## City of

Richmond Report to Development Permit Panel

To: Development Permit Panel
From: Wayne Craig Director of Development

Date: April 21, 2023
File: DP 21-932383

Re: Application by Flat Architecture Inc. for a Development Permit at 8951, 8971 Spires Road and 8991 Spires Gate

## Staff Recommendation

That a Development Permit be issued which would:

1. Permit the construction of 22 townhouse units and two secondary suites at 8951 , 8971 Spires Road and 8991 Spires Gate and the surplus portion of Spires Road on a site zoned "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4)" zone; and
2. Vary the provisions of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 to:
a) Reduce the minimum lot width from 40 m to 33.5 m ; and
b) Reduce the minimum lot area from $2,400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $2,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.


Wayne Craig
Director of Development
(604-247-4625)
WC:el
Att. 3

## Staff Report

## Origin

Flat Architecture Inc., on the behalf of 0924206 BC Ltd. (Incorporation number: BC0924206; Directors: Brian R. Purcell, John Young, Dexter Young, Michael Young and Eric Sen Hang Yung), has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to develop 22 residential units and two secondary suites at 8951,8971 Spires Road and 8991 Spires Gate and the surplus portion of the Spires Road road allowance. The applicant has proposed to purchase the surplus road allowance and consolidate it into the development site. A total of 20 multi-level townhouse units and two ground-level flats fronting Spires Road will be included in the development. The unit sizes range between $71.27 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(767 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ and $139.91 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(1,505 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$, providing a mix of two to fivebedroom units. Two of the 20 multi-level townhouse units are proposed to contain a twobedroom secondary suite fronting Spires Gate. Parking will be provided within the parking structure at grade.

The site is being rezoned from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4)" zone for this project under Bylaw 10218 (RZ 18-818420), which received Third Reading following the Public Hearing on January 18, 2021. The site is currently vacant but previously contained three single-family dwellings.

## Servicing Agreement

Frontage improvements, including beautification works along the site frontage (including ditch infill) and future back lane, road widening, new fire hydrants, public walkways on-site and upgrades to the storm sewer and sanitary sewer, were secured through the rezoning process and will be constructed through a separate Servicing Agreement (SA 21-930503). The Servicing Agreement must be entered into prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Development Information

Please refer to the attached Development Application Data Sheet (Attachment 1) for a comparison of the proposed development data with the relevant Bylaw requirements.

## Background

The Spires Road Neighbourhood is identified in the City Centre Area Plan as an area intended to transition from a predominately single-family neighbourhood toward a higher-density neighbourhood.
To the North: Across Spires Gate, Single-family homes on lots zoned "Single Detached (RSI/E)", which are designated as Park under the City Centre Area Plan.

To the South: A recently completed 64-unit high-density townhouse development (RZ 17766525 \& DP 18-829140) on a lot zoned "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4)".

To the East: Across Spires Road, single-family homes on lots zoned "Single Detached ( $\mathrm{RS} 1 / \mathrm{E}$ )", which are designated for medium-density, mid-rise ( $4-8$ storeys) housing with market rentals and affordable housing components, under the recently updated City Centre Area Plan.

To the West: A 19-unit townhouse development (with a common parking structure), on a lot zoned "Town Housing (ZT46) - South McLennan and Brighouse Village (City Centre)".

## Rezoning and Public Hearing Results

The Public Hearing for the rezoning of this site was held on January 18, 2021. No concern regarding the rezoning application was expressed at the Public Hearing.

## Staff Comments

The proposed scheme attached to this report has satisfactorily addressed the significant urban design issues and other staff comments identified as part of the review of the subject Development Permit application. In addition, it complies with the intent of the applicable sections of the Official Community Plan and is generally in compliance with the "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4)" zone except for the zoning variances noted below.

## Zoning Compliance/Variances (staff comments in bold)

The applicant requests to vary the provisions of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 to:

1) Reduce the minimum lot width from 40 m to 33.5 m ;
(Staff support this variance since the subject site is an orphaned site located between Spires Road and a future lane to the west. This corner lot is considered to have its front lot line along Spires Gate and a lot width of approximately 33.5 m . There is no opportunity for the developer to acquire additional property to meet the minimum lot width requirement. This corner site also has an approx. 61 m frontage along Spires Road. The variance was noted at time of rezoning, with no concerns identified at the Public Hearing.)
2) Reduce the minimum lot area from $2,400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $2,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.
(Staff support this variance since the subject site is an orphaned site located between Spires Gate to the north and a recently approved townhouse development to the south. There is no opportunity for the developer to acquire additional property to meet the minimum lot size requirement. The variance was noted at time of rezoning, with no concerns identified at the Public Hearing.)

## Advisory Design Panel Comments

The Advisory Design Panel (ADP) has reviewed the project and supports it. A copy of the relevant excerpt from the Advisory Design Panel Minutes from Wednesday, May 18, 2022 is attached for reference (Attachment 2). The design response from the applicant has been included immediately following the specific Design Panel comments and is identified in 'bold italics'.

## Analysis

## Conditions of Adjacency

- The proposed form of development on the subject site is the same as those on the surrounding properties to the south and west, which are grade-oriented housing in the form of high-density townhouses (i.e., low-rise, street wall buildings with common parking structures concealed from public view by non-parking uses).
- Location and orientation of windows are carefully considered to minimize the opportunity of looking into close-by windows of existing adjacent developments and units proposed on site. Planters are proposed on the roof deck to provide privacy screening between units facing each other across the internal courtyard.
- Lane dedication along the west side of the site for the provision of the future north-south lane parallel to Cooney Road has been secured at Rezoning. Since this future lane along the west property line of the site is not required for access for the proposed development, the lane is not required to be built at this time. The existing site grade along the west edge of the lane dedication area will be maintained to provide an appropriate transition to the adjacent townhouse development to the west. This lane dedication area will be maintained by the site's strata until the lane has become operational; and this arrangement has been secured through the rezoning process.
- To enhance pedestrian circulation within the Spires Road Neighbourhood, a 1.5 m wide SRW along the north side property line of the adjacent development site to the south has been secured for future pedestrian connection between Spires Road and the future back lane. A similar 1.5 m wide SRW along the south property line of the subject site has also been secured at rezoning stage in order to widen the walkway SRW to 3.0 m . Interim sod lawn within the 1.5 m wide SRW on the adjacent property has been provided as part of the adjacent development to the south. As part of this development, the developers are required to remove the sod lawn and construct within the 3.0 m wide SRW a 1.5 m wide concrete walkway and a 0.75 m wide swale for drainage along both edges of the walkway. These arrangements have been secured through the rezoning process and the design and construction of the walkway will be included in the Servicing Agreement.
- Perimeter drainage will be required as part of the Building Permit to ensure storm water is managed and addressed through the development and will not impact the neighbouring properties.


