

City of Richmond

Report to Committee

To Public works o Transportation.

Date: March 16, 2007 Apr 18, 2007

To:

Public Works and Transportation Committee

From:

Robert Gonzalez, P.Eng.

File:

10-6045-09-06/2007-Vol

Director, Engineering

01

Re:

Mid-Island Barrier Scoping and Options Study

Staff Recommendation

That staff submit a 2008 capital funding request of \$100,000 to allow completion of a Mid-Island Barrier scope and alignment options study.

Robert Gonzalez, P.Eng.

Director, Engineering

(4150)

Att. 4

F	OR ORIGINATIN	G DEPAR	TMENT USE ONLY		
Rоитео То:	Conci	JRRENCE	Concurrence of G	GENERAL MANAG	ER
Budgets Roads & Dykes Policy Planning Transportation		Y 🔟 N 🗆 -		2	
REVIEWED BY TAG	yes ESGJ	NO [_]	REVIEWED BY CAO	YES	NO

Staff Report

Origin

At the July 10, 2006 meeting Council received the Richmond 2006 – 2031 Draft Flood Protection Management Strategy. This strategy specifically made reference that staff pursue a Mid-Island Barrier along the Knight Street/Highway 99 corridor and commence detailed discussions with the Ministry of Transportation.

The purpose of this report is to update Council on the status of the Mid-Island Barrier and the discussion held with the Ministry of Transportation.

Analysis

The 1996 Memorandum of Understanding (Attachment 1) between the City and the GVRD allowed development within the City under the premise that the City prepare improved implementation plans for City flood protection and that the City and Province are to agree upon the plans. In December 2006, the Ministry of Environment confirmed (Attachment 2) that a Mid Island Dike represents a "critical component" of the City's flood protection strategy.

The concept of a Mid-Island Barrier for flood protection dates back to 1989. The purpose of a Mid-Island Barrier is to provide a secondary line of defence to protect the areas west of Highway 99/Knight Street from flooding. The City's perimeter dike provides the City's primary flood protection and will remain the focus and priority for flood prevention.

A Mid-Island Barrier would specifically contain Fraser River flood water to the largely undeveloped areas of the City in the unlikely event of a perimeter dike breach to the east of the Highway 99/Knight Street alignment. If a Mid-Island Barrier is not constructed, flooding of the areas west of its proposed location, including the City Centre, is possible following a breach of the perimeter dike. Under this scenario it is possible that there could be considerable property damage as well as a potential for a loss of life. A Mid-Island Barrier would offer no protection to the areas west of its location should the perimeter dike be breached west of the Highway 99/Knight Street alignment.

Staff met with the Ministry of Transportation on February 20, 2007 and received their letter dated February 21, 2007 (Attachment 3) confirming they have no objection in principle to a dike system that would utilize the topographical advantages of a Highway 99/Knight Street Barrier alignment.

The medium implementation phase (Attachment 4) of the 2006 – 2031 Draft Flood Protection Management Strategy received by Council in July 2006 highlighted an estimated cost of \$100.000 to complete a study to scope and evaluate options for a Mid-Island Barrier. Completion of this study is of paramount importance to find the most cost effective solution and to provide the necessary details to proceed further with the Ministry of Transportation.

Staff recommend that a 2008 capital funding request of \$100,000 to allow completion of a Mid-Island Barrier scope and alignment options study be submitted in 2008. Once the study is complete, staff will present Council with the findings and a long term funding strategy. The

order of magnitude cost estimate to complete Mid-Island Barrier construction as presented to Council in July 2006 in the absence of a scoping or options study, was \$16 million.

Financial Impact

There will be a financial impact of \$100,000 should Council approve the capital funding request to complete a Mid-Island Barrier scope and alignment options study as part of the 2008 Capital Program.

Conclusion

Construction of a Mid-Island Barrier would provide a secondary level of protection for flooding of the western portions of the City. The Ministry of Environment has characterized the Mid-Island barrier as a critical component of the City's flood protection system and the Ministry of Transportation has endorsed the concept of a Highway 99/Knight Street alignment. It is necessary to complete a detailed evaluation of scope and alignment options in order to proceed further with the Mid-Island Barrier concept.

Jim V. Young, P. Eng.

