## Report to Committee

To: Planning Committee
From: Wayne Craig

Date: June 28, 2019
File: RZ 17-790301

Director, Development
Re: Application by Spires Road Development Holdings Ltd. for Rezoning at 8671, 8691, 8711 and 8731 Spires Road and the Surplus Portion of the Spires Road and Cook Crescent Road Allowance from "Single Detached (RS1/E)" Zone to "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4)" Zone

## Staff Recommendation

That Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10058, for the rezoning of 8671, 8691, 8711 and 8731 Spires Road and the surplus portion of the Spires Road and Cook Crescent road allowance from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4)" zone, be introduced and given First Reading.


| REPORT CONCURRENCE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTED TO: | CONCURRENCE | CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER |
| Real Estate Services |  |  |
| Affordable Housing |  |  |
| Engineering |  |  |
| Transportation |  |  |

## Staff Report

## Origin

Spires Road Development Holdings Ltd. have applied to the City of Richmond for permission to rezone $8671,8691,8711$ and 8731 Spires Road and the surplus portion of the Spires Road and Cook Crescent road allowance (Attachment 1) from the "Single Detached (RS1/E)" zone to the "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4)" zone in order to permit the development of 22 townhouse units and two secondary suites with a common parking structure accesses via Cook Crescent. A preliminary site plan, building elevations, and landscape plan are contained in Attachment 2.

## Findings of Fact

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is attached (Attachment 3).

## Subject Site Existing Housing Profile

The site currently contains four single-family homes, which will be demolished. The applicant has advised that all of the four houses on-site are rented out; and there are no suites in the houses.

## Surrounding Development

The Spires Road Neighbourhood is identified in the City Centre Area Plan as an area intended to transition from a predominately single-family neighbourhood toward a higher density neighbourhood through the development of townhouse buildings with parking structures. All properties adjacent to the subject site are designated for high density townhouse developments under the City Centre Area Plan.

- To the North: Single-family homes on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)".
- To the South: Across Spires Road, single-family homes on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)".
- To the East: Across Cook Crescent, single-family homes on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)".
- To the West: Single-family homes on lots zoned "Single Detached (RS1/E)".


## Related Policies \& Studies

## Official Community Plan/City Centre Area Plan

The 2041 Official Community Plan (OCP) Land Use Map designation for the subject development site is "Neighbourhood Residential". This redevelopment proposal is consistent with this designation.

The subject development site is located within the Brighouse Village of the City Centre Area Plan (CCAP), Schedule 2.10 of the Official Community Plan (OCP) Bylaw No. 7100 (Attachment 4). The site is in "Sub-Area B.1: Mixed Use - Low-Rise Residential \& Limited Commercial", which is intended for grade-oriented housing in the form of higher-density townhouses (with common parking structures) in areas north of Granville Avenue within the city centre. The preliminary design of the proposal featuring high density townhouses with a common parking structure generally complies with the CCAP Guidelines in terms of land use, density, and overall neighbourhood character. Further consideration of the Development Guidelines and form and character will take place at the Development Permit stage of the process.

The proposed rezoning is subject to a community planning implementation contribution for future community planning initiatives. Since the application was submitted prior to February 18, 2018 (adoption of Bylaw 9792 to update the amenity and planning contributions with inflation), the applicant will make a cash contribution of $\$ 0.25$ per buildable square foot as per the community planning implementation strategy, for a total contribution of $\$ 10,344.35$ prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## OCP Aircraft Noise Sensitive Development (ANSD) Policy

The subject development site is located within Area 4 (Aircraft Noise Notification Area) on the OCP Aircraft Noise Sensitive Development Map. While all aircraft noise sensitive land uses (including residential uses) maybe considered, registration of an Aircraft Noise Sensitive Use Covenant on Title to address aircraft noise mitigation and public awareness is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw. At Development Permit stage, submission of an acoustic report, prepared by a qualified professional, is required to address indoor sound level mitigation criteria as set out in the OCP and identify how noise mitigation measures will be incorporated into the building design.

## Floodplain Management Implementation Strategy

The proposed redevelopment must meet the requirements of the Richmond Flood Plain Designation and Protection Bylaw 8204. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on Title is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Affordable Housing Strategy

In addition to the provision of two secondary suites on site, the applicant proposes to make a cash contribution to the Affordable Housing Reserve Fund in accordance to the City's Affordable Housing Strategy. As the proposal is for townhouses, the applicant will make a cash contribution of $\$ 8.50$ per buildable square foot as per the Strategy; for a contribution of $\$ 351,707.84$.

## Public Art Program Policy

In response to the City's Public Art Program (Policy 8703), the applicant will provide a voluntary contribution at a rate of $\$ 0.83$ per buildable square foot ( 2017 rate) to the City's Public Art Reserve fund; for a total contribution in the amount of $\$ 34,343.24$.

## Public Consultation

A rezoning sign has been installed on the subject property. Staff have not received any comments from the public about the rezoning application in response to the placement of the rezoning sign on the property.

Should the Planning Committee endorse this application and Council grant First Reading to the rezoning bylaw, the bylaw will be forwarded to a Public Hearing; where any area resident or interested party will have an opportunity to comment.

Public notification for the Public Hearing will be provided as per the Local Government Act.

## Analysis

## Road Closure

A new narrower 16.0 m wide road cross-section for the Spires Road Neighbourhood has been established by Transportation, Engineering, Real Estate Services and Development Applications staff to better support the development of high density townhouses with parking structures - the form of development specifically envisioned for this area in the City Centre Area Plan. This new road cross-section has been applied to the first high density townhouse development project on Spires Road at 8820-8931 Spires Road (RZ 17-766525, Bylaw 9914, which received Third Reading on October 15, 2018).

Based on the new road cross-section and the preliminary functional road design reviewed and accepted by Engineering and Transportation Departments, 2.05 m of the existing Spires Road road allowance and of Cook Crescent road allowance adjacent to the frontages of the subject development site have been identified for road closure (Attachment 5). The area, which is $237.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,555.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$, is surplus to Engineering and Transportation needs.

Prior to rezoning bylaw adoption, the applicant is required to enter into a purchase and sales agreement with the City for the purchase of the lands, which is to be based on the business terms approved by Council. The primary business terms of the purchase and sales agreement will be brought forward to Council with the road closure bylaw, in a separate report from the Senior Manager, Real Estate Services.

## Tree Retention and Replacement

The applicant has submitted a Certified Arborist's Report; which identifies on-site and off-site tree species, assesses tree structure and condition, and provides recommendations on tree retention and removal relative to the proposed development. The Report assesses 15 bylaw-sized trees on the subject development site, seven trees on neighbouring properties, and three street trees on City property.