## Urban Design and Site Planning

- The development will contain 22 units, including:
- Two single-level Basic Universal Housing units at grade with direct access to Spires Road and direct access to the parking structure. The BUH units are two-bedroom units and are approximately $71 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(767 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ in size.
- Two four-storey units each with a secondary suite at grade. The secondary suites are two-bedroom units and are approximately $65 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(700 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ in size. The secondary suites will have a direct, street-level entry from Spires Gate. The principal units will have direct access from the podium. These homes will also have direct access to the parking structure.
- Two four-storey units each with a rec room and bathroom on the ground level. These homes will have a direct, street-level entry from Spires Gate, as well as direct access from the podium and the parking structure.
- 16 three-storey townhouse units with main unit entry located on the podium level.
- Three townhouse blocks are proposed and are positioned to enclose a parking structure at grade. The townhouse blocks are connected by the outdoor courtyard space on the podium, above the parking structure.
- Pedestrian access to the podium (via exterior stairs) will be provided on both the Spires Road and the Spires Gate frontages.
- An enclosed lobby is also proposed along the Spires Road frontage to provide a secured space for the mailbox kiosk, elevator to the podium level and access to the enclosed parking area.
- Vehicular access to the parking structure will be from Spires Road.
- 31 residential parking spaces are proposed, which exceeds the minimum bylaw requirement.
- The proposal will feature 16 parking spaces in a tandem arrangement. This arrangement is consistent with the tandem parking provision of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500. A restrictive covenant to prohibit the conversion of the tandem garage area into habitable space, and to ensure that both parking spaces be assigned to the same dwelling unit where two parking spaces are provided in a tandem arrangement, has been secured at rezoning.
- A total of three accessible residential parking stalls are to be provided on site; two spaces will be assigned to the two Basic Universal Housing units proposed, and one space will be designated for visitor parking.
- A total of five visitor parking spaces (including one accessible parking stall) are to be provided throughout the site. The number of visitor parking spaces proposed is in compliance with the minimum bylaw requirement.
- Both internal and external bicycle parking spaces have been incorporated into the proposal and are in compliance with the Zoning Bylaw requirements.
- The enclosed garbage room housing garbage, recycling and organics storage bins is proposed to locate within the parking structure, by the security gate, and adjacent to the loading area.
- Indoor amenity space is not proposed on-site. A $\$ 44,225.00$ cash-in-lieu contribution has been secured as a condition of rezoning approval, consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP).
- The overall size of the proposed outdoor amenity spaces comply with the Official Community Plan (OCP) requirements. The locations and sizes of the outdoor amenity spaces are appropriate for providing open landscape and amenity spaces convenient to all units.
- All of the units will have private outdoor areas at grade and/or on the elevated podium overtop the parking structure. All ground-level flats and secondary suites will have a private outdoor space consisting of a front yard on the street level; all townhouse units will have a private outdoor space consisting of a patio on the podium level and a roof top deck overlooking the internal courtyard.
- While the configurations of some the proposed yard/patio spaces at grade and/or on the podium level are slightly shallower than what is encouraged under the Development Permit Guidelines, the functionality of those yard spaces have not been compromised. Each of the proposed private outdoor space at grade includes a patio area that is large enough to accommodate a table with seating, a lawn area and/or a small garden of perennials, shrubs, with a tree to provide shade. Each of the proposed private outdoor space on the podium level is large enough to host a BBQ and seating area with a table.


## Architectural Form and Character

- The proposed development embodies the Tudor style architecture with varying façade treatment at key points, steep roof pitches, proportionate windows set symmetrically into traditional massing forms and projecting bays with prominent gables.
- The building massing of the four-storey townhouse units along the road frontages are reduced by enclosing the top floor under the pitched roof.
- The top floor is also stepped back to increase sun penetration opportunity onto the internal courtyard on top of the parking podium.
- The proposal reinforces a pedestrian friendly streetscape along the road frontages with individual gates/walkways, street level entries and small gated front yards.
- All dwelling units have direct entrances either from the street or the internal courtyard on the podium. All entrances feature private yards and a lower entry roof to reflect a single-family character.
- The proposed building materials (brick veneer, high density fibre cement boards, stucco, wood trim, post, fascia and barge board, shingles and divided windows) are generally consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) Guidelines.
- Fibre cement boards and stucco are the two dominant materials used on the elevations. Façade details and trim contrast the background colours of the elevations. Asphalt shingles are used to accentuate a single family character. Brick veneer is used as accent material in the lower portions of the buildings. Board and batten with contrasting colours are used on the gable ends to reflect the Tudor style architecture.


## Landscape Design and Open Space Design

- 19 bylaw-sized trees and one hedge row on the subject development site were assessed at the rezoning stage, and all of these trees and hedge row are identified for removal due to poor condition.
- Based on the 2:1 tree replacement ratio goal stated in the Official Community Plan (OCP), 38 replacement trees are required. The applicant is proposing to plant eleven replacement trees on-site, including six conifer and five deciduous trees at grade.
- The applicant has agreed to provide a voluntary contribution of $\$ 20,250.00$ to the City's Tree Compensation Fund in lieu of planting the remaining 27 replacement trees.
- A pedestrian-oriented streetscape along the road frontages is proposed with a defined edge with layered shrub planting, metal picket fence and slightly raised patios.
- Each ground-oriented unit will have a private front yard with a small lawn area and/or patio as well as a tree and landscape area to generate animation along the streetscape.

The front yards will be separated with a low metal fence with landscaping to provide privacy for individual units.

- At the intersection of Spire Road and Spires Gate, low-level ornamental shrubs will be provided to allow for clear visibility for vehicular movements.
- The area in front of the main lobby will be treated with permeable paving and highlighted with trees on either side to accentuate the entrance to the building.
- Decorative concrete paving is proposed at the vehicle entry of the site and permeable paving will be used to delineate the loading area by the vehicle entry.
- The west elevation will be screened by vigorous vines, supported by cable trellises.
- Circulation around the building is provided by a concrete pathway along the south and west property line.
- The courtyard on the podium level provides private patio spaces for residents.

Low cast-in- place planters are proposed along the common walkway to establish an appealing and intimate residential character to encourage socialization and provide for casual surveillance of the common outdoor area.

- There are two outdoor spaces on the podium level:
- A children's play area is proposed at the northwest corner of the courtyard. Play equipment has been chosen to fit into the play area and to provide different play opportunities (i.e., climbing, social, imagination, balance and motor skills) that can be used by different age groups and for multiple purposes. A bench is also provided for caregivers.
- Another outdoor amenity space is proposed at the southeast corner of the courtyard. This space is designed as a social area for more passive activities. Features proposed within this outdoor amenity space include a dining area with an outdoor kitchen and a community garden area with accessible planting pods.
- Planters are proposed at the roof decks to enhance privacy between units facing each other.
- A smart irrigation system fine-tunes its watering based on the amount of light, soil condition and local weather forecast is proposed to ensure continued maintenance of live landscaping.
- In order to ensure that the proposed landscaping works are completed, the applicant is required to provide a landscape security of $\$ 187,615.31$ in association with the Development.


## Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design

- Site lighting and clear sight lines provide unobstructed views of the surrounding area. Walkways are direct and open.
- Wall-mounted lights are proposed on all sides of the parking podium. These lights are provided only where building-mounted lights are incapable of illuminating any external spaces. These lights are indirect and do not offer any unwanted glare with built-in anti-glare shading system.
- Plantings near residential entries are low to maximize views and casual surveillance opportunities of common areas.
- Expansive glazing for each unit increases the visual presence and surveillance along the road frontages, future back lane, outdoor amenity spaces and the courtyard on the podium level.


