



City of Richmond
Urban Development Division

Report to Committee

To: Planning Committee

From: Jean Lamontagne
Director of Development

Re: Application by Peter Yee for Rezoning at 8680 No. 3 Road from Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E) to Townhouse District (R2-0.6)


To Planning - Jun 20, 2006.
Date: May 29, 2006

RZ 05-294804

File: 12-8060-20-8082.

Staff Recommendation


That Bylaw No. 8082, for the rezoning of 8680 No. 3 Road from "Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E)" to "Townhouse District (R2-0.6)", be introduced and given first reading.


Jean Lamontagne
Director of Development

JL:db
Att. 6

FOR ORIGINATING DIVISION USE ONLY

CONCURRENCE OF GENERAL MANAGER



Staff Report

Origin

Peter Yee has applied to the City of Richmond for permission to rezone 8680 No. 3 Road (**Attachment 1**) from Single-Family Housing District, Subdivision Area E (R1/E) to Townhouse District (R2- 0.6) in order to permit the development of 6 townhouses on the site (2 three storey units along No. 3 Road and 4 two storey units at the back). **Attachment 2** illustrates the proposal with a site plan and building elevations.

Findings of Fact

A Development Application Data Sheet providing details about the development proposal is provided in **Attachment 3**.

Surrounding Development

- To the North: A recently approved 13 unit townhouse development zoned R2-0.6 (RZ 04-267350) is currently under construction. To the north of that development is a single family property with an older home and an 18 unit two-storey development zoned R2-0.6 (RZ 04-274863) which is also under construction.
- To the East: Large lot single-family properties zoned R1/E.
- To the South: A local commercial facility zoned Neighbourhood Commercial District (C2).
- To the West: A number of townhouse developments on the west side of No. 3 Road. These are zoned Townhouse District (R2).

Related Policies & Studies

Arterial Road Redevelopment Policies

At the time of writing, multiple-family development proposals along major arterial roads are subject to the interim Arterial Road Redevelopment Strategy (approved by Council on March 29, 2005). The strategy calls for minimum land assembly for multiple-family projects of at least 30 m frontage plus lane access.

Council is currently considering a new bylaw to amend the Lane Establishment and Arterial Road Redevelopment Policies in the Official Community Plan (see the report from the Manager, Policy Planning and the Director of Development Dated April 13, 2006) which would, in part, require land assemblies for multiple-family to be at least 50 m in width.

At only 22.87 m in width, the subject property on its own, does not conform to either of these policies. However, the subject property was included in a conceptual plan for the entire block (see **Attachment 4**) that was prepared as part of two separate rezoning applications to the north (RZ 04-267350 and RZ 04-274863). The conceptual plan made provision for this property to accommodate multiple-family residential but with the provision that vehicle access to the development must come through the adjacent multi-family development to the north project (8660 No. 3 Road) – effectively making it an extension of the adjacent development and removing direct access to No. 3 Road from the subject property. From this perspective, the proposed development can be considered as being in compliance with these two policies.

The proposed amendments to the Lane Establishment and Arterial Road Redevelopment Policies also speak to stepping down developments to a maximum 2 ½ storey height along the side yards and at the internal drive aisle entrance. This development has partially addressed this by stepping the rear units (those adjacent to the existing single family residences to the east) to two storeys. It has retained a 3 storey configuration adjacent to No. 3 Road but has no direct drive aisle entrance to No. 3 Road.

Staff have noted that the commercial development immediately to the south of the subject property is 21 years old and is currently utilizing considerably less than its allowable Floor Area Ratio (F.A.R.) development potential of 0.5. These two factors suggest that the commercial corner is a likely candidate for redevelopment. Staff feel that this provides a basis for allowing the subject development to maintain its 3 storey form along its frontage as when the commercial corner redevelops it can be integrated into the streetscape massing and step down nearer the corner of No. 3 Road and Francis Road.

Public Input

No relevant calls or letters have been received by staff as at the date of writing of this report.

Staff Comments

Staff Technical Review comments are provided in **Attachment 5**. No significant concerns have been identified through the technical review. Required frontage improvements for this site will continue the improvements that are being installed for the development immediately to the north.