The City's Tree Preservation Coordinator and Parks Operations staff have reviewed the Arborist's Report and supports the Arborist's findings, with the following comments:

- A 65 cm caliper Austrian Pine tree (specifically tag\# 810) and a 45 cm caliper Blue Spruce tree (specifically tag\# 811) located at the northeast corner of the site are identified
in good condition and should be retained. The proposed building footprint has been revised to allow these two trees to be retained.
- Two Sitka Spruce trees ( $45 \mathrm{~cm} \& 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ dbh, specifically tag\# 812 \& 814) and one 68 cm caliper Douglas Fir tree (specifically tag\# 813) are all identified in good condition but are located within the proposed building envelope and in conflict with the proposed pedestrian walkway along the north property line. These trees are interdependent with each other and therefore not good candidates for relocation. Significant modification to the proposed building would be required to retain these trees and considering that efforts have been made to retain the Austrian Pine tree and Blue Spruce tree located at the northeast corner of the site, staff recommend that these trees be removed and replaced by three large specimen trees. The size, species and location of these large specimen trees will be determined at Development Permit stage.
- Two Norway Spruce trees ( $56 \mathrm{~cm} \& 51 \mathrm{~cm}$ dbh, specifically tag\# $822 \& 823$ ) located on the west property line (appear shared with the neighbouring property) and one Norway Spruce tree ( 51 cm dbh, specifically tag\# N05) located on the adjacent property to the west at 8751 Spires Road are at high risk of failure. These trees are recommended for removal; consent letter from the neighbouring property owner is on file. A separate Tree Permit will be required for the removal of the Norway Spruce tree (tag\# N05) located on 8751 Spires Road.
- Eight trees (specifically tag\# $815,816,817,818,819,820,821$ and 824 ) located on the development site are either dead, dying (sparse canopy foliage), have been previously topped or exhibit structural defects such as cavities at the main branch union and co-dominant stems with inclusions. As a result, these trees are not good candidates for retention and should be replaced.
- Six trees on neighbouring properties (specifically tag\# N01, N02, N03, N04, N06 \& N07) are to be protected as per City of Richmond Tree Protection Information Bulletin Tree-03.
- Three City trees, including two Maple trees ( $45 \mathrm{~cm} \& 43 \mathrm{~cm}$ dbh, specifically tag\# C01 \& C02) and one Plum tree ( 20 cm dbh, specifically tag\# C03), located along the site's Cook Crescent frontage, may be removed due to their poor condition and conflicts with required frontage improvements (i.e., ditch infill and new sidewalk). Compensation of $\$ 3,250$ is required for the removal of the three trees. New street trees will be planted as part of the frontage works via the Servicing Agreement.


## Tree Replacement

The applicant wishes to remove 13 on-site trees. The $2: 1$ replacement ratio would require a total of 26 replacement trees for the removal of 13 trees. According to the Preliminary Landscape Plan provided by the applicant (Attachment 2), the applicant proposes to plant 59 new trees on-site. The size and species of replacement trees will be reviewed in detail through Development Permit and overall landscape design.

## Tree Protection

Two trees on-site and six trees on neighbouring properties are to be retained and protected. The applicant has submitted a tree protection plan showing the trees to be retained and the measures taken to protect them during development stage (Attachment 6). To ensure that the trees identified for retention are protected at development stage, the applicant is required to complete the following items:

- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission to the City of a contract with a Certified Arborist for the supervision of all works conducted within or in close proximity to tree protection zones. The contract must include the scope of work required, the number of proposed monitoring inspections at specified stages of construction, any special measures required to ensure tree protection, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction impact assessment to the City for review.
- Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, submission to the City of a Tree Survival Security in the amount of $\$ 20,000$ to ensure that the 65 cm caliper Austrian Pine tree (specifically tag\# 810) and the 45 cam caliper Blue Spruce tree (specifically tag\# 811), both identified for retention, will be protected. No Tree Survival Security will be returned until the post-construction assessment report, confirming the protected trees survived the construction, prepared by the Arborist, is reviewed by staff.
- Prior to demolition of the existing dwellings on the subject development site, installation of tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained. Tree protection fencing must be installed to City standard in accordance with the City's Tree Protection Information Bulletin Tree-03 prior to any works being conducted on-site, and remain in place until construction and landscaping on-site is completed.


## Existing Legal Encumbrances

There is an existing 3.0 m wide utility Right-of-Way (ROW) along the existing north property lines of the subject development site for an existing sanitary sewer line. The applicant is aware that no building or structure is permitted to be constructed within this area.

## Built Form and Architectural Character

The applicant proposes to consolidate the four properties and the surplus road frontages of these properties into one development parcel, with a total net site area of $3,203.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The proposal is to build a high density, ground-oriented, three-storey townhouse project on the consolidated lot at a density of approximately 1.16 FAR (a maximum density of 1.2 FAR is permitted on this site under the City Centre Area Plan and "Parking Structure Townhouses (RTP4)" zone.

The development will contain 22 units. Two single-level Basic Universal Housing units at grade and 18 three-storey units will have street level entry with direct pedestrian access to Spires Road or to the new public walkways along the north and west property lines of the site; these homes will also have direct access to the parking area. Two two-storey units will be located above the parking structures and will have their main unit entry located on the podium level. Dwelling sizes are ranging from $104 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(1,124 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ to $212 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,277 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$. All of the units will have private outdoor areas at grade and/or on the elevated podium overtop the parking structure.

Two ground-level secondary suites are also proposed to be included in this development proposal. These suites will be contained in two of the three-storey units fronting onto the proposed public walkway along the west property line (see Attachment 2). The typical floor area of these units is approximately $212 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(2,277 \mathrm{ff}^{2}\right)$, and the size of each secondary suite is approximately $52 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(562 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$. No additional parking stall is required for the proposed secondary units since this site is not located on an arterial road.

To ensure that the secondary suite will not be stratified or otherwise held under separate title, registration of a legal agreement on Title is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

To ensure that the secondary suite is built, registration of a legal agreement on Title, stating that no Building Permit inspection granting occupancy will be completed until the secondary suites are constructed to the satisfaction of the City in accordance with the BC Building Code and the City's Zoning Bylaw, is required prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw.

## Amenity Space

The applicant is proposing a cash contribution in-lieu of providing the required indoor amenity space on site, as per the OCP. As the rezoning application was submitted prior to the Amenity Contribution rates were updated, this townhouse development application will have to comply with the previous Council's Policy 5041 (Cash in Lieu of Indoor Amenity Space). The Policy requires that a cash contribution of $\$ 1,000$ per unit up to 19 units, plus $\$ 2,000$ per unit over 19 units, be provided in lieu of indoor amenity space. The total cash contribution required for this 22 -unit townhouse development is $\$ 25,000.00$.

Outdoor amenity spaces will be provided on-site. Based on the preliminary design, the total area of the proposed outdoor amenity spaces complies with the Official Community Plan (OCP) requirements (i.e., $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of outdoor space per unit). Staff will work with the applicant at the Development Permit stage to ensure the configurations and designs of the outdoor amenity spaces meet the Development Permit Guidelines in the OCP.