## Sustainability

- The project will be designed to meet Step Code 3 requirements of the BC Energy Step Code, including energy modelling.
- No thermal breaks are required as no balconies are being proposed. The roof decks will be insulated from below with minimum R40 insulation to meet the minimum requirement.
- Sound transmission through the façade has been evaluated; conventional exterior construction, including windows and doors with standard thermal glazing satisfies the minimum requirements.
- Energy Star appliances, water sense toilets and energy efficient lighting (LED or CFL) will also be provided.


## Accessible Housing

- The proposed development includes two BUH units that are designed to be easily renovated to accommodate a future resident in a wheelchair. These single-storey units are required to incorporate all of the accessibility provisions listed in the Basic Universal Housing Features section of the City's Zoning Bylaw, and are permitted a density exclusion of $1.86 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(20 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per unit.
- All of the proposed units incorporate aging-in-place features to accommodate mobility constraints associated with aging. These features include:
- Stairwell handrails;
- Lever-type handles for plumbing fixtures and door handles; and
- Solid blocking in washroom walls to facilitate future grab bar installation beside toilets, bathtubs and showers.


## Conclusions

As the proposed development would meet applicable policies and Development Permit Guidelines, staff recommend that the Development Permit be endorsed, and issuance by Council be recommended.


Edwin Lee
Planner 2
(604-276-4121)
EL:js

Att. 1: Development Application Data Sheet
2: Excerpt from Advisory Design Panel Meeting Minutes (March 23, 2002)
3: Development Permit Considerations

Address: 8951, 8971 Spires Road and 8991 Spires Gate
Applicant: Flat Architecture Inc. Owner: 0924206 BC Ltd.
Planning Area(s): City Centre
Floor Area Gross: $\quad 2,660.75 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Floor Area Net: $\quad 2,392.95 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site Area: | $2,319.44 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $2,068.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Land Uses: | Single-Family Residential | Multiple-Family Residential |
| OCP Designation: | Low-Density Residential | No Change |
| Area Plan Designation: | City Centre Area Plan Amended July <br> $18,2022:$ <br> Urban Centre T5 <br> Sub-Area B.2: Mixed Use - Mid-Rise <br> Residential \& Limited Commercial | Under the provisions for instream <br> applications: <br> City Centre Area Plan: General Urban T4 <br> Sub-Area B.1: Mixed Use - Low-Rise <br> Residential \& Limited Commercial |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4) |
| Number of Units: | 3 | 22 |


|  | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 1.20 | 1.16 | none permitted |
| Lot Coverage - Building: | Max. $50 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | none |
| Lot Coverage - Non-porous <br> Surfaces: | Max. $80 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | none |
| Lot Coverage - Landscaping: | Min. $20 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | none |
| Setback - Front Yard - Spires <br> Gate $(\mathrm{m}):$ | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Setback - Exterior Side Yard - <br> East - Spires Road (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Setback - Interior Side Yard - <br> West (future lane) (m): | Min. 1.5 m | 1.5 m | none |
| Setback - Rear - South (m): | Min. 1.5 m | 1.5 m | none |
| Height $(\mathrm{m}):$ | Max. $15.0 \mathrm{~m}(4 \mathrm{storeys})$ | $14.8 \mathrm{~m}(4 \mathrm{storeys})$ | none |
| Lot Depth: | Min. 30.0 m | 61.22 m | none |


| Lot Width: | 40 m | 33.5 m | Variance <br> Requested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Area: | Min. 2,400 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $2,068 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | Variance <br> Requested |
| Off-street Parking Spaces - <br> Regular (R) /Visitor (V): | $1.2(\mathrm{R})$ and 0.2 (V) per <br> unit | $1.4(\mathrm{R})$ and 0.2 (V) per <br> unit | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces - Total: | $27(\mathrm{R})$ and $5(\mathrm{~V})$ | $31(\mathrm{R})$ and $5(\mathrm{~V})$ | none |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Max. $50 \%$ of required <br> residential spaces <br> $(27 \times$ Max. $50 \%=13)$ | $12+4$ extra small parking <br> spaces | none |
| Small Car Parking Spaces | Max. $50 \%$ when 31 or <br> more spaces are <br> provided on-site <br> $(36 \times$ Max. $50 \%=18)$ | 10 | none |
| Handicap Parking Spaces: | Min. $2 \%$ when 11 or more <br> spaces are required <br> $(36 \times 2 \%=1$ | spaces) |  |

# Excerpt from the Minutes from The Design Panel Meeting 

Wednesday, May 18, 2022-4:00 p.m.
Rm. M. 1.003
Richmond City Hall

## 1. DP 21-932383-22 TOWNHOUSE UNITS OVER A PARKING PODIUM

 ARCHITECT:FLAT Architecture
LANDSCAPE
Architecture Panel Inc.
ARCHITECT:
PROPERTY
8951, 8971 Spires Road and 8991 Spires Gate
LOCATION:

## Applicant's Presentation

Architect Rajinder Warraich, FLAT Architecture, Inc. and Landscape Architect Ruchir Dhall, Architecture Panel Inc., presented the project and answered queries from the Panel.

## Panel Discussion

Comments from Panel members were as follows:

- the project was presented well by the applicant; the materials package is comprehensive and easy to understand;

Noted.

- the targeted insulation R-values for the proposed design look good; however, concerned on U-values for windows; the targeted 1.4 U-value for windows could be improved to a starting point of 0.39 which is seen in many existing buildings;
$U$ value of windows to be based on energy modelling for Step code 3. It can vary from 39 to 1.4 as per energy model report.
- consider changing the language for solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC) from " 0.32 or higher" to " 0.32 or lower" as the latter values are better;
SHGC value to be .32 or lower as requested.
- consider a minimum HRV Sensible Recovery Efficiency (SRE) of $85 \%$ and not $65 \%$ due to improvements in products and technology;
Increased to $85 \%$.
- the proposed gas or propane fire pit on the podium level outdoor amenity area is not consistent with the overall sustainability objective of the project; consider non fossil fuel-powered alternates such as an electric fire pit;


## Fire pit Removed as suggested.

- appreciate the general landscape approach for the project and the hierarchy of outdoor spaces;

Noted.

- review the size and depth of planters proposed at the podium level to ensure they are adequate for planting and establishing trees; Planting depth and tree location;

Significant amendments have been done at the podium level despite having adequate soil volume for small trees $(\sim 750 \mathrm{~mm})$. They have been replaced with large shrubs like rhododendron vulcan, which assume similar height to the smaller trees creating a much thicker and colorful foliage presence. Also, significant sections of the hard patios have been substituted with water absorbent sod to increase the permeable areas.

- appreciate the separation of the active from passive spaces in the outdoor amenity area; however, review the size of the play equipment and consider other alternatives considering the tightness of the outdoor amenity area; Outdoor;
Amenity area redesigned based on ADP discussion and comments. i.e., the consolidated dining and congregation area proposed towards the outdoor cooking areas to the $N$ and the vegetable planters moved to the $S$ so that both areas have consolidated sections with minimal breaks. Also, the outdoor firepit was taken out to achieve the above configuration per the ADP recommendations.
- ensure that picnic tables and planters at the community garden area are wheelchair accessible;

Yes, all outdoor amenity is accessible.