Trees

Two trees of bylaw size exist on the property. One, an apple tree approximately 0.3 m diameter, will be required to be removed as it is located in a proposed drive aisle. The second, a deciduous tree approximately 0.25 m diameter is proposed to be retained and will be further assessed through the development permit review.

Indoor Amenity Space

In lieu of the provision of indoor amenity space a contribution is being made to the City in the amount of \$1,000. per unit.

Servicing Analysis

An independent review of servicing requirements (storm and sanitary) has concluded that no upgrades to the existing systems are required to support the proposed development.

Analysis

Development Direction

The subject application is consistent with approved developments to the north and with the conceptual plan for the area between Francis Road and Bowcock Road. The sole access to this property will be through the development site to the north – thereby removing direct access from the subject property to No. 3 Road. A cross access agreement for the access to this property has already been registered by the developer of 8660 No 3 Road. Dedication of a 2 metre wide strip along No. 3 Road is a condition of the rezoning approval and will serve to accommodate future widening for a turn lane at the intersection of Francis and No. 3 Road .

Future Development Permit Application

With the development permit application, the following details should receive further attention:

- A variance for lot width from the required 30m to 22.86m;
- tree retention and replacement as part of an overall landscaping plan; and
- outdoor amenity space.


Financial Impact

None.

Conclusion

Staff support the proposal as it:

- is consistent with the development direction already established for the area between Francis Road and Bowcock Road;
- the development will result in direct access being removed from No. 3 Road;
- the City will acquire a 2 metre wide dedication along the No. 3 Road frontage; and
- the development massing will step down to two storey adjacent to the single-family dwellings to the east.



David Brownlee

Planner 2

DCB:cas

Attachment 1: Location Map and Aerial Photograph

Attachment 2: Conceptual Development Plans and Building Elevations

Attachment 3: Development Application Data Sheet

Attachment 4: Conceptual Development Plan for Block



Attachment 5: Staff Technical Review Comments

Attachment 6: Conditional Rezoning Requirements Concurrence




City of Richmond

**PROPOSED
REZONING**



Original Date: 03/18/05
 Revision Date: 05/29/06
 Note: Dimensions are in METRES

RZ 05-294804





NO. 3 RD

FRANCIS RD

SUBJECT
PROPERTY




RZ 05-294804

Original Date: 05/26/06

Amended Date:

Note: Dimensions are in METRES



FILE COPY
AP06-333170



P. Y. & Associates Engineering Ltd.
Matthew Cheng Architect Inc.
Professional Engineers & Architects
1000 12th Avenue S.W.
Calgary, Alberta T2C 1S1
Tel: 403.243.8888
Fax: 403.243.8899

Proposed Townhouses
8800 Nos. 3 Road,
Richmond, B.C.

Sheet No. 1 of 7
Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"
Date: 11/03/06

PLAN BCPI8205

APPROVAL
PLANNING
539
PLAN 54754


PROPOSED LOT COVERAGE	UNITS 1 & 2	UNITS 3, 4 & 5	TOTAL
17.02 S.M.	17.02 S.M.	39.22 S.M.	56.24 S.M. (39.66%)
PROPOSED BUILDING HEIGHT	10.50 M (35.0 S.F.T.)	8.05 M (27.5 F.T.)	
YARD SETBACKS	FRONT: 6.0 M (20.0 F.T.)	REAR: 3.0 M (10.0 F.T.)	
REQUIRED PROPOSED	6.0 M (20.0 F.T.)	3.0 M (10.0 F.T.)	
ALLOWABLE	10.5 M (35.0 F.T.)	3.0 M (10.0 F.T.)	
AREA OF COVERED AREA (COVERED PORCH, VERANDA AND BECK)	13.97 S.M. X 2 UNITS	120.38 S.M. X 3 UNITS	130.70 S.M. X 2 UNITS
TOTAL FLOOR AREA	1,271,945 S.M.	1,258,785 S.M.	2,530,730 S.M. (10,959)

