

City of Richmond

Report to Committee

To Plunning . Apr 4, 2006

To:

RE:

Planning Committee

Date:

March 28, 2006

From:

- Terry Crowe, Manager, Policy Planning

File:

7000-09-01

Kate Sparrow, Director, Recreation and

xr 1810 - 01

Cultural Services

ENHANCED PUBLIC ART PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

Staff Recommendation

That, as per the report from the Manager, Policy Planning and the Director, Recreation and Cultural Services, entitled: Enhanced Public Art Program Management, dated March 28, 2006, Option 2 – Enhanced Public Art Program Management, be approved which includes:

- (1) In 2006, transferring the full responsibility for the Public Art Program, from the Policy Planning Department, to the Recreation and Cultural Services (RCS) Department,
- (2) In 2006, RCS employing a qualified temporary, full time Public Art Co-ordinator, to manage the Public Art Program;
- (3) For 2006, allocate \$93,000 from any 2005 Surplus, to pay for the Co-ordinator,
- (4) For 2007 and onward, RCS staff are to:
 - encourage a wide range of community, private and stakeholder involvement in and contributions to the Public Art Program,
 - with Development Application staff, negotiate with developers who voluntarily participate in the Public Art Program, to ensure that sufficient non-City funds, primarily from developers, are available annually to fund a Public Art Co-ordinator,
 - as part of annual Public Art Program budgets, prepare annual proposals, to finance the Public Art Co-ordinator, from non-City contributions, primarily from developers,
 - over time, when the City's Oval and No 3 Road Canada Line Public Art Programs and Implementation Strategies are brought forward, identify longer term funding options for a Public Art Co-ordinator and public art projects.

Terry Crowe, Manager, Policy Planning

Lellevell per K.S.

Kate Sparrow, Director, Recreation & Cultural Services

Att. 5					
F	OR ORIGIN	ATING DIVIS	SION USE ONLY		
ROUTED TO:	Co	NCURRENCE	CONCURRENCE OF GE		
Director, Major Projects, CAO		Y to NO	lelear	elel.	
Development Applications				,/	
Budgets		. Y 🗹 N 🗆			
REVIEWED BY TAG	YES /	NO	REVIEWED BY CAO	~YE\$/	NO

Origin

The purpose of this report is to present a Win-Win approach to better manage the Public Art Program by:

- Outlining the evolution and success of the City's Public Art [PA] Program, and
- Recommending a more effective Public Art Program management and staff funding arrangement, as since its creation in 1997, the Public Art Program continues to be a growing success which now requires more than part time co-ordination and support.

Background

General

Richmond is now recognized, provincially and nationally [e.g., Creative City Network] as a leader in public art.

The City's Public Art Program was established in 1997 and has been an evolving success with:

- 25 completed projects and
- 29 projects underway, as summarized below:

	Types Of Public Art Projects	Number of Public Art Projects
1.	Public Art Projects on City Park Land	10
2.	Public Art Projects on City Land (Non Park Land)	7
3.	Public Art Projects on Mixed Land: [City, Private, and/or Park Land]	2
4.	Public Art Projects on Private Land	9
5.	Other: The Annual "Lulu Series: Art in the City" Lectures	1
	Total Projects	29

[See Attachment 1 for the list of current public art projects]

The Public Art Program has been managed by the Policy Planning [PPD], Urban Development Division and is supported by the dedicated and innovative Public Art Commission and the following City departments:

Recreation and Cultural Services [RCS],		Facilities Management,
Parks,		Transportation,
Fire Department,		Development Applications,
RCMP,		Production Centre,
Engineering,	a	Others.

To date, while one department [PPD] leads PA Program implementation, the support of many departments has been and will continue to be required to ensure its success, as no one department currently has the necessary staff resources to allocate a full time staff person to implement the Program.

2001 Public Art Program Review

In 2000, Council directed that a full review of the Public Art Program be undertaken. In 2001, the Program review was completed, improvements identified and recommendations made.

On July 9, 2001 Council concluded that the Public Art Program should continue and authorized Program improvements, many of which are being undertaken [see **Attachment 2**].

City Public Art Program Initiatives

The Richmond Public Art Program is successful, as evidenced by the:

- completed and underway public art projects,
- continued voluntary developer and community participation in public art, and
- City initiated:
 - Oval Public Art Program and Implementation Strategy which will be finalized over the next several months and which can be expected to generate additional ongoing public art projects in, on and around the Oval, and in the Olympic Gateway area.
 - <u>No 3 Road Public Art Program and Implementation Strategy</u> which is currently being finalized as part of the City's No 3 Road [Canada Line] Streetscape Study and which can also be expected to generate additional public art projects along No. 3 Road, particularly around the five Canada Line stations,
 - <u>Lulu Public Art Lecture Series</u>, which is growing in local and national popularity, each year. To meet stakeholder and national public art interest, the City is recording the 2006 sessions for distribution to those who are interested and cannot attend.

