\left(10^{\circ} 4\right.$ ) |
| (1) PARKNG: | 2 PER dWEUNG UNTT | 1.4 PER OWEUNG Units $\times 6=9$ | residental parkinc: 6 RECULAR Parking |
|  |  | D. 2 VITTOR PARKING / UNIT $\times 6=2$ |  2 TANDEM PARKING (4 REGULAR) <br>  2 SMML PARKING (SURPLUS) <br>   <br> VISTOR PARKING:  <br> 2 REGULAR  |
|  |  | TOTAL $=11$ REQUIRED |  |
|  |  | zT60 ZONING ALOW TANDEM PARKING |  |
| SITE |  | VISITOR BICYCLE | Bicrcle |
|  |  | 0.2 PER DWELUNG UNTT X6 $=2$ | USTIOR BIKE RACK ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
|  |  | RESIDENTML BICYCLE STORAGE | TOWNHOUSE $\quad$ ( (NSIDE CAPAGE) |
| SCHOOL |  | outooor amenit space <br> GSM PER UNIT XB= 36 SM (3B8SF) |  |
|  |  |  | OUTDOOR AMENTT SPACE PROVIDED: <br> CHILLREN PLAYGROUND: $\quad 36.7$ SM 395 SO. F. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



$\begin{array}{ll}\text { CURRENT ZONNG } & \text { PROPOSEO REONNG } \\ \text { (UNOER RS1/F ZONNG) } & \text { (ZT60) }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.55 & 0.65 \\ 0.3 & 0.54 .5 \text { SM } \\ 0 \text { TO REST OF STE AREA TOTAL CROSS }\end{array}$
$0.65 \times 1.091 \mathrm{SM}=709.15 \mathrm{sm}$
$(7,633 \mathrm{SF})$
1.4 PER OWEUNG UNITS $\times 6=9$ ZT60 ZONING ALOW TANDEM PARKING
VISTTOR BICYCLE
0.2 PER DWEUNG UNTT X6
.
2
OUTDODR MMENTY SPACE
GSM PER UNIT XB= 36 SM (388SF)
-



[^0]SUMMARY OF ACOUSTIC UPGGAEE. REFER dETALS TO ACOUTICAL REPORT
PREPARED BY BKL CONSULTANTS DATED JUIY 22, 2016


## PLAN\# $1 A$

 DP 16-728670 - AUG 222017 PROPOSED TOWNHOUSE
6571-6573 NO. 4 RD
RICHMOND BC
UPGRADE NOTES

A1A
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|  | Notes: | 9 CoreConcept |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 隹 |  |
| , | -momesemme | ANWER KAMAL |
|  |  |  |
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## MOUNTAIN MAPLE GARDEN \& TREE SERVICE LTD. <br> PHONE: 604-499-6568 <br> MOUNTAIN.MAPLE@HOTMAIL.COM

January 24, 2018

## RE: Arborist Report - For property located at 6571 No 4 Rd., Richmond, BC

Further to the Revised Arborist Report dated September 12, 2017, this serves to discuss the condition of Tree \#1. This site was attended on December 11, 2017 and January 15, 2018. Ten photographs have been included as part of this report.

On December 11, 2017, the Tree Protection Zone fencing for Tree \#1 was not installed to capacity; concrete lock blocks were installed less than 2 ft from the base of the stem on the east side, and the area was filled with 5 ft of pre-load (sand). The south side of the canopy was mostly brown; however, the north side still had a significant amount of green, and the east side was in between. Some of the chlorotic foliage was still pliable. The property owner asked if the tree could be saved; it was advised that it is unlikely that the tree will survive the compaction that has occurred to the Critical Root Zone, but we could try. It was advised that the lock blocks and preload must be removed from a 12 ft radius of the stem, and native grade restored; it was indicated that this work must be supervised by a Certified Arborist. Upon removal of the fill and lock blocks, the entire Critical Root Zone would require deep root fertilization, in an effort to alleviate the compacted soil.

On January 3, 2018, we were advised that the lock blocks and preload had been removed; this was not supervised by this firm. The site was visited again on January 15, 2018. Tree Protection Zone fencing has been reinstalled at 9ft from the base of the stem on the east side, 11 ft from the base of the stem on the northeast side, and 15 ft from the base of the stem on the north side. About six inches of new soil had been installed within the TPZ. Further examination revealed that a 3" diameter root was severed 5 ft from the base of the stem on the east side; it is assumed that this was caused during the removal of the preload, and that other structural roots have likely sustained damage.