CIVIC ADDRESS:	LEGAL DISTRICT:	SITE AREA:	ZONING DISTRICT:	NUMBERS OF UNITS PROPOSED:	ALLOWABLE FLOOR AREA RATIO:	ALLOWABLE LOT COVERAGE:	ALLOWABLE BUILDING HEIGHT:	PROPOSED FLOOR AREA:
8800 NOS. 3 ROAD, RICHMOND, B.C.	LOT 3, SEC. 214.6, PLAN 1291	1,307 S.M. (AFTER ROAD WIDENING)	R1/E (EXISTING)	8	6% (196.20 S.M.)	6% (530.00 S.M.)	10.67 M (35.0 F.T.)	13.97 S.M. X 2 UNITS
			-R2/OB (TO BE REZONED)					120.38 S.M. X 3 UNITS
								130.70 S.M. X 2 UNITS
								TOTAL FLOOR AREA
								2,530,730 S.M. (10,959)


PROPOSED LOT COVERAGE	UNITS 1 & 2	UNITS 3, 4 & 5	TOTAL
17.02 S.M.	17.02 S.M.	39.22 S.M.	56.24 S.M. (39.66%)
PROPOSED BUILDING HEIGHT	10.50 M (35.0 S.F.T.)	8.05 M (27.5 F.T.)	
YARD SETBACKS	FRONT: 6.0 M (20.0 F.T.)	REAR: 3.0 M (10.0 F.T.)	
REQUIRED PROPOSED	6.0 M (20.0 F.T.)	3.0 M (10.0 F.T.)	
ALLOWABLE	10.5 M (35.0 F.T.)	3.0 M (10.0 F.T.)	
AREA OF COVERED AREA (COVERED PORCH, VERANDA AND BECK)	13.97 S.M. X 2 UNITS	120.38 S.M. X 3 UNITS	130.70 S.M. X 2 UNITS
TOTAL FLOOR AREA	1,271,945 S.M.	1,258,785 S.M.	2,530,730 S.M. (10,959)

SITE PLAN


BC HYDRO KIOSK



2ND FLOOR PLAN
- UNITS 11 & 12 -
AREA: @ 21.98 M x 2.2 UNITS = 107.84 M



3RD FLOOR PLAN
- UNITS 11 & 12 -
AREA: @ 21.98 M x 2.2 UNITS = 107.84 M



GROUND FLOOR PLAN
- UNITS 11 & 12 -
FLOOR AREA: @ 21.98 M x 2.2 UNITS = 98.88 M
GARAGE AREA: @ 21.98 M x 4.4 UNITS = 45.10 M
LOT COVERAGE: 11.728 M

1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METERS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
2. ALL WALLS ARE 200 MM THICK UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
3. ALL DOORS ARE 900 MM WIDE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
4. ALL WINDOWS ARE 1200 MM WIDE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
5. ALL STAIRS ARE 1000 MM WIDE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
6. ALL CEILING HEIGHTS ARE 2400 MM UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
7. ALL FLOOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE FINISH SCHEDULE.
8. ALL WALL FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE FINISH SCHEDULE.
9. ALL CEILING FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE FINISH SCHEDULE.
10. ALL ELECTRICAL AND PLUMBING WORK IS TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE RESPECTIVE SCHEDULES.

NO.	REVISION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
2	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
3	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
4	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
5	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
6	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
7	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
8	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
9	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008
10	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	MARCH 2008

MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC.
100-1111 SHEPPARD AVENUE EAST, SUITE 100
SCARBOROUGH, ONTARIO M1S 1T6
TEL: (416) 291-8888
FAX: (416) 291-8889

P. Y. & Associates Engineering Ltd.
Professional Engineer
Professional Mechanical Engineer
Professional Electrical Engineer
Professional Civil Engineer
Professional Surveyor
Professional Geotechnical Engineer
Professional Environmental Engineer
Professional Fire Protection Engineer
Professional Structural Engineer
Professional Transportation Engineer
Professional Water Resources Engineer
Professional Wind Engineering
Professional Acoustical Engineering
Professional Vibration Engineering
Professional Noise Engineering
Professional Lighting Engineering
Professional Energy Engineering
Professional Sustainability Engineering
Professional Building Information Modeling (BIM) Engineering
Professional Building Performance Simulation (BPS) Engineering
Professional Building Energy Modeling (BEM) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Simulation (BES) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Performance (BEP) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Quality (BEQ) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Health (BEH) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Well-being (BEW) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Resilience (BER) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Sustainability (BES) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Stewardship (BES) Engineering
Professional Building Environmental Stewardship (BES) Engineering

Proposed Townhouses
8880 No. 3 Road,
Richmond, B.C.