## Transportation and Site Access

Vehicle access to the development will be from a new entry driveway off Cook Crescent, providing access to the parking structure proposed on-site. One loading area is proposed on-site at the entry driveway.

To create additional unit frontages and to enhance pedestrian circulation within the Spire Road Neighbourhood, the developer is required to construct two public pedestrian walkways along the north and west property lines of the site. The cross-section of the walkways is to include a 1.5 m wide hard surface pathway and a 1.5 m wide landscaped boulevard. Design details will be developed at the Development Permit and Servicing Agreement stages of the process. A Public Right-of-Passage (PROP) Statutory Right-of-Way (SRW) over the required 3.0 m wide walkway and a $3.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ corner cut where the two walkways meet will be secured as a condition of rezoning.

## Vehicle and Bicycle Parking On-site

The proposal will feature 22 units with a total of 27 resident parking spaces and five visitor parking spaces, which comply with bylaw requirements.

The proposal will feature two Basic Universal Housing units; an accessible parking stall will be provided to each of these units. A restrictive covenant to reflect this arrangement is required prior to final adoption.

The proposal will feature a total of 34 bicycle parking spaces on-site, which exceed the bylaw requirements. All visitor bicycle parking spaces will be provided by the main pedestrian entrance to the development fronting onto Spires Road. All residential bicycle parking spaces will be provided within a bike storage room within the parking structure. Prior to final adoption, a restrictive covenant is required to be registered on Title to ensure that:

- Conversion of the proposed bike storage room in this development into habitable space or general storage area is prohibited.
- The bike storage room must remain available for shared common use and for the sole purpose of bicycle storage.


## Energy Step Code

The developer has committed to design the subject development to meet the City's Step Code requirements (Attachment 7). Details on how all units are to be built and maintained to this commitment will be reviewed at Building Permit stage.

## Design Review and Future Development Permit Considerations

A Development Permit processed to a satisfactory level is a requirement of zoning approval. Through the Development Permit, the following issues are to be further examined:

- Compliance with Development Permit Guidelines for multiple family projects in the 2041 Official Community Plan and the City Centre Area Plan.
- Refinement of the proposed building elevations facing public streets and walkways to provide additional articulations; detailed review of façade materials and colors.
- Refinement of the proposed site plan (including public pathway alignment) and site grading to ensure survival of all protected trees on-site and on neighbouring sites; and to provide appropriate transition between the proposed development and adjacent existing developments.
- Refinement of landscape design, including the size and configuration of the outdoor amenity spaces and choice of play equipment, to create a safe and vibrant environment for children's play and social interaction.
- Review of aging-in-place features in all units and the provision of Basic Universal Housing/convertible units.
- Review of the sustainability strategy for the development proposal.

Additional issues may be identified as part of the Development Permit application review process.

## Site Servicing and Frontage Improvements

Prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw, the applicant is required to:

- Provide a cash-in-lieu contribution in the amount of $\$ 49,665.00$ for the construction of a new 750 mm storm sewer via the capital project works that will front the development. This is the cost required for the construction of the storm main fronting the development's property (approximately 25 m ) and is a portion of the total cost of the system from the bend at Spires Road to Cook Gate (i.e., 189 m ).
- Enter into the City's standard Servicing Agreement to design and construct frontage beautification along the site frontages (including ditch infill), road widening, City Centre standard new concrete sidewalk and landscaped boulevard, new fire hydrants, public walkways on-site, upgrades to the watermain, storm sewer and sanitary sewer, as well as service connections (see Attachment 8 for details). All works are at the client's sole cost (i.e., no credits apply).

The applicant is also required to pay Development Cost Charges (DCC's) (City \& GVS \& DD), School Site Acquisition Charge and Address Assignment Fee at Building Permit stage.

## Financial Impact or Economic Impact

The rezoning application results in an insignificant Operational Budget Impact (OBI) for off-site City infrastructure (such as roadworks, waterworks, storm sewers, sanitary sewers, street lights, street trees and traffic signals).

To facilitate the narrowing of Spires Road and Cook Crescent as well as the subject rezoning application proposal, the applicant proposes to purchase a portion of the Spires Road and Cook Crescent road allowances for inclusion in the applicant's development site. The total approximate area of City lands proposed to be sold and included in the development site is $237.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $2,555.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ). As identified in the attached rezoning considerations (Attachment 8), the applicants are required to enter into a purchase and sales agreement with the City for the purchase of the lands, which is to be based on the business terms subject to Council approval.

## Conclusion

The proposed 22-unit townhouse development is consistent with the Official Community Plan (OCP) and the City Centre Area Plan. Further review of the project design is required to ensure a high quality project and design consistency with the existing neighbourhood context, and this will be completed as part of the Development Permit application review process. The list of rezoning considerations is included as Attachment 8; which has been agreed to by the applicant (signed concurrence on file). On this basis, staff recommend support of the application.

It is recommended that Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10058 be introduced and given First Reading.