- look at fencing details to ensure appropriate distance between the pickets as the project moves forward to the next stages of the development process;
Distance between pickets is less than finch and meet BCB 2018.
- there is too much landscaping on the limited open spaces in the project; consider simplifying the landscaping and not program every outdoor amenity space, e.g. a green space could be more appropriate for some areas than installing play areas and outdoor furniture;

The landscaping has been simplified by substituting the thick tree configuration with large shrubs on the podium level. Furthermore, simplification of landscape programming is what we feel an optimum solution to reducing the intense landscaping pointed above.

- overall, the project is well thought out and laid out considering the size of the subject site and the proposed number of housing units;


## Noted.

- consider integrating a parcel delivery drop off area close to the mailbox in the main lobby of the building;

Parcel Delivery area is provided in the vestibule near main entrance. Vestibule with doors in 2 tiers (first door accessible to general public and second door accessible to authorized persons) are provided for security.

- consider adding more details to reflect a true Tudor style considering that the subject site is in a transition area from single-family to higher density residential developments;
The overall design of the buildings reflect a true Tudor style. More wood trims have been added in the elevations, window and door trims have been made more decorative, pitch of the roofs is steep and more masonry has been added on level 1 to give grounding effect.
- note that there is a difference between the renderings presented by the applicant and the landscape plans at the northeast corner of the site; the landscape plans indicate significant grass as opposed to the renderings which show thicker plantings; clarify the difference, including the proposed signage at the northeast corner;
Renderings updated and coordinated with landscape drawings.
- intensive planting at the northeast corner of the subject site could help soften the transition into the neighbourhood and create a gateway condition;
Planting soften along northeast corner as suggested. Very distinct and low height perennials and grasses are proposed outside of the yard hedging to create a visually attractive but non-blocking vegetation arrangement.
- stairways that connect the ground level to the podium level look daunting; consider installing a landing halfway up the stairs to make them more accessible to people with mobility issues;
Provision of landing is not mandatory as per the code. Only the landing in main staircase has been removed because there isn't sufficient space to provide the requisite number of steps after the setback.
- ensure adequate wheelchair turnaround space for at-grade patios for Basic Universal Housing (BUH) units to enhance their accessibility;
Turnaround provided as 1.5 walkways are good for turnarounds.
- consider toning down the differences in character, e.g. materials, colour and texture on the ground floor facades of the building to achieve more consistency; the stucco on the west ground floor façade appears out of character with the rest of the building facades; consider relating the stucco to the other building facades by either adding brick or fiber cement on the west ground floor façade; Noted,

The material is revised and brick added as per suggestion.

- the exterior treatment for the building base facing the street appears flat and is less successful than the treatment for the building base facing the podium; the in and out relationship with the bay windows facing the podium successfully breaks down the building mass;
The main entrance canopies to the units and entrance to the main lobby break the continuity of the material at grade level. The overall building is small and the introduction of brick cladding on the ground floor provides heavier base.
- the metal and glass guardrails appear out of character with the rest of the detailing on the building; look at the metal picket fence on the landscape drawings and consider a greater relationship of the various fencing/guardrail materials;


## Metal and glass guard changed to Picket fence style.

- the metal and glass guardrails on the roof deck facing the podium are pushed forward a bit too much and appear more prominent than the traditional elements on the façade of the lower levels; consider pushing back the metal and glass guardrails to provide more prominence to the traditional elements;
We have converted all railings to picket style fence and have not pushed the railing inwards. Pushing the railing inwards will result in reduction of roof top patio areas.
- the second bedroom of BUH Unit 101 does not have a separate bathing space; the resident will have to go into the accessible bathroom to the master bedroom;
The entire design of BUH units and Level 1 has changed after the ADP meeting; separate bathing space added in the 2nd bathroom of both BUH units.
- in general, the kitchen space looks tight with respect to turning radii; ensure that there is a five-foot turning circle within the working area of the kitchen or clarify if that is being achieved;
The entire design of BUH units and Level 1 has changed after the ADP meeting and this concern has been addressed.
- look at the proximity of the exit from the elevator to the top of the exit stairs on the podium level which could pose a safety concern for a person in wheelchair; review how those elements are related to one another; also look at the screening of exposed condensers in the area if they fit within the overall concept of the project;
In previous submissions the door of the elevator lobby was opening right in front of the top of the staircase. Now the position of this door has been changed to the side of the lobby and it opens on the podium pathway which does not pose any safety concern for a person on wheelchair. The condensers are well screened behind landscape planters.
- appreciate the provision of automated doors in certain locations of the building and not just at the main entrance; also appreciate the installation of wiring for automated door openers for entrances to units;
Noted.
- appreciate the accessibility of gathering places and common amenities such as garbage and recycling;


## Noted.

- consider locating the two designated accessible parking stalls for BUH Units 101 and 102 to the northeast corner behind Unit 102 to make them more accessible to the two BUH units; also consider relocating the accessible visitor parking stall next to the accessible parking stall (i.e., stall number 20) to parking stall number 15 ; the proposal would eliminate the unnumbered stall on the northeast side but would enlarge the size of all accessible parking stalls in the proposed development;
The accessible parking for BUH Unit 1 and 2 are located right near there entrances in the new layout of level 1.
- consider installing doors swinging into the bedroom instead of into the washroom or installing pocket doors for the accessible washrooms of BUH units to enhance their accessibility to people in wheelchairs; updated,

The bathroom door opens towards the bedroom which addresses the concern in this comment.

- consider assigning mailboxes for BUH units which are between 57 cm to 110 cm from the ground level to make them accessible to people in wheelchairs; Noted.
- appreciate the applicant for identifying CPTED strategies for the project;

Noted.

- the landscape design for the project will work well with the neighbourhood; Noted.
- agree with the Panel comment regarding the second bedroom of BUH unit 101 not having access to a bathing space without going to the ensuite washroom for the master bedroom;


## Updated.

- the location of the stove and refrigerator for A3 units are very close to each other, which could potentially impact the working of these appliances;


## Updated.

- look at the door swings not only of the BUH units but also of the refrigerators to ensure ease of opening;
The entire design of BUH units and Level 1 has changed after the ADP meeting. This concern has been addressed in the new design.
- support the Panel comment that the targeted U-values for windows should be pushed further;
$U$ value to decrease based on the Energy modelling and step code requirements.
- ensure accessibility of BUH units to adjacent open spaces;

The patio levels and the levels of the parkade have been kept at same levels as the BUH units for easy accessibility. Grade of all slopes/ ramps leading up to the BUH units is less than 5\%.