Manager Engineering Design and Construction

(4610)

JVY:jvy

Memorandum of Understanding Between Richmond and GVRD Regarding Resolution of Objections to the Livable Regional Strategic Plan

The Greater Vancouver Regional District and the City of Richmond agree:

- a) that historic growth patterns in Richmond are established and Richmond will continue to grow under the guidance of Official Community Plans, which when modified shall continue to be complementary to regional plans adopted under the Growth Strategies Statutes Amendment Act;
- b) that these historic patterns have already concentrated growth in Richmond and established Richmond as a major centre for residence as well as business in Greater Vancouver; that the conditions for those growth patterns are unique to Richmond (i.e. the location of the international airport within the City, the culturally diverse population, the existing concentration of employment particularly in areas related to international trade, visitor services, and hi-tech activity, the strategic geographic location between downtown Vancouver, the airport, and the U.S. border, and the island amenity and quality of life) and will continue to shape Richmond and benefit the region's well-being; that Richmond should develop as a complete community, balancing job growth with housing opportunities while protecting the Agricultural Land Reserve;
- c) that the "Livable Region Strategic Plan" recognizes that rapid transit to Richmond, which will shape and serve the growth in the Richmond Regional Town Centre, is a fundamental requirement for the success of the plan;
- d) that the Board will continue to press the Province and BC Transit for commitments to construct all three transit lines on the basis that all those lines are necessary for the full realization of the Livable Region Strategic Plan's objectives;
- e) that West Richmond is a strategic growth area and should Richmond and the Province agree on a mutually acceptable implementation plan for flood and seismic protection, and should Richmond have adopted land use policies and bylaws consistent with the achievement of a future Richmond population comparable to objectives for growth within the Growth Concentration Area, then West Richmond would be considered as an area qualifying for priority in transportation services and facilities, as described in the Livable Region Strategic Plan policy:
- f) that should the condition in (e) be fulfilled, then an amendment to the Livable Region Strategic Plan to include West Richmond in the Growth Concentration Area shall be prepared and brought forward for consideration by the GVRD Board; and,
- g) that the City of Richmond withdraws its objection to the Livable Region Strategic Plan on the basis set out in this agreement.

Approved by Richmond City Council, January 22, 1996 Approved by GVRD Board January 26, 1996

"Spdserving VS:23057 doc - VS/ms

- 4. Mid Island Barrier (References Attachments 1 (Policy Manual) and 9 (Strategy Priorities and Discussion))
- A review of Ministry correspondence to the City reveals that the construction of the mid-island barrier has been a key factor in subdivision approval and in setting related Flood Construction Levels (FCLs).
 - While the plans for a mid-island barrier project would eventually have to be reviewed from a regulatory perspective by the IOD, the Ministry agrees that the mid-island barrier is a critical component of the Strategy to the extent that it protects heavily developed west Richmond from a "worst case" river dike breach.
- In support of the statement on page 2 of Attachment 9 (i.e., "this barrier must be constructed now..."), the Ministry recommends that the City set a target completion date firmer than "Ongoing and Longer Term" as proposed in the Implementation Program.
- The FCLs specified in subdivision covenants for approximately the last 15 years have been based on the 1989 policy (see Attachment 1) to construct the mid-island barrier. The covenant wording typically was as follows:

"The FCL is 3.5m GSC. However, the Ministry of Environment and the Township of Richmond have adopted a Floodplain Management Implementation Policy and Program, and based on that program the following floodproofing requirements apply: ...2.6m GSC...".

If the mid-island barrier is not constructed, then the 1989 FCLs for west Richmond, as set out in the subdivision covenants, would not provide protection against a breach in the river dikes upstream from the proposed mid-island barrier alignment.

Dike Issues - Technical

Please refer to the attached December 7, 2006 memorandum to me from the Inspector of Dikes.

Flood Hazard Land Use Management Issues

Provincial and Local Government Roles (Reference – Attachment 2, section 6(1), and Strategy, Attachment 8, section 4.2)

The last sentence in section 6(1) of Attachment 2 may be taken to imply that there is uncertainty in regard to the provincial role in flood hazard area land use management. However, as you have noted, the roles of the Ministry and local government were changed by legislative amendments in 2003 and 2004. The legislation is clear that local governments have the authority to develop flood hazard area bylaws without Ministry approval. Local governments do have to consider Provincial guidelines (i.e. Ministry

Telephone: 250 356-9443 Facsimile: 250 953-3414 Website: www.gov be.ca/env



February 21, 2007

Jim Young, P.Eng. Manager, Design & Construction Engineering & Public Works City of Richmond 6911 No. 3 Road Richmond, BC V6Y 2C1

Dear Jim.