Edwin Lee<br>Planner 1<br>(604-276-4121)<br>\section*{EL:blg}<br>Attachment 1: Location Map<br>Attachment 2: Conceptual Development Plans<br>Attachment 3: Development Application Data Sheet<br>Attachment 4: Specific Land Use Map: Brighouse Village (2031)<br>Attachment 5: Proposed Road Closure Plan<br>Attachment 6: Tree Management Plan<br>Attachment 7: Letter from Developer regarding Step Code Requirements<br>Attachment 8: Rezoning Considerations
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| PLANT LIST |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Latin Name | Common Name | Quantity | Scheduled Size | Notes |
| TREES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aja | Acer japonicum "aconitifolium" | Fullmoon maple | 15 | $4 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{cal}. \mathrm{\# 20} \mathrm{pot}$ | Cont. |
| APK | Acer Palmatum 'Katsura' | Katsura Japanese Maple | 1 | 6 cm cal . | B \& B |
| AcPF | Acer palmatum 'Sangokaku' | Coral Bark Japanese Maple | 1 | $6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{cal}$. | $B \& B$ |
| ApaE | Acer palmatum Emperor 1 | Emperor 1 Japanese Maple | 1 | 6 cm cal . | $B \& B$ |
| AlJW | Acer tegmentosum 'Joe Witt' | Manchurian Snakebark Maple | 16 | 6 cm cal . | $B \& B$ |
| CK WE | Cornus kousa 'Wolf Eyes" | Wolf Eyes Korean Dogwood | 3 | 5 cm cal . | B\&B |
| Cxe | Cornus $\times$ elwinortonil 'Kn30 8' PBR VENUS | Venus Dogwood | 8 | 6 cm cal | B \& B |
| WA | Cupressus nottkatensis 'Green Arrow' | Weeeping Alaskan Yellow Cedar | 3 | 2.5 m full ht. | B\&B |
| FsP | Fagus sylvatica 'Purpurea Tricolor' | Tricolour European Beech | 3 | $6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{cal}$. | $B \& B$ |
| Mgx | Magnolia 'Galaxy' | Hybrid Magnolia | 8 | $6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{cal}$. | B\&B |
| M×b | Magnolia $\times$ brooklynensis 'Yellow Bird' | Yellow Bird Magnolia | 1 | $6 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{cal}$ | B\&B |
| Mgx-S | Magnolia $\times$ soulangeana 'Black Tulip' | Black Tulip Magnolia | 2 | $6 \mathrm{~cm} . \mathrm{cal}$ | B\&B |
| Mspp | Malus spp. | Dwart Apple | 3 | $3 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{cal}$. | Cont. |
| Pf | Pinus Flexilis 'Vandrwolfs Pyramid' | Limber Pine | 5 | 3 Mht . | Cont. |
| PtE | Populus tremula 'Erecta' | Columnar Swedish Aspen | 5 | 6 cm cal . | $B \& B$ |
| so | Quercus coccinea | Scarlet Oak | 2 | $6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{cal}$. | $B \& B$ |
| QfS | Quercus frainetto 'Schmidt' | Forest Green oak | 4 | 6 cm cal . | $B \& B$ |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| SHRUBS |  |  |  |  |  |
| As | Acanthus spinosus | Bear's Breeches | 8 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Bmj | Buxus microphylla japonica 'Winter Gem' | Winter Gem Boxwood | 4 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| Camo | Calamagrostis x acutiflora 'Overdam' | Variegated Reed Grass | 14 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| CE | Carex 'Evergold' | Golden Sedge Grass | 16 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| CV | Ceanothus 'Victoria' | California Lilac | 9 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| Cxh | Cistus $\times$ hybridus | White Rockrose | 5 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Eu | Euphorbia characias ssp, wulfenii 'Lambrook Gold' | Evergreen spurge | 8 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Hm-1 | Hakonechloa macra 'aurea' | Golden Japanese Forest Grass | 81 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Hs V | Hibiscus syriacus 'Variegatus' | Varegated Rose of Sharon | 2 | \#3 pot | Cont., (Male \& Female) |
| HBI | Hosta 'Blue Ivory' | Hosta Blue Ivory | 30 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| HpL | Hydrangea paniculata 'Limelight' | Panicle Hydrangea | 1 | \#5 pot | Cont. |
| Hq -L | Hydrangea quercifolia 'Little Honey' | Dwarf Golden Oakleaf Hydrangea | 7 | \#5 pot | Cont. |
| Hb | Hydrangea serrata 'Bluebird' | Bluebird Hydrangea | 7 | \#5 pot | Cont. |
| Lxs | Leucanthemum $\times$ superbum 'Sante' | Shasta Daisy | 24 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Lp | Lonicera pileata | Box Leaf Honeysuckle | 7 | \#2 pot | cont. |
| Mm | Mahonia media 'Charity' | Charity Mahonia | 11 | \#5 pot | Cont. |
| Mr | Mahonia Repens | Creeping Mahonia | 26 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Ms | Matteuccia struthiopteris and cvs | Ostrich Fern | 7 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Ms g | Miscanthus sinensis 'Gracillimus' | Maiden Grass | 4 | \#5 pot | Cont. |
| MsLM | Miscanthus sinensis 'Litile Miss' | Little Miss Maiden Grass | 16 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| MsZ | Miscanthus sinensis 'Zebrinus' | Zebra Grass | 8 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Nt | Nessella tenuissima | Mexican feather grass | 24 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Pho | Philadelphus 'Belle Etoile' | Mock Orange | 7 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| Mp | Pinus mugo ' Mughus' | Mugo Pine | 12 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| Pm | Polystichum munitum | Sword Fern | 34 | \#1 pot | Cont. |
| PI | Prunus laurocerasus 'Mount Vernon' | Dwarf English Laurel | 49 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| Rpjm | Rhododendron 'P.J.M. Compact' | Compact P.J.M. Compact | 9 | \#1 pot | Cont. |
| RAK | Rhododendron 'Anah Kruschke' | Anah Kurschke Rhododendron | 2 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| Rbb | Rhododendron 'Baden Baden' | Red Dwarf Rhododedron Hybrid | 27 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| RhCT | Rhododendron 'Crimson Tide' | Rhododendron 'Taurus' | 5 | \#5 pot | Cont. |
| RGW | Rhododendron 'Gumpo White' | Gumpo White Azalea | 6 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| RPR | Rhododendron 'President Roosevelt' | Rhododendron 'President Roosevelt' | 3 | \#3 pot | Cont., (Male \& Female) |
| Rh-1 | Rhododendron hotei | Rhododendron | 32 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Sa | Symphoricarpos alba | Snowberry | 11 | \#2 pot | Cont. |
| Txm | Taxus x media 'Hillsii' | Hills Yew | 186 | 1.25 mht | B \& B |
| Vp | Viburnum plicatum f. tomentosum 'Mariesii' | Doublefile Viburnum | 1 | \#3 pot | Cont. |
| VB ar | Viburnum x burkwoodij "Anne Russell" | Anne Russell Burkwood Viburnum | 1 | \#3 pot | Cont. |

## Development Application Data Sheet

## RZ 17-790301

Attachment 3
8671, 8691, 8711 and 8731 Spires Road and the Surplus Portion of the Spires Road
Address:
and Cook Crescent Road Allowance
Applicant: Spires Road Development Holdings Ltd.
Planning Area(s): City Centre

|  | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Owner: | Spires Road Development <br> Holdings Ltd. | No Change |
| Site Size $\mathbf{( m}^{2} \mathbf{)}$ : | $2,965.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $3,203.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Land Uses: | Single-Family Residential | Multiple-Family Residential |
| OCP Designation: | Low-Density Residential | No Change |
| Area Plan Designation: | City Centre Area Plan: General <br> Urban T4 <br> Sub-Area B.1: Mixed Use - Low- <br> Rise Residential \& Limited <br> Commercial | No Change |
| 702 Policy Designation: | N/A | No Change |
| Zoning: | Single Detached (RS1/E) | Parking Structure Townhouses |
| Number of Units: | 4 | 22 |
| Other Designations: | N/A | No Change |


| On Future <br> Subdivided Lots | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor Area Ratio: | Max. 1.20 | 1.16 | none permitted |
| Lot Coverage - Building: | Max. $50 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ | none |
| Lot Coverage - Non-porous <br> Surfaces: | Max. $75 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ | none |
| Lot Coverage - Landscaping: | Min. $20 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | none |
| Setback - Front Yard Cook <br> Crescent (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Setback - Exterior Side Yard - <br> South - Spires Road (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Setback - Interior Side Yard - <br> North (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 6.0 m | none |
| Setback - Rear - West (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 6.0 m | none |
| Setback - Walkway - North (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |


| On Future Subdivided Lots | Bylaw Requirement | Proposed | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Setback - Walkway - West (m): | Min. 3.0 m | 3.0 m | none |
| Height (m) : | Max. 15.0 m (4 storeys) | 11.2 m (3 storeys) | none |
| Lot Depth: | Min. 30.0 m | 83.05 m | none |
| Site Area: | Min. $2,400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 3,203.3 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces Regular (R) / Visitor (V): | $1.2(\mathrm{R})$ and $0.2(\mathrm{~V})$ per unit | $\begin{gathered} 1.2(\mathrm{R}) \text { and } 0.2(\mathrm{~V}) \text { per } \\ \text { unit } \end{gathered}$ | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces - Total: | $27(\mathrm{R})$ and $5(\mathrm{~V})$ | $27(\mathrm{R})$ and $5(\mathrm{~V})$ | none |
| Tandem Parking Spaces: | Max. 50\% of required residential spaces ( $27 \times$ Max. $50 \%=13$ ) | 0 | none |
| Small Car Parking Spaces | Max. 50\% when 31 or more spaces are provided on-site ( $32 \times \operatorname{Max} .50 \%=16$ ) | 0 | none |
| Handicap Parking Spaces: | Min. $2 \%$ when 11 or more spaces are required ( $32 \times 2 \%=1$ spaces) | 3 | none |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces - Class 1 1 Class 2: | 1.25 (Class 1) and 0.20 (Class 2) per unit | 1.27 (Class 1) and 0.27 <br> (Class 2) per unit | none |
| Off-street Parking Spaces - Total: | 28 (Class 1) and 5 <br> (Class 2) | $\begin{gathered} 28 \text { (Class 1) and } \\ 6 \text { (Class 2) } \end{gathered}$ | none |
| Amenity Space - Indoor: | Min. $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or Cash-inlieu | Cash-in-lieu | none |
| Amenity Space - Outdoor: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Min. } 6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 22 \text { units + } \\ 10 \% \text { of net site area } \\ =452.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $475 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | none |

Other: Tree replacement compensation required for removal of bylaw-sized trees.

## Specific Land Use Map: Brighouse Village (2031)


${ }^{\text {Bylaw }} 10020$ Maximum building height may be subject to established Airport Zoning Regulations in certain areas.
Scaled Tree Management Drawing



April 9, 2019

City of Richmond

Planning \& Development
6911 No. 3 Road
Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1
ATTN: Mr. Edwin Lee

Re: 8671-8731 Spires Road

File \#: RZ 17-790301

Response to City of Richmond Preliminary Staff Comments

## Dear Edwin,

We intend to engage an energy modelling company to ensure that the proposed building design can meet the BC Energy Step Code requirements that are expected to be in place at the building permit application.

Best Regards,


Brent Hanson
Director

File No.: RZ 17-790301

## Prior to final adoption of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10058, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Council approval of the road closure bylaw for a portion of Spires Road and Cook Crescent. The developer shall be required to enter into a purchase and sales agreement with the City for the purchase of the Land, which is to be based on the business terms approved by Council. The primary business terms of the purchase and sales agreement will be brought forward for consideration by Council in a separate report from the Senior Manager, Real Estate Services. All costs associated with the purchase and sales agreement shall be borne by the developer.
2. Consolidation of all the lots and the portion of Spires Road and Cook Crescent mentioned above into one development parcel (which will require the demolition of the existing dwellings).
3. Granting of statutory right-of-way for access as follows:

- A 3.0 m wide SRW along the entire length of the north and west property lines of the site; and
- A $3.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ SRW corner cut where the two walkways meet.

Language should be included in the SRW document that:

- The City may require the owners to install signage within the SRW;
- Utilities under the SRW may be permitted;
- The owners are responsible for all maintenance of improvements, including but not limited to the pathways, landscaping, signage and lighting installed within the SRWs, and are responsible for all liability of SRW areas; and
- Any fences installed along the north and west property line must be removed when the other SRWs for access are secured from the adjacent sites to the north and/or west.

4. Registration of an aircraft noise sensitive use covenant on title.
5. Registration of a flood indemnity covenant on title.
6. Registration of a legal agreements on Title or other measures, as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, to ensure that:
a) No final Building Permit inspection is granted until two secondary suites are constructed on site, to the satisfaction of the City in accordance with the BC Building Code and the City's Zoning Bylaw; and
b) The secondary suites cannot be stratified or otherwise held under separate title.
7. Registration of a legal agreement on title ensuring that a parking stall with minimum dimensions of 3.7 m wide and 5.5 m long will be assigned to each of the Basic Universal Housing units and/or convertible units contained within the proposed townhouse development. No accessible parking signage or pavement markings will be required on these parking spaces.
8. Registration of a legal agreement on title or other measures, as determined to the satisfaction of the Director of Development, to ensure that:
a) the number of visitor parking stalls per zoning bylaw requirements will be maintained in perpetuity;
b) selling, leasing, assigning, or designating any of the visitor parking spaces to individual unit owners/renters/occupants or any other persons by the developers/applicants/owners and future strata councils is prohibited; and
c) the required visitor parking stalls are available for the common use of visitors to this development and are accessible to visitors at all times.
9. Registration of a legal agreement on title ensuring that:
a) conversion of any of the bicycle parking areas within the parking structure into habitable space or general storage area is prohibited; and
b) all of the bicycle parking areas are available for shared common use and for the sole purpose of bicycle storage.
10. Registration of a legal agreement on title stipulating that the development is subject to potential impacts due to other development that may be approved within the City Centre including without limitation, loss of views in any direction, increased shading, increased overlook and reduced privacy, increased ambient noise and increased levels of nighttime ambient light, and requiring that the owner provide written notification of this through the disclosure statement to all initial purchasers, and erect signage in the initial sales centre advising purchasers of the potential for these impacts.
11. Submission of a Contract entered into between the applicant and a Certified Arborist for supervision of any on-site works conducted within the tree protection zone of the trees to be retained on site and on neighbouring properties. The Contract should include the scope of work to be undertaken, including: the proposed number of site monitoring inspections, and a provision for the Arborist to submit a post-construction assessment report to the City for review.
12. City acceptance of the developer's voluntary contribution in the amount of $\$ 10,344.35$ (i.e. $\$ 0.25$ per buildable square foot, excluding affordable housing) to future City community planning studies, as set out in the City Centre Area Plan.
13. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 8.50$ per buildable square foot (e.g. $\$ 351,707.84$ ) to the City's affordable housing fund.
14. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 0.83$ per buildable square foot (e.g. $\$ 34,343.24$ ) to the City's public art fund.
15. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 3,250.00$ to Parks Division's Tree Compensation Fund for the removal of three trees located on the City boulevard in front of the site.
Note: Developer/contractor must contact the Parks Division (604-244-1208 ext. 1342) four (4) business days prior to the removal to allow proper signage to be posted. All costs of removal and compensation are the responsibility borne by the applicants.
16. Submission of a Tree Survival Security to the City in the amount of $\$ 20,000$ for the 65 cm caliper Austrian Pine tree (specifically tag\# 810) and the 45 cam caliper Blue Spruce tree (specifically tag\# 811) to be retained on site. No Tree Survival Security will be returned until the post-construction assessment report, confirming the protected trees survived the construction, prepared by the Arborist, is reviewed by staff.
17. Submission Contribution of $\$ 25,000.00$ in-lieu of on-site indoor amenity space.
18. City acceptance of the developer's offer to voluntarily contribute $\$ 49,665.00$ towards the construction of a new 750 mm storm sewer via the capital project works that will front the development.
19. The submission and processing of a Development Permit* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.
20. Enter into a Servicing Agreement* for the design and construction of frontage beautification along the site frontage, storm sewer and sanitary sewer upgrades, fire hydrant, as well as service connections. A Letter of Credit or cash security for the value of the Service Agreement works, as determined by the City, will be required as part of entering into the Servicing Agreement. Works include, but may not be limited to,