- the aluminum and glass guardrails are very prominent and out of character, particularly in the courtyard as they are installed on the edge of the roof deck; support the Panel comment to recess the aluminum and glass guardrails if they will be retained or consider installing a picket style fence;
We have converted all railings to picket style fence and have not pushed the railing inwards. Pushing the railing inwards will result in reduction of roof top patio areas.
- the aluminum and glass guardrails on Level 2 appear too finicky and busy; a picket fence would be more appropriate or if the same materials for the guardrails are retained, consider recessing them back and not repeating them on the roof deck; and


## All railings including guardrails converted to picket style railings.

- support the Panel comment that the architectural expression on Level 1 on the south and west elevations are out of character with the rest of the development; the brick works well in other elevations; however, consider strategically using brick, e.g. in the corners and under the stairs due to cost considerations or if not using brick, consider using an alternate material and colour that blends better with the rest of the development considering that the west elevation will become prominent when the future lane and sidewalk will be constructed.
The material is revised and brick added as per suggestion.


## Panel Decision

It was moved and seconded
That DP 21-932383 be supported to move forward to the Development Permit Panel subject to the applicant giving consideration to the comments of the Advisory Design Panel.

CARRIED

File No.:DP 21-932383

## Prior to approval of the Development Permit, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Receipt of a Letter of Credit for landscaping in the amount of $\$ 187,615.31$ (based on the costs estimate provided by a CSLA registered landscape Architect including $10 \%$ contingency).
2. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 14,250$ to the City's Tree Compensation Fund for the planting of replacement trees within the City.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
2. Incorporation of accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
3. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges associated with eligible latecomer works.
4. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

## Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.
All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.
The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Development.
- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contains prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.


## Signed

## City of Richmond

No. DP 21-932383

To the Holder: Flat Architecture Inc.<br>Property Address:<br>Address:<br>8951, 8971 Spires Road and 8991 Spires Gate<br>6321 King George Boulevard, Unit 209<br>Surrey, BC V3X 1G1

1. This Development Permit is issued subject to compliance with all of the Bylaws of the City applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Development Permit applies to and only to those lands shown cross-hatched on the attached Schedule "A" and any and all buildings, structures and other development thereon.
3. The "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 " is hereby varied to:
a) Reduce the minimum lot width from 40 m to 33.5 m ; and
b) Reduce the minimum lot area from $2,400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $2,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.
4. Subject to Section 692 of the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C.: buildings and structures; off-street parking and loading facilities; roads and parking areas; and landscaping and screening shall be constructed generally in accordance with Plans \#1 to \#46 attached hereto.
5. Sanitary sewers, water, drainage, highways, street lighting, underground wiring, and sidewalks, shall be provided as required.
6. As a condition of the issuance of this Permit, the City is holding the security in the amount of $\$ 187,615.31$ to ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Permit. Should any interest be earned upon the security, it shall accrue to the Holder if the security is returned. The condition of the posting of the security is that should the Holder fail to carry out the development hereby authorized, according to the terms and conditions of this Permit within the time provided, the City may use the security to carry out the work by its servants, agents or contractors, and any surplus shall be paid over to the Holder. Should the Holder carry out the development permitted by this permit within the time set out herein, the security shall be returned to the Holder. The City may retain the security for up to one year after inspection of the completed landscaping in order to ensure that plant material has survived.
7. If the Holder does not commence the construction permitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, this Permit shall lapse and the security shall be returned in full.

# Development Permit 

No. DP 21-932383
To the Holder:
Flat Architecture Inc.

Property Address:
Address:

8951, 8971 Spires Road and 8991 Spires Gate
6321 King George Boulevard, Unit 209
Surrey, BC V3X 1G1
8. The land described herein shall be developed generally in accordance with the terms and conditions and provisions of this Permit and any plans and specifications attached to this Permit which shall form a part hereof.
This Permit is not a Building Permit.

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION NO.
ISSUED BY THE COUNCIL THE DAY OF

DELIVERED THIS DAY OF

MAYOR

City of Richmond



|  | DP 21-932383 SCHEDULE "A" | Original Date: 05/19/21 <br> Revision Date: <br> Note: Dimensions are in METRES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 22 UNITS TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT,

8951,8971 SPIRES ROAD,SPIRES GATE, RICHMOND,B.C

| PROJECT RECONCILIATION |  | DP NO : 21-932383 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIVIC ADDRESS: |  | 8951, 8971 Spires RD \& 8991 Spires Gate Richmond BC |  |  |  |
| LOTAREA |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL: | 24,966.24 | SQ.FT. | 2319.44 m |  |
|  | DEDICATONS: | 2,704.34 | SQ.FT. | 251.24 SQMT |  |
|  | NET AREA: | 22,261.90 | SQ.FT. | 2068.2 SQMT |  |
| ZONING |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CURRENT | RS1/E |  |  |  |
|  | PROPOSED | RTP4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FA.R CALCULATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FAR PROPOSED |  |  | 1.17 | on net |
| FLOOR AREA | (EXCLUDING PARKING) |  |  |  |  |
|  | LEVELS |  |  | RESIDENTAL |  |
|  |  |  |  | NET |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PARKADELEVEL-LVL 1 |  |  | $4,249.00$ Sq.Ft. | 394.75 M 2. |
|  | PODIUMLEVEL-LVL2 |  |  | $9,835.00$ Sq.Ft. | 913.70 M 2 . |
|  | THIRD FLOOR-LVL 3 |  |  | $9,868.00$ Sq.Ft. | 916.77 M2. |
|  | FOURTHFLOOR-LVL4 |  |  | $4,688.00 \mathrm{Sq}$.Ft. | 435.53 M 2. |
|  | GROSS TOTAL AREA |  |  | 28,640.00 Sq.Ft. | 2,660.75 M2. |
|  | Deduction of 10 m 2 per stair per unit tor 20 units |  |  | 2,655.46 Sq.Ft. | 246.70 M 2. |
|  | ( see able on right) |  |  |  |  |
|  | NET TOTAL AREA |  |  | 25,984.54 Sq.Ft. | 2,414.05 Sq.Ft. |
|  |  |  |  | OUTDOOR AMENITY SPACE: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | REQUIRED 6 m 2 per unit | 1420 f12 $(132 \mathrm{~m} 2)$ |
|  |  |  |  | PLUS 10\% OF NETSITE AREA | 2227 f12 (206.9 m2) |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL REQUIRED | 3647 fi2 ( 338.9 m ) |
|  |  |  |  | PROPOSED | 4430 ft2 ( 411.56 m ) |
| UNIT COUNT: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 bed room Units | 18 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Bedroom HCC accessible Units (BUH units) | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Bed TH units c/w Seconday Suite |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total No of Units | 22 |  |  |  |
| OFF STREETPARKING |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | RESIDENCES: |  |  |  | SAY |
|  | REQUIRED | TOWNHOUSE | $22 \times 1.2$ | $=26.4$ SPACES | 27 SPACES |
|  | PROVIDED |  |  | All Units to have Level 2 Charging Stations. | 31 SPACES |
| 高 | VISITORS |  |  |  |  |
|  | REQUIRED |  | $22 \times .2$ | $=4.4$ SPACES | 5 SPACES |
|  | PROVIDED |  |  |  | 5 SPACES |
|  |  |  | TOTAL |  | 36 SPACES |
| $\square$ | TANDEM PARKING ASPACES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Max $50 \%$ of required spaces ( $50 \%$ of 32 ) |  | 16 |  |  |
|  | PROVIDED |  | 16 |  |  |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SMALL CAR SPACES: |  |  |  |  |
|  | PROVIDED |  |  | 2 Visitor and 8 Residential |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACES: |  |  |  |  |
|  | REQUIRED |  | 2\% of 33 spaces | 5. 66 spaces say 1 space |  |
|  | PROVIDED |  | - | 3 Parking Spaces in total ( 2 for Accessible units +1 for Visitor) |  |
| BICYCLE PARKING |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REQUIRED |  | $22 \times 1.25$ | 27.5 | 28 SPACES |
|  | PROVIDED |  |  |  | 33 SPACES |
|  | CLASS 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | REQUIRED |  | 22X. 2 | 4.4 | 5 SPACES |
|  | PROVIDED |  |  |  | 5 SPACES |