It is very unlikely that this tree would have survived the compaction to its root system resulting from the lock blocks and preload. Compaction removes pore/air space from the soil, which smothers the roots, and impedes or prevents water flow. This species of tree has a rather low tolerance to root disturbance; the weight of the pre-load and lock blocks may have also caused damage/compaction to root tissue. This tree should be removed, and a new $4-5 \mathrm{~m}$ tall Western Red Cedar (Thuja plicata) should be planted on the property upon completion of site development.

Limitations: Monitoring any portion of the development or construction process provides no undertakings regarding the future condition or behaviour of the tree(s). Recommendations and actions are to serve for the retention and protection of the tree(s), and are made according to commonly accepted arboricultural practises, and do not guarantee the survival and/or safety of the specimen(s). Tree conditions do change overtime, and the evaluation period is valid for the day on which it was performed only. No responsibility is assumed for any legal matters as a result of the retention of the tree(s). The consultant shall not be required to give testimony or attend court by any reason unless subsequent contractual arrangements are made, including payment of additional fees for such services. Loss or alteration of any part of this document invalidates the entire document. Possession of this document does not imply right of publication or use for any purpose by any other than the person to whom it is addressed, without verbal or written consent of the consultant. No part of this document shall be conveyed by anyone to the public by any means without prior written consent of the consultant.

Yours truly,


Kerin Matthews - sent electronically
ISA Certified Arborist \#PN-5648
ISA Certified Tree Risk Assessor \#0123
Inter-Municipal Business License \#20014651


Dec 11/17 - Tree \#1 southeast side


Dec 11/17-Tree \#1, northeast side


Dec 11/17- Tree \#1 northwest side


Jan 15/18 - Tree \#1 southeast side


Dec 11/17 - Tree \#1 east side


Jan 15/18 - Tree \#1 north side


Jan 15/18 - Tree \#1 east side


Jan 15/18 - New soil within TPZ


Address: 6571 No. 4 Road (formerly 6571/6573 No. 4 Road)
$\qquad$ Owner: Anwer Kamal

Planning Area(s): North McLennan Sub-Area (City Centre)
Floor Area Gross: $956.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Floor Area Net: $690.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site Area: | $1,115 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $1,091 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (net after road dedication) |
| Land Uses: | Single-Family Residential | Multiple-Family Residential |
| OCP Designation: | Neighbourhood Residential | No Change |
| Zoning: | CCAP: General Urban T4 <br> North McLennan Sub-Area Plan: "Residential <br> Area 3" - two-family dwelling and 2 \& 3-storey <br> townhouses with 0.65 base FAR | No Change |
| Number of Units: | Single Detached (RS1/F) | Town Housing (ZT60) - North <br> McLennan (City Centre) |


|  | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 0.65 | 0.64 | none permitted |
| Lot Coverage - Building: | Max. 40\% | 40\% | none |
| Setback - Front Yard (m): | Min. 6.0 m | 6.2 m | none |
| Setback - North Side Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.1 m | none |
| Setback - South Side Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Setback - Rear Yard (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Height (m) | Max. 12.0 m (3 storeys) | 10.61 m (3 storeys) | none |
| Lot Area: | Min. $1,010 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1,091 m ${ }^{2}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Regular (R) / Visitor (V): | $\begin{gathered} 1.4(\mathrm{R}) \text { and } 0.2(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { per unit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.83(\mathrm{R}) \text { and } 0.33(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { per unit } \end{gathered}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces - Total: | $9(\mathrm{R})$ and $2(\mathrm{~V})$ | 1.1 (R) and 2 (V) | none |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Permitted | 4 spaces | none |
| Small Car Parking Spaces | None when fewer than 31 spaces are provided on site | 2 (surplus spaces) | none |


| Handicap Parking Spaces: | None when fewer than 3 <br> visitor parking spaces are <br> required | 0 | none |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or Cash-in- <br> lieu | Cash-in-lieu | none |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | Min. $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 6$ units <br> $=36 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $36.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | none |

## Development Permit Considerations

## Prior to approval of the Development Permit, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Final adoption of the Zoning Amendment Bylaw 9491.
2. Receipt of a Letter-of-Credit for landscaping in the amount of $\$ 123,828.00$. The City will release:
a) $80 \%$ of the security when:
i. construction and landscaping on the proposed townhouse complex are completed;
ii. a Letter of Assurance from the Landscape Architect, confirming that all on site and off site landscaping are installed in accordance with the landscape plans, has been submitted; and
iii. physical inspection has been undertaken by staff.
b) the remaining $20 \%$ of the security when:
i. the construction and landscaping on the proposed townhouse complex have been completed for at least 2 years;
ii. an Arborist Post Construction Impact Assessment Report, confirming survival of the replacement tree, has been submitted; and
iii. physical inspection has been undertaken by staff.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Enter into a Servicing Agreement* for the design and construction of engineering infrastructure improvements:
a) Water Works:
i. Using the OCP Model, there is $651 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water available at a 20 psi residual at the hydrant at 6620 No 4 Road. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of $220 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$.
ii. The Developer is required to:

- Submit Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) or International Organization for Standardization (ISO) fire flow calculations to confirm the development has adequate fire flow for onsite fire protection. Calculations must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer and be based on Building Permit Stage and Building designs.
- Install a fire hydrant at No 4 Road frontage to service the proposed townhouse development. Coordination with the City's Fire department to confirm the location of the proposed hydrant is also required.
iii. At the Developer's cost, the City will:
- Cut and cap at main the existing water service connection at No. 4 Road frontage.
- Install new water connection to service the proposed site. Details of the new water service shall be finalized via the servicing agreement design review.
b) Storm Sewer Works:
i. At the Developer's cost, the City will:
- Cut and cap at main the existing storm sewer service connections at No. 4 Road frontage. Remove existing IC.
- Install new storm sewer connection to service the proposed site. Details of the new storm service shall be finalized via the servicing agreement design review.
c) Sanitary Sewer Works:
i. The Developer is required to:
- Provide a 3 meter wide utility SRW along the entire west property line of the proposed site.
- Install a new sanitary sewer connection to service the proposed site. Details of the new storm service shall be finalized via the servicing agreement design review.
d) Frontage Improvements:
i. The Developer is required to:
- Removing existing sidewalk and create a 1.5 m grass \& treed boulevard behind the existing curb (trees to be Littleleaf Linden), with a 1.5 m sidewalk behind that which will abut the new property line. All works are at the client's sole cost; i.e. no credits apply. The works are an extension of SA06-326784 from the north at 6551 No 4 Road. Improvements shall be built to the ultimate condition wherever possible.
- Provide street lighting along No. 4 Road frontage.
- Locate all above ground utility cabinets and kiosks required to service the proposed development within the developments site (see list below for examples). A functional plan showing conceptual locations for such infrastructure shall be included in the Rezoning staff report and the development process design review. Please coordinate with the respective private utility companies and the project's lighting and traffic signal consultants to confirm the right of ways dimensions and the locations for the aboveground structures. If a private utility company does not require an aboveground structure, that company shall confirm this via a letter to be submitted to the City. The following are examples of SRWs that shall be shown in the functional plan and registered prior to SA design approval:

1) BC Hydro PMT $-4 \mathrm{~mW} X 5 \mathrm{~m}$ (deep)
2) BC Hydro LPT $-3.5 \mathrm{~mW} X 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (deep)
3) Street light kiosk $-1.5 \mathrm{~mW} X 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (deep)
4) Traffic signal kiosk - $1 \mathrm{~mW} X 1 \mathrm{~m}$ (deep)
5) Traffic signal UPS -2 mW X 1.5 m (deep)
6) Shaw cable kiosk -1 mW X 1 m (deep) - show possible location in functional plan
7) Telus FDH cabinet - 1.1mW X 1 m (deep) - show possible location in functional plan
e) General Items:
i. The Developer is required to:

- Provide if pre-load is required, prior to pre-load installation, a geotechnical assessment of preload and soil preparation impacts on the existing sanitary sewer along the proposed site's west property line, proposed utility installations, and provide mitigation recommendations. The mitigation recommendations shall be incorporated into the first SA design submission or if necessary to be implemented prior to pre-load.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.

2. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
3. Incorporation of accessibility, CPTED, and sustainability features/measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
4. Submission of DCC's (City \& GVS\&DD), School site acquisition charges, Address Assignment Fee, and servicing charges, etc.
5. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges associated with eligible latecomer works.
6. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
7. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

## Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.
The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contains prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.


## [signed copy on file]

No. DP 16-728670

| To the Holder: | ANWER KAMAL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Address: | 6571 NO. 4 ROAD (FORMERLY 6571/6573 NO. 4 ROAD) |
| Address: | C/O ERIC LAW |
|  | ERIC LAW ARCHITECT INC. |
|  | \#216 - 288 WEST 8 |
|  | VANCOUVER, BC V5Y 1N5 |