Issues

- The PA Program has been very successful with:
 - the community, many developers and stakeholders voluntarily participating in the PA Program, and
 - the City undertaking significant public art initiatives [e.g., the Oval Public Art Program, Canada Line No 3 Road Public Art Program].
- It is anticipated that the PA Program will continue to be successful as there is strong evidence that there will continue to be a steady stream of community, private, stakeholder and City public art projects [see **Attachment 1**], which will require additional co-ordination by a public art co-ordinator.
- When the PA Program was established, it was intended that no more then 20% of a Policy Planning Department [PPD] staff's time and any other City department staff's time would be needed to manage and implement the PA Program.
- Currently, due to the success of the PA Program, both the PPD and RCS staff are spending more than 20% of their respective staff time to implement the PA Program.
- This extra staff time means that both the PPD and RCS Departments, whose staff resources are limited, are not fully meeting their non-public art priorities [e.g., area plans, arts and cultural programs].
- The Public Art Commission, and PPD and RCS staff acknowledge that, to continue to be successful, the PA Program needs a dedicated full time public art co-ordinator which will enable City staff to:
 - continue successfully implementing the Public Art Program, and
 - better achieve all of Council's priorities.

ANALYSIS

Opportunity For Review Program Management

At this time, there is an opportunity to review and recommend how the Program can be better managed in light of its nine year success, PPD staff changes, continued high voluntary developer and community participation in public art, and the City initiated Oval Public Art Program, No 3 Road Public Art Program and Lulu Public Art Lecture Series.

1997 –2006 Public Art Activity and Funding History

From 1997 to March 2006 [9 years], the Public Art Program financial contributions and actual project activity are summarized in **Attachments 1-4** and as outlined below:

1997 –200	Public Art Activity and Funding History	
Public Art Contributions	Over 10 years [estimates]	Average per Year [estimates]
City Contributions	□ City Hall \$250,000 □ City 125th Banner \$5,000 □ South Arm Millennium Project \$6,500 □ Sea Island Fire Hall \$40,000 □ Hamilton Fire Hall \$40,000 □ City Oval Public Art Study 80,000 □ City No 3 Road/ Canada Line Study \$10,000 □ \$431,500	□ \$48,000
Voluntary Private Financial Contributions	□ \$513,500	□ \$57,000
Non City and Non Private Contributions	□ HSBC donation - Legacy Park Lands contribution - \$10,000 □ Vancouver Foundation - \$30,000 □ LULU Lecture Series \$30,000 [e.g., various Lafarge, other sources] □ \$70,000	□ \$7,800
Total Value Of Private Public Art Projects [financial and actual projects]	\$2,637,500	□ \$263,750

2002-2006 Average Annual Private Public Art Contributions

From 2002 to 2006, the average annual, private sector voluntarily public art cash contributions was \$102,617. This average is expected to increase annually.

Public Art Program Management Options

The PA Program management options are:

- 1. Fragmented Approach:
 - □ Description:

This option involves:

- For Policy Planning:
 - Continuing to be the lead department, which manages the Program, liaises with the Commission, manages the PA budget and leads projects.
 - Reducing its public art involvement, from 50% to no more than 20% of one PPD staff person's time on public art, in order to meet its other Council priorities,
 - Changing from leading all public art projects, to only those on private lands (e.g., during rezonings).
- For Recreation, Cultural Services [RCS] Department
 - Becoming more involved in PA Program implementation [i.e., lead and manage those public art projects associated with City cultural facilities,
 - Limiting its involvement to 20% of a RCSD staff person's time to those public art projects,

- For Other Departments [e.g., Parks, Public Works]:
 - Becoming more involved in PA Program implementation [i.e., lead and manage those public art projects on City park land and associated with public works activities,
 - Limiting their involvement to 20% of a staff person's time to those public art projects,
 - Learning to lead those public art projects,

□ Pros

Builds on past interdepartmental co-operation,

Cons

- Jeopardizes PA Program and success:
 - As leadership and co-ordination would be fragmented and may weaken,
 - As due to inadequate staff resources, the PA Program and projects need more than 20% staff time in PPD, RCS and other city departments,
- Detracts from PPD, RCS and other City department non-public art priorities,
- Staff in other departments need to be trained to manage public art projects.
- Is not sufficient to successfully manage existing and upcoming public art projects in a timely manner.

2. Enhanced Program Management [Recommended]

Description:

This involves:

- Transferring the full responsibility for the Public Art Program from Policy Planning to Recreation and Cultural Services [RCS], as there is a better art fit and RCS staff agree,
- Hiring a temporary, full time Public Art Co-ordinator to be responsible for all PA Program implementation, in co-operation with the Public Art Commission, including:
 - Being the City staff liaison to the Public Art Commission,
 - Managing the City's Public Art Statutory Reserve and projects,
 - Implementing the Public Art Program,
 - Preparing all communications and reports [RTC] to committee and Council,
 - Co-ordinating all public art projects, on all lands,
 - Preparing an ongoing Public Art Implementation Strategy,
 - Receiving support from other City departments, as outlined in the Strategy,
 - Encouraging wide multi-stakeholder participation and funding in the Public Art Program,
 - Annually advising Council of Public Art Program implementation and needs,
- Paying for the Co-ordinator:
 - In 2006, by using any 2005 surplus. This approach is recommended it is the most practical way to fund the position in 2006. An ongoing account will be set up in 2007.

- In 2007 and onward, by using a portion of the annual private voluntary developer public art contributions. As the current average annual private voluntary developer public art contributions are \$102,617 and are expected to increase, it is practical to use these funds for the temporary full-time public art co-ordinator on an ongoing basis.
- Annually staff can arrange each year, through negotiations with developers, that sufficient private developer financial contributions are available, for both the temporary full-time public art co-ordinator and actual public art projects. As well, other non-City contributions (e.g., from community, stakeholders, partners), are available, should they be needed.