Project No. 08-001
Drawing Title
FLOOR PLANS
UNITS 11 & 12

Scale: 1/50
Sheet: 11/20
Date: 03/2008
Drawn: V.K.
Checked: S.K. 2 OF 7

THIS PLAN IS THE PROPERTY OF P. Y. & ASSOCIATES ENGINEERING LTD. AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR COPIED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE ENGINEER. ANY REPRODUCTION OR COPIING OF THIS PLAN WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE ENGINEER IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND WILL BE PROSECUTED TO THE FULL EXTENT OF THE LAW.

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/20/2023	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
2	11/15/2023	REVISED PER COMMENTS
3	12/01/2023	REVISED PER COMMENTS
4	12/15/2023	REVISED PER COMMENTS
5	01/05/2024	REVISED PER COMMENTS
6	01/20/2024	REVISED PER COMMENTS
7	02/05/2024	REVISED PER COMMENTS
8	02/20/2024	REVISED PER COMMENTS
9	03/05/2024	REVISED PER COMMENTS
10	03/20/2024	REVISED PER COMMENTS


MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC.
 1200 SHEPPARD AVENUE EAST, SUITE 100
 SCARBOROUGH, ONTARIO M1B 3Y9
 TEL: (416) 291-8888
 FAX: (416) 291-8889
 WWW.MATTHEWCHENGARCHITECT.COM

P. Y. & Associates Engineering Ltd.
 Consulting Mechanical Engineers & Architects
 1000 SHEPPARD AVENUE EAST, SUITE 100
 SCARBOROUGH, ONTARIO M1B 3Y9
 TEL: (416) 291-8888
 FAX: (416) 291-8889
 WWW.PYANDASSOCIATES.COM

Project: Proposed Townhouses
 6660 No. 5 Road,
 Richmond, B.C.

Scale: 1/30
 Date: 03/05/2024
 Sheet: V/A
 Total: 4 OF 7

GROUND FLOOR PLAN
 UNITS 3 TO 6



GROUND FLOOR PLAN - UNITS 3 TO 6

FLOOR AREA:
 UNITS 3 & 4: 683.18 S.M. X 2 UNITS = 1366.36 S.M.
 UNITS 5 & 6: 683.18 S.M. X 2 UNITS = 1366.36 S.M.
 GARAGE AREA: 313.8 S.M. X 4 UNITS = 1255.2 S.M.
 LOT COVERAGE: 378.28 S.M.

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREIN. ANY REUSE OR REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC. IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
2005.03.05	ISSUE FOR PERMIT	MC
2005.03.05	ISSUE FOR PERMIT	MC
2005.03.05	ISSUE FOR PERMIT	MC
2005.03.05	ISSUE FOR PERMIT	MC
2005.03.05	ISSUE FOR PERMIT	MC


MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC.
 100-10000 100th Ave. S.E.
 Bellevue, WA 98004
 Tel: 206.468.8888
 Fax: 206.468.8889
 www.matthewcheng.com

Project: **P. Y. & Associates Engineering Ltd.**
 Consulting Architectural Engineers & Planners
 2000 West Broadway, Suite 400
 Vancouver, B.C. V6J 1A8
 Tel: 604.681.8888
 Fax: 604.681.8889
 www.pyassoc.com


Proposed Townhouse
 5650 No. 3 Road,
 Richmond, B.C.

Drawn: [Blank]
 Checked: [Blank]
 Date: [Blank]


ELEVATIONS
 UNITS 1 & 2




WEST ELEVATION (FACING NO. 3 ROAD) - UNITS 1' & 2'



SOUTH ELEVATION - UNITS 1' & 2'



NORTH ELEVATION - UNITS 1' & 2'



EAST ELEVATION (FACING DRIVEWAY) - UNITS 1' & 2'

NOTES: 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. 2. FINISHES TO BE DETERMINED BY THE ARCHITECT. 3. REFER TO SPECIFICATIONS FOR MATERIALS AND METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION. 4. THE ARCHITECT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS. 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY UTILITIES AND SERVICES. 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY INSURANCE AND BONDS. 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY SITES AND SERVICES. 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY SITES AND SERVICES. 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY SITES AND SERVICES. 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY SITES AND SERVICES.