## Water Works:

a. Using the OCP Model, there is currently $102 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water available at a 20 psi residual at the Spires Road frontage. Once Capital Program upgrades are installed there will be $254 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water available at a 20 psi residual at the Spires Road frontage. Based on your proposed development, your site requires a minimum fire flow of 220 L/s.
b. At the developer's cost, the developer is required to:
$\qquad$
i. Upgrade the existing 150 mm diameter watermain along Spires Road frontage to 200 mm diameter. Approximate length of required upgrade is 75 meters. The alignment of the required watermain will be determined via the SA design review.
ii. Remove the existing 150 mm diameter AC watermain along the proposed site's entire Spires Road frontage when the new watermain is operational.
iii. Upgrade the existing 150 mm diameter AC watermain along Cook Crescent frontage to 200 mm diameter. Tie-in to the north shall be to the existing 150 mm watermain and tie-in to the south shall be to the new watermain to be built at Spires Road frontage. Approximate length of required upgrade is 52 meters. Alignment of the new watermain shall be determined via the servicing agreement design.
iv. Remove the existing 150 mm diameter AC watermain along the proposed site's entire Cook Crescent frontage when the new watermain is operational.
v. Install one new water service connection off of the proposed 200 mm water main along Cook Crescent frontage complete with meter and meter box in a proposed utility right of way.
vi. Cut and cap all existing water service connections at main off of the existing 150 mm AC water mains.
vii. Provide new fire hydrants to comply with the City's maximum spacing for proposed townhouse developments.
viii. Relocate the existing fire hydrant as required by the proposed frontage improvements. Fire department approval is required for all fire hydrant relocations.
c. At the developer's cost, the City is to tie-in the proposed watermains to the existing watermains at Spires Road and Cook Crescent.

## Storm Sewer Works:

a. At the developer's costs, the Developer is required to:
i. Upgrade the existing ditches along Spires Road frontage to a single storm sewer in the middle of the road. Approximate length of required upgrade is 75 meters. The alignment of the required storm sewer will be determined via the SA design review. Connection to the west shall be via a new manhole to be tied-in to the storm sewer that will be built via the capital project at Spires Road and tie-in to the east shall be via a new manhole at the intersection of Spires Road and Cook Crescent then to the existing ditches at the north and south sides of Spires Road via new headwalls.
ii. Tie-in the existing storm manhole at the junction of the east-west and north-south aligned Spires Road to the existing ditch fronting 8931 Spires Road via a new headwall.
iii. Upgrade the existing ditches along Cook Crescent frontage to a single storm sewer in the middle of the road. Approximate length of required upgrade is 52 meters. Tie-in to the south shall be at the new manhole to be built at the intersection of Spires Road and Cook Crescent and tie-in to the north shall be to the existing ditches at the east and west sides of Cook Crescent via new headwalls. Alignment of the new storm sewer shall be determined via the servicing agreement design.
iv. Install lane drainage ( 200 mm diameter) along the entire north and west property lines complete with manholes as per Engineering specifications then tie-in to the new storm sewer at Cook Cr via a new manhole.
v. Install a new storm service connection complete with inspection chamber connecting to the new storm sewer along Spires Road.
b. At the Developers cost, the City is to tie-in the proposed storm sewers to the existing drainage system.

## Sanitary Sewer Works:

a. At the developer's costs, the Developer is required to:
i. Install the ultimate sanitary line at Spires Road frontage at the same alignment as the sanitary main to be built at Spires Road located west of Cook Gate via the City's capital project. Pipe sizing shall be determined via the Servicing Agreement design process. Approximate length of required upgrade is 75 meters.
ii. Install the ultimate sanitary line at Cook Crescent frontage and tie-in (via a new manhole) to the new sanitary sewer that will be built at thenesed $\mathbf{2 0 3} \mathbf{3}$ Spires Road frontage. The pipe alignment and
sizing shall be determined via the Servicing Agreement design process. Approximate length of required upgrade is 52 meters.
iii. Ensure no soil fill or building encroaches into the existing sanitary SRWs and that the existing 150 mm diameter asbestos cement sanitary sewer is protected during any preload/construction phase (the sewer will remain active despite new works proposed for Spires Road and Cook Crescent).
iv. Install a new sanitary service connection $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{w}$ inspection chamber and tie-in to the new sanitary manhole at the intersection of Spires Road and Cook Gate that will be built via the City's capital project.
v . If the proposed sanitary mains on Cook Gate that will be built through capital funding are not in service or not constructed at the time of connection, the developer will be required to design and construct a sanitary service connection that will work on both interim and ultimate conditions. The interim service connection shall be from the site to the existing 200 mm sanitary main located between 8644 Cook Crescent \& 8771 Spires Road while the ultimate service connection shall be tied-in to the ultimate manhole at the intersection of Spires Road and Cook Gate that will be built via the City's capital project. The details of the combined interim and ultimate sanitary service connections shall be finalized via the Servicing Agreement design review.
vi. If required, the interim connection shall be removed (at developer's costs) once the ultimate sanitary lines and manhole under the City's Capital project are constructed and the proposed site's ultimate service connection is connected to the ultimate sanitary system.
vii. Provide a signed and sealed geotechnical assessment, complete with recommendations to ensure the following conditions are met. The assessment and mitigation recommendations shall be included in the rezoning staff report and the development permit design review.