This option is only acceptable and workable, if sufficient funds are allocated to RCS, which staff are recommending in this report.

The Public Art Commission, staff and Council would review the Program, the volume of projects and the necessity of the co-ordinator on an annual basis.

□ Pros

- Consistent with the Council's 2001 Public Art Program directives,
- Sustains a temporary, full-time public art co-ordinator with non-City funding [e.g., primarily developer], as developer funding is anticipated, on an ongoing basis,
- Enables adequate financial resources to successfully manage the PA Program,
- Best enables City departments to focus on all their Council priorities,
- Achieves Program co-ordination, continuity and certainty,
- Builds on past interdepartmental co-operation,
- Complements RCS activities,
- Enables Council to monitor the need for the temporary full-time co-coordinator, annually, based on Program activity and funding, and annual reports from staff and the Public art Comission,
- Supported by the Public Art Commission [Attachment 5],
- Supported by City, PPD and RCS staff,
- Involves minimal financial risk for the City and to the Program.

Cons

- Involves allocating anticipated private developer funds,
- A transition is involved, which can be managed.

□ Option 2 Budget Details

- Need
 - The temporary full time Public Art Coordinator position is proposed to be at a Planner 2, Pay Grade 30 utilizing private public art funds, which in 2006 is:
 - \$75,000 salary,
 - \$18,000 benefits
 - \$93,000 annually.
 - A Planner 2 can manage the Program responsibly.

- □ Option 2 Program Funding:
 - Staff recommend that to fund the Co-ordinator position:
 - for 2006, \$93,000 be taken from any 2005 Surplus,
 - for 2007 and onward, non-City [e.g., primarily developer] contributions be used.

Will The Temporary, Full Time Public Art Coordinator Position Ever Become a Permanent City Position?

The temporary full-time public art co-ordinator position will be a temporary City position, unless Council determines otherwise.

The public art co-ordinator staffing options are:

- (1.) <u>Temporary Status</u>: Continue the position as a RCS temporary full-time public art coordinator position. [Recommend at this time]
- (2.) Permanent Status: Make the position a RCD permanent full time public art co-ordinator position. [An option to be reviewed annually]

 Note: This option would only be recommended, if after following Option 1: Temporary Status, Council determines that a permanent City position is warranted and funding, preferably non-City funding is available, on an ongoing basis. At this time, adequate private sector funding is projected.

Will The City Ever Fund The Temporary or Full Time Public Art Coordinator Position? Council will determine this matter. As outlined above, it appears that the City will not need to fund this position, as sufficient non-City funding will be available on an ongoing annual basis.

If in any year, non-City funding is less than what is required to fund the co-ordinator, staff and the Public Art Commission will present Council options and Council will make the decision.

Upcoming Major City Public Art Initiatives:

In 2005, the City initiated the:

- Oval Public Art Program and Implementation Strategy, and
- □ No 3 Road Canada Line Streetscape Public Art Program and Implementation Strategy.

In 2006, these two initiatives will be completed and brought forward for Council consideration. Both studies will identify respective public art program needs and options.

Financial Implications

Staff recommend that for Option 2:

- In 2006, \$93,000 be taken from any 2005 Surplus,
- In 2007 and onward, non-City [e.g., primarily developer] contributions be used.

Conclusion

- The Public Art Program continues to be successful.
- The current staff arrangement to manage the Public Art Program is not working, as more than the current City staff resources are required to ensure that the Program:
 - Continues to be successful,
 - Does not jeopardize Council's non-public art priorities.
- Staff recommend Option 2, to ensure the continued success of the Public Art Program.

Terry Crowe, Manager, Policy Planning

Kate Sparrow, Director, Recreation & Cultural Services

aleaelie pu KS.

2006 Richmond Public Art Program Project and Funding Update:
□ Public Art Projects on City park land
Public Art Projects on City Land [City non-park land]
 Public Art Projects on City Land, Private Land, and/or Park Land
□ Public Art Projects on Private Land
2001 Public Art Program Review
□ Topics For Improvement
Recommendations
City of Richmond, Public Art Reserve Reconciliation, Accounts #7750, #7755 and #7759, As at December 31, 2005 - Unaudited
Number and Value of PRIVATE Public Art Projects, Expenditures 1997 to March 2006, Richmond Public Art Program
Letter From the Public Art Commission

		2006 Richmon	hmond Public	c Art Prograi	d Public Art Program Project and Funding Update [estimated]
Ž	Public Art Project	Project #	Total Project Budget*	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)	Present Project Status
ACC	ACCOUNTS				
4-	Public Art Project* (2006)	40880	\$100,000	\$100,000 (to be transferred in May, 2006)	☐ Funds have not yet been allocated into the Public Art Project.
5.	Public Art Project* (2003) (Unallocated)	40853	\$150,000	\$40,500	 Council report and approval required to allocated these funds to a specific public art project.
က်	Public Art* Program Administration & Maintenance	45948	0\$	\$1,399	 A miscellaneous budget developed out of unspent surplus private public art project funds. Primarily used to cover Public Art Commission secretarial support and Public Art Program promotion. There are presently some surpluses in several public art project numbers, which will be transferred to this account later in 2006.
4	Public Art Program Advisory Committee Administration	4380-10-520- 11140-0000	\$2,500/yr	\$2,500	☐ Funds to be allocated to support the Public Art Commission, its meetings and initiatives during year.
λ.	Public Art Project* (2003)	40874		\$30,000	□ Oval Facility Cladding & Buttress Art Opportunities
Total	-			\$174,399	☐ (This total includes \$100,000 which will be transferred in May, 2006)

Note: * Above projects as of March 22, 2006.