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/15/03	ISSUED FOR P.P.
2	11/10/03	REVISIONS


MATTHEW CHENG ARCHITECT INC.
 REGISTERED ARCHITECT
 1000 SHEPPARD AVENUE EAST, SUITE 100
 RICHMOND HILL, ONTARIO L4B 1N2
 TEL: (905) 882-1111
 FAX: (905) 882-1112
 WWW.MATTHEWCHENG.COM

P. Y. & Associates Engineering Ltd.
 CONSULTING MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
 1000 SHEPPARD AVENUE EAST, SUITE 100
 RICHMOND HILL, ONTARIO L4B 1N2
 TEL: (905) 882-1111
 FAX: (905) 882-1112
 WWW.PYANDASSOCIATES.COM


Project: Proposed Townhouses
 8880 No. 9 Road,
 Richmond, B.C.

Drawn: [Name]
 Checked: [Name]
 Date: 11/10/03
 Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"


Sheet: 5K-1 OF 7
 ELEVATIONS UNITS 5 TO 6
 # SECTIONS




WEST ELEVATION
 - UNITS 3 & 6 -



EAST ELEVATION
 - UNITS 3 & 6 -



SECTION A-A
 UNITS 3 TO 6



SECTION B-B
 UNITS 1 & 2

WORKING DRAWING FOR CONSTRUCTION. ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTES SHALL BE AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS.



City of Richmond

6911 No. 3 Road
Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1
(604) 276-4000

Development Application Data Sheet

RZ 05-294804

Address: 8680 No.3 Road

Applicant: Peter Yee


Planning

Area(s): Broadmoor

	Existing	Proposed
Owner:	578547 BC Ltd	To be determined
Site Size (m²):	1374.27 m ² (14,793 ft ²)	1327m ² (14,284 ft ²) after road dedication
Land Uses:	Single Family	Multi-Family
OCP Designation:	Neighbourhood Residential	No change
Zoning:	R1/E	R2 - 0.6
Number of Units:	1	6

On Future Subdivided Lots	Bylaw Requirement	Proposed	Variance
Density (units/net acre):	N/A	18.3 upa	none permitted
Floor Area Ratio:	Max. 0.6	6	none permitted
Lot Coverage – Building:	Max. 40%	40%	none
Lot Size (min. dimensions Width/Depth):	30 m / 35 m	22.867 m / 58.019 m	7.133 m width
Setback – Front Yard (m):	Min. 6 m	Min. 6 m	None
Setback – Side Yard (m):	Min. 3 m	Min. 3 m	None
Setback – Rear Yard (m):	Min. 3 m	Min. 3 m	None
Height (m):	11 m 3 storey max	10.939 m	None
Off-street Parking Spaces – Regular (R) / Visitor (V):	2 (R) and 0.2 (V) per unit	2 (R) and 0.2 (V) per unit	None
Off-street Parking Spaces – Total:	14	14	None
Amenity Space – Indoor:	70m ² or cash in lieu	Cash in lieu	None
Amenity Space – Outdoor:	6m ² per unit (36m ² or 387 ft ²)	36.5 m ²	None

BOWCOCK ROAD



CONTEXT PLAN
TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT SCHEME
FOR 8540 & 8620 NO.3 ROAD

JULY 07, 2004

tomizo yamamoto architect inc.

954 Baycrest Drive, North Vancouver
B.C. V7G 1N8 Tel. 604-929-8531 Fax. 604-929-8591
E-mail : tyarch@shaw.ca

Staff Technical Review Comments

Policy Planning:

No area plan exists for this location – the general Official Community Plan applies. The proposal is consistent with the concept plan developed for the block between Francis Road and Bowcock Road as part of RZ 04-267350. The site access is to be removed from No. 3 Rd. and relocated to match up with the drive aisle connection provided through the development to the north. No other concerns.

Engineering Works Design:

Development Applications-Engineering staff support the Rezoning application. Staff note that the site is serviced by a box culvert for storm drainage on No. 3 Road. The Minimum Fire Flow requirement is 200 l/s for townhouses - Fire Flow available is 942.8 l/s using the 2021 OCP Maximum Day Model.