## ACCESSIBLITY PLAN PODUMLIT




FLOOR SURFACE:
Floor finishes to be Slip Resistant
DOORS AND DOORWAYS:
All Exterior Doors to have flush threshold BUH units
All Doors to Accessible units to be min of
${ }^{855 \mathrm{~mm}}$ Maneuvering space to meet BUH guidelines e.g 1220 on push side wih 600 mm clearance
on door handle side
one window is being provided to meet min sil
height of 750 mm in bedroom
LETS AND SWITCHES
Outlets, Thermostats and switches to meet BUH guidelines
athrooms:
one bathroom is being provided to meet the BUH guidelines in bedroom.
(1) $\frac{\mathrm{BUH}-1}{\text { Scale: } 3 / 16^{" \prime}=1}$



(1) FIRE DEPT. ACCESS/ PODIUM - $-\frac{\text { LEVEL } 2}{\text { seve: } 2, S_{S}=1}$
$1-1$



|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

PROPOSED SITE FILL VOLUME: SITE AREA $=1958 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
EXISTING AVERAGE SITE GRADE $=0.48 \mathrm{~m}$ FILL VOLUME $=(1.25 \mathrm{~m}-0.48 \mathrm{~m}) * 1985 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=1528.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

( CoreConcept $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cel. 604-249-5040 } \\ & \text { e-mail info@coreconcept.com } \\ & \text { \#220-2639 viking way, Richmond. BC, v6V 3B7 }\end{aligned}$ \#220-2639 Viking Way, Richmond, BC, v6V 3B7

DP $21-932383$


Plan\#16 Aр

| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { TITLE: } & \text { LOT GRADING PLAN } \\ & 8951,8971,8991 \text { SPIRES ROAD }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESIGN: JH |  |  |
| DRAWN: HH | DWG. No.: |  |
| CHECKED: NMM | SCALE: AS SHOWN | DATE: APR 2018 |
| engineer: ts | SEC. No.: 9-4-6 | SHT No.: 2 OF 2 |



$1 \frac{\mathrm{Key} \text { Plan-Street Leve }}{\text { Scale; }}$


2 SOME PROPOSED PLANTS

## DESIGN RATIONALE AND SUMMARY

Being an infil development among a mix of otter townhous
demands senstivy and appropiate placement of e lements.
 the unts and the
for the deck level.
The planting on the boulevard edges has been very carafilly conf gured with some matching trees of the surrounding bouievardscape and
 level planting, we have chosen to IIIIt the trees and dlace the bullit up plat
treas selected for the internal lyyuut ree smal and relatively less in umber.
This development ts amidsta a raplaly changing area where a number of developments have
concept advocaties a senstive scheme that megges with he general transormation of the area
The mult-user nature of the restidential develomement demmands prvacy tor the varlous unts and thelr accessille spaces. The element used to
 plenats are elese
texuturl relef
The north east corner ofthe site, and the area by main lobby sre treated with multiple layers of ornamental grasses and llowering perennials
Which ere placed


Vines and trellses are proposed along the west side of the building providing additional wsually appeailing green elements to the bulding to
Outdoor Spaces
The outcoor anenity has been destigned with a linear two zone concept. The main constituents are the Social recrietionen area and a
playground. The


- Cilp concrese

$3 \frac{\text { ProIJCT SIGNaGE DETALI (F2) }}{\text { Scole. }}$
3 Scele:NTI


## GENERAL NOTES

, soil qualiy and plant sizes to meet






| Lewn areas | ${ }_{4500 \mathrm{~mm}}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Shrub Areas | 450 mm |
| Tree Pits | ${ }_{300} 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ (around rood |

 cone offiste using a mechanized screening process. Proposed growing medium shall be tested by a recognizeed laboratory. The contractor ter
 Including wooty
forei in objects.
All planting beds shall receive min. 50 mm bark mulch.


Alant species and varateles may not bee substluted without hh approval of the Lendsccape architect

al planted areas to be equipped with automatic ririgation sysustem.
Automatic coned irigation system to be provided with following primary zones,
Street level boulevard areas Including the Row's,seftecks not part of pivate yards, driveway access etc. These include chiefy Inground Planting: Podiume publle areas. TTis includes planting in planter beds and on slab Indivicual yards on site leem: Mainl inground planting.

These have been carefully chosen to address the varying volume of growing medium to be catered for and will employ suitable heads and discharge.
landscape Plans are prepared based on latest archltecture drawings Revision no. 3 on 30 March 2022

| SEE L8 FOR SOIL VOLUME CALCULATION OF PODIUM LEVEL | SEE PAVING/SITE FURNISHING LEGENDS ONL4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Planter. | SEE L8 FOR SOLL VOLUME |
| SEE L5 FOR PLANTER HEIGHT OF PODIUM LEVEL | CALCULATION AND PLANTER HEIGHT OFROOFTOP PLANTERS |

Further, whe have proposed use of native plants requirng lower water intake and offering tres that encourage bird habitat such as the 'Bitter
Cherv' 'owards the lane.

| ARCHITECTURE PANEL INc. <br> ARCHITECTURE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE URBANDESIGN <br> Unit 206, 1493 Foster Street, White Rock, EC 16047831450 I ruchirearchitecturepanel.com <br> These Drawlings and the design are and at all times remain the exclusive property of Design Panel Inc. and cannot be used without the Landscape Architects written consent. Contractor is responsible for vermication of all dimensions, mmediately to the Landscape Architect. Any changes made without the Landscape Architect's witten consent shall be the contractor's sole responsibility. Do not scale drawings. Dimensions govern. Design Panel lic. All rights reserved. <br> an \#18 |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Propect
8951, 8971 Spires Rd,
8991 Spires Gate
Owner

Sheot THe
KEY PLAN

| Total Sheets <br> 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sheet No. } \\ & \text { L1 } \end{aligned}$ | Contractos | Consultants <br> FLAT Architecture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn By } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | Checked By <br> RD | AHJ <br> City of Richmond | Documents <br> DP Application |
| Reviewed By <br> RD | Staus |  |  |


| No | Date | Issue Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 05.04-22 | DP Resubutission |
| L | 05-07.22 | DP Resubmission |
| M | 03-10-22 | DP Pesummission |
| N | 27-10-22 | DP Pasubmisision |
| $\bigcirc$ | $20.01-23$ | DP Pesubmission |
| $\stackrel{\square}{P}$ | 21.03-23 | DP Rasubmission |
| $\bigcirc$ | 03.0423 | DPResulbmision |