1. This Development Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the City applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Development Permit applies to and only to those lands shown cross-hatched on the attached Schedule "A" and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
3. Subject to Section 692 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C.: buildings and structures; off-street parking and loading facilities; roads and parking areas; and landscaping and screening shall be constructed generally in accordance with Plans \#1 to \#4 attached hereto.
4. Sanitary sewers, water, drainage, highways, street lighting, underground wiring, and sidewalks, shall be provided as required.
5. As a condition of the issuance of this Permit, the City is holding the security in the amount of $\$ 123,828.00$ to ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Permit. Should any interest be earned upon the security, it shall accrue to the Holder if the security is returned. The condition of the posting of the security is that should the Holder fail to carry out the development hereby authorized, according to the terms and conditions of this Permit within the time provided, the City may use the security to carry out the work by its servants, agents or contractors, and any surplus shall be paid over to the Holder. Should the Holder carry out the development permitted by this permit within the time set out herein, the security shall be returned to the Holder. The City may retain the security for up to one year after inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that plant material has survived.
6. If the Holder does not commence the construction permitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse and the security shall be returned in full.
```
To the Holder: ANWER KAMAL
Property Address: 6571 NO. }4\mathrm{ ROAD (FORMERLY 6571/6573 NO. }4\mathrm{ ROAD)
Address: C/O ERIC LAW
ERIC LAW ARCHITECT INC.
#216-288 WEST 8 }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ TH AVENUE}
VANCOUVER, BC V5Y 1N5
```

7. The land described herein shall be developed generally in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.
This Permit is not a Building Permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION NO.
ISSUED BY THE COUNCIL THE
DAY OF

DELIVERED THIS DAY OF

MAYOR

## City of Richmond




$\mathbf{N}$

## DP 16-728670 <br> SCHEDULE "A"

## PROPOSED TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT AT 6571-6573 NO. 4 ROAD, RICHMOND, BC .

 DEvelopment Data
 MAY $222018 \quad$ A1 . oevioomear pesart
 DP 16-728670
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { CURRENT ZONING } & \text { PROPOSED REZONING } \\ \text { (UNDER RS } 1 / \text { F ZONING) } & \text { (ZT60) }\end{array}$
PROPOSED

REAR YARD -

PROPOSED TOWNHOUSE ROPOSED TOWNHOUSE
6571-6573 NO. 4 RD
RICHMOND BC
 PROPOSED TOWNHOUSE $\%$ RICHMOND BC


##  <br> 





$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (F) NUMBER OF UNIT: } & 1 \text { PER LOT } \\
\text { (G) BUILDING COVERAGE: } & \text { MAX }-45 \% \\
\text { (H) BUILDING HEIGHT: } & \text { MAX HEIGHT }-9 M \\
\text { (H) SETBACK: } & \text { FRONTYARD }-6 M \\
& \text { SIDEYARD }-2 M \\
& \text { REARYARD }-6 M
\end{array}
$$




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A6- UNIT PLANS } \\
& \text { A7- ELEVATIONS } \\
& \text { A8- ELEVATIONS \& SECTIC } \\
& \text { Ag- ELEVATIONS COLOR } \\
& \text { A10- OPEN SPACE AREA } \\
& \text { A11-FAR DIAGRAM }
\end{aligned}
$$

路

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { TANDEM PARKHNG (4 REGULAR) } \\
& 2 \text { SMALL PARKING (SURPLUS) } \\
& \text { VISITOR PARKING: } 2 \text { REGULAR }
\end{aligned}
$$


 *| $\|$


$-$

${ }_{0.633}^{\text {PRo }}$
0.633
7,432
6 unrs
6
0.633
7432 SF NET GROSS FLOOR AREA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \text { UNITS } \\
& 40.0 \% \quad(4702 \text { SQ. FT.). }
\end{aligned}
$$

(E) FLOOR AREA RATIO (D) ZONINg USE

PER DWELLING UNIT (I) PARKING:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 40.0 \% \text { ( } 4702 \text { SQ. FT.) } \\
& \text { BUILDING HEIGHT }-10.85 \mathrm{M}\left(35^{\prime \prime} 7 \prime\right) \\
& \text { FRONTYARD FACING NO } 4-6.07 \mathrm{M}\left(19^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \text { (TO NEW PROPERTY LINE) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NORTH SIDEYARD }-3.11 \mathrm{M}\left(10^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \text { SOUTH SIDEYARD }-3.54 \mathrm{M}\left(11^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SOUTH SIDEYARD }-3.54 \mathrm{M}\left(11^{\prime} 77^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \text { REAR YARD - } \\
& \hline .16 \mathrm{M}\left(10^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { BICYCLE } \\
\text { VISITOR BIKE RACK } & 2 \\
\text { TOWNHOUSE } & 8 \text { (INSIDE GARAGE) }
\end{array}
$$
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## 0

| $\sim$ |
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|  | $B$ | $\bullet$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| + | 0 | 0 |
| + | 0 | 0 |
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