			Pub	vlic Art Project	Public Art Projects on City Park Land [estimated]
Š	Public Art Project	Project#	Total Project Budget*	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)	Present Project Status
-	Dog Sculptures at South Dyke (City Public Art Project)	40814	\$22,000	-\$160	 \$7, 896 funds are to be allocated to the artists after the project has been completed. This project has not been completed, and may be reduced. Discussions are taking place between Michael Swayne, the artist (604 719-5007) and Clarence Sihoe, Parks. This project has been completed.
7,	Perla Public Art Project (City Public Art Project)	40816	\$25,000	\$4,550	 As the Perla Project strata council did not accept "House of Roots" artwork, it was relocated and installed at the new McLennan South Neighbourhood Park. There are some additional funds to complete and install a plaque on-site. \$23,500 earmarked for artist fees for this project. This project has been completed and is waiting for the installation of a plaque.
mi mi	Steveston Legacy Art Project (Private Public Art donation to the City)	40863	\$250,000 (\$200,000 to be fundraised) (\$50,000 endorsed by Council in-principle)	\$50,000	 □ Council endorsed \$50,000 in principle subject to the Steveston Alumni Association securing through fundraising the remaining \$200,000. □ Council has endorsed a site for the artwork at the southwest comer of No. 1 Road and Bayview Street. □ Depending upon funding source, the site location maybe changed to the Tin Shed site. □ When the Steveston Alumni Association has indicated to the City that they have prepared to allocate amount of funds to develop the project, a Council report will be prepared to allocate the City's \$50,000. □ Project contact: Norm Williams, artist (604 856-8167).
4	Paulik Neighbourhood Park (Community Public Art Project)	40868	\$30,000 earmarked Public Art Account (2005)	\$30,000	 \$30,000 has been endorsed to implement to implement a community art project in Paulik Neighbourhood Park in 2005/2006. Artists Jacqueline Mets and Nancy Chew have been selected to initiate this project. Artist-City Agreement is being finalized, prior to start of project. Project contact: Suzanne Greening
и́	East Richmond Gathering Place Project (Community Public Art Project)	40865	\$175,000 (\$100,00 [Parks], \$75,000 [Public Art], \$10,000 [Coast Capital Savings], \$20,000 [East Richmond Comm.	\$24,730	 □ This has received approval by Council. □ Project contacts: Milenko Matanovic & Duncan Chambers, Pomegranate Center (425 557-6412) and Clarence Sinoe and Mike Redpath, Parks. □ The gathering place project is scheduled for completion by March 26", 2006, with a community opening. □ Project contact: Cara-Lee Malange, East Richmond Community Centre
ý	Steveston Water Park Public Art Project (Community Public Art Project)	Ą/Ą	\$100,000 est.	N/A	 □ Draft terms of reference have been completed. □ \$3,000 has been earmarked from Parks budget to cover an artist invitation only call to 5 artists. □ Steveston Community Association fundraising program in the works. □ Once funding has been raised, discussion on public art process, project theme, artist selection will take place. □ Artist invitation only call about to be started with the panel meeting scheduled for April 2006.

Public Art Projects on City Park Land [estimated]	Present Project Status	☐ Project contact: Mike Redpath/Jamie Esko	 □ Proposed location for public art to commemorate the 100-year-old birthday of Minoru Horse Race Track is area between Minoru Seniors Centre and Richmond Cultural Centre. □ Artist Sergei Traschenko has been commissioned to do the sculpture and funded by Milan lich. □ Proposed presentation to the Public Art Commission (PAC) is pending. □ Following PAC approval an Independent review panel will be formed to review the proposed artwork donation to the City, followed by a report to Council. □ Contact: Jack Lowe & Graham Tumbull (604 275-2443) 	 □ Park staff are identifying community art and public art opportunity to be determined as part of the plan implementation. □ Project contact: Yvonne Stich, Parks. 	 Fundraising program in progress. Contact: Marty Tanaka/ Karen Jones An invitation only call for artists is being discussed for possible artist selection in spring 2006. Project contact: Jamie Esko 	 Community submissions have been received and are being reviewed by a community panel. Report to Council is in progress recommending community projects and funding. Project contact: Suzanne Greening
ic Art Projects	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)		۷/۷	V/A	N/A	N/A
Pub	Total Project Budget*		\$150,000-\$200,000 est.	Project budgets to be determined on a project by project basis	V/V	\$30,000
	Project#		V/V	A/S	N/A	N/A
	Public Art Project		Minoru Horse Public Art Project (Proposed artwork donation to the City)	Terra Nova NW Quadrant (City & Community Public Art Projectt	Steveston, Minoru & Watermania Projects (Community Public Art Project)	2006 Community Public Art Projects
	8			86	6	10.