- That the City be able to construct, maintain, operate, repair, or remove City utilities/infrastructures (i.e. sanitary main along the north PL) without impact to the onsite works. The building edge shall be set based on the required clearance between the building edge and the existing AC sanitary pipe as recommended by a professional geotechnical engineer.
- That the on-site works (e.g. soil densification, preload, foundation works, etc.), or the construction/maintenance of the proposed building, not cause damage to the City utilities/infrastructure (i.e. sanitary main along the north PL)
- That impact of the site preparation works (e.g., soil densification, pre-load, foundation excavation, dewatering, etc.) to the existing infrastructures around the proposed site (i.e. sanitary main along the north PL) are determined by the Geotechnical Engineer. If the existing infrastructures will be significantly impacted, the works required to mitigate the impact or the replacement of the affected existing infrastructures shall be done prior to start of the site preparation works at developer's cost.
- Pre and post pre-load and construction surveys and CCTV will be required. Any damage to be repaired and any required replacement shall be done at the Developer's sole cost.
- Ensure that the existing sanitary sewer along the north property line remains operational during any preload and/or construction phase (the sewer will remain active despite new works proposed for Spires Road). If the existing sanitary line is impacted during site preparation or construction of the proposed development then the developer shall be responsible to make the damaged sanitary system operational during the duration of the onsite works (i.e., temporary bypass via pumping, etc.). The damaged sanitary system shall be replaced at the same alignment through the servicing agreement, at the developer's costs, after completion of the site preparation and/or building construction works.
b. At the developer's costs, the City is to cut and cap at main all existing connections and remove inspection chambers along the south and west property lines.


## Frontage Improvements:

a. Road works and frontage improvements (Spires Road and Cook Crescent development frontages)
i. The entire Spires Road and Cook Crescent development frontages are to be widened to provide 8.7 m wide pavement (one parking lane and two traffic lanes). The road works are also to include tie-in tapers (20:1) to the existing sections of Spires Road to the east and west of the site and Cook Crescent to the north.

The following are the road and behind the curb frontage improvement cross-section elements to be designed and constructed by the Developer. The existing ditches are to be filled to accommodate these frontage improvements.

- existing property line of road right-of-way along development frontage;
- 2.05 m wide landscaped boulevard with street trees. (The Spires Road and Cook Crescent right-ofways are planned to be reduced from a width of 20.1 m to 16.0 m . The 2.05 m wide boulevard may become surplus City land and available for disposition);
- 2.0 m wide concrete sidewalk;
- 1.5 m wide landscaped boulevard with street trees;
- 0.15 m wide curb;
- 8.7 m wide pavement;
- 1.0 m wide gravel shoulder (with no-post low barriers per TAC standards).; and
- Ditch embankment stability requirements (opposite side of road), i.e. ditch in-filling and/or retaining walls.
b. Treatments of south side of Spires Road and east side of Cook Crescent opposite site frontages
i. The Developer shall retain a Geotechnical Engineer to determine the requirements for filling in the existing ditches along Spires Road and Cook Crescent on the opposite side of the road from the development or installing retaining walls to support the widened pavement. The Developer shall advise the Transportation and Engineering of the outcome of the geotechnical investigation and is responsible for implementing the recommended actions.
c. Existing driveways along the development frontages
i. All existing driveways along the Spires Road and Cook Crescent development frontages are to be closed permanently. The Developer is responsible for removing the existing driveways and the replacement with barrier curb/gutter, boulevards and concrete sidewalk per standards described.
d. Existing driveways on the opposite side of development frontages
i. All existing driveways on the opposite side of the Spires Road and Cook Crescent development frontages are to be kept during and post construction. Consultation and co-ordination with adjacent property owners is required particularly if their driveways are altered as part of the proposed road works.
e. Walkways
i. The Developer is required to construct two walkways along the north and west property lines of the site.

The detail of the walkway requirements are as follows:

- The cross-section of the walkways is to include: 1.5 m wide grass swale surface for drainage; and 1.5 m wide hard surface walkway. Confirm with Parks if changes to this cross-section are required.
- Provide fencing and safety guardrail as required.
- Provide walkway signs and removable bollards at the Spires Rad and Cook Crescent ends of the walkway.
f. Parks consultation
i. Consult Parks on the requirements for tree protection/placement including tree species and spacing as part of the frontage works.
g. Engineering consultation
i. Consult Engineering on lighting and other utility requirements as part of the frontage works. The tree planting works will need to be coordinated with Engineering to ensure there are no conflicts with any above ground or underground utilities.
h. Design and construction standards
i. All road works are to be designed to meet City Engineering Design Specifications and constructed to the satisfaction of the City.
$\qquad$
i. Functional Road Design Plan
i. The Developer is required to submit a functional road design plan with cross-sections (interim and ultimate) to show the road works and behind the curb frontage improvements described above. The functional design plan is to be approved by Transportation. The following elements are to be incorporated in the functional design exercise.
- General comments
- Use metric scale.
- Provide basic geometric design information, e.g. curve radii, taper ratios, etc.
- Identify the width of road and frontage elements.
- The pavement should be presented without shaded background so as not to obscure any road element information.
- Provide cross sections for both interim and ultimate road and frontage configurations.
- The center line of the widened pavement is to follow the existing center lines of Spires Road and Cook Crescent.
- The location of underground utilities must be confirmed with Engineering and the road crosssections must show the correct underground utility locations.
- Spires Road and Cook Crescent development frontages
- The design considerations, among others, are to include:
- Full road and frontage improvement cross-sections including edge of pavement treatments along the south side of Spires Road and east side of Cook Crescent opposite the site.
- Provide 20:1 taper sections to tie-in the widened section of Spires Road along the development frontages to the existing roadway; and
- As parking is permitted on Spires Road, the maximum cross slope for the boulevard and sidewalk is $2 \%$.
- Walkways
- Refer details provided above.
- Engineering consultation
- As part of the review and approval process of the functional plan, Engineering is to be consulted on the following design issues, among other requirements:
- Vertical alignment - The elevation of the centre line of Spires Road along the development frontage is to take into considerations drainage requirements and to ensure there is no conflict with district energy equipment and other underground utilities.
- Horizontal alignment - Engineering is to be consulted to ensure that the establishment of the road alignment along the development frontages and the underground utility corridor are coordinated.
j. Site Vehicle Access
i. This site is to be served by a single vehicle driveway. All existing driveways along the Spires Road and Cook Crescent development frontages are to be closed permanently.
ii. The driveway width is to be set at 7.5 m at $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$, with 0.9 m flares at the curb and $45^{\circ}$ offsets to meet existing grade of sidewalk/boulevard).
k. Developer to coordinate with BC Hydro, Telus and other private communication service providers:
i. To provide underground private utility service lines for the proposed development along Spires Road and Cook Crescent frontages, at the Developer's cost. The private utility companies (e.g., BC Hydro, Telus and Shaw) may require right of ways in the proposed site to facilitate transition from the existing rear yard overhead private utility service to an underground service at Spires Road and Cook Crescent frontages. The private utility servicing (i.e., transition from rear yard overhead service to underground service at the fronting streets) shall be coordinated with the private utility companies prior to the proposed development advancing to DP panel.ONGilmpos26 his is to ensure that all private utility above ground
cabinets that are required to facilitate the transition from rear yard overhead system to underground system at the fronting streets are determined and secured via the Development Permit process.
ii. To maintain BC Hydro and private communication services to the neighbouring properties that are connected to the existing rear yard overhead system if the rear yard overhead system is going to be removed when the new underground services are provided along the fronting streets.
iii. To pre-duct for future hydro, telephone and cable utilities along all road frontages at Developer's cost.
iv. When relocating/modifying any of the existing power poles and/or guy wires within the rear yards.
v. To locate all above ground utility cabinets and kiosks required to service the proposed development within the developments site (see list below for examples). A functional plan showing conceptual locations for such infrastructure shall be included in the staff report and the development process design review. Please coordinate with the respective private utility companies and the project's lighting and traffic signal consultants to confirm the requirements and the locations for the above ground structures. If a private utility company does not require an above ground structure, that company shall confirm this via a letter to be submitted to the City. The following are examples of SRWs that shall be shown in the functional plan and registered prior to SA design approval:
- BC Hydro PMT $-4 m$ W X 5m (deep)
- BC Hydro LPT - 3.5 mW X 3.5 m (deep)
- Street light kiosk -1.5 mW X 1.5 m (deep)
- Traffic signal kiosk -2 mW X 1.5 m (deep)
- Traffic signal UPS - 1 mW X 1 m (deep)
- Shaw cable kiosk - 1 mW X 1 m (deep) - show possible location in functional plan
- Telus FDH cabinet -1.1 mW X 1 m (deep) - show possible location in functional plan