Re: Mid Island Dike - Richmond

I appreciated being able to meet with yourself, Robert Gonzalez, Victor Wei and David Brownlee on your concept for a mid-island diking system that would utilize the topographical advantage of the Hwy 99-Knight Street highways to achieve some level of flood protection for greater Richmond.

We acknowledge that the plans at this stage are very preliminary and that many details need to be worked out before such a plan could be implemented, but the Ministry of Transportation has no objection in principle to this approach.

We look forward to working with Richmond Mayor, Council and staff on achieving a plan which affords a second level of defense for your important community.

Yours truly.

Tracy Cooper

Regional Director

TC/ltm

Page 31

Kev	Kev Actions			l Flood Pr	Implementation Program Flood Protection Management Strategy		
			Short Term (2006)		Medium Term (2007)	On-going and Longer Lerm (now - 2031)	
1. Pla	Planning	<u>~</u>	Refer the Flood Protection Management	1. Work with	Work with the MoT on a plan for the	1. Prepare a plan to support	
			Strategy (FPMS) to the Ministry of Environment (MoE) Water Stawardship	developm	development of the Highway 99/Knight Street	increased density adjacent to dikes	Kes S
			Division for review and comment	Account F	Account Evaluation of interior baseing and interior	but require grade increases and	
			(PLANNING)	Study Cos	Study cost estimate - \$100 000 (Euchichem)	contributions to dike	
		-	Discuss with Ministry of Transportation	2. Improve t	Industrial City's ability to got data and	improvements. Retain dike rights	ള
	7	<u>, l</u>	(MoT) the mid-island barrier along	_	undertake direct measurements (e.g.	of ways and access (PLANNING) Remove and reforate of routing	
	\	. عر	Highway 99/Knight Street corridor	monitorin	monitoring local sea level changes through City		· · ·
			(PLANNING; IRANSPORTATION;	operated	operated gauging stations (Engineering;	(ENGINEERING)	•
		Ċ	ENGINEERING)		ORKS)	3. Co-ordinate between emergency	
		<u>ن</u>	Consultation with Provincial and Fed	Direct sta	Direct staff to prepare an updated Flood	facilities and development planning	ing
			covering to determine direction for	Kesponse	Response Plan as part of the overall	(e.g., ensure refuge areas are)
			(Includation perimeter dive standards	Emergen	Emergency Response Plan (updated on basis	located in areas not subject to	
				of new mo	of new modeling and technical information)	flooding) (ENGINEERING:	
		i		(ENGINEE!	(ENGINEERING; EMERGENCY & ENVIRONMENTAL	EMERGENCY & ENVIRONMENTAL	
			implications for cattle the		IS)	PROGRAMS; PLANNING)	
			divocations for setting a new perimeter	4. Establish	Establish a protocol for obtaining dike rights of	4. Review plans and implement for	<u>.</u>
			MADE STATIONE (ENGINEERING; PUBLIC		way for Mitchell Island (Engineering)	refuge areas, emergency routes,	,,,
		u	WORKS!	5. Work with	Work with VIAA to clarify jurisdiction,	and create public awareness.	
		ni ———	examine and pursue senior government	maintena	maintenance standards and improvement	(ENGINEERING: EMERGENCY &	
			cost sharing to implement the FPMS	programs	programs for the Sea Island dikes	ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS)	-
		((ENGINEERING; PUBLIC WORKS; FINANCE)	_	RING)	5. Direct staff to review the FPMS	
		ة 	Review the City's Development Cost	Encourag	Encourage the City of New Westminster to	approximately every 5 years (to	
			Charge Bylaw and Drainage & Dike	harmoniz	harmonize their flood protection levels with	ensure new information is	
			Utility to determine municipal funding		Richmond's strategy (Engineering)	reflected) (ALL)	
			sources for the mid-island barrier and	7. Work with	Work with Department of Fisheries and Oceans	6. Consult at timely intervals with	
			perimeter dike upgrading (Engineering;	on a plan	on a plan for widening the perimeter dikes –	experts (MoE, Canadian	
		1	PUBLIC WORKS; FINANCE)	inside and	inside and outside existing dikes, addressing	Hydrographic Service, etc.) and	
		· -	Collaborate between Engineering and	related m	related mitigation and compensation	monitor the latest long-range	•••
			rialining to develop a phased plan for		requirements (EngineERING)	ocean/climate change forecasts for	- Loui
			overall land grade increases	8. Work with	Work with external agencies (such as the	their implications (Engineering)	
			(ENGINEEKING, PLANNING)	Agricultur	Agricultural Land Commission) to develop a		

412