Public Art Project Model Public Art Project Budget* 1. Project Hall Public Art A 40854 2. Project Hall Public Art A 40855 2. Project Contact David Mayorith Project Status Project Contact David Mayorith No. 3 Road/RAV 3. Study No. 3 Road/RAV 4. Wall Art 4.						
Project			P	ublic Art Projects on (City Land (Non Park L	and) [estimated]
Hamilton Fire	Š		Project#	Total Project Budget*	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)	Present Project Status
Sea Island Fire	-	Hamilton Fire Hall Public Art Project (City Public Art Project)	40854	\$43,500	\$16,270	 \$20,000 has already been allocated to artist Doug Taylor (604 875-9677). □ The fire hall is to be completed by June 2006, with artwork being installed on-site in May 2006. □ At the same time, the remaining public art funds will be allocated to the artist. □ Project contact: David Naysmith
No. 3 Road/RAV Streetscape Study (City Public Art Project) \$10,000 (IBI Consultant Budget) N/A □ Study (City Public Art Project) IBI Consultant Team Cority Public Art Project Public Art Project Public Art Plan (City Public Art Plan Art Plan (City Public Art Project Public Art (Admin) \$47,014 \$49,000 (Const) □ Oval Public Art Project Public Art Plan (City Public Art Plan (City Public Art Plan (City Public Art Plan (Project) \$40,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,0	6,	Sea Island Fire Hall Public Art Project (City Public Art Project)	40855	\$43,500	\$20,341	
Gateway Theatre Wall Art (City Public Art Project) \$53,000 (Admin) \$47,014 □ Vall Art (City Public Art Project) \$49,000 (Const) □ □ Oval Public Art Project Public Art Plan (City Public Art Plan (City Public Art Plan Project) \$80,000 (Admin)	က်	No. 3 Road/RAV Streetscape Study (City Public Art Project)	IBI Consultant Team	\$10,000 (IBI Consultant Budget)	N/A	_ ·
Oval Public Art \$80,000 Project Public \$10,000 Art Plan 40874 (Admin) \$1,322 □ (City Public Art \$70,000 □ Project) □	4	Gateway Theatre Wall Art (City Public Art Project)	40862	\$53,000 \$4,000 (Admin) \$49,000 (Const)	\$47,014	•
	5.	Oval Public Art Project Public Art Plan (City Public Art Project)	40874	\$80,000 \$10,000 (Admin) \$70,000 (Public Art	\$1,322	1 0,00

Public Art Projects on City Land (Non Park Land) [estimated] Public Art Project Budget Budget Status Consultant) Consultant) Oval Facility Cladding Butterss Art Opportunities NA Public Art Commission and City staff) assisting 4culture Consultant) Public Art Commission and City staff) assisting 4culture Consultant) Public Art Commission and City staff) assisting 4culture Cath Brunner (206 296-8680). Scott Groves & Jane Fernyhough Cladding Butterss Art Opportunities NA BNIA B			,		
Public Art Project # Oval Facility Cladding Buttress Art Opportunities Fire Fighter's Memorial	and) [estimated]	Present Project Status		 □ To undertake 2 artist opportunities as part of Oval design process. □ These include art as part of Oval exterior cladding and the buttresses. □ Report to Council in progress. □ Project contact: Barbara Leucke, 4culture (206 296-4137), Cath Brunner (206 296-8680), Scott Groves & Jane Ferryhough 	☐ Preliminary discussions are underway to determine the feasibility of development community safety services memorial.
Public Art Project # Oval Facility Cladding Buttress Art Opportunities Fire Fighter's Memorial	ity Land (Non Park L	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)		\$30,000	BN/A
Public Art Project # Oval Facility Cladding Buttress Art Opportunities Fire Fighter's Memorial	blic Art Projects on Ci	Total Project Budget*	Consultant)	\$30,000	N/A
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Pu	Project#		40874	N/A
ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν		Public Art Project		Oval Facility Cladding Buttress Art Opportunities	Fire Fighter's Memorial
		o Z		ဖ်	7.

		T	T
d/or Park Land [estimated]	Present Project Status	 □ Artist Paul Slipper has been selected for this project. □ The developer will fund \$135,000 for the art portion of the project directly. □ City Council has endorsed this public art project, part of which is to be located on private property and other part on City property. □ Developer contact: Wendy Young, Chandler Development Inc. (604 692-0111). □ City-Artist-Developer agreement is being finalized. □ Contact: Suzanne Greening, 604 231-6433. 	 □ An art car designed by artist Amdt Amtzen for the production of "e-race" at the Gateway Theatre (mid September to end of September, 2005. □ Artwork to be donated to the City of Richmond and installed at selected site in September 2006 to commemorate Cnst. Jimmy Eng's passing. □ Artwork to be stored at Richmond's Work Yard until project unveiling in 2006. □ Discussion with RCMP, Facility Design and Parks taking place. □ Donation review panel to be set up in February/March 2006. □ Project contact:?
Public Art Projects on City Land, Private Land, and/or Park Land [estimated]	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)	Received \$5,000 from developer which has been spent.	\$5,000 est. (possible by the Richmond Public Art Program for site installation)
olic Art Projects or	Total Project Budget*	\$140,000 (Private Developer Funds) \$5,000 (Admin) \$135,000 (Const)	\$100,000 est. value of artwork
Puk	Project #	40861	N/A
	Public Art Project	Garden City & Cook Road Public Art Project (Private Public Art Project)	e-race "car" Public Art Project (Proposed artwork donation to the City)
	o N	₹	2,