1. The existing ditches at Spires Road and Cook Cr frontages are to be filled to accommodate the frontage improvements.
m. A geotechnical assessment (complete with recommendations) is required to confirm that the existing road base structures are adequate to support the required road upgrades at Spires Road frontage.
n. Review the existing street lighting levels along Cook Cr and Spires Road frontages and upgrade lighting along the development frontages.

## General Items:

a. At the developers cost, the Developer is required to:
i. Building encroachment and permanent structures such as trees and patios etcetera will not be permitted inside rear yard sanitary SRW. Please note fence along north property line should be a standard wooden fence
ii. Provide, within the first SA submission, a geotechnical assessment of preload and soil preparation impacts on the existing utilities (e.g., rear yard sanitary mains, rear yard private utility overhead lines, etc.) fronting or within the development site and provide mitigation recommendations.
iii. Enter into, if required, additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering, including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, dewatering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.

## Prior to a Development Permit* being forwarded to the Development Permit Panel for consideration, the developer is required to:

1. Complete an acoustical report and recommendations prepared by an appropriate registered professional, which demonstrates that the interior noise levels and noise mitigation standards comply with the City's Official Community Plan and Noise Bylaw requirements. Maximum interior noise levels (decibels) within the dwelling units must achieve CMHC standards follows:

| Portions of Dwelling Units | Noise Levels (decibels) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bedrooms | 35 decibels |
| Living, dining, recreation rooms | 40 decibels |
| Kitchen, bathrooms, hallways, and utility rooms | 45 decibels |

## Prior to a Development Permit* issuance, the developer is required to complete the following:

1. Submission of a Landscaping Security based on $100 \%$ of the cost estimate provided by the landscape architect.

## Prior to Demolition Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Installation of appropriate tree protection fencing around all trees to be retained as part of the development prior to any construction activities, including building demolition, occurring on-site.
Note: Should the applicant wish to begin site preparation work after third reading of the rezoning bylaw, but prior to final adoption of the rezoning bylaw and issuance of the Development Permit, the applicant will be required to obtain a Tree Permit and submit landscaping security (i.e. $\$ 13,000$ in total) to ensure the replacement planting will be provided.
2. Submission and approval of a separate Tree Permit for the removal of the Norway Spruce tree (tag\# N05) located on 8751 Spires Road.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance, the developer must complete the following requirements:

1. Submission of a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan to the Transportation Department. Management Plan shall include location for parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for any lane closures, and proper construction traffic controls as per Traffic Control Manual for works on Roadways (by Ministry of Transportation) and MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.
2. Incorporation of energy efficiency, CPTED, sustainability, and accessibility measures in Building Permit (BP) plans as determined via the Rezoning and/or Development Permit processes.
3. If applicable, payment of latecomer agreement charges, plus applicable interest associated with eligible latecomer works.
4. Obtain a Building Permit (BP) for any construction hoarding. If construction hoarding is required to temporarily occupy a public street, the air space above a public street, or any part thereof, additional City approvals and associated fees may be required as part of the Building Permit. For additional information, contact the Building Approvals Department at 604-276-4285.

## Note:

* This requires a separate application.
- Where the Director of Development deems appropriate, the preceding agreements are to be drawn not only as personal covenants of the property owner but also as covenants pursuant to Section 219 of the Land Title Act.

All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall have priority over all such liens, charges and encumbrances as is considered advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements to be registered in the Land Title Office shall, unless the Director of Development determines otherwise, be fully registered in the Land Title Office prior to enactment of the appropriate bylaw.

The preceding agreements shall provide security to the City including indemnities, warranties, equitable/rent charges, letters of credit and withholding permits, as deemed necessary or advisable by the Director of Development. All agreements shall be in a form and content satisfactory to the Director of Develgenct - 228
$\qquad$

- Additional legal agreements, as determined via the subject development's Servicing Agreement(s) and/or Development Permit(s), and/or Building Permit(s) to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering may be required including, but not limited to, site investigation, testing, monitoring, site preparation, de-watering, drilling, underpinning, anchoring, shoring, piling, pre-loading, ground densification or other activities that may result in settlement, displacement, subsidence, damage or nuisance to City and private utility infrastructure.
- Applicants for all City Permits are required to comply at all times with the conditions of the Provincial Wildlife Act and Federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, which contain prohibitions on the removal or disturbance of both birds and their nests. Issuance of Municipal permits does not give an individual authority to contravene these legislations. The City of Richmond recommends that where significant trees or vegetation exists on site, the services of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) be secured to perform a survey and ensure that development activities are in compliance with all relevant legislation.


## Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500 Amendment Bylaw 10058 (RZ 17-790301) 8671, 8691, 8711 and 8731 Spires Road

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Zoning Map of the City of Richmond, which accompanies and forms part of Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it "PARKING STRUCTURE TOWNHOUSES (RTP4)".
P.I.D. 010-472-436

Lot 4 Section 9 and 10 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 21489
P.I.D. 004-306-040

Lot 5 Section 9 and 10 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 21489
P.I.D. 007-464-622

Lot 6 Section 9 and 10 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 21489
P.I.D. 003-684-253

Lot 7 Section 9 and 10 Block 4 North Range 6 West New Westminster District Plan 21489
2. This Bylaw may be cited as "Richmond Zoning Bylaw 8500, Amendment Bylaw 10058".
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