ARCHITECTURE PANEL inc.
Archrectume lanoscape acchifectupe uraan oes,





Plan \# Po

| Total Sheets 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sheet No. } \\ & \text { L3 } \end{aligned}$ | Contractors | Consultants FLAT Architecturel Inc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drawn By SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Checked By } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | AHJ City of Richmond | Documents <br> DP Application |
| Reviewed By RD | Status REZONING |  |  |
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(P5) Able
Desere Sand Fnsts. (L53)



Peesestal ${ }^{\text {In }}$

## Stite Furrishing Legend

(19) Wall Trells bor the Vines. See Detail (LIO/5)

$\stackrel{(63)}{(F 4)}$ Controlede Bollard as per racthlectural


(F6) Macun scuare bouluro
(7) Entrance gate for the unt


(Fi0) Vegeabele eplonters fabicicated on Auminum Frimes. See Deatis



(Fi5) Pattion dosign


FFiB) Kompan Sitinger (11/2)

ARCHITECTURE PANEL INC archifecture lanoscape archircture uraan oesign





Plan \#21

| Total Sheets <br> 18 | Sheet No. | Contractrs | Consultants FLAT Architecturel Inc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn By } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Checked By } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | AHJ City of Richmond | Documents <br> DP Application |
| Reviewed By <br> RD | Status <br> REZONNG |  |  |

April 20, 2023

No Date Issue Notes

 M $\quad 03-10.22$ DP Resestomisission


03.04-23 DPRessubmisision


HARDSCAPE/S
FURNISHINGS FURNISHINGS
${ }_{8951,8971 \text { Spires Rd }}$
8991 Spires Gate
8991


Harcscape Plan-Deck Level
Scle: : 196





DP 21-932383 Plan \#22

| 8951, 8971 Spires Rd, 8991 Spires Gate | ${ }_{18}^{\text {Total Sheets }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sheet No. } \\ & \text { L5 } \end{aligned}$ | Contractas | Consultants <br> FLLT Architecturel Inc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ommer | Drawn By <br> SD | Checked By <br> RD | AHJ City of Richmond | Documents <br> DP Application |
| Sheet Tite HARDSCAPE DECKIEVEL | ${ }_{\text {Roden }}^{\text {Reved }}$ By | Status <br> REZONING |  |  |

April 20, 2023

Shapes and Sizes
Standard sizes:

- $610 \pi m \times 305 m m \times 50 m m\left(24^{4} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ Special 0 rder





${ }^{3} \sqrt{\text { Abborsford Concrete Slab - Texada Hydrapessed Slab on pedestal(P8 \& P5) }}$





DP 21-932383 Plan \#24
${ }^{\text {Prolect }}$ 8951, 8971 Spires Rd, 8991 Spires Gate

8991
ommer

Sheot TViving Street level
PLANTING

| Total Sheets <br> 18 | Sheet No. | Contractors | Consultants FLAT Architecturel Inc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn By } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | Checked By <br> RD | AHJ <br> City of fichmond | Documents DP Application |
| Reviewed By <br> RD | Statu REZONING |  |  |


| No | Date | 1 Issue Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 05.04-22 | DP Resummission |
| L | 05-07-22 | DPPassumilission |
| M | 03-10-22 | DP Resummission |
| N | 27-10-22 | DP Resummission |
| $\bigcirc$ | 20-01-23 | DP Rasubmisision |
| P | 21:03.23 | DP Ressumisision |
| Q | 03.04-23 | OP Pessumbssion |

$\qquad$


| Tota Sheels 18 | Sheet No <br> L8 | Contraciors | Consultants FLAT Architecturel Inc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn By } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Checked By } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | AHJ <br> City of Richmond | Documents DP Application |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reviewed By } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | Status REZONING |  |  |



PPlattug Plan-RoofLLevel
PPlattug Plan-RoofLLevel
Scale::96
Scale::96
$\underset{\substack{\text { GL12/4) }}}{\text { GRENVIL PLANTERS. (Ssee }}$
A smarimgaton system wide provded to cover al common landscaping areas.


| List of Shrubs- Ground Level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 avanly | Lataname | Common Namo | Solteo |
| (4) | ca |  | Fousater Featherefeed Conas |  |
| © | Pa1 14 | Pammexemm lipaundess | Hemono Cowad Fountan Onas | 42001 |
| © | po ${ }_{45}$ | Pembasum orenente | Orionaleantan Ciass | 43000 |
| (a) | ER ${ }^{12}$ | Ethamas Poutre: | Puvio conomexeresotal (tara) | \# 1 mol |
| (1) | Hs ${ }^{\circ}$ | Helloraterns sempenvens | Bue oaicasas | *10 |
| (1) | ${ }^{\text {Ix }} 102$ |  | raw matovorater | 1.5 mm |
| \% | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  | Bill Maic Camololley | 41001 |
| \% | ${ }^{\text {HL }} 102$ |  | Lomon Yelow ouvir | *1001 |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }^{\text {Hv }} \quad 10$ | Hecestase vas | Corabible | 41800 |
| 标 | pom | Poossectum muntum |  | 42001 |
| \% | Ry |  |  | 4noer |
| (). | mait | Matona auwolum | Craencraw | 4500 |
| (1) |  | Lewendur vas | Lavoracor | 41001 |
| (4) | ${ }_{4}{ }^{2}$ |  | Wer reno O Stmon | 1.5 mmb |
| -4, ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 4*0 |  | Choocole wro |  |
| (6) | voin | Sverane | owerreen luctoberx |  |
| ${ }^{*}$ | pu | Popheibum munem | serovion | 45000 |
| 6 | 10 | Leamerase |  | 141001 |



## List of Shrubs- Podium Leve


List of Shrubs- Roof Level

| (e) | ${ }_{\text {RR }}^{10}$ | Quantity | Latin Name | Common Nam |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (®) | R | 120 | Roan Mute | Noothe Foue |  |




Abobistord AquaPave Taupe Alend (P3)


Abblsfad Aquape Desert Sand Blend (P)
Scle: NTS

5 | Schematc Representatoon of Planting (Fi) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scale: NTS |



$6 \underbrace{\text { swale detall }}_{\text {scale NTS }}$
der ground

DESIGN WTH THE FLEXX COLLECTION BY MAGLIN

$4^{4} \mathrm{Hgh}$ Magiln Flexx Fence (FF1, Fif)

## 00

$8{ }^{8} \frac{\text { PROPOSED SQUARE BLLLARDS (F6) }}{\text { Scie: NTS }}$


ARCHITECTURE PANEL Inc.

 and


${ }_{8}^{\text {Proast }} 8951,8971$ Spires Rd, 8991 Spires Gate
omer
Shneat Tive
AMENITY/DETAILS-1

| Tota Sheets 18 | Sheet No. L10 | Contractors | Consultants <br> Architecture Panel Inc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn By } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Checked By } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | AHJ City of Richmond | Documents |
| Reviewed By <br> RD | Status REZONING |  |  |

April 20, 2023

$\frac{\text { Ralsed Vegetable Planter Detal (FF10) }}{\text { Scele: NTS }}$

Play Tower with ADA Stairs


[^0]
$2 \frac{\mathrm{KO}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{KOMPAN} \text { sTINGER(FIB) }}{\text { Scele: }}$

Kids Table with 4 Sitting Poles комрам:


3 KIS TABLE WITH SITTING (FFIG)
Scele: NTS


DP 21-932383 Plan \#28
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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$1{ }^{\text {Proposed OutDOOR EENCH (FI2) }}$

$2 \frac{\text { PROPOSED OUTDOOR DINING SET (F9) }}{\text { Scale: NTS }}$

$3{ }^{3}$ Proposed bike Rack (F4)

Perth
yive nem
5ixam


SEE PAVING/SITE FURNISHING LEGENDS ON L4.