			Public Art Proje	Public Art Projects on Private Land [estimated]	estimated]
8	Public Art Project	Project #	Total Project Budget*	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)	Present Project Status
-	Keefer & Ash Street Public Art Project (Private Public Art Project)	40860	\$26,500 (Private Developer Funds) \$3,000 (Admin funds) \$23,500 (Project funds)	\$24,830	 Artist Monique Genton has been selected for this project. The artist's artwork will be placed on the City's light standards along the street. The \$23,500 will be allocated to selected artist, as per a developer-artist agreement, presently being finalized. Project contact: David Webster, McLean Homes (604 922-1622) Contact: Suzanne Greening (604 231-6433).
7.	Ocean Walk Public Art Project (Private Public Art Project)	40864	\$119,000 (Private Developer Funds) \$6,000 (Admin) \$113,000 (Const)	Received \$6,000 Developer funds; balance of those funds \$4,895	 □ Public art project terms of reference initiated in the fall, 2005. □ Developer preference for location of public art on or adjacent to proposed outdoor water fountain. □ Project contacts: John Brown, Century Group (604 –943-2203) & Peter Kreuk, Durante Kreuk Ltd. (604 684-4611). □ First panel meeting has taken place and 3 artists are shortlisted. □ 1st panel meeting scheduled for March 23rd, 2006. □ Project contact: Suzanne Greening
က်	Polygon's Seasons Development (Private Public Art Project)	N/A	\$80,000	Ν/A	 Artist David Fushtey is presently sculpting the works for this project. Artwork to be installed in 2006. Project contact: Polygon
4	Polygon's Barrington Walk (Private Public Art Project)	N/A	\$35,000	N/A	 Artist, David Robinson's piece "Turning Earth" has been completed.
က်	Cressey – 8120 Lansdowne Dev (Private Public Art Project)	N/A	\$200,000 (Dev budget)	N/A	 □ Project public art plan be developed. □ Project contacts: Peter Kreuk, Durante Kreuk Ltd. (604 684-4611). □ Project contact:?
9	Onni Developments –	40867	\$175,000 (Dev budget)	N/A	☐ Public art project terms of reference is in circulation to artists. ☐ Artist Information Meeting scheduled for February 22, 2006.

			Public Art Proje	Public Art Projects on Private Land [estimated]	estimated]
N O	Public Art Project	Project #	Total Project Budget*	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)	Present Project Status
	7360 Elmbridge (Private Public Art Project)		\$6,000 (Admin) \$169,000 Const)		 □ 1st Public Art Panel Meeting scheduled for March, 2006 □ Project contact: Chris Evans, Onni (604 603-7711) □ City contact:?
•	Toyu Lansdowne Development –	0000	\$109,500 (Developer funded - City budget)	00.00	
:	8280 Lansdowne (Private Public Art Project)	40000	\$6,000 (Admin) \$103,500 (Const)	90.7.001.4	☐ Project Confact. Aelin Long, Warmigion Property Group In. (604 830-3113 & Amela Brudar, GBL Architects (60-4 736- 1156) ☐ City contact:?
∞	Hancock Bruckner Eng & Wright Architects – 7371 West-	A/N	\$175,000	N/A	
	minster Hwy (Private Public Art Project)				☐ Project contact:?
•	London Station - 11300 No. 5 Road/London		\$100.000		☐ Project public art plan being developed for review by
ni	Road (Private Public Art Project)	N/A	(Dev budget)	W/A	Fublic Art Commission. □ Project contact:?

No Public Art Project Project 2346-10			Other Public Art Initiatives [estimated]
2006 "Lulu 2346-10	Total Project # Project Budget*	Project Budget Status* (Unspent)	Present Project Status
1. Series: Art in the 000-000 City" Lectures 0000	2346-10- \$25,000 000-00000- \$5,000 0000 donation	\$25,980	 □ Lecture series presentations are underway □ Project contact: Suzanne Greening

Note*: Above projects update as of March 22, 2006.

2001 Public Art Program Review [July 9, 2001]

1. Topics For Improvement

A Program Management

- · Improve Program clarity and understanding,
- Ensure that the roles of Council, Public Art Commission, and the other participants are recognized at each step in the project development process, and
- Achieve better results.

B Education & Participation

There is a community desire and a keen interest to:

- Learn more about public art and its importance for Richmond communities,
- Understand how decisions are made in developing and selecting public art projects,
- · Provide ideas and input into future projects, and
- Get involved in the development of City and community public art projects.

C Public Art Project Locations

From all accounts (members of the public, Public Art Commission and staff), the development of major
public art work projects should continue to be encouraged, and showcased in the City Centre and in
selected other locations in the City.

D Program Administration

- The Public Art Program administration, community consultation, co-ordination, and communication take considerable staff time and resources.
- It is desirable to identify alternative ways to administer the Program to achieve efficiencies and partnerships.

E Next Program Review

The Program should be reviewed in three years (e.g., 2005).

ATTACHMENT 2 cont'd

2. Recommended Task - Approved by Council on July 9, 2001

FOR 2001

1. Clarify Roles

 Start clarifying the roles of all participants during the planning, development and implementation of public art works projects.

2. Clarify the Program Manual and Administration

- · Refine the Public Art Program and Implementation Manual.
- Explore, evaluate and recommend ways to improve Program administration (e.g., hire a part time coordinator).

Improve Education

• Establish a Public Art Education Program and budget for Council's approval

Improve Public Participation

• Establish a Public Art Participation Program and budget for Council's approval.