A storm & sanitary analysis was received Feb. 3, 2006, and sent to Engineering Feb. 7, 2006. February 8, 2006: Engineering Dept concurred with MPT that the storm & sanitary have sufficient capacity and require NO upgrades. They requested that the calculations be submitted with the Servicing Agreement design drawings.

Prior to final adoption, the developer shall:

1. Dedicate 2m for Road across their entire No 3 Road frontage.
2. Adoption of RZ 04-267350 and DP 04-279621 must be complete, and the physical construction commenced, as this site requires access via 8640/8660 No 3 Road's cross access drive aisle.

No other concerns with Rezoning. Then prior to issuance of the future Building Permit, the developer is to enter into the City's standard Servicing Agreement for design and construction of frontage beautification. Works include, but are not limited to, removing the existing sidewalk and lighting strip, pouring a new 1.5m wide concrete sidewalk at the new property line, creating a grass and treed blvd between the curb & the new sidewalk. This will match works to be done for the complex immediately to the north. Development Applications staff would support works for both frontages as one Servicing Agreement, but this is not mandatory. Works are at developers sole cost; no credits.

Transportation:

Comments on site plan dated March, 2005:

1. Require a 2-metre land dedication along the entire frontage of the subject lot along No.3 Road.
2. Require frontage improvements with adequate width of sidewalk and grass treed boulevard.
3. The number and size of off-street parking stalls provided should conform to City's bylaw.
4. Applicants should demonstrate how loading with loading trucks (SU 9 or WB 17) could be accommodated, especially given there is no stopping or parking allowed along No.3 Road.
5. A construction parking and traffic management plan is to be provided to the Transportation Department to include: the location of parking for services, deliveries, workers, loading, application for request for any lane closures (including dates, times, and duration), and proper

construction traffic controls as per the Traffic Control Manual for Works on Roadways (by the Ministry of Transportation) and the MMCD Traffic Regulation Section 01570.

Development Applications:

The applicant is advised to consider the following in preparation for the future Development Permit application:

- provide tree survey and arborist report (as required);
- provide a landscaping plan inclusive of compensation planting as required;
- provide detailed elevations;
- adjacencies to single-family homes;
- views into and within development;
- permeability of site;
- appropriate screening and buffering of private outdoor spaces for units facing No. 3 Road;
and
- locations and details for mailbox kiosk and development signage.

A contribution of \$1,000 per unit in lieu of indoor amenity space should be required as a condition of the rezoning.

Conditional Rezoning Requirements 8680 No.3 Road

Prior to final adoption of Zoning Amendment Bylaw 8082, the developer is required to complete the following requirements:

1. A 2 m wide road dedication along the entire No. 3 Road frontage.
2. \$1000.00 per dwelling unit (e.g. \$6000.00) in-lieu of on-site amenity space.
3. The submission and processing of a Development Permit* completed to a level deemed acceptable by the Director of Development.

Prior to issuance of the future Building Permit, the developer is to enter into the City's standard Servicing Agreement for design and construction of frontage beautification. Works include, but are not limited to, removing the existing sidewalk and lighting strip, pouring a new 1.5m wide concrete sidewalk at the new property line, creating a grass and treed blvd between the curb & the new sidewalk. This will match works to be done for the complex immediately to the north. Development Applications staff would support works for both frontages as one Servicing Agreement, but this is not mandatory. Works are at developers sole cost; no credits.

* Note: This requires a separate application.

(signed original on file)



**Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300
Amendment Bylaw 8082 (RZ 05-294804)
8680 NO. 3 ROAD**

The Council of the City of Richmond, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300 is amended by repealing the existing zoning designation of the following area and by designating it **TOWNHOUSE DISTRICT (R2-0.6)**.

P.I.D.: 003-391-027

LOT 3 SECTION 21 BLOCK 4 NORTH RANGE 6 WEST NEW WESTMINSTER
DISTRICT PLAN 12591

2. This Bylaw may be cited as **“Richmond Zoning and Development Bylaw 5300, Amendment Bylaw 8082”**.

FIRST READING

PUBLIC HEARING

SECOND READING

THIRD READING

OTHER CONDITIONS SATISFIED

ADOPTED

CITY OF RICHMOND
APPROVED by
<i>AB</i>
APPROVED by Director or Solicitor
<i>L</i>

MAYOR

CORPORATE OFFICER