

" PLEASE CIRCULATE "

MAYOR & COUNCIL
C/O CITY OF RICHMOND
6911 NO. 3 ROAD
RICHMOND, B.C.
V6Y2C1

<p>To Public Hearing Date: <u>July 21, 2003</u> Item # <u>14</u> Re: <u>Bylaw 7535</u> <u>9091 Steveston Hwy</u></p>

JUNE 17, 2003



ATTN: CITY CLERK DEPT.
RICHARD McKENNA
FAX: 604-278-5139

RE: REZONING PROPOSAL OF 9091 STEVESTON HWY.
FILE: # RZ03-232826

TO ALL CONCERNED;

WE WOULD LIKE TO LIST OUR CONCERNS REGARDING THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO OUR LOTS IN THE 9000 BLOCK STEVESTON HWY.

AS WE ARE THE NEIGHBOUR ON THE EAST SIDE OF THIS PROPERTY (9091) WE WERE INFORMED THAT IF WE SELL TO A DEVELOPER WE WILL HAVE TO LET THE DEVELOPER KNOW THAT THERE WILL BE 6 FT. TAKEN FOR A LANE ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE PROPERTY AND 20 FT. AT THE BACK OF THE PROPERTY FOR LANES.

WE FEEL WE ARE UNFAIRLY TARGETED WITH THIS 1.77M. IT WOULD MEAN TO US THAT WE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO SELL TO A DEVELOPER FOR THE FULL VALUE OF OUR LAND. BECAUSE IF THEY BOUGHT FROM US THEY WOULD BE LEFT WITH A LOT THAT WAS 66 FT X 120 FT. AND NOW WOULD BECOME 60 FT. X 100 FT. AND THERE FOR LOSE MONEY ON THIS SALE.

WE FEEL IF 6 FT ARE NEEDED, EITHER HAVE THE DEVELOPER MR. SANDHU BUILD 3 HOUSES ON THIS PROPERTY SO AS TO ALLOW FOR THE LANES SIDE AND FRONT. OR **MOVE THE DRIVEWAY BETWEEN HIS 4 HOUSES OR ON THE OTHERSIDE OF THIS DEVELOPMENT.**

ANOTHER REASON FOR MOVING THIS LANE TO THE OTHER SIDE WOULD BE THE CONFLICT OF HAVING TO MOVE POLES, LIGHTS, ETC. AND HAVE **TWO DRIVEWAYS SIDE BY SIDE COMING OFF STEVESTON HWY.**
WE CAN INVISION PEOPLE MISSING THIS NEW DRIVEWAY AND LANDING IN OUR YARD ONLY A FENCE SEPERATES THE DRIVEWAYS.

MY HUSBAND AND I FEEL THAT IT IS VERY UNFAIR THAT WE ARE BEING TARGETED TO BE TREATED DIFFERENTLY FROM ANY OF THE NEIGHBOURING PROPERTY OWNERS.

ALSO WE ARE NOT HAPPY ABOUT HAVING THE LANE NEXT TO OUR HOUSE BECAUSE OF IT BEING AN EASY ACCESS TO OUR YARD FOR A THIEF. TO JUST HOP OVER THE FENCE BREAK INTO OUR HOUSE AND ESCAPE THE SAME WAY.

OUR NEXT POINT:

WHY DO THE PROPERTIES ON STEVESTON HWY HAVE TO GIVE UP ALL 20 FEET OF THEIR LAND FOR A LANE WHAT ABOUT THE PROPERTY BEHIND US???? COULD IT NOT BE A 50/50 PROPOSITION? THAT WAY THOSE PROPERTIES ON STEVESTON HWY DON'T HAVE TO BARE ALL THE LOSS.

Cont. page 2

WE THINK OUR AREA SHOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THE LANE DOWN THE BACK OF OUR HOMES BECAUSE:

- A) IT WOULD BE A DEAD END LANE AS GARDEN CITY IS A DITCH AND GREEN SPACE.
- B) THE HOMES ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE DITCH ARE FACING WEST & THEN THERE IS THE GREEN SPACE WHICH THE HOMES ARE BACKING ONTO. THESE HOMES ARE FACING EAST . SO WHY WOULD THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT EVEN CONSIDER A ROAD ALONG THAT GREEN SPACE ? IT WOULD BE JUST LIKE A LANEWAY NOT A ROAD.
- C) THERE IS ONLY ABOUT 10 LOTS ON THIS STRIP, IS IT REALLY NECESSARY TO PUT IN A LANE IN THIS SMALL STRIP THAT REALLY WILL BE A DEAD END.

NEXT POINT:

LAST TIME WE WERE HERE WE ASKED FOR PLANS ARE THERE ANY NOW TO SHOW US.

LAST POINT:

ALSO WE WERE TOLD OF HOMES THAT HAVE BEEN BUILT DOWN THE STREET ON STEVESTON HWY. THEY WERE BUILT IN AN AHALF CIRCLE AS A TRIAL TO SEE IF THE ROAD SETUP WOULD WORK. BUT WE WERE NEVER GIVEN THE ANSWER. COULD WE HAVE THE ANSWER NOW?

I GUESS THAT IS IT FOR NOW, PLEASE CONSIDER OUR VERY DEEP CONCERNS.

YOURS TRULY,

UMBERTO & JACQUELINE D'ODORICO
9131 STEVESTON HWY.
RICHMOND B.C. V7A4V4
HM.PHONE 604-277-3321
WK.PHONE 604-275-3321