Improve Promotion

Start ongoing promotion of proposed and planned public art projects.

Clarify Project Locations

- Present the No. 3 Road Corridor Public Art Strategy first phase consultant report for Council approval and implementation.
- Identify other public art opportunities in the City Centre of outside for Council's consideration.

Improve Budget Management

- For 2001
- As of June 12, 2001, \$464,493 is available in the Public Art Statutory Reserve Fund, of which 50% has been contributed by the private sector.
- Council has approved \$400,000 in the 2001 Capital Program as part of the 5 Year Financial Plan (2001 2005).
- It is recommended that up to \$400,000 be allocated in 2001 for:
- public art projects,
- education,
- community public art project participation and
- program administration,
- Continue to encourage non-City sources to contribute towards the creation of public art.

FOR 2002, 2003 and 2004

Improve Budget Management

- For 2002 to 2004:
 - Expenditures and revenues to be determined.
- Multi-year Program budget (revenues and expenditures) options and models will be prepared for Council's consideration and approval.
- Continue to encourage non-City sources to contribute towards the creation of public art.
- Continue the above as necessary

FOR 2005

Review

- Undertake review of Public Art Program
- Continue the above as necessary

City of Richmond Public Art Reserve Reconciliation Accounts #7750, #7755 and #7759 As at December 31, 2005 - Unaudited

	As at December 31, 200				
Date	Description	Additions Financial Contributions	Additions Interest	Usage	Balance
1997	Opening Balance at January 1, 1997				\$0.00
1331	Bylaw #6808 Establishes the Public Art Program Statutory				φυ.υ
	Reserve	***********			
	Fund Sept 8/97	\$235,000.00			
	7 Donation from Yee Ying Investments for Public Art Projects	\$20,000.00			
23-Dec-9	7 Donation from Amacon for Public Art Projects	\$25,000.00	***	40.00	****
1000	Balance at December 31, 1997	\$280,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$280,000.00
1998					
1-Apr-9	8Donation from Molnar - Samoth Capital for Public Art Project Donation from 570137 BC Ltd for Public Art Projects/Hotel	\$15,000.00			
5-Dec-9	8Development	\$15,461.60			
31-Dec-9	B/L #6951 for Lang Neighbourhood Park Tree Grate project 8t & misc Public Art Projects			\$55,000.00	
31-Dec-9	8 Transfer Operating Surplus monies to Reserve	\$39,538.40			
31-Dec-9	8 1998 Interest Allocation		\$19,221.96		
	Balance at December 31, 1998	\$350,000.00	\$19,221.96	\$55,000.00	\$314,221.96
1999					
	9Transfer Operating Surplus monies to Reserve	\$100,000.00			
	91999 Interest Allocation	7.33,330	\$22,584.58		
	Balance at December 31, 1999	\$450,000.00			\$436,806.54
2000					
31-Dec-0	02000 Interest Allocation		\$27,686.69		
	Balance at December 31, 2000	\$450,000.00	\$69,493.23	\$55,000.00	\$464,493.23
2001					
30-Jun-0	1B/L #7251 for 2001 Public Art Projects			\$400,000.00	
30-Oct-0	1B/L #7286 for Community Participation Public Art Projects			\$25,000.00	
31-Dec-0	12001 Interest Allocation		\$15,040.00		
	Balance at December 31, 2001	\$450,000.00	\$84,533.23	\$480,000.00	\$54,533.23
2002					
28-Mar-0	2Amacon - Saba Contribution	\$25,000.00			
16-Aug-0	2Onni - BC Packer Site	\$20,000.00			
23-Aug-0	2Abcor Properties Inc - 7780/7720 Garden City	\$22,000.00			
5-Sep-0	2Capital West Homes 1988 Ltd	\$33,000.00			
31-Dec-0	22002 Interest Allocation		\$12,924.00		
	Balance at December 31, 2002	\$550,000.00	\$97,457.23	\$480,000.00	\$167,457.23
2003					, <u> </u>
	3Lucky Realty Ltd donation - 6388 Cooney Rd	\$9,500.00			
	3Fund 2003 Capital Budget B/L #7524			\$150,000.00	
	3HSBC donation - Legacy Park Lands contribution	\$10,000.00		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	32003 Interest Allocation		\$3,751.00		

City of Richmond Public Art Reserve Reconciliation Accounts #7750, #7755 and #7759 As at December 31, 2005 - Unaudited

	As at December 3	1, 2005 - Unaudited	·	, <u></u>	
Date	Description	Additions Financial Contributions	Additions Interest	Usage	Balance
	Balance at December 2, 2003	\$569,500.00	\$101,208.23	\$630,000.00	\$40,708.23
2004					
1-Jan-0	4Return \$ cap project psab 2003 (7758) PSAB	275,854.00			
29-Mar-0	4McSouth Park contribution	\$27,640.00			
7-Apr-0	4G & G Products contribution	\$52,398.00			
23-Jul-0	4GL, Public Art Co, RZ03-254763	\$90,408.00			
30-Dec-0	48700 Bridgeport/3060 No 3 Rd	\$45,709.00			
12/31/0	42004 Interest Allocation		4,955.00		
12/31/0	4Return \$ cap project psab 2004	197,506.37			
12/31/0	4Return \$ cap project psab 2003	-275,854.00			
	Balance at December 31, 2004	\$983,161.37	\$106,163.23	\$630,000.00	\$459,324.60
2005					
1-Jan-0	5Rev 2004 \$ cap project psab 2004	-197,506.37			
5-Jan-0	5Nu Tech Golden Bay	3,990.00			
29-Apr-0	5Polygon Westbury Lane Dev. Ltd.	40,582.00			
30-Jun-0	52005 Interest Allocation		8,330.00		
30-Jun-0	5Return \$ cap project psab 2005	186,261.29			
1-Jul-0	5Rev Q2 2005 Interest Allocation		8,330.00		
1-Jul-0	5Rev rtrn \$ cap project psab Q2/05	-186,261.29			
	5Concert Corp.	57,882.00			
31-Dec-0	52005 Interest Allocation		10,831.00		
	December 31, 2005 balance	\$888,109.00	\$116,994.23	\$630,000.00	\$375,103.23