DP 21-932383 Plan \#29

| 8951, 8971 Spires Rd, 8991 Spires Gate | Total Sheets 18 | Sheet No. <br> L12 | Contractors | Consultants <br> FLAT Architecturel Inc. |
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## ■ASSEMELY



1 Workbench with Storage (F14)
Scale NTS


Typical Residential Driveway/Sidewalk Construction





Plan \#30
${ }_{8}^{\text {Podect }} 1,8971$ Spires Rd, 8991 Spires Gate

Sheat Tite
DETAlLS-


DP 21-932383
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn By } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crocked By } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | AHJ Cily of Richmond | Oocurnents DP Application |
| Reviewed By <br> RD | Status REZONING |  |  |



April 20, 2023

terior walls.

The same concept appled on the Norh slde of the
ste and wall mounled lights are mounted on sullding walls.
tane along the west and south property line
Wit im walkway is illuminieted with wall mounted


The main design criterra followed for lighting are
Landscape lights are provided only where ullding mounted lights are incepabile Uuminating any external spaces.
Most landscape Ilght used are Indirect and do
not otfer any unwanted glare with bulld In anti not otfer any $y$ wnwatied $g$
slares shading systems.
No direct lighting fixtures are placed anywhere
on the sulte that offer securlty and reduce light pollution.



2 Wall Mounted Lght
Sale: NTs
$1{ }^{1} \frac{\text { Llghtng Plan-Street Level }}{\text { Sclal: }}$


Prolect
8951,8971 Spires Rd, 8991 Spires Gate
Owner

LIGHTING PLAN (SITE)

| $\underset{18}{\text { Toial Sheels }}$ | Sheet No <br> L14 | Contractors | Consultants <br> FLAT Architecturel Inc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dram By } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Checked By } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | AHJ Clity of Rlichmond | Documents DP Application |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reviewed By } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | Status REZONING |  |  |

April 20, 2023

At he polium level.there Is enough light just belsde the staris on NW and by the helip of wall where lighting fixtures mounted will evenentily heps to redicue the back spill It thlt case.


 would be
objects.

To aid hhe process of enhencing outdor geathering area there are two
bollards IIGhts which can illuminate the fire pit as well as the entuance pathway. Moreovere, wall mounted llght thas been selectected for dodormen pathway. Morever, wall
ofktchen seatigg rea.
The maln design criteria tollowed for IIghting are as ollows
Landscape lights are provided only where bullding mounted llghts
-Most landscape Ilghts used are indiriect and do not offer any
No direct lighting fixtures are placed anywhere on the sullte that offer
securlty and recouce light pollution.



 Scale: 196
$\underset{\substack{\text { Step LGHT } \\ \text { Alconloting }}}{ }$

ARCHITECTURE PANEL INC





Plan \#32

Proieot
8951,
8971 Spires Rd, 8991 Spires Gate
amer

LGHITNG PLAN (DECK
LEVEL)

| Total Sheets <br> 18 | Sheet No. <br> L15 | Contractors | Consulants <br> FLAT Architecturel Inc |
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(2) Sectional detail of canopy over door $\frac{\text { sale: } 1 / 4=1=1}{}$

(1) Podium Level Elevations / WEST ELEVATION

MATERIAL BOARD PLAN
TAG NO

1 TRIM BOARDERS/POSTS: PAINT TO MATCH AGED PEWTER 2 ASPHAL SHIRINGLES: TIMBERLINE HD PEWTER GRAY $\begin{array}{cl}2 & \text { ASPHAL SHIRINGLES: TIMBERLINE HD PEWIER GRAY } \\ 3 & \text { EXTERIOR HIGH DENSITY FIBRE CEMENT BOARD CIW FASY }\end{array}$ \begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline 3 \& EXIERIOR HIGH DENSITM <br>
\hline \& TRIMS TO MATCH: AGED PEWTER <br>
\hline

 4 TRADITIONAL 3 COAT STUCCO SYSIEM. ARCIIC WHITE 6 FASCIA AND BARGE BOARD: SHERWIN WILLIAM ELLIE GRAY 

\hline 7 \& BLACK WINDOWS C/W BLACK FRAME WITH MUNTINS <br>
\hline 8 \& REFR <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} 8 REFER LANDSCAPE DWGS FOR PLANTING/VINES


$\frac{1}{4}$


Conlactelatalachieditu

${ }_{29-M a r-23}$
ROJECT NO:
17-127 DRALE: DTBY: $\begin{array}{ll}\text { SCALE: } & \text { DRAW } \\ \text { As Noted } & \text { R.W }\end{array}$
$\qquad$

|  |  |  | $\frac{3}{x \mid}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |



ELEVATION



(2) TYPICAL PATIO SECTION $\frac{\text { Sole: } 1 \beta^{\beta=1}=1}{}$

(1) Podium Level Elevations Building 2 (South Side) $\frac{\text { sale: } 2 / 16=1 l^{\prime}}{}$

|  | MATERIAL BOARD PLAN |
| :---: | :---: |
| TAG NO |  |
| 1 | TRIM BOARDERS/POSTS: PAINT TO MATCH AGED PEWTER |
| 2 | ASPHAL SHIRINGLES: TIMBERLINE HD PEWTER GRAY |
| 3 | EXTERIOR HIGH DENSITY FIBRE CEMENT BOARD C/W EASY |
|  | TRIMS TO MATCH: AGED PEWTER |
| 4 | TRADITIONAL 3 COAT STUCCO SYSTEM: ARCTIC WHITE |
| 5 | MIDNIGHT SKY : MUTUAL MATERIALS |
| 6 | FASCIA AND BARGE BOARD: SHERWIN WILLIAM ELLIE GRAY |
| 7 | BLACK WINDOWS C/W BLACK FRAME WITH MUNTINS |
| 8 | REFER LANDSCAPE DWGS FOR PLANTING/VINES |
| 9 | PAVERS: REFER LANDSCAPE DWGS |


(1) North Elevation


(1) VIEW FROM SPIRES RD

DP 21-932383 Plan \#43 April 20, 2023

(1) VIEW FROM CORNER OF SPIRES GATE AND SPIRES RD

DP 21-932383 Plan \#44 April 20, 2023


(1) VIEW FROM NORTHWEST CORNER $\frac{\text { Sale: .T.S. }}{\text { (1) }}$


[^0]:    1 KOMPAN PLAY TOWER WTH ADA STARRS (FIT)
    scate: NTs

