

## City of Richmond

### **Report to Council**

To:

Richmond City Council

Date:

August 31st, 2005

From:

Malcolm D. Brodie

File:

03-1000-18-003/Vol

Chair, General Purposes Committee

01

Re:

**RFR – Records Management System Contract** 

The General Purposes Committee, at its meeting held on Monday, August 29<sup>th</sup>, 2005, considered the attached report, and recommends as follows:

### **Committee Recommendation**

That Richmond Fire Rescue enter into a contract to participate in a new regionally shared Fire Records Management System (RMS) that will be housed at, and managed by E-Comm, integrated with the Computer Aided Dispatch System and various Richmond databases, provided that in the event that Richmond disputes an increase in budgetary funding, the matter would be referred to an arbitration process.

Mayor Malcolm D. Brodie, Chair General Purposes Committee

Attach.

### <u>VARIANCE</u>

Please note that staff recommended the following:

That Richmond Fire Rescue enter into a contract to participate in a new regionally shared Fire Records Management System (RMS) that will be housed at, and managed by E-Comm, integrated with the Computer Aided Dispatch System and various Richmond databases.



# City of Richmond

# **Report to Committee**

To:

**General Purposes Committee** 

To General Purposes - Aug. 29/05 Date: August 29, 2005

From:

Geoff Lake **Deputy Chief** 

File: 1000-18-023

Re:

**RFR - Records Management System Contract** 

### **Staff Recommendation**

That RFR enter into a contract to participate in a new regionally shared Fire Records Management System (RMS) that will be housed at and managed by E-Comm, integrated with the Computer Aided Dispatch System and various Richmond databases.

Geoff Lake Deputy Chief (2734)

FOR ORIGINATING DIVISION USE ONLY								
ROUTED TO:	Conc	URRENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER					
Fire Rescue	Y ☑ ,N □		V Jancoch					
Budgets	***************************************	YWND	Julio					
Law		YME N 🗆						
REVIEWED BY TAG	YES	NO	REVIEWED BY CAO	YES	NO			
	P		Mike Kinh					

### Staff Report

### Origin

RFR must replace its current records management system, which has become obsolete and can no longer be supported by IT staff.

Over the past 2 years RFR has worked in conjunction with E-Comm and other fire departments to evaluate RMS programs, and, concluded that FDM is the best product suited to our needs. FDM is a local company and is being used extensively by fire departments in B.C. FDM is also operating in several large fire departments across Canada and the USA.

During the 2005 budget process Council approved funding for a shared Records Management System (RMS). The initial project implementation commenced in June '05 and is proceeding as scheduled. Over the past several months RFR management, in conjunction with the city law department, have worked with our counterparts in Vancouver and E-Comm to craft a long term (10yr) RMS contract, the major terms of which are attached to this report.

The purpose of this report is to seek Council approval for RFR to enter into a 10-year contract with Vancouver Fire Rescue and E-Comm for a records management system. Once approved, E-Comm will contract directly with FDM Software Ltd to acquire the software and hardware to fully implement the system.

### **Analysis**

The benefits of entering into a joint RMS with the Vancouver Fire Rescue agreement are:

- The costs for the modules are cheaper than if we purchased ourselves. Under the cost sharing formula Richmond will pay 26% and Vancouver will pay 74%
- RFR will be able to actively vote and participate in the development of common business practices and reporting.
- The costs of developing the interface between the CAD system and the FDM application will be shared.
- Levy approach will reduce the initial cash outlay in favour of a balanced payment over the 10 years.
- The training costs for administration and training costs can be shared.
- The cost of developing common procedures could be shared.
- The wireless network that we already pay for at E-Comm will be made available.
- The contract will be drafted to encourage other fire departments to join the consortium, thereby further reducing the costs to Richmond and Vancouver.

The governance model in the contract contemplates two committees overseeing both the implementation and the ongoing administration of the RMS.

1. Management Committee -consisting of an appointed representative from each

participating agency. These will have authority to make changes to business practices and scope of project.

changes to business practices and scope of project.

2. Operations Committee -consisting of an appointed representative from each

participating agency. These representatives will co-ordinate the initial implementation and ongoing administration of the data systems. Any decisions for change of business practices or scope of project will be forwarded to the

management committee.

The governance voting model will require a 75% agreement of participating agencies prior to any financial changes to the proposed budgeted amount. Any agency requesting changes to the project scope, which are not agreed to by the 75% vote, will be required to pay for the changes as a separate agency cost.

The effect of this voting system is that Richmond is protected from any additional unwanted costs.

### **Financial Impact**

There is no additional cost impact to signing a 10 year contract.

Allocation of existing funding is outlined in the following chart.

Capital	Costs	
---------	-------	--

**Annual Operating Costs** 

Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Yrs 7-10
97,187	249,297	14,856	361,339	293,887	354,304	377,999	+ CPI

### Conclusion

Richmond Fire Rescue needs to replace its current RMS system which is obsolete and can no longer be supported by IT. Sharing a RMS system with other fire departments will save the City of Richmond money in the long term and greatly improve our business practices.

Signing a 10-year agreement to participate in the project will enable Richmond to finance the project over the long term and pay through our E-Comm levies. This will provide for stability in budgeting for the future.

Geoff Lake Deputy Chief (2734) GL:gl

# CITY OF RICHMOND – CITY OF VANCOUVER – E-COMM EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS FOR SOUTHWEST BRITISH COLUMBIA

### **FIRE RMS AGREEMENT**

### 1. BACKGROUND

- Richmond and Vancouver are agreeing to work together with the assistance of E-Comm to implement a new records management system. E-Comm will then contract directly with FDM Software Ltd. to acquire the software and hardware to implement the system.
- In the future, other municipalities will be able to access the records management system by becoming a party to the agreement.

### **PROJECT MANAGEMENT**

- A Management Committee, composed of senior executive rank representatives of each of the participating Fire and Rescue Services, will make key decisions. Certain key decisions, such as budget approval, are made at the Management Committee through a weighted voting system
- An Operations Committee composed of representatives of each of the participating Fire and Rescue Services will provide input and guidance on technical issues to the Management Committee.

### **COSTS**

• The costs are apportioned between the parties based on an agreed upon formula which would see Richmond paying 26% of the costs initially. The percentage of costs incurred by Richmond would decrease as more users entered the system.

### **TERM**

• 10 years with an option to renew for another 5 with all parties' consent

### **PROJECT MANAGER**

• E-Comm will provide a Project Manager

#### ASSIGNMENT

- E-Comm has the right to assign the contract to another corporation <u>only</u> with the consent of Vancouver and Richmond.
- E-Comm will have the right to retain subcontractors to assist.

### **DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

• As the service contracted for is an essential service, there are built in protections to ensure the service is not terminated until an arbitrator has rendered a decision that would incorporate a time for rectified the issue. In the event the municipality failed to rectify as directed by the arbitrator the service would be terminated.

### RESPONSIBILITIES FOLLOWING TERMINATION

- Any municipality wishing to terminate the agreement may do so with the consent of the other Cities but will be obliged to continue to pay its share of the long term capital obligations for the full term of the agreement (10 years).
- All municipalities can agree to terminate the contract and will be required to provide E-Comm on year's notice.

### **PROJECT DELIVERABLES**

• The project system objectives are contained in a schedule to the agreement.