Number and Value of PRIVATE Public Art Projects Expenditures 1997 to March 2006 Richmond Public Art Program

Value of Actual Public Art Project	Financial Contributions [estimated]
1. Lang Park	\$45,000
2. Cosmo Plaza	\$27,000
3. Empire Centre	\$40,000
Aberdeen Centre	\$100,000
5. Lions Park	\$80,000
6. Terra Nova	\$60,000
7. Rivera Gardens	\$12,000
8. Caring Place	\$5,000
9. Financial Centre	\$40,000
10. Tree Grate	\$45,000
11. Katsura Gate	\$47,000
12. Leighton Court	\$18,000
13. Wellington Walk	\$18,000
14. Season's Tower	\$80,000
15. Thompson Community	\$30,000
16. Stone Sculptures	\$200,000
17. Japanese Fisherman	\$60,000
18. Dog Park	\$30,000
19. West Richmond	\$5,000
20. Fishermen's Memorial	\$200,000
21. Minoru Horse	\$200,000
22. Steveston Legacy	\$250,000
23. Queens Gate	\$60,000
24. Coppersmith	\$40,000
25. Versante	\$103,000
26. House Roots	\$27,000
27. Flo	\$169,000
28. Ocean Walk	\$113,000
29. Cressey	\$200,000
30. Hancock Brockner	\$175,000
31. Keefer & Ash	\$23,500
32. Garden City/Cook	\$135,000
Total (as of Mar 16/06)	\$2,637,500

Richmond Public Art Commission

February 22nd, 2006

Mr. Joe Erceg Urban Development Richmond City Hall

Dear Mr. Erceg

At our regular Public Art Commission meeting on February 15th, 2006 the Commission presented to Terry Crowe some ideas about the changes/challenges facing the Commission and the delivery of public art for Richmond. We would like to inform you in writing about our thoughts on this matter. Everyone on the Commission has been consulted regarding this matter and we are all in agreement about the following ideas:

- With a break in staff continuity we are concerned about the future of this city program that has seen immense growth and popularity in the last year
- many developers are choosing to have public art as part of their projects and are willing to donate substantial monies (\$39,000, \$129,000) for the artworks. As this is a voluntary program that is quite amazing and, of course, exciting for Richmond
- other enormous opportunities for public art are also there for the Canada Line, the new plan for the No. 3 Road streetscape, and the Olympic Oval. With the Olympics the eyes of the world will be on Richmond
- internationally renowned consultants (4culture) have been chosen for these large civic projects, and to follow through considerable time will need to be available from whoever is in charge of the public art program to co-ordinate all of these new initiatives
- the Lulu series of public presentations on Art and the City has become so popular that the most recent event packed the City Council's chambers –this demonstrates that the city is "growing up", wants more of an arts emphasis and understands that art builds livability and community
- the community is also very interested in public art and is willing to raise funds to provide public art to celebrate Richmond's history (the Minoru horse proposal and the Steveston sculpture honouring the area's fishing history)
- with this interest in culture and the arts, Richmond has the opportunity now to become the sophisticated city it obviously wants to be

With a new (part-time and possibly only interim) person taking over public art from the Urban Planning department and someone (or possibly more) in Parks, Recreation and Culture also being involved (in ways yet to be defined) we have strong concerns about the break in continuity, the split in responsibility and the limited hours available for

effective communication and the timely progress of so many initiatives. We, therefore, propose that:

- since this program is building a valuable public art collection for Richmond, nurturing new contributors, adding value to the collection by education and outreach, and interfacing with the public and other City departments in this time of increasing growth and potential it can no longer be managed by a part-time position
- money should be taken out of the Public Art Fund to fund a position of coordinator for at least the next six months
- during this transition period other funding sources should be identified for the permanent continuance of the position
- the person chosen will need to have knowledge of the extensive program to date and in-the-works, should have an arts background, have experience handling public art and be familiar with Richmond and working with this community
- if necessary, resources should be allocated to assist the co-ordinator with these and other responsibilities
- this should be done as soon as possible to allow a smooth transition when Kari Huhtala leaves next month

We take very seriously our role as a Commission, as an advisory body and as representatives of the people of Richmond, and, consequently, feel it is our duty to bring these issues to your attention.

Yours truly

Willa Walsh, Public Art Commission Chair

Willa Walsh

Leo Mol, Vice-chair

Dan Campbell, Alison Cormack, Colleen Dixon, Valerie Jones, Judy Ronnenberg, Alex Schick

Cc: Councillor Linda Barnes, Councillor Sue Halsey-Brandt, Cathryn Carlile, Terry Crowe